



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

WHO HATES WHOM

by Maj. D.V. Clerkin

page 42

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor Revilo P. Oliver

POSTSCRIPTS:

The Star in Bushy's Crown, page 1;

Scalping the Unwary, page 19;

Fabulous Fiction, page 23;

Piacular Ploys, page 27;

The Rewards of Folly, page 33.

*How Do We (Jews) Destroy Dangerous
Political Enemies, page 46.*

Mr. Wiesenthal Does Not Answer, page 53

Wodenson

Divided Loyalties, page 57

VOL. 18 - NO. 11

JULY 1991

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor, Editorial office; P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA—Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 4.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only	\$35.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$45.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$50.00
AIR MAIL - Europe, South America	\$60.00
Middle East, Far East, So. Afrca	\$65.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 COPIES	\$ 22.00
50 COPIES	\$ 90.00
100 COPIES	\$150.00
500 COPIES	\$600.00
1000 COPIES	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to Ideas, for ultimately it is Ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no Institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

THE STAR IN BUSHY'S CROWN

The article entitled "The New World Order," which appeared in the June issue of *Liberty Bell*, was written before Lord Bushy's war on Iraq was terminated by an armistice, which in that article was mentioned only as a device that might possibly be used to induce the Moslem nations to overlook the Russian betrayal of Iraq by Gorbachev, who both failed to warn Saddam of the trap set for him by the Jews' American servants with their wonted treachery, and to order his military technicians in Iraq to give Saddam the effective support that he had doubtless been promised.¹

The article pointed out that Bushy's War was certain to end in the triumph of the Jews' janissaries,² the British and Americans with a population of 310,000,000 and masters of the terrible technology of modern war, over 14,000,000 Semites without a technology of their own and armed with long obsolete weapons, was inevitable, and would be as glorious as the exploit of a team of tough football players who beat up a ten-year-old boy.

1. Such a use of the armistice may possibly be indicated by current conjectures (reported in the *Spotlight*, 18 March) that the armistice was so hastily ordered by Bush because the Soviets had threatened to intervene in his war and use nuclear weapons to prevent an occupation of Iraq. No one seems to know whether these rumors are being circulated in the Middle East, where they would have the desired effect. We may be sure they are false, because Bush must have concerted the operation against Iraq with his colleague, Gorbachev, before he set the trap for Saddam.

2. It must be remembered that the Janissaries were Aryan children requisitioned by the Turks from Christians under their dominion and enslaved. They were raised as Moslems and given a rigorous military training, becoming the Turks' elite and most formidable troops. In later centuries, the fighting slaves sometimes mutinied, but never all at the same time.

Not only were the Iraqis outnumbered twenty-two to one and so awkward and poorly equipped that our bellicose technology would have destroyed them, even if the two populations had been evenly matched, but a considerable part of Iraq's small army had to be used to contain the nation's domestic enemies, especially the Kurds, who, as I said, were only awaiting an opportunity to revolt again and "stab the Iraqis in the back."

The suddenly concluded armistice was unexpected and greatly embarrassed the newspapers, which had been howling for the blood of Saddam and the Semites in Iraq. The armistice also astonished the American commander, General Schwarzkopf, who had been under the impression that the attack on Iraq was a legitimate war and should naturally end in occupation of the conquered nation.

The General, who showed himself a master of technological warfare, had as a professional military man endured the humiliation of being made to seem the subordinate of a mulatto, who had been installed as a figurehead to advertise the degradation of the American people, and who had not even the simplest military sense, having, at the very beginning of the invasion, blabbed over the radio and television the strategy that General Schwarzkopf had devised for the coming campaign, thus stupidly doing the work of a highly competent enemy spy. But when Bushy aborted the American campaign under the thin pretext that he was conciliating the "United Nations," which, as everyone knows, is just our rulers' substitute for a ventriloquist's dummy, the General, as an honorable soldier, could endure no more and made his astonishment and indignation so evident that it could not be concealed by the press.

A major purpose of the armistice soon became apparent: it was to enable Saddam to turn upon the Kurds and try to exterminate them in the part of Kūrdistān that was in Iraq. In April the press was filled with stories of the plight of the Kurds and the hordes of refugees who were desperately seeking asylum in Turkey and Iran. There were, as one

would expect, lurid stories of atrocities and charges that Saddam was using chemical and biological weapons against the Kurds, in keeping with the lies about the military power of Iraq that had been earlier manufactured to prepare the American serfs for Bushy's attack on that country.

If chemical and biological weapons were ever in Iraq, they were, as Lawrence Patterson suggested in recent issues of his *Criminal Politics*, in the custody of Soviet technicians, who refused to use them against the forces of Gorby's partner, but may now be gladly using them to exterminate the Kurds in Iraq. The newspapers, naturally, exploit the plight of the Kurds to vilify Saddam, but sedulously conceal the real reason for his attack on a fairly large part (15%?) of the population of Iraq.

It is almost admitted that the Kurds were incited to revolt by agents of Bushy's old command, the auxiliary of the Jews' Mossad that is called the Central Intelligence Agency and directly financed by their American serfs. The C.I.A. probably promised to send the Kurds massive aid and military supplies by air, and, of course, betrayed them with the treachery for which Americans have become infamous throughout the world.

What the professional liars of the press conceal from their dupes is the fact that the Kurds are not Semites, and between them and the Semites there has always existed the racial hatred that is inevitable when two different races come into close contact and neither is willing to do obeisance and humbly serve the other.

The Kurds are an ancient people, remarkable for both the tenacity with which they have maintained their racial identity, although they seem always to have been divided into small, independent tribes, and for their fierce devotion to personal freedom, which they have always prized as some Americans did for a time after they obtained it in 1783.

Twenty-four centuries ago, the Kurds were the Καρδοῦχοι, whom my older readers will remember having met in the

pages of the *Anabasis*, in which there is no more exciting episode than the one in which the retreating Greeks make their way through mountains held by tribes whose love of independence has maintained them as an unsubdued enclave in the midst of the Persian Empire.

They are credited with much greater, almost incredible, antiquity, for they are generally and plausibly identified with the Gūtī (Babylonian, Kūrdu), who often descended from their mountains to despoil whatever neighboring kingdom gave them an opportunity for plunder. In Sumerian times, they even occupied Babylon and held it for twenty or more years. A contemporary inscription at Lagash, c. 2220 B.C., describes them as "the enemies of the gods" who "carried off the kingship of Sumer to the mountains." It may be only a coincidence that Professor John R. Baker, in his monumental treatise, *Race* (London, Oxford University Press, 1974), identifies some modern Kurds as the living persons whose features most resemble the Sumerians depicted in profile on many reliefs. This comparison is the more remarkable because Professor Baker seems not to have known of the exploits of the Gūtī mentioned above.³

The very ancient Gūtī are usually described as a Turanian people, and the assumption that they were the ancestors of the modern Kurds is probably the source of the repeated claim, perpetuated in some works of reference, that the Kurds are Turanians (akin to the Turks and Turcomans), all evidence to the contrary being explained as the result of a large infusion of Aryan blood, perhaps by the assimilation

3. He cites as his authority for the Sumerians the work of Ernest Chantre, who wrote before the more recent discovery of the inscription I quoted above and of the other evidence for the relations between the Gūtī and the Sumerians. He adds that "a wide variety of types is found among the Kurdish population. A considerable proportion of the Kurdish people are fair, with blue eyes. The coloring of the Sumerians does not appear to be known." Given the variety he mentions, he does not accept the classification of the Kurds as "Proto-Nordic."

of many Medes in the time of the Persian Empire.⁴ The error is compounded by the fact that a considerable number of Kurds⁵ in Iraq and Iran speak a peculiar language called Górání and some dialects of Kurdish (in the group of dialects called Kirmánjí or Kermánjí) contain a very considerable number of words that are evidently Ural-Altaiic (i.e., derived from what is presumably the native language of the Turanian race), and which some enthusiastic linguists trace back to the Gūtī of Babylonian and Sumerian times.

I have not investigated the question, but I know of no valid evidence that would prove that the Gūtī were not always of the race that is identified as Aryan, which certainly long antedates the Aryan invasion and conquest of India. In any event, if the Kurds persisted as a nation since the third millennium B.C., that is one of the most amazing phenomena of human history.

Whether or not the Gūtī have almost incredibly survived as a people for five millennia, we may be certain that at least by the beginning of the Christian era the Kurds, then called Γορδουαῖοι,⁶ were an Aryan people, since they were observed by the Greek travelers who were the sources of Strabo (XVI.747) and Ptolemy (*Geographia*, VI.2.5) and by them regarded as Medes.

4. Hence the error in my hastily written and too hastily condensed note 10 on p. 7 of the issue for October 1990, for which I apologize.

5. They consider themselves Kurds and are so considered by other Kurds, although, according to G. J. Edmonds (see note 8 *infra*), they are in some places considered inferior and almost serfs of the others, who claim a superior ancestry. I know of no report which would indicate whether or not they show a racial difference, i.e., whether or not any or many of them have the fair hair and blue eyes found in so many Kurds. It is not impossible, therefore, that they may be of predominantly Turanian stock. Incidentally, the Iraqis, who are called 'Arabs' in the press because they speak dialects of Arabic, are a Semitic people with some, probably small, percentage of Arab ancestry, but have a large infusion of Turanian blood, which, however, does not mitigate the enmity which they and the Turks feel for each other.

6. Cf. the elder Pliny, VI.15.44: "Carduchi quondam dicti, nunc Gordueni." A little later he notes the presence in a nearly adjacent territory of tribes called *Anariaci* ('not Aryans').

The modern Kurds are essentially an Aryan people, although there doubtless is a considerable admixture of Turanian blood and, if you extrapolate from the resemblance noted by Baker, you may romantically see in some Kurds a survival of the ancient Sumerians, whatever their race may have been.

H. B. Isherwood, an eminent ethnologist who can maintain an objectively scientific attitude since he enjoys freedom from academic constraints,⁷ writes, in his *Racial Contours* (Times Press, Douglas, Isle of Man; 1965):

The Kurds are a specific Aryan people frequently bearing characteristics strongly suggestive of Nordics. They are lithe and active, square-shouldered but rarely of unusual stature. Many are fair-haired, with light-coloured skins, blue or grey eyes, firm chins, and thin-lipped mouths. By inclination they are essentially pastoral and do not as a rule make good urban material. Their remote ancestors may have been families left behind by those first Aryans who migrated eastward to enter India in the second millennium B.C. In spite of all efforts to assimilate them, the Kurds doggedly manage to preserve their racial identity, their exclusiveness, and their dignity.

The Kurds, furthermore, speak an Indo-European language, roughly similar to Persian, but derived from the language of the ancient Medes, rather than from Old Persian.⁸

7. But not with impunity. You may remember that some years ago a pack of Sheenies, disguised as police officers and flourishing forged warrants, invaded Mr. Isherwood's home and plundered his files to destroy his accumulation of scientific data. The impersonation of police officers for criminal purposes is a very serious offense under British law, but the British, like the Americans, have learned that it would be dangerously impious to impede with human laws the activities of their god's Master Race.

8. On the language and the Kurds generally, see C. J. Edmonds, *Kurds, Turks, and Arabs* (London, Oxford University Press, 1957). The language of the Medes, classified as 'Northwestern Iranian,' and thus distinct from Old Persian, which was 'Southwestern Iranian,' has left only the most exiguous remains. Old Persian, the language of the Persian Kings from Cyrus to Darius III Codomannus, principally preserved in a series of famous inscriptions written in a syllabic alphabet adapted from cuneiform, is a syntactically and inflexionally sound language, readily learned by anyone who is proficient in Greek. It differs markedly from Avestan, the language of the extant Scriptures of the Zoroastrian reli-

Given the partition of Kurdistan between four nations (Turkey, Iraq, Iran, and Soviet Azerbaijan), it is not remarkable that the Kurdish language now has twelve or more dialects, which differ from one another even more than the epicletic dialects of ancient Greek differed from the Attic you learned in school.

The most memorable appearance of Kurds in history is also an example of the irony of human history. The Crusaders conquered Palestine and established the Kingdom of Jerusalem through Aryan valor, and they lost it through their Christian superstitions.⁹ The seeds of decay were present from the first, but the first serious threat to the Kingdom of Jerusalem, and the beginning of its long agony, was administered by the famous Saladin, whose real name in Arabic was Yúsuf ibn-Ayyúb. Although the European Kingdom managed to retain a foothold in Palestine for another century, Saladin's capture of Jerusalem in 1187 was the beginning of the end.

A nephew of a warrior and general who became the grand vizir of Nur ad-Din, Saladin succeeded his uncle, and recovered Egypt, suppressing the heretical caliphate of the Fatimids and thus reuniting Egypt with Syria to form a strong Moslem state. On the death of Nur ad-Din, Saladin took over, and, disdaining the title of Caliph, proclaimed himself Sultan, and began a campaign against the Europeans. He was a cultivated man, learned in Arabic literature, as well as an able commander, and he is remembered in history, as well as celebrated in Sir Walter Scott's *Talisman* and many another work of Nineteenth-Century fiction, for the most un-Semitic qualities of chivalry and generosity that won him the

gion, including the *Gāthas*, which appear to be the utterances of Zarathustra himself. Avestan, which was apparently written in its own alphabet, a precursor of the Pahlavi script, thus became the sacred language, and therefore Pahlavi and eventually modern Persian were derived from it, not from Old Persian. Unfortunately for students of religion (including Christianity, a Judaized derivative of Zoroastrianism), the chronological and geographical relation of Avestan to Old Persian is still problematic.

9. See *Liberty Bell*, December 1989, pp. 1-5.

respect of his great antagonist in the Fourth Crusade, Richard Cœur de Lion. He was a Moslem and almost everyone who is content with the superficial history once taught in high schools thinks of him as a Semite. He was a Kurd and surrounded himself with a contingent of fellow Kurds for both military and administrative tasks. So his success against the Crusaders' kingdom was just another example of a very common phenomenon in history: Aryans defeated by Aryans to the profit of an enemy race.

The career of Saladin in the Twelfth Century lends some plausibility to the Kurdish tradition that in the sixth century B.C., a Kurd, Roham, son of a Kurd whose historicity is guaranteed by an extant inscription, similarly attained power and became the King of Babylon known as Nebuchadnezzar and celebrated in the Christians' story-book for his earnest efforts to solve the Jewish problem.

There is no evidence to validate the tradition as historical, but the significant fact is that the Aryan Kurds, instead of groveling in an agony of guilt and remorse because a member of their race had actually harmed some of Yahweh's Yids, are proud of their Nebuchadnezzar. A well-trained American boob will immediately conclude that the Kurds are so wicked that they deserve to be exterminated.

The Kurds occupy a country that is known as Kūrdistān, although in recent centuries it has always been partitioned and under alien rule. Over the centuries, the Kurds have made very many efforts to attain independence and have a country of their own, but they are an essentially pastoral people, and while they have been able precariously to maintain themselves in their mountains, they have never been numerous enough to reclaim their valleys by defeating the often combined power of the Turks and Persians, who, although natural enemies, have always agreed on the need to prevent the independence of Kurdistan.

The Kurds once thought they had succeeded. As we all know, the Jews, in preparation for the First World War, installed in the White House a crackpot shyster named Woodrow Wilson, whose probably sincere gabble about the "self-determina-

tion of nations" served as a pretext for dismembering the Austro-Hungarian Empire and creating such foci of future trouble as Jugo-Slavia, which was certain to be as peaceful as a sack enclosing two or three tom cats. In conformity with Wilson's idiotic ideals, the Treaty of Sèvres in 1922, which dismembered the Turkish Empire to create such states as Iraq, Lebanon, Jordan, and Palestine, as guarantees of future trouble, recognized the independence of Kurdistan, but the Turkish Sultan, who had accepted the treaty and what amounted to European dominance, was overthrown by a revolution led by Kemel Ataturk, who was given decisive support by the Jews, including their newly acquired colony, the former Russian Empire.

Kemel proceeded to massacre the Greeks who resided in territory he had usurped in open violation of the Treaty—that wasn't 'genocide,' of course, because Greeks aren't sacred Sheenies—and embarked on a bloody repression and subjugation of the Kurds. Don't have a fit of moral indignation: the Turks, like all viable nations, regarded only their own interests. The decadent Europeans, their minds paralysed by Jewish hokum and too pavid to defend their own interests, eventually abrogated the Treaty of Sèvres and accepted the Treaty of Lausanne (1923), content with having kept from the Turks such sites of future trouble as Iraq, Palestine, etc.¹⁰

10. In 1919-1923, the British did make an effort to protect the Kurds. Mr. Edwards, who was one of the British officials who, using the British army and air force, expelled the Turks from Mosul (a few miles across the Tigris from the ruins of Nineveh) and the region about it, describes (*op. cit.*) his part in the negotiations and military operations. He was principally charged with visiting and guiding the very numerous tribes, somewhat similar to Scottish clans, into which all the Kurds were then, and many still are, divided. He gives a very full account of the almost bewildering multiplicity of tribes, who were then almost all in rural villages (shunning towns such as Mosul), and, since he was a cultivated man, he studied the antiquities of the region and added to what was known of the Assyrian, Babylonian, and Persian occupation of parts of Kurdistan. The British at least did make certain that the large part of Kurdistan under their control was incorporated into Iraq, which promised the Kurds a kind of 'home rule,' rather than given to Turkey, which would have proceeded to liquidate them.

The Kurds frequently rebelled—in 1923, 1925, 1944, several times in the 1960s, and in 1974—but lacking modern weapons and other equipment, such as railroads and real highways, and subject to three or four nations (Turkey, Iraq, Iran, and the Soviets), they were always defeated.

The Kurds in Persian territory have usually been tolerated and given a degree of local autonomy, although they are Sunnis and so damnable heretics in the eyes of the Shi'ites, who have always dominated Iran and recently, under the late Khomeini, attained total control of the nation.¹¹ In Turkish territory, the Kurds are grudgingly tolerated so long as they are submissive to the Turkish government, and violently repressed whenever they show symptoms of allegiance to their own nation. In Iraq, the inevitable hostility between two incompatible races has always produced abrasive friction.

In 1974, Saddam,¹² then the darling of the Americans, who were using him to impoverish and wreck Iran, loosed a good part of his army against the Kurds in Iraq, and was soon reported to be using poison gas and other chemical weapons (supplied by the Soviets) to slaughter them. Whether or not the reports in the press were true, they were believed by Americans, a very few of whom were sufficiently interested to say "he really hadn't oughter" before forgetting about it.

When Bushy's sudden armistice released what was left of his army from other duties, Saddam began systematically to exterminate the Kurds with Semitic enthusiasm, with or without chemical and biological weapons, and with or without the atrocious barbarism also reported in the press.¹³

11. The religious difference may be one reason why Iran now refuses to admit to its territory the million Kurdish refugees, who, according to reports in the press, are now in improvised camps on the Iran border, in which they are said to be dying of wounds, privation, and disease at the rate of two thousand a day.

12. It is best always to refer to this individual as Saddam *tout court*, since his claim to the historic name of Hussein is, at least, doubtful.

13. One instance, reported in the *Spotlight* (15 April) and, I am told, the *Washington Times*, is of some interest. The Iraqi soldiers are said to fire and advance behind a shielding screen of their own women, relying on

Saddam's cannon, machine guns, bombers, and armored helicopters gave him a superiority over the Kurds that was comparable to the Americans' enormous superiority over the Iraqis. The Kurds, whose mountains had been leveled by air power, and who had no weapons except a few ordinary and usually old rifles and revolvers, had no chance to save themselves, except by flight.

Toward the middle of April, the press reported that the Kurds¹⁴ in the refugee camps on the Turkish border were dying from wounds, privation, and disease at the rate of one thousand per diem, but the State Department soothingly reduced that figure to 510. The "United Nation's" High Commissioner for Refugees officially reported that Kurds in the camps on the border of Iran were dying at the rate of two thousand a day.

Bushy's minions, with the War Lord's approval, opined that it would be "improper" to intervene in "Iraq's internal affairs"—after we had devastated many cities and ruthlessly slain thousands of civilians in that unhappy country. Saddam, once again America's darling, probably having promised henceforth to revere Sheenies,¹⁵ was not to be restrained from butchering the Kurds and Semitic Shi'ites, whom his C.I.A.

the racial peculiarity of Aryans, which makes them unwilling to kill females. This device, which Winston Churchill, according to the *Spotlight*, identified as a tactic used by Communists everywhere, reminds one that when the Soviets' plan to launch a surprise attack on Germany was forestalled by Hitler, the Soviets protected their tanks and infantry with a screen of women, whom the Germans, being Aryans, could not bring themselves to slaughter. Such use of females is natural to Semites and in keeping with their Judaic religion, since strictly fundamentalist Moslems regard women as animals whose souls will be dissipated at death; in Heaven, they will be replaced by much improved models, specially created by Yahweh-Allah for the eternal delight of True Believers.

14. Some liepapers exposed themselves by suppressing the national designation and calling the refugees "Iraqis." The pimps of the press have become over-confident and reckless.

15. The purpose and nature of the American victory is well illustrated by the conduct of the people of "liberated" Kuwait, who, according to Amnesty International, are joyfully torturing and murdering their fellow Semites, the Palestinians who had taken refuge in the Emirate. Whether the Jews give their dogs a few shekels for their good work is unknown.

had incited to revolt with lavish promises of American support and equipment: the fools should have known better than to trust Americans.

Near the end of the month, however, Bushy, perhaps to please some performers in the comedy called "United Nations," reluctantly agreed to send an American force of ten thousand troops into the part of Kurdistan that is in Iraq to take control of the region and invite the surviving Kurds to return to their devastated or annihilated homes. It remains to be seen whether the refugees are so destitute, desperate, and foolish that they will again trust Americans, return to their country, and await a new massacre after the Americans withdraw.

It is worthy of note that near the end of April, according to a friend who watches television, a woman reporter for the Columbia Broadcasting System visited the camps of the refugee Kurds and remarked with astonishment that so many of them had fair hair and blue eyes. She was at once denounced for "racism"—doubtless by the little boobies whose spongy minds are stuffed with 'One World' pus in the schools and colleges that American tax-payers suicidally finance to hasten the liquidation of their own race.

* * *

By the first of May it was obvious that Lord Bushy's principal goal had been triumphantly attained: the whole world was warned that any insubordination to Yahweh's Holy Race would be frightfully punished by the Jews' American janissaries with their truly terrible technological weapons. It seems likely that the Americans will withdraw and hand the Islamic nations over to their Judaeo-Communist confederates, either before or after the economic and social collapse and prostration of the United States has been consummated.

John de Courcy's very expensive *Special Office Brief* (Dublin, Ireland)¹⁶ has always held to the theory that the Jews have lost control of Russia, and accordingly, in an undated photocopy sent to me, positively declares that Gorbachev's

16. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, July 1986, pp. 1-8; September 1986, pp. 26-27; March 1987, pp. 6-14.

strategy, now that Bushy has made Americans and Europeans vehemently and irreconcilably hated by all Moslems, is to await the withdrawal of Bushy's bully boys from the Middle East and then to incite the Jews in Israel to launch an attack on some Islamic state, probably within the next three years. Russia will at last come to the assistance of the Moslems, and at a time when the Americans and Europeans will not be able to go to the rescue of the Holy Land and can only "dither," and thus Russia will acquire an absolute "hegemony over the Middle East."

Ivor Benson is an astute observer who believes that in all countries the masses, whatever their race, need superstitions about the supernatural, because religion provides a "value system" that is "intimately concerned with what human beings want and need for their fulfillment." He is therefore one of the very few writers who regard the revival of Islamic faith as a major force that will shape the future. Of the power of that faith—and remember that any faith must be fanatical to be efficacious—we had a recent demonstration in Khomeini's revolution in Iran, and it is a reasonable inference from Mr. Benson's discussion that the Jews' Washington-Moscow axis subsidized and equipped Saddam in his war against Iran to negate and nullify the force of that religious revival.¹⁷

In an article in the April issue of John Tyndall's *Spearhead*, Mr. Benson does suggest that one reason for salvaging Saddam and his secular "democratic" political party was to abort a revolution that would have transferred the government of Iraq to a religious régime, which, of course, like all theocracies, would necessarily be frankly and inflexibly authoritarian and would strive to check moral decline and the corruption that is requisite for financial plundering by the international usurers.

In his article Mr. Benson reaffirms his belief that we are now witnessing in the revival of Mohammedan fundamentalism in all Islamic countries the emergence of a great spiritual

17. I know of no reliable information about the religious consequences of Iran's great losses and defeat in that war.

power, necessarily anti-Western, which will frustrate the establishment of the New World Order by our enemies. And he believes that Bushy's war has, ironically enough, contributed to the success of the spiritual movement it was planned to avert.

Mr. Benson cites evidence of the extent to which Americans and their British allies have made themselves hated even in countries remote from the Persian Gulf. In Pakistan, no American dared venture out on the streets without armed protection. In India, although Hinduism is bitterly antagonistic to Islam, the diplomats in the American Embassy, astounded by the reaction of the populace, hurriedly sent their wives and families and all non-essential employees to places of safety, while the British Embassy fortified its compound with sandbags and "razor wire."

Everyone knows, of course, that our race made itself contemptible in the eyes of Orientals when it retreated from its colonial possessions and treated other races as theoretically equal and in practice superior. Mr. Benson points out that in Oriental lands Bushy has now made the Americans and British the objects, not of mere contempt, but of a passionate detestation that will eliminate the last vestiges of respect for nations of our race and open the way for a great spiritual revival of non-Aryan religions.

That the religious revival of Islam, which will include hatred of our race, of our technology, and of our hypocritical "democracy," will arouse fanatical faith and violent emotions may be taken for granted. Whether it will have the drastic effect predicted by Mr. Benson is not certain. There are two impediments:

(1) Islam is split into two reciprocally antagonistic religions, the "orthodox" Sunnis and the Shi'a,¹⁸ between which there can be no doctrinal compromise. Fundamentalism will necessarily exacerbate that antagonism, for in Islam, if it is a viable religion, there can be no analogue to the 'ecumenism' now popular among Christians, which is based on the perception that all religions are equally spurious and equally useful for bamboozling gullible votaries. It is only normal that the revival

18. On the origin and basic doctrine of the Shi'a ('the Party [of Alī]'), see *Liberty Bell*, April 1986, pp. 11-15.

of Christian fundamentalism in the United States has revived and intensified the hostility between Protestant and Catholic fundamentalists. From the Protestants, for example, one hears with increasing frequency the affirmation that Catholicism is the work of the Antichrist. In Islam fundamentalist Sunnis *must* regard the Shi'a as the work of Iblis, and fundamentalist Shi'ites *must* regard the Sunnis as enemies of True Religion.

This, it seems to me, will present almost insuperable difficulties in most Islamic countries. Iraq, for example, is, like the United States, a typical "democracy," which means, of course, that the entire population is kept under rigorous control by the gang that has achieved political power and regards religion as only a device for manipulating boobs.¹⁹ No doubt many Iraqis, including Saddam's followers, are nominally Moslem, just as many Americans let themselves be classified as Christians, although they regard religion as irrelevant to quotidian life, unless it provides opportunities for profit. I know of no way to estimate the number of such persons in Iraq, but we may assume that all sects are equally affected.

According to the available statistics, the entire population of Iraq is Moslem, with the exception of some small but troublesome Christian enclaves, chiefly Maronite²⁰ and Nestorian. Of the

19. One thinks of Bushy's loud affirmations that this is a Christian country, so that atheists should have no right to be considered citizens. On the histrionic lips of a former director of the C.I.A. and a zealous satellite of the Jews, such statements can only excite cynical laughter.

20. The Maronite Church is a sect of Christian Semites who are survivors of what was the established Byzantine Church until the Emperor Constantine IV, having made a deal with the Papacy in Rome with a view to uniting Christians against the Mohammedans, convened the Council of Constantinople in 680 to condemn as heresy the bizarre Monothelite theology which had theretofore been the official doctrine of the Byzantine Church. The Maronites refused to do a somersault and persisted in maintaining the theological fantasies that the Council repudiated, becoming an independent and sometimes persecuted church of their own, under the direction of pontifex maximus called the Patriarch of Antioch. In the last century they made a deal with the Pope and are officially a part of the Roman Church, although they retain their own priests, who are almost all married and beget children, and their own peculiar ritual in their dialect of Syriac. The Maronites now reside

total population, 35% (including the Kurds) are listed as Sunnis, while 60% belong to the Shí'a.²¹ Iraq is therefore unlike Iran, in which Khomeini could appeal to a population that was 97% Shí'ite.

If Islamic fundamentalists gain control of Iraq, one of the two great sects will have to intimidate or suppress the other. The resulting régime, it seems to me, will be necessarily unstable and therefore weak, and will furthermore encounter the bitter hostility of one or the other Islamic nation on its borders, Saudi Arabia²² or Iran.

(2) Semites can resist Aryan nations only by using Occidental technology, from which they are temperamentally averse, and which they would have to buy from the hated Aryans and import. They will have no chance whatever of matching the military technology of the Jews' janissaries, which, as Mr. Benson admits, Bushy has now proved to be irresistible. After the easy and almost effortless defeat of Iraq by the Americans, who demonstrated their determination to terrorize and, if necessary, destroy any nation that arouses the displeasure of their masters, there is no reasonable hope for effective Moslem resistance to the Jews' World Order.

chiefly in Lebanon, and since the Jews are keeping that hapless land in bloody turmoil, many of them migrate to the country that belonged to the American boobs until they gave it away. It is noteworthy that the Religious Editor of *Time*, Michael P. Harris, who recently died of "AIDS" at the age of 46, was a Maronite. It follows, therefore, that although he used an Aryan name, he was a Semite. I do not know whether he was born in Lebanon or was born after his parents had migrated to the great Land of Boobery.

21. Do not overlook the obvious implication that the majority of Iraqis should have sympathized with Khomeini and Iran in the recent war, and have regarded Saddam as evil. If they did, Saddam's agencies of oppression must have been as effective as the means employed by the Jews to suppress significant dissent in this country.

22. Saudi Arabia, the Holy Land of Islam, is totally Sunni. The small band of Shí'ites who rioted in Mecca in 1979 were chiefly foreigners and are generally supposed, no doubt correctly, to have been hired by the C.I.A.

From this dilemma Mr. Benson escapes by assuming that non-violent, presumably spiritual, opposition can have a decisive effect: "Now that hi-tech violence has demonstrated its irresistibility, the great struggle will shift more decisively onto the *battleground of the mind* [his emphasis], with governments shaken and scared by non-violent mass-demonstrations like that of the 100,000 [as reported by the newspapers] who swarmed into Red Square last month to protest against the policies of President Gorbachev."

I have my doubts. For one thing, you will note that Gorbachev is still in power and will remain in power so long as he has the support of the Army; for another, I am confident that the chattering of a single machine-gun would have sufficed to clear Red Square in short order — except, of course, for the bodies of demonstrators who were trampled to death by their panic-stricken fellows.

You must also remember that Bushy's World Order, as I indicated in my earlier article, contemplates rigorous and bloody repression of its victims for the spiritual satisfaction derived from torture and slaughter. It is essentially based on the Biblical doctrine of H₁RM, familiar to all Christians from numerous passages in their Holy Book and presumably approved by them, if they read it while awake. It is, briefly, the Jewish doctrine that holiness is exercised by the wholesale massacre of captive and helpless enemies (i.e., *goyim*), men, women, children, and even their domestic animals. And it is noteworthy that an accomplished and adroit scholar, Philip D. Stern, has tried to mitigate the revolting savagery of that doctrine of Jewish piety in his recent book, *The Biblical Herem* (Brown University Press; available from Professional Book Distributors, 1650 Bluegrass Lakes Parkway, Alpharetta, Georgia 30201; \$63.45 postpaid). Dr. Stern argues that the blood-thirsty doctrine was "mystical," because the Jews felt a religious obligation to massacre the

captive *goyim*, who represented a "chaos" [!] that would disturb the World Order ordained by Yahweh.

Some unusually sensitive and compassionate Americans now feel a kind of condescending pity for the poor Kurds, who are really their victims, for they, by their arrant folly for more than a century, reduced themselves to the servitude that enabled Bushy to command them to wage and finance the war by which he pleased the Masters of the World. The Americans are cheerfully and thoughtlessly unaware that they are destined to suffer as did the Kurds—and suffer even more atrociously—when the New World Order, with its pious doctrine of HRM has been securely established, after they have been pauperized and reduced to penury by the coming economic collapse, and terrorized and murdered by the racial enemies whom they now cherish and who are even now threatening to make what we did to Iraq seem trivial in comparison with what they will do to the White swine, if those self-degraded creatures fail to provide them with everything they have a whim to want.

Whether Bushy will have the pleasure of presiding over our ruin is uncertain, even unlikely. It would be rushing things to complete the ruin by 1992, and a second term for Bushy probably could not be arranged by his masters. Some observers believe that the scenario for this country includes the proximate disappearance of Bushy, either through a resignation forced by sensational disclosure of some of the innumerable scandals thus far kept under cover or by assassination in a hospital or elsewhere. He would thus be succeeded by Quayle, who would appoint as Vice President the nigger half-breed "Chief of Staff" to whom the liepapers can attribute the "glorious" victory over Iraq. Quayle can then be expunged or directed to make the nigger his successor in 1992.

If that happens, many American half-wits will be pleased and feel righteous—until acute physical suffering reminds them of the doom they brought upon themselves.

SCALPING THE UNWARY

Jones-Cresson forged the "Holy Oak Pendant" to make himself prominent.¹ I do not know whether he also had a desire to exalt the aborigines.

Today, of course, hoaxes to exalt primitive races and denigrate Aryans and their civilization are a sure path to eminence and emoluments.

Of the concerted campaign to degrade our race and induce masochistic insanity in our people, no one can have remained ignorant after the United States Navy, once a service with high traditions of patriotism and personal honor, so prostituted itself that it buried in Arlington with highest military honors the corpse of a nigger whom it had the effrontery to call the "co-discoverer of the North Pole."

That was enough for the gangsters in the "education" racket, and, according to letters from parents that are sometimes published in the press, children who are sent to the public boob-hatcheries to have their minds crippled are now not even told that Commodore (later Admiral) Peary had gone along to black the nigger's boots and keep his clothes in order.

American Indians, as the aborigines of the Western Hemisphere are now called,² are naturally exploited in the campaign of mental sabotage, but imaginative exaltation of them is much older than the present lying about niggers and has a quite different tradition.

It goes back to the myth of the Noble Savage, which was formulated by a Swiss crackpot named Rousseau, who, perhaps at the suggestion of Diderot, put together antecedent tendencies in a rhetorical diatribe that fascinated sciolistic minds.

1. See *Liberty Bell*, March 1991, pp. 16-18.

2. Columbus's geographical error has embarrassed writers of English and other modern languages for centuries. The term 'Indian' should, of course, refer only to India. One is tempted to accept the improper and disagreeable neologism 'Amerind,' which was coined some decades ago and is used by some anthropologists. It would at least avoid ambiguity and misleading connotations. The aborigines (i.e., earliest inhabitants of the Western Hemisphere) were all Mongoloid, but, at least when

Rousseau did have one valid point: as is now obvious, scientific knowledge and technical ability do not in themselves ameliorate either personal or social morality. From this he leaped to the absurd claim that knowledge destroys morality and that ignorant savages are therefore superior to civilized men. The principal factors which made this notion acceptable to light-headed contemporaries were: (1) A residue of the Mediaeval Church's perception that knowledge diminishes or destroys faith in Christian fictions, which were said to be the basis and only source of morality. (2) The ancient traditions of *Saturnia regna* and a Golden Age when life was uncomplicated by the burden of civilization. On these, see the two volumes by Arthur Lovejoy and George Boas, *Primitivism and Related Ideas* (Baltimore, Johns Hopkins Press, 1935, 1948). (3) The exploration of remote and newly discovered lands in the Sixteenth to Eighteenth Centuries, and the mistakes, exaggerations, and fantasies of early travellers; for a summary account, see Percy G. Adams, *Travellers and Travel Liars, 1660-1800* (New York, Dover, 1982). (4) Fiction disguised as reports of newly discovered lands almost invariably portrayed virtuous natives uncorrupted by civilization. (5) Imaginary discoveries of newfound lands provided a convenient setting for political essays about improved or perfect societies, such as More's *Utopia* and Bacon's *New Atlantis*, but you should include in this category the hundreds that are now forgotten, e.g., *La terre australe inconnue*, by Gabriel de Foigny (1676; often reprinted), who found in place of Australia an island where all inhabitants were content and happy because they were hermaphrodites and hence sexually equal. (6) In the Eighteenth Century there was a veritable deluge of romances and novels about remote lands; some exalted the supposed wisdom of the

first observed, differed very markedly from Asian Mongoloids, and furthermore exhibited ethnic diversity among themselves. A recent study to determine the degree of racial homogeneity by mitochondrial heredity found that all tribes of aborigines had a common origin; another study, using the same technique, found there had been five ethnically distinct immigrations.

uniformly virtuous inhabitants; and others satirized contemporary society by viewing it through the eyes of a virtuous alien (e.g., Montesquieu's *Lettres persanes*).

Rousseau's animosity toward civilization fueled a vast literature. A good specimen is Chateaubriand, one of the great masters of French prose with poetic adornments, a supreme egotist, audacious liar,³ and Christian apologist. In his long diatribe, *Le génie du christianisme* (1802), he imagined noble savages further ennobled by Christianity. One detached section of this, *Atala*, was commonly read in high schools when I was a boy. His "prose epic," *Les Natchez*, celebrating Indians he never saw in a part of America (Louisiana) he never visited, was once widely read; I got through it, but that took determination and fortitude.

The attitudes of the first Anglo-Saxons who colonized this continent are conveniently and aptly illustrated by two English clergymen who visited what is now New England in the Seventeenth Century and mentioned the aborigines in the first respectable Latin verse written in our territory.⁴ One wanted to make the Indians just like Englishmen by dosing them with Jesus-juice and giving them our technology; the other had the common sense to see that the regions our people would colonize and inhabit must be cleared of savages.

The early colonists had to occupy and appropriate for their settlement some part of a wilderness that was claimed by some Indian tribe or over which two tribes were fighting. What happened to Sir Walter Raleigh's ill-fated colony is still in dispute, but it is obvious that the unfortunate White men and women were either exterminated by aborigines or genetically absorbed by them, thus providing White genes for, e.g., the Cherokee.

3. His *Voyage en Amérique* recounts travels that could have been made only by an angel, whose wings would presumably enable him to flit rapidly from place to place. Chateaubriand probably never saw an Indian, except tame specimens in the civilized part of North America. He concocted his travels from books by Americans, now readily identified, appropriating to himself their observations and experiences, revised to suit his taste or his rhetoric.

4. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, July 1989, pp. 29 f.

The settlers at Jamestown necessarily came into conflict with the aborigines, and almost succumbed to them, but were saved by the prudence of the famous John Smith and the enterprise of John Rolfe, who married the celebrated Pocahontas, daughter of the chief of the Powhatans, a 'confederation' formed by the Algonquin tribe that had beaten into submission the neighboring tribes.

Pocahontas was an intelligent and perhaps winsome young squaw, who readily adapted herself to the religion and manners of a society she cannot have really understood, and when her husband took her to England, she was the social sensation of the year. She was described as a "princess," daughter of a "king," and she was the heroine of a romantic story that was told and retold by John Smith, and which need not have been wholly fictitious. Before her premature death in England, she gave birth to a half-breed son, who grew up and became prosperous in Virginia, and whom some members of the First Families, including the eminent John Randolph,⁵ were proud to number among their ancestors, thus inaugurating a curious snobbism that claimed distinction from a real or imaginary descent from an aboriginal "chief" or "princess"—never, of course, from one of the common herd of savages. This induced an odd ambivalence in attitude toward the aborigines and encouraged the proliferation of imagined or embellished tales about noble savages that would have gladdened the deformed mind of Jean Jacques Rousseau and comparable mattoids.

The aborigines could be brave and exhibit an almost heroic superiority to pain and hardship, and that encouraged sentimentalists to forget that they were also cowardly and treacherous, filthy and squalid, innately cruel and savage,

5. Randolph deserves great credit for having done his best to arrest the decline of the American Republic. A good study of his political principles, only slightly distorted by an attempt to read into Randolph the author's own predilections, is Russell Kirk's *John Randolph of Roanoke* (Indianapolis, Bobbs-Merrill, 1978), which includes copious selections from Randolph's speeches and letters. Randolph's last years were embittered by the erosion of his country by "democracy," and that doubtless explains some inconsistency in his conduct and in the provisions of his will.

and incapable of the discipline that makes civilization possible. And deliberate disregard of their savagery was encouraged by the Christian hallucination about "conversion" and the efficacy of holy water in transforming hereditary character.

Even John Smith in his popular book had promoted colonization of Virginia by suggesting that miracles could be wrought by teaching the savages the Christian myths. And there were innumerable efforts to provide the Indians with an education for which they were innately unsuited, such as the college that bears the name of Lord Jeffrey Amherst, who, according to the ditty sung by its undergraduates, set out "to civilize the In-di-an, | with a Bible and a gun, | and five hundred gallons | of good New England rum." The uniform failure of these efforts⁶ did not even dent the resolute incomprehension of persons who blindly refused to perpend even the indubitable fact that the aborigines were physiologically incapable of taking rum as White men can and normally do. Even at that early date, the Christian hokum about "all mankind" obfuscated biological facts.

FABULOUS FICTION

The first readable American novelist, Charles Brockden Brown (1771-1810), belonged to a generation that retained some vivid recollection of the savages, and the Indians that appear in his novels are described realistically.⁷ The next generation of American *literati* lived along the Atlantic seaboard, many in Boston and New York, and saw only trained Indians exhibited by various promoters, unless, perhaps, they went sightseeing to a reservation in which subjugated and tamed Indians were corralled. So far as I can recall at the moment, none of them ever ventured to the western frontier,

6. A few Indians were trained to serve as showpieces when taken to Europe and exhibited to help wheedle money from uncritical 'philanthropists.'

7. His *Edgar Huntley* (1799), considered by many his best work, was handsomely reprinted, with an introduction by Professor D. L. Clark (New York, Macmillan, 1928). *Wieland* and *Arthur Mervyn*, the only two of his other novels that I have read, were reprinted in the late 1850s, and so, no doubt, were his other novels (*Ormond*, *Clara Howard*, and *Jane Talbot*—the last two should particularly interest literate feminists today).

where normal Indians could be observed. Most of them, furthermore, had minds filled with Christian fustian, Rousseau's rant, and romantic sentimentality.

Imagined Indians provided an inexhaustible subject for the ingenuity of writers who were manufacturing fiction for sale to persons who craved emotional entertainment and sentimental titillation.

Perhaps the most influential of these writers was James Fenimore Cooper (1789-1851), whose thirty-five or forty novels, which recounted implausible events in a turgid and pompous style that Mark Twain justly ridiculed,⁸ were inexplicably popular. *The Last of the Mohicans* (1826), generally accounted his best work, was one in a series of tales that portrayed imaginary Indians. I read a number of those books when I was in high school, and I do not now recall which one I threw across the room when I was assured that two beautiful White girls, taken captive by savages, had been held prisoner for months in an Indian camp "without offense to their delicacy." That one detail, however, will suffice to show how absurdly mendacious were Cooper's tales about Indians, often enhanced by the appearance of an impossible frontiersman, a white prig who boasts he has never killed an Indian, although he kills deer, who are better entitled than savages to consideration as "God's creatures."

Cooper and his many imitators made the Indians seem romantic, and Aryan composers turned out sentimental songs and tunes they entitled "Indian Love Song" or something similar. The music, usually pathetic and sometimes lachrymose, was, of course, *our* racial music, which no savage could have understood or appreciated, much less composed. In various parts of the country suitable precipices were called "Lovers' Leap" and tales of star-crossed lovers were devised to match the name. (At the foot of one such

8. See his essay, "Fenimore Cooper's Literary Offenses," which is usually reprinted from the *North American Review*, in which it was first published. A few pages that were omitted from the magazine were found and edited by Bernard De Voto and included the collection, *Letters from Earth*, which was finally published by Harpers in 1962.

precipice a number of skeletons were exhumed; all of males who had been killed with tomahawks or arrows.) And sentimental women wept over the spurious legends.

Imaginary Indians became fashionable. Merely typical of the vogue was George Lippard, a now forgotten author, once famous for his novel, *The Quaker City*, a Gothic romance about Philadelphia (!) in which he tried to surpass "Monk" Lewis and Mrs. Radcliff in accumulating supernatural horrors. When he was married, c. 1840, he dressed as an Indian warrior and was wedded to his presumably admiring bride in a nocturnal ceremony by moonlight amid the unspoiled nature of a romantic glade on the banks of the Wissahickon. Whether the bride's dress was consistent with the groom's costume is not recorded.

The greatest damage, however, was done by Henry Wadsworth Longfellow, who published his *Hiawatha* in 1855, a long poem in which he sought to imitate the primitive vigor of "Ossian" in a novel meter, trochaic tetrameter, and which powerfully appealed to our race's love of undefiled nature and the sentimental primitivism that often goes with it.

Ye who love the haunts of Nature,
Love the sunshine of the meadow,
Love the shadow of the forest,
Love the wind among the branches,
And the rain-shower and the snow-storm,
And the rushing of great rivers
Through their palisades of pine-trees,
And the thunder in the mountains,
Whose innumerable echoes
Flap like eagles in their eyries;—
Listen to these wild traditions,
To this Song of Hiawatha!

The poem became immediately and immensely popular, eclipsing even Longfellow's finely-wrought and beautiful narrative, *Evangeline*, in stately dactylic hexameters that are a remarkable achievement in English verse. The artfully primitive structure of *Hiawatha* was childlike in its simplicity, and the narrative poem was recognized as especially suited to children. It is likely that during the remaining

decades of the Nineteenth Century *Hiawatha* was read to, or read by, almost every American child who grew up in a literate home. And it inevitably formed their conception of the nature and life of the aborigines.

It must be clearly understood that such was not Longfellow's intention. He himself said that his poem was an "Indian Edda," i.e., as mythical and remote from quotidian reality as the compilation of Norse myths in the Poetic Edda.⁹ The hero of his poem, he said, was "a kind of American Prometheus," a superhuman benefactor of his race.

He incorporated in his narrative Indian legends that had been elaborated by Henry Rowe Schoolcraft from tales told him by his half-White, half-Ojibwa wife, who had received some education and who helped him in his business relations with the Indians as a trader and Federal agent, 1822-1841.

The Song of Hiawatha was admired as a technical achievement by critics, including Bayard Taylor, who was a poet of distinction in his own right as well as the translator of Goethe's *Faust*. One of his comments on the poem contains a prophecy that was entirely reasonable when he wrote, which I have italicized here: "*Hiawatha* will be parodied, perhaps ridiculed, in many quarters, but it will live after the Indian race has vanished from our Continent." He could not foresee the present, when the Indians are more numerous than they were before our race came to North America.

When the savages had been subdued and slain or expelled, the inhabitants of the regions east of the Appalachians, living in civilized security and remote from the perils and not infrequent massacres of the frontier, began to develop an odd sense of gratuitous guilt for having taken from

9. He must have had in mind the Suomi (Finnish) analogue of the Elder Edda, the *Kalevala*, which was systematized by its editor, Lönnrot, in trochaic tetrameters, a meter that was reproduced in the English translation that was Longfellow's model. Longfellow probably referred to the Norse poem because it was better known than the recently published *Kalevala*, although less similar to his own work, in which he created a new and American mythology.

the savages the lands they now enjoyed. This perverse sentiment is the more remarkable since they all professed to believe the tales in the Jew-Book about the ruthless invasion of Palestine and slaughter of its inhabitants by a pack of freebooters, aided by the Christians' ferocious god, which was simply a paradigm of the right of a superior people to seize the country of an inferior people and exterminate them.

PIACULAR PLOYS

American writers imagined many stories, usually melancholy and sometimes lachrymose, about Indian Romeos and Juliets, but the climax of that kind of writing came with Helen Hunt Jackson's romantically pathetic novel, *Ramona* (1884). It is an ably written story, well worth reading, provided you understand that you are reading a romance clothed in an illusory verisemblance.¹⁰ Its modest literary quality is somewhat astonishing, since the book was written, not as more or less artistic fiction, but to dramatize and popularize a diatribe, *A Century of Dishonor*, which its author had published three years before.¹¹

That diatribe was the first of the breast-beating orgies of simulated remorse for our race's treatment of the Indians whose land we took. Like all of its innumerable successors, it is a rhetorical medley that inextricably confuses three quite distinct questions that are ethically unrelated to each other, viz: (i) good faith in observing treaties, (ii) the occupa-

10. The scene is California after that territory became part of the United States. *Ramona* is a mestiza who was raised and educated by a wealthy Spanish lady, whose son becomes enamored of her. She, however, having better sense than he, eloped with a full-blooded, but Christianized Indian named Alessandro (!), and a large part of the novel describes the Federal government's persistent and cruel oppression of the Indian couple until the story reaches its tragic dénouement.

11. Helen Hunt Jackson (1830-1885) is in the popular mind so exclusively associated with California, where she spent the last part of her life, that it may be well to note that she had Puritan ancestry. She was Helen Fiske, born in Amherst, Massachusetts. (Fiske is an Anglo-Saxon name, the equivalent of 'Fisher.') Hunt and Jackson are the

tion of North America by our race, and (iii) our treatment of the Indians after they were conquered and subdued.

I. It is quite true that on several occasions Americans did violate treaties they had solemnly made with Indian tribes, although in most of the cited cases there is a question which side violated the treaty first.

The clearest and most flagrant example of our perfidy is the expulsion of the Cherokee from Georgia and adjacent territory in 1835-1838. The Cherokee were a most extraordinary tribe that exhibited a capacity for civilization that was unique among the Indians of North America. Whether they had received any considerable infusion of White blood must remain conjectural; if they had not, their character makes them an anthropological puzzle.

When our race first came into contact with them, they were, by all accounts, a settled and chiefly agricultural people, although constantly exposed to raids and incursions by the Iroquois. After Americans had shattered the Iroquois, the Cherokee, delivered from the need constantly to apprehend and frequently to resist attacks by their hereditary enemies, readily adapted themselves to our way of life, especially after they were made literate by the famous Sequoyah (in whose honor the sequoia trees were named), who was the son of an Irish trader by a Cherokee woman who may have had some White blood. They formed a settled and virtually independent state of their own, the Cherokee Nation, and prospered, purchasing many negro slaves for both agricultural and domestic service. They had productive farms, well-built houses (some of which were large and even luxurious), schools, newspapers, and all of the other appurtenances of civilized life. They governed themselves well under their

names of her successive husbands. (At that time, it was socially proper and even obligatory for a widow or divorcée to retain the name of her former husband—she became 'Mrs. Mary Jones' instead of 'Mrs. John Jones'—and if she married again, the name of her former husband was necessarily retained, replacing her maiden name in her full legal name. Resumption of a maiden name after marriage was considered fraudulent and, in most states, was illegal.)

own laws, and they were not guilty of any aggression against our people.

In what is a shameful episode in our history, we plundered their property, confiscated their negro slaves, and drove them, with only the few chattels they could carry with them, to land west of the Mississippi over what they called "The Trail of Tears," on which a large part of them perished. That the tribe survived at all must be credited to the prudent leadership of their chief, John Ross, who, by the way, was a Scot, having only one-eighth of Indian blood.¹²

We may and should be ashamed of what was done to the Cherokee, but even here, however, there is the overriding question whether a viable race can prudently tolerate an enclave of aliens, however innocuous, in its own territory.

The issue here is so clearly joined that we should consider it and thus dispose of all the more doubtful instances of our race's unfairness to the aborigines. The Cherokee, as I have said, were unique among their race, and, having confidence in the validity of the treaty by which their Nation was established, they appealed to the Supreme Court of the United States, which affirmed their rights under the treaty. That was the occasion for President Jackson's cynical comment, "Mr. Marshall has made his decision; now let him enforce it." Jackson, working largely through the state government of Georgia, nullified the verdict of the Supreme Court and must bear the greater responsibility for the expulsion of the Cherokee.

If Jackson had been asked to explain his conduct and had deigned to reply, he would have said that the American Constitution had been formed by Aryans for an Aryan nation and so did not apply to other races. He might have acknowledged the Cherokee's unique capacity for civilization, but he would

12. He was thus like William Weatherford, the chief of the Creek Indians, whose intelligence, dignity, and eloquence in defeat are often admired by writers who elect to suppress the fact that he was seven-eighths a Scot.

have observed that they were, after all, Indians, and cited the aphorism, "The only good Indian is a dead Indian."¹³

If you disapprove of Andrew Jackson's policy in this instance, you must balance your censure against his many and great services to our nation, ranging from the military ability that enabled him to win brilliant victories over many Indian tribes and a British army, to the act by which he emancipated our nation from servitude to alien bankers. Less well known is his service in acquiring Florida for our country. You may regret his injustice to the Cherokee, and regret even more strongly his bullying of South Carolina in 1832,¹⁴ and you may think it unfortunate that the crude and even vulgar Mrs. Jackson was no ornament to the White House, but you must approve and acknowledge with gratitude what he accomplished for our nation. And finally, is it not obvious that the presence of an alien race's virtually independent state in a large part of what is now Georgia, North Carolina, and Tennessee would have been an intolerable hole in the fabric of our nation? You may regret the means, but you must emphatically approve the result.

Pride in our own race is a valid reason for regretting that some Aryans were unscrupulous and even dishonorable in their dealings with the Indians, but remember they were

13. The phrase is generally attributed to General Sherman, because he is recorded as having used it, but it is only what was thought, and doubtless said, for a century before him, by innumerable Americans who had been victims of Indian raids or had to protect our people from the savages. When Sherman used the words, he was only quoting a widespread conviction, not making an original observation.

14. Jackson's invasion of Florida in an undeclared war resulted in the capture and occupation of Spanish towns and forts, which determined the Spanish government to sell the territory to the United States rather than undertake an enormously expensive war to drive the Americans from it. His action against South Carolina was, of course, a political device to force a reasonable compromise and partly frustrate the greed of New England merchants, but while it left the principle of Nullification undecided, it provided an illogical pretext for the fiction about an indissoluble Union that was invoked to make the war of aggression against the Southern states seem less obviously treason to our nation as well as to our race.

part of our race and their actions greatly benefited it. You should have only contempt for the squawking twerps whose ostentatiously paraded morality assumes that Aryans should be without human weakness, and whose hypocrisy is made glaringly obvious when they yowl about the hardships inflicted on Indians and purr with delight when they remember the ghastly slaughter of much of the best part of our race in 1861-1865 to please our enemies and ignorant Americans who had been crazed with Judaic righteousness.

We should also note in passing that while our treatment of the Cherokee was dishonorable by *our* standards, it was not by the standards of most Indian tribes, whose normal procedure was to conclude a treaty when defeated and then begin preparations treacherously to break it as soon as they deemed it expedient to do so. A typical instance is provided by Metacomet ("King Philip"), chief of the Wampanoags, who signed treaties with Whites while secretly organizing an Indian federation to exterminate them. This is admitted even by the Indians' advocate, John Tebbel, in his *Compact History of the Indian Wars* (New York, Hawthorne Books, 1966; paperback reprint, Tower Publications, s.a. [1970?]).¹⁵

II. Recent writers on Indians, profiting from the confusion in Western thought that followed the Platonic haggling about an abstraction called 'justice,' which is meaningless out of a specific context,¹⁶ refuse, through either muddled thinking or eristic trickery, to face the very simple question before them.

15. This is a very useful book, the only one of which I know that contains the essential history of all of our Indian wars in one convenient volume. — Needless to say, the moving orations that several prominent American writers devised for "King Philip" to exercise their rhetorical powers are merely what an Aryan might have said in comparable circumstances, and should not be mistaken for indications of his mentality and attitude.

16. E.g., is it just for us to enslave cows, force them to produce milk for us each day, and slaughter their offspring to make veal cutlets and Porterhouse steaks? Can we justly usurp for ourselves a right to life that we deny to other mammals?

Honesty would require such advocates frankly to choose between the obvious alternatives. Either:

(a) No nation has a moral right to invade the territory of another nation and occupy it. Our occupation of North America was, therefore, a criminal offense against some universal law, decreed by some god or other supernatural power, and by living in the United States we are enjoying the fruits of an inextinguishable crime against "humanity," and therefore guilty of complicity in it. That is what the breast-beaters imply, but avoid stating explicitly. I have never heard of one who proved that he sincerely believed in our collective guilt by freeing himself from complicity in the crime, as he could do by going home, killing his wife and children, and committing suicide after executing a will by which he devised all his property to the nearest Indian tribe. On the contrary, the tender consciences of persons who wax indignant over our treatment of Indians never inhibit their enjoyment of all our comforts and luxuries while they wail about our injustice to Indians in books that net them very handsome incomes; or

(b) A superior race has a moral right, perhaps even a moral imperative, to displace an inferior race in desirable territory. Aryans were obviously greatly superior to Indians and therefore had a natural right to take North America for themselves. I do not say that our race's superiority to the Indians was shown by our greater intelligence and our unique culture, for that would be only a tautology. Our superiority was conclusively demonstrated by the fact that we subjugated the Indians and conquered the country that was ours until we discarded it.

This alternative, needless to say, is the only one that rationally recognizes the real world, a universe that was not made for man and is totally devoid of moral values. Morality is a code that each nation must devise for itself, and the morality that is highest for that nation is the one that most conduces to its survival and to its expansion at the expense of inferior peoples.

III. When Indians had been defeated and subdued, it was obviously necessary to provide against a resumption of hostilities and renewed massacres of Americans. There were only two possible solutions of that problem, either:

(a) The surviving Indians could be disarmed, confined to reservations, and there protected against themselves, especially by preventing them from obtaining whisky and similar liquors, which they were physiologically incapable of using without becoming insane; or

(b) The survivors could be killed and the inferior race exterminated.

Which of the only feasible alternatives was morally preferable may be left to your decision.¹⁷

THE REWARDS OF FOLLY

We could not expect the Americans to be rational after 1861-1865. Ignorant plebeians in the Northern states, excited by envy and Judaeo-Christian righteousness to fratricidal hatred, had drenched many battlefields with the best blood of their nation. And they spent the following decades in trying to wash the blood from their hands by lying to themselves about what they had done and viciously oppressing the survivors of their homicidal mania.

Had Americans been rational, they would have honestly confronted the alternatives I have stated above and taken pride in their possession of their country and realized that any failure to show Indians such compassion as they would never have accorded to us was merely inevitable and nugatory when considered as an incident in our obedience to the imprescriptible law of nature, that the strong survive and the weak perish. They would not have made *Ramona* a "best seller" and snivelled hypocritically when they read *A Century of Dishonor* or were told what was in that book.

They would not have permitted their factitious sense of guilt to go so far that even anthropologists, who professed to

17. You may wish to remember that William Weatherford, in his much admired and indeed admirable speech when he met Andrew Jackson, rationally recognized that the vanquished Indians had no rights.

portray the society of Indians, censored their accounts to eliminate repulsive details that would depreciate the subject of their writing and alienate the readers' sympathies. In *Liberty Bell*, February 1987, p. 7, I noticed a book which was most unusual in that it told the full truth about the Mayas, dissipating the common notion that they were a peaceful and relatively civilized people, as their massive architecture suggested and as anthropologists with impressive academic credentials had affirmed.¹⁸

The Indians naturally took advantage of a professed guilt they could not understand, but it was only after they had for decades seen the Jews with impunity excite the niggers against white men that they concluded that the crazed Pale Faces were on the run. And they began to assume extravagant pretensions and make equally baseless demands, encouraged, of course, by our domestic enemies and the American mutineers whom they have trained.

How far our imbecility has gone may be seen from a recent instance in the state of New York, where the gang of racketeers who call themselves "educators" are ramming into the minds of their child victims the lie that the American Constitution was imitated from a confederation formed by savages.

The *New York Post* claims to have been founded by Alexander Hamilton, but if you look at the editorial staff listed on its masthead, you will find only one name (Cotter) that could have been borne by one of Hamilton's contemporaries, and, needless to say, the newspaper conforms to the "Liberal" hokum that must be endorsed by any newspaper that hopes to survive as a business. But even so, the outrage perpetrated by the gangsters of the National Education Association was too much for the editors to stomach. On 13 April 1990 they published an editorial entitled "Rewriting History, N.Y.-Style."

18. E.g., Charles Gallenkamp in his *Maya* (New York, David McKay, 1959).

After reviewing the Soviets' practice of forcing populations to believe what they by their own experience know to be false, as exemplified in an official lie about the "liberation" of the town of Pilsen by Soviet troops,¹⁹ and noting an obviously mendacious claim by the state's Commissioner of Education, who bears the significant name, Thomas Sobol,²⁰ that he does not intend to "rewrite history," the editors discuss the "curriculum now in place":

At this moment, New York's 11th-grade history syllabus tells teachers that the two major influences on the U.S. Constitution were the European Enlightenment of the 17th and 18th centuries and the political system of the Haudenosaunee Indians—a New York tribe. Yet the latter claim is sheer nonsense.

The Founding Fathers wrote a lot about the intellectual influences that informed their drafting of the Constitution. And there is no evidence that they were influenced by an Indian tribe. Indeed, the notion has about as much historical validity as the legend that Soviet troops liberated Pilsen.

The editors charitably assume that Sobol himself was not the author of the lie, and identify the motive as the "educators'" normal indifference to truth, rather than malicious sabotage of children's minds:

It seems that the present-day members of the tribe in question sent a delegation to meet with the educational commissioner's staff, and Sobol's aides thought it wise to accommodate them.

Incidentally, there never was a tribe of "Haudenosaunee Indians." The name, of which the correct spelling, I suppose, is 'Hodenosaunee,' since that is the form which was used (with hyphens to separate the syllables) by Lewis Morgan in the first real book about them, was the Indian name of the Iroquois²¹ federation of five (eventually six) Indian tribes, formed to resist white settlers and to carry on continual

19. For an even more striking instance, see *Liberty Bell*, June 1991, p. 11.

20. The name is Yiddish; see the *Oxford Dictionary of Surnames* (1989), s.v.

21. 'Iroquois' is the name by which the tribes or some of them were collectively designated by the French who first came into contact with them. It has been suggested that the French word may have come from an Indian word meaning 'adders,' but that is a mere guess based on a slight phonetic similarity.

raids on Algonquin Indians, other neighboring tribes, and even the Cherokee, far to the south.

Lewis Morgan was an American lawyer and promoter of railroads who, around 1850, decided to form a secret "fraternal" society like the Masons, and, wanting to create a ritual that would contain no nonsense about Solomon and Jewish myths, thought he might find in the rituals of the Iroquois a useful model for an American ritual. He was influenced, no doubt, by the vogue of mythical Indians that I have described above.

He investigated the several Iroquois tribes and became so interested that he was the first to describe systematically the federation and the tribes that composed it. He was somewhat credulous, and believed some of the tall tales told him by the survivors of the tribes, which by that time had been conquered and put on reservations.

The federation of the tribes was supposedly inspired by a Savior, who, needless to say, was miraculously born of a virgin, and, Morgan was told, was formed by an Indian prophet who may have actually existed, named Hiawatha.²²

Like their kinsmen, the Cherokee, with whom they were almost constantly at war, the tribes of the federation practiced agriculture and had more or less permanent settlements, villages. There are several indications, far from probative, that the tribes contained some White blood. The Iroquois confederacy is now dear to anthropologists because its tribes are one of the very rare instances of an effective gynaeocracy and strictly matrilinear society.²³

22. Longfellow thought the name euphonious and so bestowed it on the hero of his highly imaginative mythology, whom he described as belonging to an entirely different Indian tribe, the Ojibwa, who were constantly at war with the Iroquois and, indeed, had driven some of the tribes out of what is now Canada.

23. All property was really owned by women, who selected and could remove the male sachems who governed each tribe. That is a reasonable arrangement in a society in which the identity of a child's mother is usually certain, whereas the identity of the father may not be known even to the mother herself.

Of course, the notion that the authors of the Constitution would have taken into consideration a league of savages, about which they knew little and of which the best known tribe was the Mohawks (whose name means 'the cannibals'), is simply preposterous and shows only the total dishonesty of the racketeers who operate the public boob-hatcheries.

By the way, the hogwash about the Constitution was obviously derived, not from the presumptuous Indians, but directly from Communist doctrine. Morgan's book about the Hodenosaunee came to the attention of Marx while he was looking for sources he could plausibly cite in apparent support of his Marxian Reformation of Christianity, and it was used as a major source by Marx's employer and accomplice, Engels, when he compounded Bolshevik hokum for his *Origin of the Family, Private Property, and the State*, which became the fundamental textbook of the Judaeo-Communist conspiracy, much more important than *Das Kapital*. Needless to say, Engels ignored all the parts of Morgan's book that did not fit the weapon he was manufacturing to attack civilization.

The editors of the *Post* observe that Sobol, not content with the lie about the Constitution, is planning further sabotage of children's minds:

As for the [announced] "Curriculum of Inclusion," it represents a larger plan to rework the history curriculum in order to accommodate various political pressure groups. The goal, as Sobol's task force makes clear, is to reduce the "arrogance" of "European American" (i.e., white) students.

In other words, our enemy is proposing to take American children by the nape of the neck and rub their faces in Yiddish excrement.

The editorial concludes with a discreet hint that in the state of New York White men still form a majority, and if they were sufficiently interested to form a political party of their own, they could prevent such degradation and perversion of their offspring. If you are indefatigably optimistic, you may entertain a wild hope that the hint will be taken.

The educational gangsters are not alone in their zeal for the demolition of civilization. The shamans naturally want to participate in the dirty work. *Human Events*, in an item

in the issue dated 22 September 1990, under the title, "The National Council of Churches' Assault on Western Civilization," reported that the two hundred members of the General Board of the National Council of Churches, at their semi-annual conference, solemnly declared that

Christopher Columbus did not "discover" the Western Hemisphere, he "invaded" it. His voyage of exploration [opened] this region of the world to..."church-supported racism," "genocide," "exploitation," "moral decadence," "enslavement of Indians," and terrible injustice to "African(s)" and the "peoples of Asia."... Not only were the "indigenous peoples" throughout this hemisphere slaughtered and enslaved, but the white population—descendants of the "European Conquerors"—have continued to perpetuate the legacy of "paternalism and racism" until the present day.

Such frenzied raving is only to be expected from the sleazy shysters who swindle their ovine congregations with verbal slop that appeals to low superstitious. But a filthy pack of enemy aliens and zombies tried to go them one better in spewing out vemon. According to *Christian News*, 8 October 1990:

The World Student [!] Christian Federation has issued a resolution decrying the oppression associated with the arrival of Christopher Columbus in the Americas, echoing the sentiments expressed by a number of other religious bodies.... The resolution says that the "invasion" of Columbus was motivated by "expansionism and hegemonic aspirations." It also contends that the arrival of Europeans resulted in "expropriation, conquest, violence, ethnocide, racism, sexism [*sic*], and multiple forms of oppression" against the indigenous population and Africans brought to the hemisphere later as slaves.

Reading the foregoing, you must not suppose that the "students" really believe that the innocent aborigines in the Western Hemisphere multiplied by fission in those happy days before the wicked Whites taught them about sex. When "Liberal intellectuals" are programmed, their little minds will hold only a limited number of collocations of words that they will regurgitate at given signals, thus avoiding the mental strain of thought, which would doubtless lead to nervous breakdowns. The word 'sexist' was just part of the programmed vomit, and one cannot expect the homunculi to notice whether it is applicable everytime they react to an applied stimulus.

The anthropoid garbage collected in Chantilly naturally endorsed the Council of Churches of Christ's assault on civilization:

The resolution calls for ecumenical bodies to recognize "the sin of Christian participation in the spiritual conquest of the indigenous and African American peoples" and points to the necessity of joining in "popular struggles opposing new forms of invasion, conquest, and recolonization."²⁴

Emboldened by pusillanimity of White men, the Indians are having sport, devising ways further to harass the Pale Faces. They seem to have adopted a game initiated by the aborigines in Australia, who belong to the lowest of extant races and seem to have somehow reached Australia from India, where little enclaves of them still exist. They suddenly took an interest in the bones of their ancestors and demanded that anthropological museums return the specimens that had been collected for scientific research. The half-witted Australians complied with the insolent demand!

Indians in the United States are now imitating the Australoids. They suddenly developed a religious veneration for the skeletons of Indians whose relatives had never taken the trouble to bury them if they died away from camp and in a wilderness in which carnivores were efficient undertakers. An account of the preposterous demands and the silly respect with which White nincompoops yielded to them may be found in an article by Professor Clement W. Meighan, "Bury My Bones at Wounded Knee," published in *National Review*, 27 May 1991, pp. 34-38.

California, as usual, takes the lead in asininity, and its state government has embarked, at the expense of dim-witted taxpayers, on cuddling savages and persecuting anthropologists whom the sacred Indian trash want to harass. The legal vermin employed by the state have the effrontery to

24. The Indians do have a few justified complaints. I heard a tribe in Canada complain that their children are forced to go to schools, and this is certainly unjust to them as well as folly on the part of the White boobs, but I am sure that plaint would be rejected by the "Student" scum, who would insist on enforced attendance at schools at which the aborigines would be taught how further to intimidate the cringing Aryans.

argue that anthropologists "have no legal right to remove or study any human remains" and are "guilty of a felony" when they do so. The scabrous government of that mongrel state has looted state museums and piously buried bones, pottery, stones, and the like, only to be sued by the grinning Indians and forced to rebury the refuse according to a silly ritual the savages devised for the purpose. Rampant idiocy and racial degeneration can go no farther.

Even the once venerable and respected Smithsonian Institute has pavidly provided fun for Indians who enjoy kicking the hamstrung Aryan jackasses. For further instances, see Professor Meighan's article or watch your local newspapers; in all likelihood, there is near you, perhaps in your own town, some museum that is now being, or soon will be, harassed and plundered by insolent savages—for savages they remain in mind and soul, unchanged by wearing shirts and trousers or by having learned to speak English. And, thanks to our insensate folly, they are now far more numerous than ever they were in all the centuries before Columbus.

It remains only to inquire why American men, who, though sometimes brutal and misguided, still had manhood a century ago, have now been replaced by anatomically male wimps and punks. How did it happen the our people have lost the will to live—have lost even a velleity to spare their children the horrors to which they are condemning them?

The cannibals' disease, kuru, which rots what brains they have, is similar to, if not identical with, the African Plague, commonly called "AIDS," and caused by an enterprising virus which seems to have an unlimited power to accommodate itself to its victims by suitable mutations. It thus differs in some respects from the menticial disease with which a clever Sheeny, who called himself Paulus, infected the decaying Roman Empire, which in turn transmitted the deadly epidemic to our barbarous ancestors. It took fifteen centuries for the Judaeo-Christian revolt against nature and reality to destroy utterly the Aryans' rationality and will to live. The two diseases have the same effects,

although one works more rapidly than the other, and, from present indications, it appears that both are incurable. If there is any hope for us, it must depend on the tiny minority of Aryans who have a spiritual immune-system that resists the hallucinatory virus.

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN?

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the rôles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 (which includes \$2.50 for postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003).

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980—except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$10.00 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling). Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$37.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

WHO HATES WHOM?

by

Major Donald Vincent Clerkin

When I had graduated from high school (1956), I enrolled in the old Chicago Teachers College. This school was racially integrated even back in the 1950s. One day in a biology class, an overweight Negress, who was always smiling at everybody, happened to get stuck on an oral quiz question. jokingly, I said to her: "Come on, Annie!" Her response was immediate: "Shut your mouth, you dirty white dog!"

In 1966 I worked as a weight training instructor for the Job Corps at the facility in Edinburgh, Indiana, just thirty miles south of Indianapolis. The Job Corps, as you may know, was very, very integrated. I was thrown in with blacks on the staff every day. Many nights were spent listening to them talk. Blacks speak a different dialect of English. This was made plain to me by the older black staff members, who got a great kick out of talking amongst themselves, then asking me whether I understood any of it. I didn't understand very much of it.

One particular black staff member took great pleasure in reminding me that blacks and whites are two fundamentally different peoples, a lesson I have never forgotten. One day, while sitting in an office building at Camp Atterbury, the Job Corps center, I attempted—in jest—to mimic the black dialect that I had been hearing. A light-skinned negro who had spent twenty years in the Army, jumped down my throat, berating me for allegedly mocking blacks. The point was made: I would be tolerated by these blacks, but only if I kept a low profile—if I kept my place.

I was the racial minority at the Job Corps center. They treated me like an outsider, as the Japanese had viewed me during the years I lived there. To the Japanese I was a *Gaijin*, a racial alien, as well as a foreigner. Most theoretical integrationists of the white race never get this close to the colored races they champion so strongly. Though I must admit in fairness that the civilized Japanese made my years with them for the most

part enjoyable. They were interested in me, and I was interested in them and their history and culture. When a non-white people shows so much that is of the high level, together with racial honesty, they should be taken seriously, as it seems everybody is taking Japan seriously today. Even the Jews are impressed.

In my first year at the University of Illinois at Urbana (1962), after coming out of the Marine Corps, I knew a Jewish student who seemed friendly enough. One day he introduced me to a group of his friends, sort of a Jewish afternoon club on campus. The whispering began almost immediately. I was made to feel like a Martian. The Jewish society that I had come upon didn't approve of Aryans. And the friendly Jewish student kept his distance from me after that.

Through experience I learned that race matters—it counts; and if it doesn't begin to count with a majority of American whites, then the white is dead. I have seen much more anti-white hatred than I have seen hatred amongst our people for other races. Back in the 1960s, black male intimidation of white females was a constant wherever the races were in proximity to one another. Blacks could cajole—"Unless you're a racist, you'll sleep with me." Liberal white girls went along. Today, blacks just go ahead and rape white girls, not bothering to rationalize what they want. Oh, there are still the congenital race traitors, the *blanko* miscegenators who are bound and determined to get AIDS from interracial sex, or produce a mulatto child—or both. They have no future either way.

The average white is not a race hater. But the average colored or Jew is. I have seen whites end over backward not to offend some mean black or a snide Jew. This is sickening conduct in a race such as the Aryans, a race that has carried the cause of culture and civilization for so many generations. Without the white race the world would not only be racially worthless, it would be a place fit only for viruses and bacteria. We have been the light of the world—and every other race either envies us or hates us. The Jews are the masters of deceit in this. They moan about how they are despised, yet they continue to preach Talmudic hatred of all that is Aryan. That of our high

culture which they cannot steal, they revile and debase. See how Hollywood constantly mocks Aryan culture. The Jewish comedian's idea of mirth is to discuss body functions and sex. Every perversion is fair game for the Jewish idea of comedy. Notice that Jews do not mock perversions—they promote them. This is an assault on Aryan culture via the medium of satire. The Irish drunkard, the German martinet, the British stick-in-the-mud, the Aryan-American sex fiend; haven't we seen these stereotypes in Jewish Hollywood films and Broadway plays? But have we ever seen anything but reverence when Jewish culture is presented? No. We will never see a balance of mockery as long as Jews control popular expression of culture. They are the culture distorters.

It is all right to mock white males. See also that the Japanese are coming for their share of Jewish mockery. Arabs have suffered this for many years. When the Jews were angered by the French during the 1970s, because France sold *Mirage* jet fighters to the Arabs, an advertisement for Renault cars appeared on TV depicting a frog wearing a skimmer straw hat and carrying a cane, a takeoff on Maurice Chevalier. The frog sang—with a thick French accent—"Thank heaven for little cars." During the uproar over the Polish Catholic convent at Auschwitz, the Jews issued a steady stream of Polish jokes over radio and TV. Again, it is the white male who is the favorite target of Jewish 'humor.' He is the target of every non-white racial group, as well as the feminists.

We white racialists are realists. We know it is our whiteness that is hated, our culture that is distorted, our children the targets of miseducation and indoctrination; and our future that is in doubt. Race is not supposed to exist for you. We can't even discuss demographics, immigration, statistics and birth rates, without some yapping bastard of a Jew screaming "Hitler!" But Ben Wattenberg is the "American" spokesman for the browning of America, a policy he welcomes. Washington, that toy of the Jews, has ordered whites to be race neutral, or pro-colored and Jew. Every other people on earth is racist. Whites are the only people for whom a support group is practically a criminal conspiracy—not to be condoned—and a conspiracy that must be suppressed using every means at government's hand.

Just being aware of this, and any white with half his brain should be aware of it by now, must give us pause to think that someone—some group(s)—wants to destroy us as a people. Why, then, is not more of a white stink being raised in this country every day? WHO HATES WHOM? Look about you, white man and woman; all the fingers of hate are pointed at YOU—no matter what the TV tells you, or the lasted Jew Hollywood film. You are the target of universal animosity and hatred. "Minorities" are not being persecuted in America. Whites are being deprived of an entire country! Jews are not the victims of overt anti-Semitism. Jews are the bloody victimizers. They tell only their tales of woe. You are being gulled, you whites. If you don't look this reality squarely in the face, you will have no future worth living. Remember that the *hate crimes* are the acts committed against whites every day by coloreds. These laws are passed to get you for thinking out loud.

From *The Talon*, May 1991, published by
Euro-American Alliance, Box 2-1776, Milwaukee WI 53221

FOR MY LEGIONARIES

The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$10.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WWII. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the 'missing pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza; the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.) **FOR MY LEGIONARIES**, Order #06003, single copy \$10.00, 3 copies \$25.00, 5 copies \$35.00

For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

HOW DO WE (JEWS) DESTROY DANGEROUS POLITICAL OPPONENTS?

As Discussed in the Hebrew School
and Outlined in Twelve Paragraphs

The following excerpts were reprinted in the Vienna newspaper *Der Telegraf* of November 1st, 1920, and were taken from the book *Deutscher Geist—oder Judentum! / Der Weg der Befreiung* (German Spirit—Or Jewry! / The Way of Liberation) as depicted by Arthur Trebitsch.

1

Nothing could be more erosive and damaging to the well-being of our people than delaying the destruction of an opponent until he achieves recognition among our enemies and becomes famous enough that they heed his words and follow him when he addresses them. We must consequently keep a sharp watch on the maturing youth of our enemies, and if we notice a nucleus of insurrection and resistance to our power, then it must be destroyed before it can pose a threat to our people.

2

Since we dominate the Press and exert power over public issues, so it is our most important task that dangerous people are not permitted access to positions from which they could speak with authority and in the printed word in order to win influence among our enemies. Thus, silence must prevail and complete unawareness, when a dangerous mind arises in the midst of our enemies. Most will be restrained by a lack of success in early youth from further efforts and must eventually devote themselves to an occupation to win their daily bread, which then distracts them from dangerous thoughts and deeds against the Chosen People.

3

Yet, if one such individual still persists with harmful doings despite silence and deficient recognition, then the time is come for influencing him more sharply to thwart his plans and hinder

his dangerous work. Now, we will offer him employment at high salary within our circles, if he simply ceases with his false endeavors and allows his energies to be diverted to Our Cause. And, if he was lonely for a long while and had to suffer and starve, then the sudden gold and beautiful words which we give him will dissuade him from his incorrect ideas and guide him to our path. And, when he suddenly sees success and riches, glory and honor, he will forget his enmity and learn to graze on the fat pasture which we keep ready for all who go our way and submit themselves to the rule of the Chosen People.

4

If this is to no avail and an individual continues in stubborn mutiny against our command, then we will see to it through our people that evil will be spoken about him everywhere, and those for whom he wants to fight and is willing to sacrifice himself to counter us, will reject him in animosity and contempt. Then, he will become truly lonely and see thusly the impotence of his acts and will despair and perish in the impossible struggle against our people.

5

If that does not work, and he should be strong enough to endure and to continue to believe in his hostile aims against us, then we have an even more certain means to paralyze his power and destroy his plans. Did not Esther win the King of Persia to our side and did not Judith chop off the head of that enemy of our people? And, are there not daughters of Israel enough, who are seductive and clever enough to entice them from the path of our enemies, to win their hearts and to overhear their thoughts so that no word can be spoken and no plan ripen without being known at the proper time by our people? And, if a dangerous foe has attained a reputation and position and the trust of his friends and the following of an entire people; and we send a daughter of Israel onto his path, so his plan shall be delivered to our hands and his resolve broken and his energy lamed and his power made useless. Then, wherever the daughter of our people reign as wives of our enemies, their plans are checked at

the right stage and their actions are frustrated before they can be carried out.

6

However, if he should see through our actions and avoid our snares and his recalcitrant spirit finds further support and belief among our enemies, then he must disappear from this life in such a manner that our goals are not endangered. "Death is the unavoidable end of all humanity. For this reason it is better to accelerate this end for those who injure our cause than to wait until it befalls us, the initiators of the undertaking. In the Freemasonic lodges, we inflict the punishment in such a way that no one, except the brothers of the confession, can derive the slightest suspicion... not even death's victim himself: they all die—when it is necessary—of an apparent natural cause. Although it is known to the lodge brothers, they dare not raise any objections. With such inexorable punishment, we have suffocated all contradictions with the lodges against our orders at the embryonic stage. While we preach enlightenment to the Gentiles, we simultaneously keep our own people and our confidants in strictest obedience." (Quoted from the *Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, p. 114).

7

Since we live in uncertain times and the country is made unsafe everywhere by murder and mayhem, through looting and robbery, so it occurs easily for our brothers to eliminate the dangerous enemy by a coincidental surprise attack. Then, do we not have an army of hired thugs of the nationality of our enemies, who are always prepared to do what we want for good gold and protected secrets? And, if we want to remove the opponent, then we spread rumors wherever he is traveling and where he resides to increase the danger and insecurity and to make the threat to his life a daily occurrence. And, if we want to destroy him, then we prepare the deed, through robbery or looting at the place of his residence or scatter the rumors of danger and personal attacks in his vicinity. And, when the day arrives when he is to disappear, the people we pay will work together

in perfect harmony; and when he has been killed, they will take the money and plunder the corpse, and the culprits will never be apprehended. And, the whole world will believe that he was a victim of robbery and manslaughter, as the people will be accustomed to this at the appropriate time. And, never will the enemies learn that he has vanished through the will of our brothers in order that the name of our god not be desecrated.

8

So that the name of God shall not be desecrated, the wisest of our people have taken precautions for centuries. Namely, our brothers in Russia have discovered means and researched the sciences to destroy our enemies without their noticing it. Have they not discovered a gas which immediately kills the enemy and found a second gas which is emitted after the first one, combining with it to eliminate all traces of the former? And, do we not know the qualities of wireless current which destroys the mental faculties of the dangerous foe and ruins his brain power and ability to concentrate and think? And, have not our doctors researched the effects of undetectable poisons with the microscope and know how to smuggle the poison into the wash of the enemy, whereby it climbs to his brain and infests the frontal lobes, annihilating his mind? And, can we not ourselves staff the autopsy clinics with our own people so that no one learns of the cause of his death? And, have we not learned to get close to him through the maid who serves him, through the neighbor across the fence, and the guest in his house? And, are we not all-present and omnipotent in covert collaboration with one another in secret accord until the destruction of the opponent? And, when we come with friendly words and harmless conversation, has it yet been achieved by any nation on Earth that our plans have been penetrated and our purposes defeated?

9

If, however, one should still succeed in avoiding all traps of secret death and all the cunning of our brothers and should

*Translated into Aryan: "so that we won't be nabbed"—Ed.

thwart the work of destruction, you should not despair and tremble in fear of the bright glance of this single dangerous one! Then, if he dares to speak to his unsuspecting fellow-citizens of our covert activities and menacing destruction, is it not our ancient art to recognize and watch over all persons with whom he speaks and to predict his plans in advance, if he should escape our snares? And, even before he speaks to our enemies, we ourselves will speak through our people, with those who are entrusted of him and will war of the imbalance of his mind and the unfortunate confusion of his senses. And, when he comes to tell of his troubles and to portray the surmounted dangers, these people will hear him with doubting smiles and distrustful contempt and will be convinced of his mental disorder and emotional decay. And, we will work together step by step, until the gates of the insane asylum close behind him, and should he come out again and want to take further action and warn of our power, we will have taken from him the credibility of his beliefs; and, he will be outcast and outlawed. The words will be in vain that he speaks, just as the thoughts he thinks. And, so the Chosen People will remain victor over yet another harmful adversary.

10

Yet, if this should not be effective and the enemy, against the will of our god, wrestles himself free from all these dangers, then, still do not despair you Children of Israel, since one man is powerless, and our agents are everywhere alert to destroy his evil assault and prevent the *Goyim* from throwing off the yoke, which was attached to them by our god.

Do we not have the means at hand to observe every step of the enemy and to make the very breath from his mouth ineffective? And, as his people begin to believe in him, do we not know how to hinder this approachment and cut the threads which could bind the dangerous enemy with the external world? And, the letters which will be written to him should be checked and read by our people so that encouragement and approval will be withheld from him and that only false friendship and malicious contacts flow to him, behind which the chil-

dren of the Chosen People stand concealed. And, when he wants to use public communications, which enable the human word to be carried widely abroad, so we shall overhear his words and his plans; and, if the enemies should want to speak to him, we will interfere with the context of baffle the meaning, since we keep the enemy so encircled that no trace of his soul can penetrate into the outward world without our eavesdropping. And, he will want to defend himself and will believe that he is effective, but his actions will be like the pacing of an animal behind the bars of his cage.

11

And, if the belief in the dangerous enemy by the few of intelligence should grow in spite of these measures, then we will still know how to prevent that his power advances and that his ideas influence the greater multitude of our enemies. And, if his name achieves a good reputation, so we shall send out one of our people and give him the same name of the dangerous foe and deliberately expose him as the enemy of our enemies, as a traitor and deceiver, and when the hated name is merely mentioned, we shall say that it is he, our foe, who is the traitor; and then people will believe our words, and his words will fade away into emptiness, and his ideas will become cursed through the omnipotence of our god. And, we will make his lifestyle subject to suspicions and shall take the filth of the gutter to smear his reputation and his fellow men will see the dirtied cloak and perceive the filth on his clothing and will turn from him, not evaluating his character and noting his voice. And, he will become perplexed and give up hope in his own people, which appears to him to be so shabby and thankless; and he will cease his activities in bitterness and despair as Israel laughs mockingly and the power of our god triumphs.

12

It is nevertheless, prophesied that men will repeatedly arise in our folk who are not of our blood and will not think with out spirit. And, they will be more dangerous to the victory of our people than 11 the others, since they understand the trickery of

our folk and avoid our nets and escape all dangers. But, do not fear, ye Brothers, in the face of these cursed ones, since, if they appear today, it is already too late and power over the entire Earth too far given over to our hands. Where can our enemies sit down with one another to deliberate over us without at least one of our people within their ranks or one of their race who has become ours through the glitter of our gold, the charm of our women, or the convincingness of our agents?? And, if the renegade wants to speak with our enemies, so shall our emissary in their ranks rise up and utter words of indignation against him, which will find endearment and trust, sowing rejection of him among the enemies' ranks, and angrily labeling him the secret enemy of their people! And, wherever he shall come with love and self-sacrifice and would desire to save the enemies from the power of our people, his words will encounter mistrust, they will reject, they will reject him, and all his activities will ineffectively rebound from this distrust and disbelief, which our emissary knows how to provoke within the enemies' ranks.

And, so it shall be our talent and greatest mission to prevent that many hear the words recognition and enlightenment concerning our most secret goals. Thus, if many were to hear the voice of truth against our power, then all our counterespionage measures would be thwarted and the danger would be near that the peoples of the Earth might throw off our yoke. Therefore, keep up the watch, ye Brothers, and act omnipresent, delude the enemies, confuse their senses, close their ears, and make their eyes unseeing, so that the day will never come when the World Empire of Zion collapses, which we have built up to such towering heights and perfection and which shall soon project visibly to its late but inevitable victory and ultimate revenge upon the slave peoples of the entire planet!

**THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE
OVER THOSE
WHO CANNOT READ!**

MR. WIESENTHAL DOES NOT ANSWER...

An Open Letter To

Simon Wiesenthal Center
Rabbi Abraham Cooper
9760 West Pico Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90035

I received your "Fund-Raiser" letter on behalf of the blacks, homosexuals, for the defense of immigrants and the fight against anti-Semitism. You are hereby requested to remove my name from your mailing list as I do not wish to contribute to organizations which create anti-Semitism to justify their existence! It is also clear from your letter that you intend to search and find those who disturb the harmony among races, religions and peoples among the non-homosexual White Christians.

I do not believe that the Blacks need your defense and support! As far as the homosexuals, who organize their despicable "Gay Pride Parades" and proudly declare what they are, are concerned—I am unable to understand what they can be proud of—they should be allowed to die as disgusting and incurable sick people, thus achieving a healthy society! With the parades they are recruiting young people into their midst, thus depriving them of having a decent family life. One can understand also the outcry against the immigrants as during the last decade hardly any non-Jew could settle in the United States!

Anti-Semitism has a lot of real and imagined causes to which you and your brethren constantly add new one. An example at hand is the *New York Post's* editorial, "Hungary Cowed." This was an unwarranted attack against Hungary with an obvious aim: Unless Hungary succumbs to the Jewish or Israel's policy, Hungary cannot be a "honorable" member of the community of free nations. What arrogance! I am attaching a copy of "Hungary Cowed" and my rebuttal to it, which was never published. IS IT THE POLICY OF THE NEW YORK POST OR OF THE JEWS TO KEEP SILENT WHEN CORNERED WITH THE TRUTH?! I don't think that you care to intercede for its publication either.

The editorial greatly disturbed the harmony between Hungarians and Jews. It created anti-Hungarian sentiment in the Jews, and anti-Jewish sentiment in the Hungarians. Bear in mind: Hungary received nothing from the West or Israel, and is under no moral obligation to fulfill the dictates of the West or Israel! There is no anti-Semitism in my letter, only the expression of the truth about the Hungarians and the rejection of the slander of the *New York Post* or of a Jew.

As a firm believer in the equality of races, religions and peoples, I would like to impress upon you to meditate about the causes of anti-Semitism and ponder why there is no anti-Dutch, anti-Scandinavian, anti-Polish, anti-Hungarian sentiment. Since the causes of anti-Semitism were created largely by the Jews themselves, they have to find the solution to this problem. If you fail to do so, anti-Semitism will always prevail regardless of your fight, will pick up in the United States also and—THEN WHERE TO DO YOU IMMIGRATE?! WHERE???

All I can say: Stop scolding, lecturing and controlling the mind of the Christian nations which permitted you to have a home, freedom and prosperity! Do not shove in their faces constantly their real or fabricated crimes. We heard them more than enough times. Don't try the patience of the host nations. It has limits. Stop setting up "observation stations." There are already twenty B'nai B'rith offices in Hungary. What for?

It is understandable that Wiesenthal wanted to bring to justice those who hurt the Jews, to receive proper penalties. We had no objections against it. A criminal must pay for his or her crime. However, after 45 years he defames more innocent people than real criminals. Most of the defendants are declared innocent than guilty. He is a lonely head-hunter now.

As Wiesenthal runs out of criminals, it would be more appropriate for him to deal with the causes of anti-Semitism, or bring to justice those Americans and Russians who committed crimes against humanity after the war. A lot of them deserve the bullet or the gallows.

One can read in Alfred de Zaya's book, *Nemesis at Potsdam*, that the Russians in *Nemmersdorf* crucified the women on barn walls, raped every girl over 8 years old and executed every man.

A ghost town was created. Wiesenthal did not pursue these criminals. Why? THEY DID NOT KILL JEWS! In *Katyn* 16,000 Poles were executed by the Russians. Wiesenthal did not pursue or prosecute them. Why? THEY DID NOT KILL JEWS!

20 to 25 thousand German refugees were driven into the Baltic Sea and 7,000 more drowned in the sinking of the *Goya*. Wiesenthal did not pursue the Russian criminals. Why? NO JEWS WERE DROWNED! The ALLIES—headed by the United States—drove out 16 million Germans from their ancestral lands—East-Prussia, etc. In Berlin only the dead bodies of children and raped women were retrieved...the roads scattered with dead Germans. Wiesenthal did not pursue these criminals. Why? THE VICTIMS WERE NOT JEWS! The Czechs expelled 3 million Germans from the *Sudeten*, in the *Lamsdorf Camp*, out of 8064 Germans 6488 died, among them 628 children. More than 2 million Germans have fallen victim of the post-war atrocities of the Allies! Nobody was tried for these inhuman acts. Wiesenthal did not pursue the Czechs. Why? NOT JEWS PERISHED! In cold winter the Czechoslovak authorities drove out several hundred-thousand Hungarians from their ancient land. The brutality, lack of food and shelter claimed thousands of victims. Wiesenthal did not pursue these Czech criminals. Why? NOT JEWS WERE UPROOTED AND VICTIMIZED! In South-Hungary the Jugoslavs trampled in the blood of Hungarians. Wiesenthal did not pursue the criminals. Why? NOT JEWS WERE EXTERMINATED!

Wiesenthal placed not only those Germans and Hungarians on the scaffold who may or may not have committed a crime, but the WHOLE HUNGARIAN AND GERMAN NATIONS. He saw justice every time a Hungarian or a German was executed—guilty or not!

As you can see, there are not only "War Criminals," but also "Peace Criminals," maybe many more than of the first kind. It is worthwhile to remember also that the Americans shot the guards of every camp without any inquiry. There were more innocents among them than criminals. They were ordered to their posts. They had to obey. The mass-murderer American soldier in Vietnam—Mai-Lai—was not executed. He spent his short "prison-term"

at the barracks of his company. Wiesenthal never requested the punishment of these criminals. Their names are not listed in his crime-register. Well! THEY DID NOT KILL JEWS!

I sincerely believe that it should be the duty of Wiesenthal to bring to JUSTICE the commanders and soldiers of the Allied Powers, the Czechoslovaks and Jugoslavs who committed crimes against "humanity!" Wiesenthal did nothing in the interest of universal justice. In his mind, crimes could have been committed only against the Jews.

Wiesenthal did not do anything to foster and promote the harmony between non-Jews and Jews either. This should have been his main duty and responsibility in the past and also in the present.

I do not find any reason to contribute to your institute or Wiesenthal.

Dr. Barna Balogh,
writing in *Perseverance*, Box 125
Merredin, W.A. 6415, Australia

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb. \$7.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's *1984*" —R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App). **THE ANTI-HUMANS**, Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15., 5 for \$20.00.

For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issue \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

DIVIDED LOYALTIES

by
Wodenson

Most would probably agree that we have long been searching for a motivational concept that would overcome the system's propaganda, terror, blackmail, and other destructive powers.

The tactic employed by so-called "Right Wingers," over the last few decades, has been to cloak themselves in national and religious patriotism. There may be what appears to be benefits in this strategy, but always the bottom line is success or failure. Obviously, this strategy has been total failure, so it's time to examine not only reasons for failure, but what is necessary for future success.

Human nature being what it is, one can understand why the "Right Wing" traveled the road it did. The brutal truth is, they didn't have the courage to face either reality or the enemy. They knew there was an attack on our racial existence, and many of them knew who was behind it. They also knew of the treachery from what they persist in calling "their" government, and from the Judaeo-Christian establishment.

But it was safer to attack enemies "over there." They were afraid of being called "Racist." They were afraid of not conforming. Like the tale of the Emperor who wore no clothes, they pretended to see only what was approved by the authorities and the masses. Many drew retirement checks or other benefits from the beast and so had dual loyalties. Others, particularly former military, did not want to see tarnish on their medals, or face the results of the actions of America's military. And, of course, a few were simply so filled with blind national and religious patriotism that they could see nothing of reality.

But, whatever the reason, they were neutralized by divided loyalties. They worshiped their executioner. (Let me insert here, there is nothing wrong with our people getting every cent and every check possible from the ZOG). Bleeding the beast is necessary, but loyalty to the beast is treason.)

It is simply impossible to build a dynamic steamrolling movement with divided loyalties. If the primary goal of the American political entity is the mixing and extermination of the White Race (and it is), and we continue to honor and support that entity, then we are doomed. It is "double-think" from top to bottom.

If you entertain the fiction that America is "Your" country, then by every sense of fair play, you must give honor to all who serve in the American military, regardless of race. If a negro serves in "Your" army, can you deny him access to *your* church? If he attends *your* church, and worships your God, how will you deny him *your* daughter or sister? If he served *your* country, can you deny him *your* schools, neighborhoods, organizations, etc.?

You simply can not share a nation, a life-view, a religion, a culture, a territory, a God, a holy book, a flag, or anything else, with another race. It is suicide. If *your* God created negroes, then why shouldn't *your* daughter create more of them? If *your* God worries over every little sparrow, surely *your* daughter should worry over negroes, Mexicans, and Asians.

How about a flag? There is good reason why the world's rulers have always used symbols such as flags and martyrs to motivate the masses. Symbols represent emotions and instincts as deep and strong as territory, reproduction, specie preservation, Gods, and maybe even stir genetic memory. But, you can not share symbols with other races any more than you can share territory, women, or Gods. It is a division of motivational forces. I am reminded of racial activists who participate in Judaeo-Christian anti-abortion rallies. They try to *share* an issue. They know full well that thousands of White women are raped by negroes every year. Would they condemn these women to birthing a negro baby? You see, sharing *anything* with your executioner is, at best, a two-edged sword, and more often a suicidal pursuit.

Another example is the racist who joins conservatives in condemning bi-lingual education. Apparently, they want "His-

panics" to become fluent in English so they can blend into White society, take White men's jobs, and pursue White women. They should realize that a language barrier is good from a racial standpoint; but they join forces with the conservative who sees the "Hispanic" as an economic pawn to be exploited, and to hell with his race or culture.

What is ZOG's is theirs, not ours. We have to get our minds in order, identify our executioners, name them, and divorce ourselves from *everything* that brought us to this sorry state of affairs.

We can not fly our executioners flag, worship his Gods, support his government, fight in his courts, play by his rules, obey his laws, listen to his propaganda, send our children to his schools, honor his armies and police, vote for his puppets, share his causes, or escape reality. Divided loyalties are neutralizing and suicidal.

We do not need complicated political or theological dissertations to *justify* our cause. There is a higher Law, the highest Law. The first Law of Nature is the preservation of our own kind.

It does not matter whether you believe Nature's Laws are the work of God, or of Gods, or are an accident. They exist. We are subject to them. If they are the work of God, then they are God's laws. Natural Law is *total* justification.

If we cannot find the minimal courage to say, *I am White; I am proud of my heritage; I intend to preserve the existence of my Race*, then we are finished. *Why* is that so hard to say? Have we become so cowardly, so submissive, so brain-polluted, that we feel guilt or fear in even stating who we are? It is both ludicrous and tragic to see so-called patriotic publications afraid to say the word *White*. How our forefathers must despise us.

Let us make the 14 words a test. No one who will not repeat them is worthy of our friendship or support. These 14 words are:

**WE MUST SECURE THE EXISTENCE OF OUR PEOPLE
AND A FUTURE FOR WHITE CHILDREN.**

HELP EASE THE SQUEEZE

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

George: _____ Date

I know the big squeeze is on. Here is what I'll do to help ease the squeeze:

- Here is a check in the amount of \$..... as my contribution to our common battle.
- Sign me up as an Official Supporter of *Liberty Bell*. Bill me for a contribution of \$..... per month/ quarter/year.
- Please send me copies of the issue of *Liberty Bell* @ 10 for \$22.00.
- Please send me the items I've listed below. My cheque for \$..... is enclosed herewith.

NAME: _____

ADDRESS: _____

CITY/STATE/ZIP: _____

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations .

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.
2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**