



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

The Los Angeles Riots of April 1992 and the Reichskristallnacht Of November 1938: Similarities and Differences

by Dr. Charles E. Weber
page 25

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor R.P. Oliver
POSTSCRIPTS

Cinematic Résumé, page 1;
Technological Lying, page 12;
A Rose Is A Rose, &c., page 15;
War and Peace, page 17.

Politics of Terror In South Africa, by Ivor Benson: page 27. The Triangle, by G.S., page 32. Must We Despair?—An Arayn Overview, by Eric Thomson, page 41. Letters to the Editor, page 47. La Raza, by FAEM, page 53. A Brooklyn Boy is Exposed to The Führer, by J.P., page 55. The Color of Aids, by Ernst Kisgud, page 57.

VOL. 19 - NO. 11

JULY 1992

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts can not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1991
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 5.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE-USA only	\$40.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$50.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$60.00
AIR MAIL-Europe, South America	\$70.00
Middle East, Far East, South Africa	\$75.00
Sample Copy	\$ 6.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 22.00
50 copies	\$ 90.00
100 copies	\$150.00
500 copies	\$600.00
1000 copies	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

CINEMATIC RÉSUMÉ

A very popular motion picture entitled "J.F.K." has recently redirected attention to the assassination of President Kennedy in Dallas on 22 November 1963. It also stirred up sleeping snakes in the Dismal Swamp on the banks of the Potomac.

Certain facts have been established beyond reasonable doubt:

1. Kennedy was assassinated by a conspiracy that included the C.I.A., Earl Warren, and doubtless many others. There are grounds for suspecting the complicity of (a) Kennedy's brother, Robert, then the Attorney General, who was enlisting "Bobby's Boys" to convert the F.B.I., not yet entirely corrupt, into the Federal Bureau of Intimidation, and (b) Lyndon Johnson, whose ambitions were fulfilled by the vacancy thus created, but there is no solid proof of the plausible inferences. The suggested complicity of various others is (thus far) merely conjectural or inferential.

2. The motive need have been no more than the need to quash the growing dissatisfaction of Americans with the use of their resources to bolster and enrich the overtly Communist allies of the government in Washington, largely aroused by the Indignation Meetings organized by patriotic businessmen in Dallas. It is certain that Dallas was selected as the site for the assassination because it was then the capital of American opposition to government by aliens and traitors. If there was a secondary motive, the most likely of the many suggested was Kennedy's order for the printing of currency that was a re-issue of Lincoln's "greenbacks" and created interest-free debt, thus infringing on the perquisites of the Federal Reserve swindle and depriving our greedy parasites of some usury. This would indicate yet unexplained in-fighting among the ruling gangsters, such as often occurs in the Mafia and other organizations similar to the American government.

3. The assassination was planned and scheduled by experts, but something went wrong and it proved impossible to accuse and convict patriotic Americans in Dallas, as had been originally planned and

was stated in the prepared speech that the chief of the Warren Gang read immediately after the assassination and before he could be informed that there had been a hitch in the execution of the plot. Just what went wrong is still conjectural.¹

4. Immediately after the assassination of Kennedy, a Dallas policeman, J. D. Tippit, was murdered, for reasons that are still unknown.

5. Oswald did not kill anyone and probably did not even fire a rifle. He was employed for some function by the conspirators, and was a convenient patsy when the scenario had to be revised. He was, of course, murdered before he could talk to his attorney by another low-level agent of the conspirators, Rubenstein, who was in turn eliminated when it was convenient, possibly necessary, to do so.

6. Warren was charged with the task of covering up for his fellow conspirators and adopted the obviously spurious explanation that the murdered Oswald had acted alone and without accomplices. Warren may not have been responsible for that blunder; he was following the directions set forth four days after the assassination by Super-Sheeny Nicholas Katzenbach, who was Deputy Attorney General (i.e., officially Bobby Kennedy's second in command; probably his supervisor). The national liemachine, commonly called the media, was given orders to follow Warren's lead, although that was so inherently implausible at the time that no objective observer of even the facts that were then known was deceived by it.

7. A large number of persons who had witnessed the assassination or preparations for it were murdered to prevent them from contradicting Warren's bundle of fiction. For most of the victims, it is now uncertain what was the damning fact they knew or why it was crucial to maintenance of Warren's hoax.

8. Innumerable books have been published to demonstrate precisely how Kennedy was murdered and the location from which the assassins operated. All the hypothetical reconstructions cannot be right, and it would be a thankless task of almost infinite labor to deduce what probably happened from the many inconsistent or conflicting stories and to segregate evidence that was imagined by persons seeking temporary notoriety or hired to confuse the issue.

1. It could have been the arrest of Oswald.

For our purposes, it does not really matter how the conspiracy's agents carried out their assignments. The Warren Commission's report, inherently incredible, has been exposed as a fraud by many facts, but the consideration that is most cogent to the general public is the proof that at least one bullet came from some place ahead of Kennedy, perhaps the now famous "grassy knoll." That, however, is not a crucial fact; it is merely a detail and it does not really matter just where the chief assassin took his stand.

The Warren Report is, in itself, proof that a conspiracy ordered its agents to carry out the assassination. Another conclusive proof, of course, is the fact that it was found necessary to seal up the relevant evidence and keep it, like Nigger King's criminal record, secret until well into the next century.

The popularity of the cinema, "J.F.K.," which our enemies' many teams of professional liars have tried to discredit, largely in vain, has inspired a man fairly well-known in "conservative" circles, Nord Davis, to publish a new edition of the pamphlet, *Dallas Conspiracy*,² in which he had set forth in 1968 his theory of the method of assassination.

An unprejudiced and dispassionate reader will take up Davis's pamphlet with two *a priori* reservations, videlicet:

(1) He professes to be the chief of a secret organization, the Northpoint Teams, which twice frustrated policies of the government in Washington and once, during the presidency of Nixon, issued an ultimatum that government was forced to obey, but which nevertheless survives to the present day and even carried out a "Project Sheba" that affected the outcome of Bushy's attack on Iraq. Despite all this, it was not liquidated by the C.I.A.! A claim so insulting to "our" great espionage and murder agency necessarily arouses scepticism.

(2) Davis claims that he is protected by a celestial spook whom he calls "King of Kings," i.e., the old and famous firm of Yahweh & Son, Inc. He is also an expert on "Bible prophecy" and can tell you just what passages in that collection of tales show that the Jews who

2. Published by Northpoint Teams, P.O. Box 129, Topton, North Carolina (28781); \$1.00, presumably postpaid. Master copies for reproduction by photooffset, \$20.00.

composed or compiled them in the period 500 B.C. to A.D. 300, anticipated what is now happening in the United States and elsewhere.

Davis has no trouble, of course, in demonstrating how spurious was the Warren Commission's hoax. He also makes the valid objection to the film, "J.F.K.," that it disingenuously identifies the conspirators as a "military-industrial complex," thus detracting attention from the real conspirators, the masters of the United States.

Davis has his own reconstruction of the mechanism of the assassination, and chooses as the actual assassin a man whom he identifies as Georges von Mohrenskold, alias George Sergi de Morenschildt, who was obviously an agent, probably of the C.I.A., and involved in the conspiracy in some way, and who was murdered in 1977 when he was under subpoena to testify in a reopened investigation of the assassination.

According to Davis, Mohrenskold was the man who, enveloped in a black cloak, was standing on the curb opposite to Kennedy's automobile at the moment of the assassination. That this man had some function in the conspiracy, perhaps to signal to the assassin that he need not fire again, is made probable by the fact that the magazine *Life* was compelled to falsify the picture that showed him as a by-stander with extended arm when it republished that picture after Warren's dirty work got under way. This we may grant, but Davis would have you believe that the man in a black coat was blazing away at Kennedy with a silenced machine-pistol in each hand but was observed by no one—not even by the numerous spectators across the street, who also failed to notice that he had just killed a woman who blundered into the path of his many bullets. That is hard—very, very hard—to believe.

I notice this pamphlet for its one significant contribution to theories about the details of the assassination. The currently popular cinema stirred up the rulers in Washington, who don't want their subjects to think about conspiracies. Such thoughts could make the boobs restless. When the liepapers failed successfully to discredit the motion picture, other channels had to be employed.

The American Medical Association, founded in 1847, in the early part of this century fell into the hands of a Sheeny named Fishbein, who converted it into a bureaucracy with a virtually dictatorial power that terrorizes physicians and prevents open dissent from the official

propaganda line. It also operates to suppress competition³ by taking advantage of our enemies' subversion of the law contemplated in the American Constitution, which has, for the most part, been replaced by Communist-style "administrative law." The Association would have you believe that its voice is as authoritative as the famous Voice from Sinai. It may be as spurious.

The *Journal of the American Medical Association* rushed to defend the mendacious Warren Report with three articles in its issue for 27 May 1992, pp. 2791, 2794-2803, 2804-2807. The two major articles are not listed in the table of contents on p. 2709 and so seem to have been an addition made at the last moment before the issue went to press. We are assured (p. 2794) that the articles provide complete proof of "the 1964 Warren Commission[s] conclusion that Kennedy was killed by a lone assassin, Lee Harvey Oswald." One senses regret that the autopsy in the hospital at Bethesda did not find in the body a bullet signed by Oswald. That would have tied everything up nicely, wouldn't it?

Three physicians conducted the autopsy in Bethesda. Two of them, Drs. James Joseph Humes and "J" [*sic*] Thornton Boswell were interviewed in Florida by George D. Lundberg, the editor of the *Journal*. The third man, Col. Dr. Pierre Finch, the "expert consultant" at the autopsy, evidently refused to talk. He was in Switzerland, refused to fly to Florida for the interview, and Lundberg made no effort to fly to Switzerland. Significantly also, the article contains innuendos that Col. Dr. Finch is a timid man and was probably too awed by the persons present at the autopsy to have noticed much accurately.

The two physicians asseverate over and over again that there is no doubt,—no manner of doubt, no probable, possible shadow of doubt, no possible doubt whatever,—but that Kennedy was killed by a bullet fired "from above and behind." Two of their explanations seem to limp badly.

3. For example, it or one of its subsidiaries ordered the corrupt government of the State of Alabama to send goons to close the clinic in Cottonwood, Alabama, that was directed by Dr. H. R. Evers, confiscate the magnificent estate that was given him by grateful patients, and turn it over to a pack of niggers, who, so far as I know, are putting progress into the small town of Cottonwood. Whether the progress has as yet included the virus of the African Plague ("AIDS") I do not know.

1. Humes burned his notes immediately after the autopsy because "they were stained with Kennedy's blood [either his hands were bloody from dabbling in the corpse or the pages were somehow put on a yet bloody part of the cadaver], and I did not want them to become a collector's item [as they would have, had he auctioned them off]."

2. When Kennedy was shot in the head, he, as is shown in a motion picture film taken at the time, fell backward. That was because "an object struck in the rear by a high-velocity missile similar to the one that hit Kennedy *always falls forward* [their italics] as a result of the jet-propulsion effect created by tissues exploding out the front." If you doubt that, experiment for yourself. If you own a high-powered rifle, it is certainly much better than the second-hand second-rate rifle Oswald supposedly obtained by mail from a bargain basement, and that will make the test more conclusive. Take a small cylindrical box, such as is used in vending "Quaker Oats," fill it with hot mush, and place it on a convenient fence. (The box, unlike a head, won't be attached to a heavy body, so the test will be stacked in favor of the physicians' theory.) Then drill the box with your rifle and note on which side of the fence it falls. If it falls back toward you, you will deserve a Congressional Medal of Honor.

It is odd, also, that despite earlier reports that Kennedy's brain was sealed in a metal box that subsequently evaporated or otherwise disappeared, the two physicians don't know what happened to it. They think they gave it to Admiral Burkley and suppose that it was buried with the corpse.

There is also one curious item in the physicians' story. They say they detected no symptom ("cushingoid appearance") of the disease from which Kennedy was suffering, which made it necessary for him to wear a strong spinal brace (removed in Dallas), and which was kept in check only by continuous medication, and which, according to some pathologists, gave him a life-expectancy of about five years. This could be significant; see below.

If you are aware of the composition and operations of the vast machine of corruption centered on the banks of the Potomac, you must approve the aphorism that a fish out of water feels like an honest man in Washington. And knowing that official statements on all subjects always falsify the truth on matters of real importance, and that hundreds, perhaps thousands, of well-trained and well-paid "scientists" are available to vouch for any lie that the masters deem expedient, you

will dismiss the statement from Bethesda out of hand. In the terminology of "democracy," the fix was on.

It is true that the now voluble physicians offer no explanation why, after saying their piece for the Warren Report, they kept obstinately silent for twenty-eight years, refusing to be interviewed by the countless reporters and others who wanted to question them, and ignoring a flood of books about the assassination, most of which accused them of misconduct of one kind or another—kept obstinately silent until the heat was on in Washington. And everyone will immediately speculate about the various kinds of pressure that can be applied to individuals by the vast machine of oppression to which the American boobs gave their country and themselves.

There is, however, a possible explanation, creditable to the physicians, that may be worth mention. The *raison d'état* may have been invoked. In 1915, when the British auxiliary cruiser and munitions ship, *Lusitania*, was disguised as a passenger liner so that it could be loaded with American passengers and used by Winston Churchill as "45,000 tons of livebait," which he successfully dangled before German submarines, the ship was sunk, not by a German torpedo, but by the explosion of the munitions, including a thousand tons of guncotton, in her hold. An official inquiry was held, over which Britain's most eminent and distinguished judge, Lord Mersey, presided. He was informed that the British Empire needed a decision which would state that the *Lusitania* was only a passenger liner and carried no munitions of war (i.e., one which would help Woodrow Wilson talk the excitable Americans into meddling in the European War). Lord Mersey yielded to the *raison d'état*, which binds the aristocracy of a monarchy, since the King, by virtue of his position as the soul of a nation, may act *legibus solutis* for its welfare, of which he is the sole arbiter, and whatever he commands, he may be obeyed without dishonor. Lord Mersey provided the required decision, but evidently entertained doubts about the matter of honor, for, having done his duty, he wrote to the Prime Minister, "I must request that henceforth I be excused from administering His Majesty's Justice." As he told his family, it had been "a damned dirty business" and he never again appeared in public as a jurist.

Now it is uncertain whether the *raison d'état* would be valid in an ochlocracy, since there is no authority to determine what the welfare of a nation, much less a mere country, requires. And it is equally uncertain whether, if invoked, it would or should be obeyed, but the point is worth considering.

The second article deals with the physicians who received Kennedy's body in the Lakeland Hospital in Dallas. Four of them excuse themselves from answering the crucial question by saying they were too busy to notice whether the bullet that destroyed the brain had entered the head at the back or at the front.⁴ They speak somewhat disparagingly of their junior colleague, Dr. Charles Crenshaw, who, in his recently published *JKF, the Conspiracy of Silence*, states categorically that the bullet which blew out the back of the President's head entered his skull from the front. Crenshaw, you see, is profiting from a book they did not think of writing, and they naturally resent being accused of having been silent when they should have spoken. Whether they were intimidated or put under other pressure from Washington is not known, but it is odd that they spoke up only when the heat was on.

A fifth physician who participated in examining the body at Lakeland, Dr. Robert McClland, reaffirmed his belief, on the basis of his own observations and the motion picture that shows Kennedy at the moment he was shot, that the bullet entered the skull from the front.

It is obvious that there was hanky-panky in Dallas. It was for some reason deemed necessary to prevent Dr. Earl Ross from performing the autopsy, which it was his duty to perform. The Secret Service, headed by a man named Kellerman, was impatiently waiting and, as soon as the corpse was disconnected from the instruments that had been attached to it, grabbed the wheeled table and ran with it, "all but running over Dr. Earl Ross, the Dallas Medical Examiner." Then, in open violation of the laws of Texas, Kellerman and his crew, who doubtless would have drawn their guns, had that been necessary, rushed away with the corpse, presumably packed it in a coffin for shipment, and had it on Lyndon Johnson's plane, bound for Washington, only ninety minutes after Kennedy was shot. The Secret Service may also have

4. They could have added that they were distracted by the presence of Mrs. Kennedy in the room. She appeared almost hysterical and was clutching in one hand a piece of her husband's brain.

planted the bullet from Oswald's rifle that was found in the Lakeland Hospital as though it had dropped from Kennedy's clothing.

The conflict in the evidence could be reconciled by the bold hypothesis advanced by Davis in the second edition of his pamphlet, published, of course, before the Medical Association's *Journal* appeared. He believes that the body examined at Bethesda was not Kennedy's. He is almost certain that it was the corpse of the murdered policeman, J. D. Tippit, and points out that Tippit's body was first taken to the same hospital as Kennedy's and was then rushed to another hospital at which Tippit was redundantly pronounced "dead on arrival" to conceal the fact that his corpse had first accompanied Kennedy's to the Lakeland Hospital. This raises the interesting possibility that Jack Kennedy lies buried somewhere in Texas. That would be bizarre.

The important point is the possible substitution of bodies. It does not matter whose was the second body. No one seems to know whether Kennedy had a "double" to stand in for him at times, such as Eisenhower is said to have had, on the basis of press photographs that identified as Eisenhower two men whose features were not entirely alike.

Davis has therefore made a very important addition to the chaos of theories regarding the details of the assassination. His deduction may startle you and is not by any means cogent, but it is not in any way *impossible*. You must never imagine that there is any villainy or crime that your masters are not ready to commit at any moment—and, if necessary, commit with Yiddish effrontery.

The details, about which there is so much heated contention, are, as I have said, relatively unimportant. The crucial fact, now established beyond reasonable doubt, is that Kennedy was assassinated by part of the alien government in Washington, doubtless with the concurrence of most or all of the rest.

Remember that the direction from which one or more bullets came is not of decisive importance. It does not really matter if, perchance, Kennedy was shot from the rear and the bullet that shattered his skull did have a wonderful "jet-propulsion effect," becoming as marvellous as the Warren Report's famous bumble-bee bullet, which went through Kennedy and then turned around to wound Governor

Connally. If the shot did come from behind, that merely confirms the statement of retired Major Hugh J. McDonald of Military Intelligence and former Chief of Detectives in Los Angeles County, in his *Appointment in Dallas* (New York, McDonald Publishing [= Zebra Books], 1975). McDonald claims that he, acting on an intimation from his friend in the C.I.A., Herman Kimsey, traced and interviewed the assassin, a professional killer whom he calls "Saul,"⁵ who admitted that he had shot Kennedy from a vantage point in the County Records Building (diagonally across the intersection from the building in which Oswald was employed) and would have killed Oswald, had the Secret Service men who were "protecting" the President not failed to carry out their rôle in the assassination.⁶

The *Journal's* editorial on p. 2791 tries to impress the reader by comparing the assassination of Kennedy to the assassination of Abraham Lincoln. That is an association that the writer should have avoided.

The planners in the C.I.A. may have hoped to duplicate the assassination that was a real masterpiece, that of Abraham Lincoln, who was murdered by the very people who had put him in the White House—murdered when he had accomplished the task for which he had been chosen and before he could become an obstacle by trying to carry out the one plan he seems to have had at heart, that of shipping the niggers out of the United States.⁷ That assassination, as I have said, was a masterpiece. There were several serious mishaps and it was necessary to murder by mock-trial and execution several persons, including a woman, who had information (we are not sure what) that would have aroused doubts. But for all that, the assassination was triumphantly put over on the gullible American public and brilliantly used further to afflict the victims of the barbarians' invasion of the South, thus both adding to the enormous profits and deliciously slaking again

5. Oddly enough, according to Davis, "Saul" was the cover-name of Mohrenskold/Morenschildt in the C.I.A., but the two men could not possibly be identical. Major McDonald's "Saul" claimed to have been instructed by a cut-out named "Troit," and Davis says that was also a code-name used by Morenschildt. That identification, so far as I know, could be correct.

6. See Appendix, *infra*.

7. He had made a beginning by exporting at least 5000 to Haiti.

the sadistic lusts of the contrivers of the foul aggression they made the boobs call a Civil War.

If it is any consolation to you, the relatively botched assassination of Kennedy seems to indicate that there has been a marked decline in the ingenuity and verve of our enemies.

APPENDIX

According to "Saul," as reported in McDonald's book, the plan was that Oswald would appear at the window of the Texas Book Depository and fire several aimless shots to attract attention. Saul, who would already have Kennedy's head in position in his telescopic sight, would kill Kennedy, the report of his high-powered rifle being covered by Oswald's shots. The Secret Service men around the President would then draw their guns and start shooting at Oswald, a target high above them and at a distance that made it virtually impossible for them to hit the mark with their automatic pistols. Until the cover of their fusillade, Saul, who already had Oswald in his telescopic sight, would kill Oswald, and then take his departure. The dead Oswald would be assumed to have been the assassin, and everybody would be happy.

Now, assuming that McDonald wrote in good faith, I am astonished that he did not see what was wrong with that story. Although Oswald would thus have been neatly disposed of, there would necessarily be an autopsy; the bullet that killed him would be found in his corpse, and although Saul was using fragmentation bullets, the fragments of that bullet could not possibly have been mistaken for a bullet fired from an automatic pistol.

We can see the further objection that the intended explanation would not have been the one forecast in the speech that Warren read immediately after the assassination, which implied that patriotic Americans, probably General Walker or one of the business men who organized the Indignation meetings, were guilty of the murder, doubtless by hiring a professional killer, since there would have been little chance of luring one of those men into a position in which he could be plausibly accused of having fired the shot himself.

Assuming McDonald's *bona fides*, it is likely that "Saul" had some part in the assassination, although not the one he describes. He may, for example, have been a "back up," positioned to kill if the first murderer somehow failed. This would mean that Kimsey of the C.I.A.

deceived his friend, McDonald, but that is no objection. I have described "the business of deception" in *Liberty Bell*, January 1988, giving a simple but clear example that should show you why intelligence agencies habitually and sometimes necessarily deceive everyone not privy to a given operation—even their superiors. On the other hand, it is quite possible that McDonald, who had close ties with the C.I.A., was its agent in the kind of deception called "damage control." His book appeared when it had become apparent to everyone that Oswald could not have killed Kennedy, and provided an alternative explanation, which would misdirect attention toward a mysteriously unidentified principal in the assassination (perhaps a "military-industrial complex"), since Kimsey is said to have assured McDonald that the C.I.A. was not the prime mover, and, of course, there is no mention of Mossad. According to "Saul's" story as reported by McDonald (and according to all probability aside from that story) the Secret Service was part of the assassination team, but doubtless as hirelings, as was "Saul," but while McDonald's "revelation" would provide an explanation that would satisfy many who had rejected the official tale and would help confuse the issue for others, it was not sufficient to force on the Congress an investigation of the Secret Service. Only the man or men in charge of the Secret Service agents around Kennedy need have been, or are likely to have been, conscious participants in the conspiracy, and it would be interesting to know whether he or they were subsequently made permanently silent.

TECHNOLOGICAL LYING

Long ago, in the era when our race was still sane and specifically in the period between c. 1840 and c. 1860, the new art of photography was practiced by the daguerrotype process, with images recorded on copper plates.¹ That gave rise to the aphorism, true at the time, that "Photographs do not lie."

With the development of celluloid film and printing on sensitized paper, photography became more versatile, and the resources of the new art were early exploited by the spiritualist 'mediums,' intent on

1. A fine collection of daguerrotypes, some of persons of prominence, is reproduced in Beaumont Newhall's *The Daguerrotype in America*, 3d edition, New York, Dover, 1976.

fleeing suckers (such as Sir Arthur Conan Doyle). The aphorism had to be revised: "Photographs do not lie, but photographers do."

It is quite easy to produce photographs that do lie. In August 1988 some eight to ten thousand emotionally unstable individuals, calling themselves "charismatics" because they were, or pretended to be, subject to fits of temporary insanity, assembled in Lubbock, Texas, to see miracles. They not only saw the celebrated Mary playing hide and seek among the cumulus clouds, but saw the doorway to Heaven open in the deep blue of the Texas sky, and, being up-to-date, they took photographs of what they saw. The local photographers, venally cautious lest they alienate potential customers, locked their common sense up in a closet and affirmed that, so far as they could tell, the photographs were genuine.

I was amused, because when I was about twelve, I entertained myself for a time by producing photographs that showed suitably transparent ghosts, some pensive, some malevolent, haunting drawing rooms or operating typewriters, dogs (equipped with tinsel wings) flying over housetops or roosting on the topmost branches of trees, and similar phenomena. I had even ascertained by experiment that the ordinary good folding 'Kodak,' preferably of post-card size, with a rectilinear lens was better for my purposes than a more professional model with a faster lens. Needless to say, the negatives of my double-exposures, like the ones made at Lubbock, could not have deceived a professional photographer for an instant.

Trick photography, requiring greater ingenuity and resources, was early developed by the producers of cinematographic films, but probably did not deceive many spectators, who knew they were witnessing a contrived illusion, even if they did not know how it had been produced.

Photographers for the press early devised means of misrepresenting the subjects of their photographs to fit the propaganda line peddled by their employers. Some of the means were quite simple. One remembers a widely reproduced photograph published at the time that vermin were swarming into Selma, Alabama, to harass Americans in that town. Brutal "racists" were shown as they cruelly held down a saintly nigger bitch. One margin of the picture had, of course, been cropped to conceal the butcher knife in the poor dear's hand.

A similar trick was used by the Jews' boob-tubes during the year they worked to befuddle stupid Americans in preparation for the riots in Los Angeles, Toronto, Atlanta, and other cities. They exhibited a short sequence that showed a nigger criminal being subdued by police officers while he resisted arrest. Concealed from the victims of "television" was the beginning of the scene, when the nigger attacked the police who had finally succeeded in capturing him after he tried to escape by driving at a hundred miles an hour on the streets of a residential district.² The net result was a photographic lie, although, so far as we now know, the pictures that were exhibited out of context had not been doctored.

We all know about the television films that exhibited the cruel oppression of niggers in South Africa who had been hired to stand behind barbed wire fences and look sad, or were engaged in "peaceful protests" during which they were cruelly used by White "racists," as was proved by segments of film produced in Hollywood and interpolated in the news reel.

But technological progress marches on and makes it ever easier to delude the boobs. In the issue for May 1992 of *Skeptical Briefs*, which are pamphlets separately published as monthly supplements to the *Skeptical Inquirer*, Tom Flynn describes the techniques of falsification used in two recent motion pictures.

Actors were photographed as they, suspended on steel cables or supported by steel underpinnings, made motions suggesting that they were flying. The negatives were then given to experts, who erased the images of the cables or steel bars from each frame of the film and filled in the gaps thus created by inserting the requisite portions of pictures of the background. The negatives thus interpolated were then copied by laser onto other negatives, which were technically indistinguishable from genuine pictures. Making such cinemas is a tedious process, since each frame of the original negatives has to be altered, and there are twenty-four frames for each second of action, but by use of this technique lying pictures suitable for reproduction in newspapers or magazines. I have just been informed by telephone that in one of our corrupt courts a feeble-minded or alien jury awarded the nigger, King, a few million dollars of additional salary for his performance.

zines can be manufactured in short order and with photographic equipment that is generally available.

Technology, however, incessantly progresses to what is new and better. Photography in the accepted sense of that word, i.e., pictures made by light impinging on sensitized film, is being supplanted by digital recording of scenes with a combined camera and phonographic microphone, barbarously called a "camcorder," which records scenes as 'graphics files' on electronic tape in the way that data are recorded on a disk in your computer. Such a tape was the source of the pictures of nigger King when he was resisting arrest.

As Mr. Flynn tells us, 'graphics files' are "the ideal format for easy manipulation." He is right. I am informed by an expert in such matters that whereas a fairly high degree of expertise and precise workmanship is requisite to produce fabricated negatives that appear genuine, anyone can learn to use the equipment now available for copying video-tapes to erase or replace parts of pictures, just as you can interpolate, delete, or replace words or phrases in files on your computer.

Mr. Flynn warns us specifically, "The days when a skilled photo-analyst could be sure of detecting a distorted image may soon be history. The next Rodney-King style scandal could be set in motion by faked camcorder footage, and the fakery may prove difficult or impossible for authorities to detect."

In other words, the Judaization of photography is now complete. Photographs can lie—and they will lie with increasing frequency. You can no longer believe your own eyes.

A ROSE IS A ROSE, &c.

Although the affirmation of a rose's identity was made popular by a female mountebank named Gertrude Stein, it states a fundamental fact of biology. Poison ivy is poison ivy. Tigers are tigers. And, as I remarked at some length in the June issue of *Liberty Bell*, savages are savages.

On Sunday, 14 June 1992, a local team of niggers won a basketball game in Chicago. Almost any incident suffices to set off untethered savages, and the winning of a game by a favorite pack of enormously overpaid niggers was sufficient for a riot, which, however, appears to have been spontaneous, rather than planned. Prompt action

by the evidently efficient police of Chicago prevented the violence from attaining the virulence of the riots in Los Angeles and elsewhere.

Stores were looted and buildings set afire in the wide area of the city that has been made a slum by the black blight, unfortunately for the firemen who tried to extinguish fires while dodging heavy stones thrown by rioters. Some commercial buildings destroyed by fire were five storeys high, and at least one apartment building destroyed had four storeys.¹ There are hardly any very tall buildings in the older parts of Chicago.

At latest reports, however, our Lord Bushy has not rushed to Chicago to squander a few hundred million dollars to be extorted from his tax-paying animals or to prosecute, in violation of American law, the police who restored order and arrested about a thousand of the rioters.

Some packs of savages naturally thought of revenge on the White boobs who pay to maintain them in comfort. They invaded the select shopping district on Michigan Avenue and the night clubs on the near North Side. They overturned and smashed taxicabs, damaged other automobiles, broke the windows of some thirty buses, smashed the plate glass windows of expensive shops and stole the exposed merchandise, and otherwise behaved as one would expect. The savages in the better districts were brought under control by mounted policemen—a point that all responsible police departments should note.

Throughout the city, about ninety policemen were injured, only two seriously. No deaths were reported, unless a man and a woman who were almost burned to death in a looted and burned store eventually die.

The liepapers naturally minimized the event, concealing the race of the rioters, who were called "fans."² The next day, the mayor of Chicago was accused of "racism." The efficiency of his police department was tactfully unmentioned in the prompt accusation, which was

1. I adopt the British spelling as marking a useful distinction between quite different words. The etymology of the architectural term is still uncertain, pending more thorough investigation of the vulgar Latin jargon used by Mediaeval builders.

2. It is probably true that among the rioters were some apparently White individuals so degenerate they voluntarily associate with niggers.

purportedly based on the bigotry of a mayor who did not discharge nasty White swine who held jobs that nice niggers want.

The affair in Chicago can thus be dismissed as a minor incident by those who refuse to see its significance. Optimists believe that when the insurrections in Los Angeles, Toronto, *et alibi* are repeated a dozen times or more, a simple truth of biology may find lodgement in the pickled mush that fills the crania of the great American majority.³ Well, perhaps, but let's hope.

WAR AND PEACE

The current (Spring 1992) issue of the *Journal of Historical Review* contains two articles that have in common questions of what is termed 'international law.'

The term, although historically explicable, is a misnomer. In the first place, there is no international *law* in the current sense of that word, i.e., a code established by legislation and administered by an authority that can and will punish violations of it. It can be regarded as a 'law' only within the concept of the Greek *nomos*, which includes conventions of comity and conduct that are universally respected

3. That, however, will probably be too late. We cannot know what plans our destroyers have made; we can only guess what seems possible and likely according to our limited information. One possible scenario would call for a rapid intensification of the nigger revolution against our race during the coming summer to such a point of anarchy and chaos that Bushy would invoke the dictatorial powers he now possesses and impose his cherished "New Order" by importing feral troops, perhaps Soviet Mongolians, as "peace-keepers," who will beat the White boobs into total submission even more ferociously than the niggers intend. This seems to me to call for an excessive acceleration of events, but it may be desired to avert an election in September, which could give signs of the growing dissatisfaction of Americans who are not unconscious.

Few Americans realize that they are living under a dictatorship more absolute than any achieved in by "Lenin," "Trotsky," and "Stalin" in Soviet Russia, the only difference being that our War Lord has not yet seen fit to utter the four syllables, "emergency," and invoke the powers he now possesses, thanks to the utterly unconstitutional "executive orders" planted in the *Congressional Record* by his predecessors in preparation for the eventual abolition of the United States and the massacre or total enslavement of the mindless boobs who form a majority of the American inhabitants of that territory.

within a given society and, although not sanctioned by legislation, may be even more binding on participants in that society's culture.

If you invite a guest for dinner in your home, you rely on our prevailing *nomos* and are quite sure that even if the roast is underdone, he will not throw it at the hostess. Even in our disintegrating society, such things are "not done," and they will not be done, even by men who constantly evade or violate legislation of which they disapprove. During the Eighteenth Century there was legislation in almost all Western countries that forbade duelling, but the legislation conflicted with the *nomos*: no gentleman would ignore a challenge and every gentleman stood ready to facilitate the escape of a duellist who had killed his adversary. The concept of personal honor was far more binding than a government's legislation.

In the same way, 'international law' was the expression of a *nomos* that regulated relations between civilized states and which was observed because violation of it was dishonorable. The ambiguity of the term, however, plus a recrudescence of Christian fatuity, inspired a multitude of crackpots and scoundrels to devise schemes to make the relations between sovereign states subject to legislation that would curtail a state's sovereignty and thus, nitwits imagined, ensure lots of peace.

The potentiality of notions that appealed to sentimentalists was not overlooked by the enemies of our race and civilization. If you have read the poisonous slop concocted by a Kike who is now known under the Germanic name he assumed, Karl Marx, you will remember that his appeal to the envy, malice, and greed of the proletariat and of mediocrities who want to pose as "intellectual," includes a promise of a warless world as soon as Western civilization has been stamped out. Peace-posh was especially fostered and often subsidized by a conspiratorial organization bent on the liquidation of the United States, the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.¹

1. Cf. the significant cartoon, first published in 1910 and reproduced in *Liberty Bell*, April 1992, p. 16, in which Andrew Carnegie, the great financier and "philanthropist," is depicted as welcoming Karl Marx to the United States. The plotters of the Endowment injudiciously left written records of some of their deliberations, so there can be no doubt about their guilt, which cannot be palliated by talk about hypothetical "ideals."

From such sources sprang the subversive agitation for a "League of Nations" or a "United Nations" that would reduce the conventions of intercourse between sovereign states to a legislated law enforced by super-national military power, thus abolishing the sovereignty and independence of states and creating the Sheenies' "One World" of universal tyranny and slavery.

In the second place, 'international law' was not strictly international: it dealt, not with relations between nations, but with relations between states, i.e., territories under a single government. A state may be a nation, as is Japan today and as was Germany before 1945, but it may also be a government that rules a multinational population, as, for example, was the Austro-Hungarian Empire, in which a German monarchy and a German aristocracy, to which some Germanized Bohemians, Hungarians, and Poles had been recruited, ruled equably and well widely diverse ethnic groups, which were and still are separated by reciprocal and innate antagonisms, as is made obvious today by Serbian aggression on the peoples of Croatia, Bosnia, and Herzegovina.²

The ferocious and enormously bloody Wars of Religion, culminating in the Thirty Years' War, conclusively proved that the Christians' oddly composite god, Yahweh & Son, Inc., would do nothing to help his True Believers exterminate the diabolical servants of the Antichrist (i.e., Protestants or Catholics), and rational men had to come to the conclusion that the tripartite monster in the clouds either didn't give a damn about his votaries or, as was more probable, had never existed outside crude fiction. The superstition still had value for governing a populace, but the European monarchies had to find a reasonable basis for governing relations among themselves.

They naturally revived the Romans' *ius gentium*, the consensus of civilized nations and states on standards of conduct that permitted and facilitated intercourse between them. This was expounded and elaborated with reference to contemporary conditions by a series of eminent scholars. Hugo Grotius, now better known for his elegant Latin translation of the *Anthologia Planudea*, produced the fundamental work of

2. It may be worth while to remark that the English pronunciation is *Herzegovina*, with the accent on the penult. The mispronunciation one commonly hears on the radio and television today is Serbian. It may be intended to imply that the province belongs to Lord Bushy's Serbian pals.

'international law,' *De iure belli ac pacis* (1625). This was prolixly elaborated by Samuel Pufendorf in *Elementa iurisprudentiae universalis* (1660), and finally, with logical precision, by Christian von Wolff in *Ius gentium methodo scientifico pertractatum* (1746). This was codified in what had become the language of diplomacy by Emmerich von Vattel in his *Droit des gens, ou principes de la loi naturelle appliquées à la conduite et aux affaires des nations et des souverains* (1756), which became the standard and universally accepted authority.³

It must be noted that, first, international law, as formulated, applied only to relations between civilized nations and states, i.e., Aryans. No one in his senses ever thought it applied to relations with tribes of niggers in Africa or of savages in North America. It could be extended to relatively civilized and firmly established Oriental monarchs, such as the Sultans of Turkey, the Maharajahs of India, and the Emperors of China, to the extent that they were willing to accept its provisions and abide by them. But it was essentially a code of conduct for Europeans.

Second, the code was eminently practical. No state could attack another without a preliminary declaration of war, because no state wanted to be exposed to the danger of a sudden attack without warning. Ambassadors and envoys were sacrosanct, because if they were not, you could not reasonably ask one of your subjects to take the risk of entering the territory of a possibly hostile power. When enemy troops surrendered, you guaranteed not only their lives but comforts in captivity equal to those enjoyed by your own men of comparable rank, because you wanted to spare your men if they found themselves in a similar situation.⁴ The white flag of surrender must always be respected, because you wish to

3. I know not how many hundreds of scribblers subsequently wrote on this subject, most of them trying to promote some pet idea of their own or have their own axe ground at public expense. Near the end of the Nineteenth Century, innumerable volumes of drivel were published by crackpots who jabbered in print about "world peace" and similar *niaiserie*. As I remarked above, the nonsense was encouraged and often subsidized by our eternal enemies.

4. Enemy officers, being deemed to be gentlemen, were not confined to prisons or camps; if they gave their word they would not escape, they were free 'on parole' to walk about the town, make purchases in shops or taverns, chat with the inhabitants, and accept dinner invitations. How strange all that seems today, when personal honor has been abolished and all but forgotten!

avoid the losses and possible change of fortune that you would face, if the enemy fought to the death with the courage of despair. The provisions of treaties, whether public or secret, must be honorably kept until one party to the treaty denounces it (for no one imagines that a state can sign away the right to act for its own best interests).

War must be strictly limited and made as humane as possible, because you wish to spare your own people and, if you take territory from the enemy, you want that territory to be undamaged and prosperous, and its population to be content with their transfer from one state to another. War, therefore, is the business of disciplined armies, and wars are to be decided by those armies. Civilians are, by definition, non-combatants, and their lives and property are to be preserved so far as it is humanly possible to do so, to the mutual advantage of both the victorious and defeated states. Civilians of an enemy state are entitled to protection, even from an invading army, unless, of course, they have renounced their status as civilians by taking up arms. Even in civil wars, civilians who do not participate in the rebellion are to be protected, whatever their sympathies. (You remember that during the American revolution, General Gage hanged some of his own soldiers, who had been guilty of breaking into a colonial's shop.) But civilians who engage in hostilities against an enemy army are, in effect, rebels against their own government, like pirates and bandits, and are to be treated accordingly.

Such was the code of civilized decency in peace and war observed by European nations for two centuries. There were wars in monarchical Europe, but none was disastrous. Colonel Hoffman Nickerson, who liked to call democracy *l'anthropophage* because it devours so many human lives, was fond of noting how small were the armies of European monarchies and how relatively few lives were lost in their wars. He cited the estimate that in 1704, the year of Blenheim (which contemporaries considered a terribly bloody battle), the British Army and Navy decided the fate of Europe at the cost of less than 5000 dead, of which 2000 fell in the four major battles, whereas in 1914-1918 the British Army and Navy lost an average of 200,000 men every year.⁵ Thus did democracy permanently impoverish Britain's genetic heritage.

5. See especially Colonel Nickerson's article, "Democracy and Mass Massacre," in the *American Mercury*, April 1932.

Even after the blood-thirsty conspirators of the French Revolution revived the Christian mania of Holy Wars, civilized nations tried to respect the canon of international law. The first serious breach in that code was made surreptitiously by Great Britain in 1915, when she used armed merchant vessels treacherously to destroy German submarines that observed the rules of civilized warfare. And the last nation that tried to observe the old decencies of combat was Germany under Adolf Hitler.

The crazed Americans did not openly become an outlaw nation until 1945. They respected some parts of the old code. For example, they treated with some consideration the Japanese diplomats who were stranded here in 1941, and they interned the thousands of Japanese who were residing in this country, instead of massacring them, as would have been more in keeping with American righteousness and as they would doubtless have done, had their Jewish masters hated Japanese as much as they hated Aryans.

With so much of preamble, we may turn to the articles in the *Journal of Historical Review*.

I

As everyone who had access to reliable information at the time well knew, the "heroic French Resistance," so clamorously lauded by the liepapers after the defeat of France in 1940, was really a pack of rebels engaged in a revolt against the legitimate government of France, which, having miscalculated when it declared war on Germany, had been decisively defeated and had accordingly concluded the best possible treaty with Germany to spare the French people unnecessary hardship and suffering. But the "Resistance" was more than that. It consisted primarily of the criminal class of France, hired by British Intelligence and paid handsomely by American taxpayers. The *apaches* and other professional criminals were augmented by Communist-minded potential criminals among the lowest classes of peasantry and workers, also eager for gold and immunity for the crimes they could at last commit with impunity; and there was a small leaven of hot-headed and unthinking French youths and "idealists," inflated with a spurious patriotism or "humanitarianism," who principally served as decoys to be thrown in the path of the German Gestapo while the hardened and expert criminals made their escape.

Even if it had not been composed of such unsavory and vicious creatures, the French Resistance was, in terms of international law, a horde of outlaws, similar to pirates and ordinary bandits, and it is amazing what adulation it was accorded by Americans crazed with Christian righteousness, even before the great War Criminal in Washington contrived open warfare against Germany by surreptitiously mounting a projected and treacherous attack on Japan.

I remember having attended a performance of a play, written and produced by a blood-thirsty American woman in 1941 to arouse enthusiasm for a jihad against Germany. It was full of hogwash about "saving the world" and "the cause of mankind," and one saw jack-booted and ruthless "Nazis," but I now remember only one scene. The hero of the play was a Professor of English in an American university who had contracted an itch to "fight for freedom [*sic!*]" and deliriously rushed off to join the French "freedom-fighters." I recall only the scene in which he, looking like a wet rat, crawled out of the sewers of Paris (the authoress had read *Les Misérables*) to help heroic French men and women plot to dynamite a railway train on the chance that some of the victims might be Germans.

After the defeat of Germany, the French criminals and French scum that had waited to join them until it was safe to do so indulged in a vast orgy of murder to sate their innate blood-lust and their hatred of their betters, with the approval of the French revolutionary general, Charles de Gaulle, whose treason had been rewarded with success and temporary sovereignty over his unhappy country. These massacres were euphemistically called the *Épuration*. Many of the murders were inspired by the *hostes generis humani* to avenge rational consideration of the Jewish problem, and others were hired by businessmen, large and small, who delightedly found a way to eliminate successful rivals in their business. It has been estimated that about one hundred thousand French men and women of the upper classes were murdered between 1940 and 1946 by the "heroic" criminals of the "Resistance," whom professional liars have taught you to admire.

Years ago, Professor Robert Faurisson, a true hero who has championed the cause of historical truth despite vicious harassment by the Jewish government of France, undertook a detailed study of the bloody operations of the "heroic Resistance" in 1944, i.e., before the

defeat of Germany, by just two bands of *maquisards* in certain communities of just one small district of France, the region around Confolens, a small town of a few thousand inhabitants north-east of Angoulême and north-north-east of a small city of which all Americans know the name, Cognac, in the modern *département* of Charente, which is directly east of Charente-Maritime, the *département* on the Atlantic coast, south of La Rochelle.

In that relatively tiny and rather thinly populated area, Professor Faurisson undertook to ascertain the names of the victims of the *maquis*, the circumstances of their murder, and the lies by which the guilty have with some success covered up their crimes. For example, some residents of the region now repeat the story that a priest who was foully tortured and murdered, probably because he went to the guerilla band to protest their treatment of seven of his parishioners whom they were about to murder, was not a priest, but was a German spy, who had worn a German captain's uniform under his cassock. That story had been validated by murdering the priest's house-keeper, who knew the truth.

Professor Faurisson even ascertained in many cases what had been done with the corpses; e.g., "Ten or so bodies [i.e., ten identified victims and possibly others] are still buried in the 'foxholes' at the old Jayet mill, for exhuming them would mean exhuming a part of the truth in contradiction to the legend that grows stronger year by year."

In this highly detailed study, Professor Faurisson meticulously reports what he learned from each witness who dared talk to him, and he judiciously evaluates each testimony with critical acumen. Some of the stories he was told exemplify a common phenomenon: narrators supply from imagination details they do not recall. For example, one source told Professor Faurisson that a young bride, whom the "Freedom Fighters" seized and murdered in her wedding gown after looting her well-furnished chalet,⁶ had been shot "at dawn." Actually the murder occurred at 9 P.M.

6. It took 126 men with two trucks to cart away all the loot. You will have no difficulty in understanding, despite the author's scrupulous silence, why the unfortunate young woman, who seems to have had no political interests whatever, was guilty of "collaboration with the Nazis." The guilt of the owner of what was the most highly reputed automobile-factory in France and many other prosperous and cultivated victims was, no doubt, similarly established.

Professor Faurisson even located and interviewed some of the murderers, who are still alive, flourishing, and feel quite secure. No one, of course, would ever accuse them of "war crimes": they never offended a Sheeny.

Four excerpts from Professor Faurisson's unfinished work were published in the *Revue d'histoire révisionniste* in the spring of 1991, and have now been translated into English under the title, "A Dry Chronicle of the Purge."

These portions of his study do not lend themselves to summary, and it will suffice to say that the conduct of the "heroic Resistance" was precisely what any intelligent man would expect of the human offal of which it was composed. When one reads the article in the *Journal of Historical Review*, one must remember that the author scrupulously refrains from inferring even obvious motives when there is no specific evidence for them, and bear in mind that what he reports is only one small sample of the work of the "heroic Resistance," which, multiplied many thousand times, engulfed all France with its terrible hatred of decent human beings.

That, in turn, is a small part of the disasters the American boobs brought upon mankind, which, an imaginative person would say, they are now doomed to expiate.

II

The diary kept by the Japanese General and Prime Minister, Hideki Tojo, after he was imprisoned by the Americans in 1945 and until they murdered him in 1948, has been translated into English for the first time by Henry Symington and General Hideo Miki. It is not easy reading in many places, but you must not unjustly suspect the translators. Tojo was a man of a race whose mentality is fundamentally different from ours, but he was trying to address our mentality (which he probably could not understand), and I feel confident that his sometimes involute discourse was accurately put into English, at least so far as is possible, given the great difference between the two kinds of language.

The "trial" of Tojo, needless to say, was an obscene farce. Not only by international law, but by the innate ethics of our race, the rulers and officers of a defeated state, who fought for their country and nation, are entitled to the respect and consideration that Aryans in-

stinctively accord brave enemies.⁷ But the American assassins, sent to murder Tojo, played with the unfortunate victim and subjected him to at least mental torture by pretending, with odious hypocrisy, to be a court of justice and open to reasonable argument.

The murder of the Japanese was probably ordered to provide a kind of simulated counterpart to the even more foul murders that the victorious Americans, reverting to the savagery of the Indians from whom they once took their country, perpetrated at Nuremberg and elsewhere in Germany at the behest of their Yiddish masters.

Well, the really binding laws of nature, from which there is no appeal, cannot be flouted with impunity. Now, forty-five years later, Japan is the foremost industrial nation of the whole world. The United States is a wasteland, ruled by its implacable enemies and filled with biological refuse, and the ruined Americans, their economy bankrupt and their industry destroyed, have become a dead nation, waiting for the undertaker.

7. The fact that the Japanese, like the Chinese and all Mongoloid peoples, were, by biological necessity, the enemies of our race was relevant, but not mentioned at the time, since plans for the liquidation of Americans depended on keeping the boobs befuddled with the Christian hokum that denies biological reality.

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN?

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

Politics of Terror in South Africa

Ivor Benson examines the psychological warfare being used to induce South African Whites to surrender control of their country, and identifies those behind it.

A BUDGET of information we have received from sources close to the centre of things indicates that South Africa will soon be moving into a situation much like that which prevails in Lebanon, Yugoslavia, Azerbaijan, Afghanistan, etc.

So there are shocks in store for those who have allowed themselves to be persuaded that the 'yes' vote in the snap referendum in March means that the whole problem of South Africa's political future has been solved—a false impression enhanced by the partial lifting of international sanctions and the much publicised return of South Africa to international sport.

In other words, the 'yes' vote in the referendum does not mean what it seems to mean; all that can be learned from it is what happens when modern high-tech psychological warfare costing millions of pounds, reinforced with tactics of terror, are brought to bear on an unprotected population.

One of our correspondents writes:

During the fortnight before polling day something happened which had never happened before. Banks, insurance companies, huge industrial corporations like SASOL (the oil-from-coal monopoly), the great mining houses, etc., warned their employees that if a 'no' verdict was returned full sanctions would be imposed and they would lose their jobs."

There was no way in which the opposition groups could reach the electorate with a response to this threat in the short time before polling day.

The result of the referendum will now have to be compared with the result of a by-election in the Orange Free State mining town of Welcom due to take place on June 17th. The Conservative Party with its public meetings, door-to-door canvassing, etc., will be very much less at a disadvantage in presenting its case to the voters.

Already there are signs that F.W. de Klerk is not having it all his own way. The reception that awaited him when he opened his nationwide campaign was summed up in a poster put out by the Sunday paper *Rap-*

port: "Chaos at Mitchell's Plain during FW visit." This was quickly withdrawn and replaced with another which sought to link the 'chaos' with de Klerk's supposed 'triumph'.

Writes another correspondent who is closely associated with the opposition:

We are under no illusion. Order is rapidly disintegrating and a realignment of political forces is rapidly taking place. De Klerk's own National Party of in a ferment, giving rise to the possibility of defections and more by-elections, and even of another general election before de Klerk can finalise a deal with the ANC/Communist Party alliance.

He adds:

The general mood in the Conservative Party after the referendum is one of absolute determination as we face the great troubles that lie ahead, you may be sure that the Conservative leaders are well aware of the arcane international forces which lie behind this onslaught against South Africa.

A correspondent in Cape Town, who had it from a source inside the British Embassy, reports that more than 500,000 South Africans have a legal right to British passports, not to mention the scores of thousands of other post-war immigrants who never gave up British citizenship.

The implications of this are twofold: (1) If the country falls into serious disorder, Britain might have to receive anything up to one million people from South Africa; (2) With so many persons of British ancestry involved, happenings in South Africa can be expected to produce in Britain repercussions, both public and private, of a kind not produced in places like Lebanon, Yugoslavia, Afghanistan, etc.

A Maverick Expelled

The summary dismissal by the Conservative Party of one of its most senior and outspoken members, Koos van der Merwe, "for articulating policy contrary to that of the leadership" confirms other reports to the effect that the CP is bent on achieving a high degree of inner unity and discipline. Van der Merwe had been getting a great deal of media publicity, arguing that the party should participate in the CODESA negotiations. This may happen, but it will be on the CP's own terms.

Andrew Smith, chairman of the Western Goals Institute, London, an organisation representing so-called 'extreme right-wing' groups in the European Parliament, including Jean-Marie Le Pen's National Front, said in an interview in Cape Town that the Conservative Party in South Africa had

the full support of all the national conservative parties in Europe. It was their view, too, that regardless of the results of the referendum, the CP should continue to fight for white political self-determination. He remarked of the propaganda for a 'yes' vote in the referendum that media manipulation and intimidation on such a scale had never been seen before anywhere in the world.

Appearance and Reality

As a preliminary to any discussion of the undeclared war against South Africa, which now seems to be heading towards a grim climacteric, one all-embracing generalisation needs to be stated:

The reality of what has been happening is something quite different from what most people have been made to believe it is.

There are many other ways in which such a generalisation can be worded. For example, we can say that what we have to contend with, in trying to understand what is happening in South Africa, and will continue to happen at an accelerating pace, is a structure of falsehood so vast, so complex, and by so many innocently believed, that it is virtually impregnable. In short, it is a world of lies from which few who are caught up in it can escape.

But how could such a world of lies have come into existence? For an answer to that question we need some knowledge of history down the ages, one of the main lessons of which is that great changes in the disposition of great power are all linked with the emergence of some new way of waging war, some change in weaponry and tactics which has conferred on one nation an insuperable advantage over its opponents.

So, too, in our age, the nations of the world have been taken by surprise, caught wholly unprepared, by the employment of forms of warfare, conducted under the appearance of politics, in which the weapon of major importance is **the lie**.

The reasoning goes like this: If physical violence can be used as an instrument of conquest, why not also moral violence? Would not aggression be so much harder to resist if conducted secretly under the cloak of amity?

A New Kind of Warfare

What all this amounts to, of course, is the transference of struggle to a new battleground of the mind.

Indeed, the expression 'moral violence' more accurately describes the new kind of warfare which has given the world an age of conflict and suffering without any precedent in recorded history.

There seem to be only three lines of action available to those who seek to fight back against a campaign of psycho-political fraud such as that now being brought to bear on the people of South Africa:

(1) They can content with the world of lies piecemeal, disputing or exclaiming against this or that component of it. For example, it can be shown quite easily that Nelson Mandela has no genuine claim to the leadership of South Africa's Blacks; first to dispute that claim are the Zulus, who are the country's biggest ethnic group.

(2) They can introduce new facts into the dispute, or recover and bring back again to public attention information about which there can be no doubt but which is never mentioned again by the politicians and the media, information which could throw more light on the entire South African drama. An example of this would be the retelling of the story of the police swoop in 1963 on a mansion at Rivonia, near Johannesburg, when the entire Communist underground headquarters and the leadership were captured intact—including Mandela. All the Whites arrested at Rivonia were Jews.

Volumes could be filled with information and argument challenging the thesis that the revolution in South Africa is being run by and for the black population, but there could never be enough of it, supplied fast enough, to keep pace with the Great Lie, which, like some legendary monster, sprouts new limbs as fast as they are cut off.

Fighting Back with Truth

Then there is option (3), which is to disregard the 'world of lies' and concentrate rather on boldly stating the truth as we see it, like this:

There is incontrovertible proof that the revolutionary movement in South Africa is essentially a Jewish nationalist enterprise wearing the disguise of a black liberation movement; or, as we have put it before in this service, a "white hand in a black glove." The South African drama is thus a replica of the Bolshevik Revolution in which a Jewish nationalist imperialism passed itself off, with the support and connivance of highly influential elements in western capitalism, as an uprising of the oppressed Russian masses.

Anyone wishing to test the authenticity of statements like the above could hardly do better than begin with Dr. Gideon Shimoni's book *Jews and Zionism: The South African experience, 1910-1967*, published by Oxford University Press in 1980, in which the story of Jewish involvement in the revolution is told with remarkable frankness.

The main struggle, Shimoni writes, began in 1950, when the Government introduced the Suppression of Communism Act. He goes on:

Apart from the effect of these dramatic events upon the lives of Jews as white citizens of South Africa, they had significant consequences for South African Jewry as a community. The reason was the extraordinary salience of Jewish individuals in the white opposition to the regime... Throughout this period Jewish names kept appearing in every facet of the struggle...in the radical communist opposition; in the courts, whether as defendants or as counsel for the defence; in the lists of banings and among those who fled the country to evade arrest. Their presence was particularly marked in the course of the Treason Trial which occupied an important place in the news throughout the second half of the 1950s... Twenty-three of those arrested were Whites, more than half of them Jews.

To top it all, adds Shimoni, at one state of the trial the defence counsel was led by Israel Maisels, the prominent Jewish communal leader.

In Shimoni's books we find Zionism defined as "a progressive nationalism compatible with socialism according to the formula: socialist in content and national in form"—i.e., 'national socialism' for Jews, but not for others.

There are many other books which could be consulted on the subject of the Jewish role in South Africa. One of the most comprehensive and most detailed is Henry R. Pike's *A History of Communism in South Africa*, published by the Christian Mission International (available from Thinker's Library, Box 23397, Joubert Park, Johannesburg).

The bigger subject of the Jewish role in western history is discussed in Douglas Reed's *The Controversy of Zion* [available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$12.00 + \$1.80 for postage] and this writer's *The Zionist Factor*, a new and enlarged edition of which is available from Noontide Press, Costa Mesa, California. □

The above article was first published in *Behind the News*, obtainable from PO Box 29, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 6EF, England, and is reprinted here from *Spearhead*, Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW, England

The Triangle

by
G.S., *New Mexico*

Unknown, or unnoticed by the rest of the world, the immensely powerful international Jewish community had severe internal tribal problems maintaining cohesion within Judaism as the 20th Century began. In fact, the forces acting upon the Jewish community were sufficiently divisive that the community was unable to remain united. There were three factions. Here is their story.

The first faction, principally made up of wealthy or upward rising and influential German Jewish immigrants to the United States and Great Britain, as well as older, established, Jews in Europe, can be called the Capitalist faction. These people came to dominate the clothing industry, entertainment (movies, TV, the music business, etc.), department stores as well as many facets of banking, law and medicine in whatever country they lived. One might also call them marginally religious. They developed the Reformed and Conservative branches of Judaism in the United States in order to make Judaism more flexible as a political system. The Orthodox faith had restricted Jewish social and monetary goals too much.

The second faction, in large part Eastern European Jews from Russia and Poland, as well as immigrants from those same countries to America and Great Britain, can be called the Socialist faction. These Jews exist in large numbers today. They are mainly atheists and liberals, and tend to support the forming of organizations among minority groups, using those groups as shields to protect against a rise of anti-Semitism, but also drafting them as allies at the same time. These Jews created such powerful bastions of atheism and socialism as the American Civil Liberties Union, to mention only one of the bewildering number of Socialist/Jewish-based lobbying groups now active among the non-Jewish majority. They have set themselves the task of dividing and conquering the vast majority of non-Jews by splitting that huge majority into smaller, mutually hostile segments which can be

more easily managed.

Some of these population segments can be categorized by race (Blacks, Orientals, Hispanics, etc.); some by gender or sexual preference (women's groups, homosexuals, etc.); others are grouped by function (environmentalists, pro-choice factions (the pro-life movement is a backlash against the Socialist/Jewish sponsored movement in abortion), anti-gun groups, etc.). In an odd trading of Holocausts we find that there were 6 million mainly Socialist Jews destroyed during WW II compared with over 25 million dead aborted non-Jewish babies (at last count) in the Abortion Wars between the Socialist/Atheist Jews (plus liberal allies) and their ancient offspring, the Christians. Abortion is the Jewish ACLU's top priority, so look for the death total to rise even higher. And the Jews speak of Holocaust monuments in Washington. Which Holocaust will we honor?

Socialist Jews also formed the core of the World Zionist Movement that eventually led to the founding of the State of Israel. They champion the world of secular humanism, one race, one culture, hopefully no religion. Do you find it hard reading about Jews who are atheists? The majority of the world's Jews appear not to believe in a God even though they are given credit for giving the world the concept of One God. They champion free speech and free action as long as they are the ones doing the speaking and acting. They would like to muzzle the non-Jewish right-wing in America and in Europe, and show signs of making the attempt to define free speech along political lines desirable for them. Look soon to see laws on the books making it a major crime to speak against the Jews or call them names.

The third faction, a vicious and uncontrollable minority among the Jews in the early 20th century, considered both of the other Jewish factions as evil, anachronistic or irrelevant. This group, historically relegated to the fringe of the Jewish struggle to gain a large piece of world control, provided the brainpower and brutality behind the Bolshevik movement of Lenin in Russia. It was Trotsky and Kamenev and Litvinov and Dzerzhinsky (the Russian Secret Police) and Luxemburg and Liebknecht and Kun and thousands of other Jewish Bolsheviks who exploded on the

world scene in the dark days at the end of WW I and made the most ferocious attempt at a Jewish power grab in the history of the world until today. These were the Jews who gave us and the rest of humanity the specter of Communism for over 70 years. They were different from Socialist Jews only in their violent means of opponent elimination and their tendency to dictatorial solutions.

Why does the normal non-Jewish person in the street know nothing of these people except rumors if they are as powerful as all that? We know of the lesser figures of our world such as Reagan and Nixon and Ford and Carter and Bush and all the rest of the less powerful. Why do we not know of the true power, in the world? Why is the man in the street so ignorant of the threat from the triple headed gorgon of Judaism? Much of this ignorance stems from the fact that no one dares raise a hand against them. The Jews control the communications and propaganda media at the national level where it counts. Jews make virtually all of our movies, tell us what we are to believe in a multitude of TV programs and talk shows designed for that purpose, create our fads and feed us literary works for those who still read and cannot be reached through movies or TV.

Our children listen to Nirvana and Poison and Metallica, et al, having their heads filled with Satanism and individualism (if everyone is doing his own thing, there will be no group to challenge Jewish power). Through Rock music they teach our children. That monstrous music fad is nothing but a Jewish teaching device, and very profitable also. Find that hard to believe? Who owns and operates all of the Rock music business? Do you know? Look at the management of Warner Records (Metallica) (the Jews Moe Ostin), Polygram (Bon Jovi) (the Jew Alain Levy), MCA (Nirvana) (the Jew Lennie Wasserman) and Capitol (Poison) (the Jew H.J. Maxmin) and the other music companies. All major movie and music companies (hopefully not C & W) are controlled by the Jews of the Socialist/Humanist faction. The world's children know nothing of the Jews pulling the strings behind their singing puppets, but they are getting the brainwashing down pat. Check out our youth's demands for individualism over loyalty to group, family, nation; disrespect for adults and other authority, et al. Divide and

conquer, that is the name of the game.

Back to our story. In 1917, the Bolshevik fringe of Judaism rose up and attempted to take over control of the World Jewish Power Base. In Russia, they threw down the gauntlet to the Capitalist and Socialist factions, telling them that the atheistic/radical branch of Jewish Bolshevism was going to beat them to world control. We all know what happened. The Capitalist Jews took 70 years but slowly strangled the Bolshevik Jews and their non-Jewish Communist allies and, through out-spending them, brought the Bolsheviks to their knees. Do you really believe that it wasn't the Jews who tore the heart out of Communism. Silly person you. Of course, the average American and European provided the bulk of the money and leg-work necessary to accomplish the victory of the Jewish Capitalists over their now chastened upstart brethren.

The collapse of the Bolshevik Jews in the USSR and their subsequent failure to capture the world Jewish power base can best be noticed in modern history after they surrendered to the outside Jewish Capitalists and became Soviet dissidents in the 1970's. After that, the fall of Communism was a foregone conclusion. Dozens of Capitalist/Jewish and Socialist/Jewish help groups and political action groups came forward to pressure our President and Congress as well as other International powers to aid their now repented brethren languishing under the control of the "evil empire" of Communism. What a bunch of garbage! They made the "evil empire" in the first place. Of course, we all weeped for the Jews under a barrage of media attention for their plight. They merely wanted out of their homespun failure.

There was only one hitch after 1917. For the first time in centuries, a hostile European non-Jewish power faction arose at the worst possible time and, because there was a three-way breach in the Jewish power base in the 1920's and 1930's, this anti-Jewish faction was able to struggle to power and defend their world against the Gorgon. Do you think Adolf Hitler could have come to power if the Jewish Capitalists were not fighting the effects of a world-wide depression at the same time they were fighting their upstart Bolshevik and Socialist relatives for the principle path to

Jewish international control? Hitler was an aberration. He was a Spartacus of the non-Jewish world, and he conquered for a while only because his world enemy was in temporary disarray.

By 1941, a coalition of Capitalist, Socialist and Bolshevik Jews had come into being, dropping for the duration of the war their squabbling among each other. Of course, their principle puppet peoples, the Americans, Britons and Soviets were also molded into an unholy alliance of Jewish Christianity and Jewish atheism just long enough to destroy the modern Spartacus (perhaps we should say Arminius since Hitler was a Germanic folk hero), and his resurrected slave people. The Germans breathed a little of the air of freedom after 2000 years, and then the Jewish cloak covered them once more. We now face a truly ferocious and powerful monster, more determined than ever (and with the resources of an awesome communications empire) to keep us under tight, and "loving" control.

Take a look around, slaves! I am not lying. Look who produces your movies, who says what you will watch on TV. Look at who controls your music and publishing. Find out why the ACLU is quoted almost every night on TV, specially on CNN programs. Think, examine, learn, (don't take any leader of a liberal organization at face value—find out who the power behind the throne really is) before it is all too late. The World Community of the Jewish dream is very close to being a reality. They will rebuild and own Russia soon, mostly with our money and their avarice. Take that as a fact, not a prediction.

The Jewish people are not all bad. They look upon us as stupid *Goyim*, wayward and ignorant children in need of being taught. My findings show that that is exactly what we are. We only need to change the teachers.

Would I like to see the Jewish World Power Structure overthrown? I have never know any other world but one controlled for me by the Jews, never known a blessed day of freedom from their constant interfering in the lives of my people. I wonder what a non-Jewish movie would look like, what non-Jewish popular music would sound like, what kind of programs I might see on a TV network not controlled by the Jews or their benighted allies. Would

my people be any good at providing better and more pleasing material? I don't know. I only know that I want to see faces on my people like I see in the old newsreels and documentaries which survive from the very earliest days of Nazism in Germany, days before murder came and clouded over their smiling eyes, and days when the Jewish power was broken for the first time in 2000 long years. None of my people have ever smiled more deeply, or hoped more genuinely, nor had a more stronger faith than they had then. The light of a genuine, not false, freedom was in those eyes, undimmed in the eye of the camera by all these many years since. No amount of Jewish defamation of that light can ever destroy the memory now burning ever so brightly just out of reach. □

FOR MY LEGIONARIES

The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$10.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WWII. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the "missing pieces" of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza, the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country"—B.C.) **FOR MY LEGIONARIES**, Order #06003, single copy \$10.00, 3 copies \$25.00, 5 copies \$35.00

For postage and handling add: On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues, \$37.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

THE LOS ANGELES RIOTS OF APRIL 1992 AND THE REICHSKRISTALLNACHT OF NOVEMBER 1938:

SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES

By

Charles E. Weber

Historians now have available a detailed and penetrating study of the anti-Jewish riots which took place in many German cities on the night of 9 November 1938 and which are commonly known as the Reichskristallnacht (Reich Crystal Night). That study is the book by the German historian Ingrid Weckert, *Feuerzeichen: Die "Reichskristallnacht": Anstifter und Brandstifter — Opfer und Nutznießer* (Fire Signal: The "Reich Crystal Night": Instigators and Arsonists — Victims and Beneficiaries, Tübingen: Grabert-Verlag, 1981. My review of *Feuerzeichen* was published both in the *Liberty Bell* of January, 1989 and *The Journal of Historical Review*, Winter 1988-1989. Subsequently an English translation was published in California under the title, *Flashpoint*. Miss Weckert also summarized her findings about the riots in a paper presented to the Sixth International Revisionist Conference and later published in *The Journal of Historical Review*, Summer, 1985, pages 183-206.

The recent, extremely destructive riots in Los Angeles can hardly fail to remind Americans with a good knowledge of twentieth century European history of the riots in Germany in November, 1938. Indeed, there are some notable similarities, or at least superficial similarities. There was a central element of racial hostilities as a causative factor in both tragedies. In both cases property damage was extensive. Miss Weckert estimates that of the approximately 1,400 synagogues in Germany (including the recently annexed Austria at that time) some 180 were destroyed or damaged. Of the ca. 100,000 shops and department stores still owned by Jews (nearly six years after the National Socialists came to power!) about 7,500 had their windows broken, (whence the common name for the riots). There were comparable numbers of people killed. In the case of the Reichskristallnacht, one report places the number at 36, another at 91. In the case of the Los Angeles riots, the number of persons killed seems to total about three score. After the riots the leading figures in both the German and American govern-

ments were keenly aware of the resultant economic burdens. Göring, for example, was concerned about the loss of scarce foreign currency, since the window glass needed to replace the broken glass was manufactured in Belgium. The Germans were especially worried about the effects of the riots on public opinion in foreign countries, while the California riots can hardly enhance the reputation of the United States as a moral force in world public opinion. In both cases a quite specific act of violence touched off the riots. In the case of the Reichskristallnacht, the act of violence was the shooting on 7 November 1938 of an official of the German embassy in Paris by a young Polish Jew, Herschel Grynszpan, who was apparently acting as an agent of a Zionist organization with headquarters in Paris and who, amazingly, was never brought to trial for his crime. In fact, he survived the war. (The German diplomat did not die from his wounds until after the riots started.)

Important or unimportant though these similarities might be, there are also important differences. Prominent American political leaders such as Thomas Jefferson and Abraham Lincoln had long ago recognized that serious conflicts between Negro and Caucasian components of the American population were likely to be a feature of American life in coming generations. The efforts to stimulate emigration of Negroes resulted in the foundation of the American Colonization Society in 1816 and the foundation of the Liberian Republic in 1847, long before Lincoln's Emancipation Proclamation in the midst of the desperate War Between the States (1863). Even such Negro leaders as Marcus Garvey (1887-1940) also saw the desirability of emigration of Negroes from the United States. By 1992, few Americans, Negro or Caucasian, envisaged emigration as a solution to racial problems. American Negroes have a far more prosperous and easier life than they have in any other country; in Haiti or in any Negro republic in Africa. National Socialism, on the other hand, contemplated emigration of the Jews as a final solution to problems it considered to be engendered by the presence of Jews in Germany. The National Socialist government established agencies for the purpose of assisting Jews to emigrate, especially to Palestine, a British mandate at that time. These efforts on the part of the German government were largely frustrated by the angry resistance of the Palestinians and the unwillingness of the

major powers to admit many Jews as immigrants, as the failure of the Evian Conference in 1938 demonstrated. The possibilities of Madagascar as a homeland for Jews were also eliminated by the circumstances of the war.

The riots of 1992 were almost entirely confined to Los Angeles, while the Reichskristallnacht was a tragedy which occurred in many localities. The former were characterized by a great deal of looting, while looting was only a minor feature of the Reichskristallnacht. The American media played a large role in causing the riots, especially the television networks, which repeatedly ran the edited film showing police efforts to subdue the strong Negro with a criminal record who was resisting arrest. German political leaders, on the other hand, knew very well what they had to lose by the riots and swiftly put a stop to them.

The causes of the riots of 1992 are fairly clear, while the identity of the instigators of the Reichskristallnacht remains unclear even to this day. Miss Weckert finds strong evidence that the Reichskristallnacht was the immediate result of efforts by foreign agents, perhaps Zionist agents whose objective was the stimulation of emigration of Jews to Palestine. She believes that these agents might have provoked the riots by means of false orders telephoned to offices of the National Socialist Party in various regions.

The 1938 riots were and still are portrayed as an ugly stain on the National Socialist government in power from 1933 to 1945. They are still emphasized by detractors of National Socialism in particular and of the German nation in general. The Reichskristallnacht was of crucial importance in damaging the reputation of Germany amongst the nations of the world at the very time when Germany was attempting to overcome some of the worst features of the Versailles Treaty. Amongst Germans themselves the Reichskristallnacht had a tendency to dampen the previous widespread enthusiasm for National Socialism and its successes. It remains to be seen to what extent the Los Angeles riots of 1992 will have damaged the reputation of the United States during the coming years. However, export markets are far less vital to the United States of 1992 than they were to the Germany of 1938. □

MUST WE DESPAIR?

AN ARYAN OVERVIEW

by
Eric Thompson

How many times have we heard fellow Aryans say, "We are overwhelmingly out-numbered," and/or "We are totally out-manuevered by the jews," and/or "we are totally dominated and controlled by the Zionist Occupation Government?" And then how many times has the same speaker concluded: "Therefore, the Aryan Race is doomed?" Probably everyone in the Struggle for Aryan Survival has heard this funeral dirge in theme and variations from many of those who say they would like Our Race to survive, but who claim that our struggle is in vain, and have therefore thrown in the proverbial towel and 'withdrawn' from the struggle.

To these Aryan defeatists I would like to point out (1) that no one can opt out of the struggle, except, perhaps, by death; and even this option is uncertain, in view of Our Race's belief in reincarnation, which goes back to our pre-judeo-christian alienation, for it was the inculcation of so-called Christianity which partially severed our roots of racial memory, almost as effectively as race-mixing itself. Wherever we seek to hide in the ongoing struggle, we will betray ourselves, "for the color of our skin is our uniform," as Commander Rockwell said, many years ago. Even Aryan traitors who serve the enemies of Our Race will be dealt with fatally by their non-Aryan masters, once they see that there are insufficient numbers of Aryans to deceive by means of their Aryan *shabbas Goyim* or frontmen. Thus it is that even our traitors depend upon our survival for their survival. As for the jews, they could not begin to survive in their present numbers, were it not for their wonderful Aryan 'milk-cows.' Imagine, for a moment, if the jews were condemned to live off the efforts of Black slaves, Black 'scientists,' Black 'inventors,' Black tax-payers and Black farmers. How few the jews would be, and how poor they would be, as compared to the hordes of affluent and idle jews presently sustained by Aryan Civilization! This is not to say that the jew will be smart enough to maintain sufficient numbers of Aryans in order to maintain the benefits of Aryan Civilization, for it is in the jew's mad, race-mixed nature to destroy all that is superior, intelli-

gent, healthy, productive and beautiful. The Jew cannot fight his own nature, but that does not mean that we must be accomplices in our own extinction. WE CAN FIGHT THE JEW! But this we must sincerely desire to do, in every aspect of our lives and with every fiber of our beings.

I would like to point out (2) that there is no justification for despair. Despair is the loss of hope. The key word and the key to despair is "loss". Our defeatist Aryans are homeless because of their having lost something or their fear that something will be inevitably lost. Let us see what we have lost and what we stand to lose through the actions of our enemies and by the inaction of our fellow-Aryans.

It is well said that a cynic is a disappointed or disillusioned romantic. Some people are disappointed and become prone to cynicism when they discover the truth behind Santa Claus and the Easter Bunny and/or the Tooth-Fairy. Others become cynical and even despairing when they find out that "freedom" does not exist under "democracy", which itself does not and has not existed. Our parents and the schools to which they entrust us can be faulted for the inculcation of these illusions, but once we have become disillusioned, that is no excuse for behaving as lifelong 'victims' and/or political cripples. Once we have a good idea of the kind of world we live in, it is our choice to sink or to swim in it. Those who prefer to sink, should have the decency to drown themselves as quickly and as quietly as possible, so as not to discourage those who are just learning to swim.

The loss of illusions is indeed a gain, if we wish to live as Aryans and not as Asiatic lotus-eaters. Let us look at some illusions which defeatists seem to view as 'losses': We have lost great numbers of Our Race, through the actions of our enemies. We have lost great territories. We have lost and/or lost control of our governments, our religions, our possessions, our freedoms, our way of life. Further losses are threatened on all fronts.

Certainly, the Aryan has not distinguished himself by thinking or acting on behalf of the Aryan Race, any more than the mosquito thought about avoiding DDT. Yes, large numbers of mosquitos were killed by DDT, just as large numbers of Aryans have been killed by judeo-christianity, but the mosquitos who survived the initial onslaught of DDT bred further generations which were increasingly re-

sistant to the poison. I hope that Aryans have a similar capability, which is basically bound up with their desire to survive. Those who wish to survive will purge themselves of judeo-christian poison, and they will see to it that future generations are immunized. Nature is doing this for us, and even if large numbers of Aryans must die, history shows that some will survive to form the nucleus for Aryan Rurgence. We must accept the losses which the Aryan chooses to inflict upon himself. Our Race will be the better for the absence of such people in the ongoing struggle for Aryan Survival, the only prerequisite being the serious wish to survive as Aryans.

Let us look at other 'losses'. It is only true to say that one cannot lose that which one never possessed. How many Europeans are really Aryans, for example? Europe, like North America, is merely a geographical expression. Although most White People or Aryans are to be found in Europe, there are large numbers of Europeans with Black or Yellow admixtures, just as there are in North America. "Aryan" is a biological, not a geographical concept, and it is behavioral! I have observed blond, blue-eyed, fair-skinned offspring of parents I know to be part Black or Yellow. In the book, *The Genetics of the Jews*, by A.E. Mourant et al., the authors of which are hematologists and Jews themselves, they state in summary that "even the blondest Jews have from five to ten percent Negro marker genes". Of course, when one adds the necessary Mongol percentage to the Ashkenazim or "White Jews", the Aryan percentage shrinks even more. If "White Jews" cannot be classified as Aryans, then what about non-Jews who have similar racial admixtures? Indeed, many people whom we thought of as "White" or "Aryan" may well have been race-mixed with certain predominant Aryan features. The 'loss' of the race-mixed is an undiluted blessing to Our Race, for it is miscegenation which causes "the loss of understanding, and from this, all evil," in the words of our Aryan ancestors who conquered India. Are there any evil Aryans? This we can only discover when we live in a society of pure Aryans, otherwise we may never know!

The behavioral aspect of race is perhaps the most important distinction, and the least studied. Values determine behavior and values emanate from race. Each race has its own peculiar concept of "God". The Hebrew god is certainly congruent with the racial emanations of

its followers, who glorify deceit and murderous assaults upon the Hebrews' host populations. The Aryans of European stock have been ill-served by their adoption of the "son" of this Asiatic demon as their "god", for in no way does this "god" correspond to the behavior or aspirations of pure Aryans. Jew authors have said so themselves, thereby adding the proverbial insult to injury, although the insult should give Aryans cause to cease injuring themselves by not adhering to the alien creed of judeo-christianity. Whenever you see outwardly-appearing Aryans behaving like hysterical dervishes in judeo-christian churches or playing thunderous "rap" as they drive down the street, please consider: if this can be Aryan behavior. Since it is not, can we consider such people as Aryans? I do not. If I cannot think and live as an Aryan, then I care not if my tormentors are blond, blue-eyed and fair-skinned. And here is the essence of Our Struggle: It stems from the undeniable wish to live according to Our Aryan Values. These values are innate and they emanate in everything we do, say and think. The Jew can feel these emanations and he reacts with hysterical hostility whenever he encounters them. "What did I say wrong?" thinks the innocent Aryan. It was not what you said, but who you revealed yourself to be, that drove the Jew into his fearful frenzy. We are engaged in a cosmic struggle of BEING, of which the Jew, but not the Aryan, is fully aware. In order for the Aryan to become aware, he must first be awakened. Aryans must cease their dreaming in Jewsmidia fantasy-land.

The huge territorial losses suffered by the Aryan Race need not be permanent. The collapse of Aryan Civilization will produce a population crash amongst the huge numbers of non-Aryans who presently feast upon it. As for "losing control of our governments", we must first make sure they were 'ours' to begin with. Speaking as an American with two ancestors who signed the so-called Declaration of Independence, I can honestly and objectively state that its major premise: "that all men are created equal", is mischievous nonsense. Not even Aryans are created equal, from conception onwards. Why did my ancestors sign this nonsensical document? Perhaps they were drunk at the time or perhaps their minds were clouded by judeo-freemasonic hypnosis. This only reveals that Aryans are not gods, nor are they perfect; otherwise they would not have got them-

selves into their present dire straits. Perhaps they were just not very bright! In other words, from its very beginnings, the United States was established upon the grounds of an alien and erroneous philosophy. Today we can see the logical outcome of that nonsense: Affirmative Action or 'enforced equality', which is the ultimate nonsense.

It was this fallacious preamble of 'equality' which was the 'original sin' or 'fatal flaw' in the foundation of the United States and it was further compounded by the Constitution which was touted as "a government of law, not of men". As we know, law is a statement of values and values emanate from race. This is what White people are being taught by Black People in Los Angeles and in other U.S. cities. Under whose laws will we be governed? White laws can be fully applicable to White People, but there 'must be exceptions for Blacks,' sufficient for them to be 'above the law'. The Blacks are teaching the Whites a very important lesson, and I hope the Whites are paying attention!

The second sin in the establishment of the United States was in the judeo-freemasonic founders' insistence that laws could substitute for racial and individual quality. The Constitution, like money, is only as valuable as the people who back it. If the people are worthless crooks, then no bit of paper will correct the situation which such people bring about. It is people, not laws, who make the difference. By insisting otherwise, the founders of the United States are guilty of hubris, that arrogance which offends the gods and nature, similar to that depicted in the Tower of Babel Fable in the Jew-book. We have seen one unwieldy judeo-monstrosity fall asunder: the Soviet Jew-nion. There is one domino remaining: the Jewnighted States. Its collapse will be the rescue of the Aryan World Remnant, and the sooner, the better. The United States was never 'ours' to begin with, so how could we Aryans ever 'lose' it? As for "Christianity" and its poisonous splinter sects, that never was ours, either, and its 'loss' to non-Aryans is a healthy thing for Our Race.

The loss of our freedoms and our Aryan Way of Life, such as they have been, are in direct proportion to the diminution of Aryans in the general population. To regain these important aspects of Aryan behavior, we must naturally seek out fellow Aryans in order to form Aryan communities. If we do not do this, then we must be

**LETTERS
to the
EDITOR**

prepared to exercise our Aryan values as best we can, in isolation.

Many, if not most, Aryans seem to fear the loss of their material possessions and their means of gaining such things. The Zionist Government of the United States does not recognize anyone's "right of ownership". If you are surprised by that, it simply means you have not read the newspapers. Any person accused of illegal activity and/or tax evasion can be dispossessed of all his property and personal possessions. He and his relatives can be stripped as naked as jaybirds, any minute of the day, by armed bandits of the United States Government and/or lesser jurisdictions. It is all "perfectly legal". The rule for knowledgeable Aryans, therefore, is to possess nothing which you cannot defend. As unorganized as we Aryans are, that is all we can defend: Nothing. If Aryans love their possessions more than their race, which seems to be the case, they may one day decide to organize themselves politically on behalf of their TV sets, refrigerators or beach-buggies. If not, then they love their possessions no more than they love their race and the loss of something one does not love is no loss at all.

Aryans, like members of all races and parts thereof, fear losing their lives; yet, reality-prone Aryans know that the loss is inevitable. Worst of all is the loss of health before the loss of life. That is why Aryan Odinists prayed for death in battle, rather than "a straw death" or dying in bed, which was not only often more painful, but considered shameful. They clearly valued a life of good quality, however short, to one of dreary servitude, however long. German Aryans have a wise saying: "Better a horrible end than an endless horror." Perhaps the most recent proponent of Aryan-thinking was Patrick Henry, who said, "Give me liberty or give me death!"

Aryans, being the most 'human' of races, are therefore among the most adaptable. We were not born with thick-skins, fur, long fangs and claws, so we had to invent substitutes which turned out to be much better. The circumstances in which we find ourselves, besieged by the Hordes of Zion, in our own societies, demand of us our best in terms of adaptability and moral courage; that is, for those of us who truly wish to survive as Aryans. Those who survive this onslaught will be Aryans indeed! □

National Right to Life Committee
5020 Sunnyside Ave, Suite 112
Beltsville, MD 20705-9919
Attn.: Mrs. Wanda Franz

Dear Mrs. Franz:

I read your letter on the role of the television networks in destroying our country. Enclosed is an article [see *Liberty Bell*, June 1992, pg. 25] which I wrote recently on that topic.

I would not deny that there is a moral question with regard to abortion, but I believe that you might be missing some of your most important arguments against abortion. To me, the most important "bottom line" in the abortion question is the possibility that abortion during the past 20 years has had a *dysgenic* rather than eugenic effect on the development of the American population. There seems to be some disturbing evidence that points in that direction, such as the declining test scores of American pupils and our declining ability to compete in the world markets of manufactured goods.

I have the impression that American women with good genes are killing their fetuses at a far higher rate than women who should not be bringing children into the world. There are probably a number of social and economic factors involved here, such as heavy taxation of the more productive and orderly components of our population and a welfare system that favors the reproduction of the least productive and orderly. The recent riots in Los Angeles might well be a further piece of evidence that abortion is having a dysgenic effect. I advocate sterilization as an alternative to abortion, especially in the case of mothers on welfare.

Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.
2446 East 22nd Place
Tulsa OK 74114

Dear Editor:

The following excerpt translated from *Dr. Goebbels, A Portrait of the Propaganda Minister*, by Friedrich Christian Prince zu Schaumburg-Lippe (Arndt-Verlag, Kiel 1990, page 27) is my answer to the writer on page 59 of the May 1992 *Liberty Bell*:

In a speech to civil servants of his department—quite early dur-

ing his tenure as a cabinet officer—when the Party would find such deliberation "soft", Goebbels said:

"The best propaganda, ladies and gentlemen, is when we are trying to do good, as much as possible, simply doing good—regardless of any politics—apparently without any political background, and without any regard to how those whom we are helping are politically orientated. Believe me, well-known Christian charity is the most effective propaganda method—in the long run only when it is, in fact, applied solely for the sake of our neighbors/acquaintances, and for the sake of love—not because of any personal interest or a means to an end.

"Undebased good will in the end always has good results—just as the bad deed will never be without setback. For ten long years I have believed that we can come to power by legal means if we indeed "will" the good and thereby win love, charitable love—conscious of our Volk community. Thereby, and only thereby, did we achieve gaining, and soon gained, those as our comrades who, by their suffering, had become the loneliest—for them love and community meant the most—they had been the most chased after and were the most grateful. Captains of industry will not be affected by it, but millions of communists have been led back to a positive outlook on life and thereby back to our Volk. They understood it and became National Socialists, or not—we have helped them, they will never forget. That was the only way we could confront communism—this was more important than the conquest of the power of the State, it was the conquest of power of the hearts of the lonely.

Kriemhild

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Enclosed is a money order for \$150.00 to renew my subscription and a little extra to help out. I certainly hope you can survive as a publication and continue to provide an outlet for the ideas and writings of the many outstanding thinkers who are ignored or ostracized by establishment publications.

Sincerely, T.K., Alaska

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Due to my move from Arizona back to Germany, my subscrip-

48 — *Liberty Bell* / July 1992

tion lapsed. However, I do not want to be without *Liberty Bell*, the only truthful political magazine.

So please find enclosed my check for a one-year air mail subscription. Again, congratulations on your work and I hope it can continue for a long time.

Yours truly, Miss C.S., Germany

Dear Sir:

My check for LB renewal and a donation is enclosed.

My husband passed away in October 1991, suddenly. He was a great patriot and *Liberty Bell* was his favorite publication. He admired Revilo P. Oliver and you.

Sincerely yours, Mrs. F.D., Washington

Dear George:

I enclose some more provisions for your struggle against the foolishness of modern times.

This past weekend I saw a copy of Grimm's *Volk Ohne Raum* at an indoor flea-market in Pennsylvania, but as the merchant saw my eyes bugging out of my head, I didn't feel that I was in a good bargaining position! Next time I go I'll keep my enthusiasm totally to myself, and I'm sure I'll have better luck.

There's is one writer I hear about occasionally in the literature on National Socialism named Christop Steding—that Chosenite "historian" Fritz Stern describes him as "the idol of young National Socialist Historians." I believe that Steding died at a very young age, but wrote a theoretical treatise on modern Euro-Politics entitled *Das Reich und die Krankheit der Europäischen Kultur*. I'm fascinated by all these Fascist philosophers who seem to have fallen through the cracks of history, solely due to the ill-fortune of arms. I'm thinking of, among others, Klages, Schuler, BBaeumler, Kolbenheyer, Drieu la Rochelle, Bardeche, Brasilach, Josef Weinheber, etc., etc. I just want all of our intellectual soldiers armed and prepared for "The Day That is to Come"...

May the gods keep you.

Yours truly, J.P., New York State

Dear Sir:

Is David Duke really the great "hero" American patriots have

Liberty Bell / July 1992 — 49

been led to believe? A closer look at the man may be in order.

David Duke ended his campaign for Governor of Louisiana with several hundred thousand dollars "left over." In the final week of the race he allowed himself to be outspent on radio and TV by at least 100 to 1. Patriots were led to believe, "Edwards and the Zionists have more money." That is true. However, Duke still had a considerable sum and he was spending it to defend himself!

Duke decided to run for President. In the next three months he did not send out a single request for funds! He then withdrew from Maryland because he "did not have enough funds."

What did he do next? Duke flew to the Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island area and threatened to sue everyone if his name was not put on the ballot! (It is interesting to note that Duke was never expected to do well in these liberal states, but *was* expected to do well in Maryland.)

After months with no request for funds, he finally sent out a letter requesting \$1,000,000 in support. What did he do next? He quit the race!

For years American patriots have been led to believe political campaigns were a great way to "educate the public"...they are. What has David Duke done to educate the American people of the past few years? He says his past was a "mistake" or a "youthful indiscretion." He has not said one word against Zionism! Is this the man who is going to save America?

Sincerely, R.E.L., Connecticut

Dear Editor:

After reading about the Odinist group in your publication several months ago, I sent them money for a subscription. Here is a message for your group about the *Odinist*.

This group is just as weak and pathetic as Christianity itself. They are just as straight-jacket bound to dogma as Judaeo Christianity. Their message is one of weakness and subordination.

The champions of the White Races, ever since the ancient world, have lived and died by the creed of strength; the Sword. Look at the heroes of the Iliad, the Odyssey, the Aeneid, the Spartans, the Romans, and the Teutonic Knights; all historic warriors of the White Races.

50 — *Liberty Bell* / July 1992

Thor, like Zeus, Apollo, and Jupiter, were the Aryan gods of war and strength. They represented the Sword. The history of the Aryan races from time immemorial is one of brutal conquest, which is perfectly healthy and proper. In real life, might is absolutely right. Now the White Races use bombs and fighter planes instead of swords. Life for the Aryan races is kill or be killed. This is a fundamental law of nature. The *Odinist* ideology of "live and let live" is just as pathetic as Christianity.

The struggle for world conquest was once that of the diverse White Races. Now this initiative has been lost to a race of non-whites who, through their religion, have risen to World Masters using the idiotic white *goyim* herd as their slaves.

At the hands of these World Masters, the white herd in America has sentenced the White Races throughout the world to indescribable atrocities at the hands of these aliens and their Negro and colored, non-white murdering savages.

What will their Odinist reaction be when the coloreds repeatedly gang-rape their daughters into unconsciousness? Maybe they'll pray to Jesus or whimper a little Odinist prayer.

The history of the Bolshevik Revolution and the Communist regimes after WW II have demonstrated the cruelty and blood-lust of these alien World Masters who will soon orchestrate the slaughter of the White Races. (The White Races are a minority on planet earth.) The White Races' past is their future. It is all spelled out in the history books. What is about to happen to America has happened time and time again throughout history. Read about the death throes of America in the histories of ancient Rome, Greece, and Egypt.

The *Odinist* group is so closed-minded that they deny any ties to "racists" or the "Nazis." Yet their Sun-Wheel emblem is nothing more than a weak representation of the Swastika and the Iron Cross. These symbols are the true Sun Symbols of the ancient Aryans. The White Races from distant times have always used these signs of the Sun. Even the tau cross is a symbol of the Sun. The White Races adopted it after succumbing to the mental degeneracy of Christianity.

Publicus Prudentis

Dear Editor:

I would like to make a few comments on National Vanguard's

Liberty Bell / July 1992 — 51

new book called *Serpent's Walk*.

First, there is no secret, hidden wealthy underground "Nazi Network" that will save the White Race in America. The National Socialist Party died in 1945. If there were such a group still existent, it would be closed to the average white American for security reasons; and justifiably so.

Second, the book is replete with "Deus ex machina" solutions to impossible conditions. One solution contained in the book is the "Zombie Pill." The hero swallowed it just in time to be able to survive the worldwide-biological-germ-warfare-holocaust and wakes up afterwards to champion the White Race's struggle to survive. Right! I'll sell you a bridge if you believe this one. There are no such easy solutions in the real world.

Third, the book's philosophical segments are crudely written propaganda. The message is good, but the method of delivery is a sour pill at best. The author insults the female intellect when he attributes this propaganda to the heroine.

Last and most importantly, the book's "soft-sell" approach to what the White Race must do in order to survive is unrealistic and disarming. The days of soft-selling the survival of the White Race are long gone.

The above shortcomings are dangerous. They give a false sense of security. The readers may think there is an easy "Deus ex machina" solution to a desperate situation, and that this will save them from the approaching horrors.

The reality of the struggle for the White Race to survive the upcoming real Holocaust is far too extreme and cruel for such pipe-dreaming as found in this book.

National Vanguard does deserve your support however. They have the courage to go on worldwide shortwave radio and speak the truth. Their shortwave program is on every Saturday night on 7355 Mhz at 1900 hours Mountain time. They will knock your socks off talking about the White Race, the Jews, the Negroes, the ADL, the Communists, and the New World Order. No one else is doing this. My hat is off to them, and I can only wish them the best of luck before they are fire-bombed out of existence. Listen in before it is too late!

Sincerely, Publicus Prudentis

LA RAZA

Arguments should not follow if one believes that "in the beginning there was the word (God)" or if one believes that "in the beginning there was hydrogen". One might believe that life miraculously popped into existence in some stupefying manner by the command of some awesome entity. One might believe in a nearly infinite number of improbable random collisions of inert particles that somehow miraculously "evolved" into life. No matter what your undemonstrable opinions might be, we can all agree that it was a one shot deal triggered by something we can never hope to understand. I call this undefinable whatever "God". No matter which piece of Gibran's mirror you hold, we undoubtedly agree upon many observable things.

God did not create mules. Mules are mongrel animals that would result from the unnatural act of a male donkey copulating with a female horse. God did not create hinnies. A hinny would result from the anti-God act of copulation between a male horse and a female donkey. God intended like to beget like and it is all spelled out in the "Word of God" book that HypoChristians blather about but pay no attention to. In the wild state, no donkey would ever choose a horse and no horse would ever choose a donkey. The producers of these critters resort to artificial means. Moreover, no stallion would ever attempt to stuff his member into the rear of another stallion. An act of that sort is also anti-God or anti-nature if the word "God" offends you. Life forms that produce quasi-clones of themselves are life forms that were created according to some hypothetical bang-bang. Zebras mating with zebras produce zebras with stripes, identifiable blood types and whatever, all within the same classification. Blue-eyed, fair-skinned Northern Europeans, produce blue-eyed, fair-skinned offspring. Zulus mating with Zulus produce Zulus with recognizable eye color, skin texture, body odor, etc.

In my boyhood home town, we had a strange fellow who would copulate with heifers. At that time, he was found guilty of a perversion and was sent to jail. Today, he probably would be displayed on the Larry Kink Show or elected to Congress. In any event, he would just be compelled, by his goodness, to write a book describing all of the culture expanding details. Also, there was the daughter of a school teacher who was reported to have habitually enticed her Collie into sex relations with her. A friend of mine, living in La Habra, CA, said he witnessed a woman and a donkey copulating as part of a tourist attraction in beaver land. Fag-gots are also part of this unnatural circus. There is a natural order to things and fag-gotry, miscegenation, sodomy, and so forth, is not part of it. If you are a Bible buff, you'll be able to find it all within the pages. It appears then, that certain males throughout history have had some sort of abnormal drive to "catch a sheep running uphill", play "drop the soap" or "get some head". Because it is possible, or even permissible, does not make perverted behavior normal or natural. Anything against the natural order of things is anti-God. If you want to know what the natural and orderly world is all about, you should spend considerable time in a state of absorbing observation. The animals will teach you something.

Miscegenation, between blacks and whites for example, produces a mongrel which cannot reproduce the gene frequencies of either parent. This type of mongrel (we call it a mullato(a)) belongs to neither race and is therefore outside the pale of normality or naturalness. Mongrels, the mamzers of the Bible, are the products of bipeds who lacked (or were mentally deranged) the natural desire to mate with their

own kind. Throughout the ages, this flagrant and nihilistic behavior has led to a world filled with mud peoples that defy description, much less classification. Left to themselves, they would have been weeded out by natural episodes much in the same way as defective gazelles are weeded out by wild dogs and starvation.

God created races. Man "created" mongrels. The typical jew, according to Prof. Mourant (*The Genetics of the Jews*, 1978), has 5 to 15 percent black lineage with a varying mixture of yellow and white lineage. This is verifiable through the science of blood analysis. Benjamin Franklin called the jews "oriental" and most rabbis admit that jews do not belong to the white race even if Pat Robertson and other "Christians" think so. The jews are the ultimate mongrels and, of course, can belong to no race. The "jewish race" is a meaningless expression. Mongrels are not the product of God and therefore, any claim to be "God's chosen" is absurd on the face of it. If God ever lowered Himself to talk to any of those TV charlatans, He'd agree with this.

The activities of created forms also lend themselves to classification. Salmon exhibit behavior peculiar to them as do eagles and coyotes. This is also true of the races of man. White people are not static. They continually manipulate the environment with one technological advance followed by another. Thus, we see continued sophistication in the development of machines and a never ending sequence of astonishments from lasars to manned flights to the moon. These accomplishments should be sufficient to demonstrate that the white race is apart from the rest of mankind by virtue of its creativity. No other race, if left to themselves, would ever follow these paths. The black man, unassisted, would never advance beyond mud huts and spear chucking. The yellow man, with nothing to copy, would reach a higher plateau than the black man but would forever be a slave to his genes and thus be relatively static. Mongrels, like the jews of Israel, are not viable and would perish without outside assistance. Israel can not exist without massive assistance from the white societies. If they really were "chosen", they'd be in a Garden of Eden instead of a wasteland.

The white race is its own enemy. Perhaps twenty percent of it is unrecoverably degenerate. Over half of it suffers from some sort of delusion. Most of it has adopted the behavior of the world's most successful mongrel—the jew. Indeed, most whites now look to the jew for guidance. They have even adopted the jew "scientific" method—start with a conclusion and then select the data to fit it. Even as I write, the SAT (Scholastic Aptitude Test) is being revised to support the erroneous conclusion that blacks and whites are equally intelligent. The black had nothing to offer (and still doesn't) over the past thousands of years, other than the Dark Continent. Our inner cities are little more than jungle enclaves which demonstrate what the black man produces and considers desirable. Our anti-white federal government must use force and threats of force to impose unnatural conditions upon the society. It is this unnaturalness and unwholesomeness that has doomed present day America. The evidence abounds and only the weak escapist, drowned in drugs or Jesus joose, fail to notice.

"Saving America" and "saving the white race" are exercises for the foolish which fatten the purveyors of "did you know?" literature and the promoters of useless "holocaust debates". More damage is done by the "Jesus was a jew" and the "turn the other cheek" blather of the Hypochristians than could ever be done by six million courses in holocaust studies or a millennium of "black history" months. What this country needs is a good five cent baseball bat.

From *FAEM (First Amendment Exercise Machine)*, P.O. Box 433, Buffalo NY 14223.

A Brooklyn Boy Is Exposed to the Führer

by J.P., New York State

While growing up in the fifties I never heard Adolf Hitler's name mentioned. I mean not once. And this is especially surprising in view of the fact that my father was a war-hero (Silver Star, etc.) in the North Italian campaign, whose outfit was mentioned in [Field Marshal] Kesselring's War Memoirs. So my first exposure to Hitler, that "baleful," "manical" prophet/god didn't occur until the Sixth Grade, when our ideological instruction in the evils of Fascism was undertaken by a teacher who, to put it mildly, miscalculated her effects. Without any verbal preamble, we were shown a clip from what I realized many years later was the great [Leni] Riefenstahl's "Triumph des Willens" [Triumph of the Will, available on VHS video cassette, \$50.00 + postage, from Liberty Bell Publications]; the clip contained a somewhat tense scene in which a strange man with hypnotic eyes harangued some soldiers outside in a stadium flooded with a peculiarly garish sunlight. I didn't realize at that time, of course, the reason for the tension (namely, the recent S.A. purge), but the net effect of this film on the class was to incite all the boys to strut around for days afterwards, vainly endeavoring to mimic the Master's hoarse delivery, in a comically bogus German, made up almost entirely of gratingly harsh consonantal growlings, and hissing exaggerated sibilants. The teacher, needless to say, was appalled at this incipient hero-worship, and so, no more films were shown.

Next, we were treated to an avalanche of sequipedalian fustian and hoey about the grisly and disgusting boches, with their beer, bratwurst, balderdash and well-honed homicidal skills. What's more, we were told that all of Germany's history was a series of cannibalistic feastings and ravings (this was, after all, before the farcical enthusiasm hooples nowadays display for Hate-Crime legislation—still it seems as though nothing will ever get the Germans off the hook. Nothing, that is, until the American National Socialists clean out the Augean stables here!). In fact, with regard to this notion of Hate-Crimes, I have a theory which entails a steady-state quantum of hatred at any one time. The only suspense involved concerns just who

gets the pie in the face, or, when the mob is exceptionally roused, the boot in the scrotum.

As I say, the verbiage piled up faster than cow-chips at a Cabinet meeting, but the script-writers could never seem to get their act together: Hitler was, we were informed, at one and the same time, a housepainter, portrait-painter (untalented), imbecile, a genius (evil, of course!) jackass, superb logician, mystagogue, hypnotist, hypocrite, common man, elitist, anti-capitalist, stooge of capitalism, doctrinaire socialist (this, of course, from Conservatives), and destroyer of all righteous socialist Utopias (this, conversely, from superstitious Marxists), puritanical dry-as-dust and sexual deviant, master manipulator and servile pawn etc., etc., ad nauseum.

Of course, the problem faced by Hitler's detractors was, and still is, one of Ideal portrayal: if he was a genius/madman, he will be perceived as fascinating; now we can't have that, for obvious reasons! But, on the other hand, if he is painted as a fool and a dupe, then we simply cannot account for his career. Problems, problems....

Now those Revisionists who keep treating Hitler as if he were a passerby, innocently buried under the avalanche of September 1939, should bear in mind that the only pure victim to exert an enduring influence on the history of the West was Jesus, called the Christ; but then his disciples claim that he was also the Creator of the Universe!

Well, it was another eleven years before I began to examine Hitler's life and career systematically. By that time, I was ready for the encounter—as Christians say, I had found God. And I realized that the hoarse voice and theatrical mannerisms resulted from an entirely justified anger, and I found that I began to share that anger.

Which I am more than happy to share with you.

This Time The World!

PLEASE REMEMBER:

Your subscription to *Liberty Bell*, your book orders, and your regular contributions are our lifeblood. Help us keep *Liberty Bell* ringing and proclaiming the truth. Your continued support is needed and will be greatly appreciated!

The Color Of Aids

by
Ernst Kisgud

From the start of the AIDS epidemic and the beginning of statistical tabulation of the disease in the USA it has been evident that the black race and other people of color have a disproportional representation. As of February 1992 the score is, according to the CDC, Whites 113,444 all others 96,599. It is well to keep in mind that these numbers represent reported cases and do not necessarily reflect an accurate count. The numbers do not account for about 15 to 20 percent of the AIDS cases that are never reported, because deaths by AIDS patients are often covered-up by family doctors and hospitals or attributed to other sickness. Also keep in mind that Jews are counted as whites.

While much of the publicity in the media presents AIDS as an equal opportunity disease, it's hitting members of minority communities in an unequal and potentially devastating way. The following chart shows the annual incidence rate per 100,000 population of selected cities and states.

State	Rate	City	Rate
New York	43.9	New York	79.4
New Jersey	28.5	Newark	50.3
New Jersey	28.5	Jersey City	73.8
California	27.48	San Francisco	122.0
Florida	42.1	Miami	101.7
Georgia	21.2	Atlanta	36.3
Puerto Rico	43.7	San Juan	52.8
Metro Wash.DC	33.4	Dist.Columbia	117.1

Source Center For Disease Control, February 1992

Anyone with even a slight knowledge of demographics is aware that the above cities have a large population of minorities. The minority communities are the hardest hit. This fact is being reported over and over again.

In June 1992, the National Aids Commission reported that Puerto Rico has the second highest rate of AIDS in the nation. In the last ten years AIDS has become the leading cause of death in Puerto Rico for men ages 25 to 44 and women ages 25 to 34. The rate of new cases in 1991 was 50 in 100,000 people and was second only to the rate in D.C.

with their 120 cases per 100,000 as of June, 1992. (UPI, June 2, 1992)

Black homosexual and bisexual men may have a greater risk of contracting the AIDS virus than their white counterparts. Researchers compared the incidence of needle-sharing, multiple sex partners and anal sex among the groups, and found that this did not explain the difference.

From a world-wide perspective, the future is bleak for people of color.

On September 25, 1990, the World Health Organization reported an estimated 400,000 infants have been stricken by AIDS and millions more will have developed this disease by the turn of the century in just nine years. The dissemination of the HIV-1 virus is principally heterosexual and is rising significantly among women of child-bearing age with a corresponding growth of infants infected by their mothers.

Africa with the largest number of cases faces child mortality rates of fifty percent, with 10 million or more children expected to be infected. The majority will have developed AIDS and died by the year 2000. Infants with Aids infected mothers look forward to a bleak future AIDS will be a major cause of death leaving many children orphaned.

In Washington DC, 1 in 74 black women give birth to a potentially HIV infected baby because the mothers are HIV positive. This is seven times the U.S. average. Currently, women account for 11 to 12% of reported cases; this figure does not include a great portion of the female population that is infected but as yet show no symptoms. Most women with AIDS are young non whites.

The World Health Organization reports that from April 1991 to February 1992 there were one million additional cases of HIV infection counted, with ninety percent of those cases among adults and ten percent afflicting children. WHO estimates that the world-wide count is 10-12 million infected people. Third world countries account for eighty percent of cases as it now stands, and with ninety percent of new cases reported in third world nations they will soon have ninety percent of all cases on the globe. Africa already stands at six to seven million HIV infected people with a million with fully developed AIDS.

One black school near Durban, South Africa, in late 1990, had a 60% infection rate, rivaling the 80% in some Zimbabwean factories.

(*South African Observer*, October 1991)

It appears that the AIDS virus discriminates genetically. A team of British scientists and researchers have identified an inherited protein that seems to reduce susceptibility by the AIDS virus. They also found that people with a different genetic distinction of the same protein were highly susceptible to AIDS. Genetics experts say the findings offer immense potential for understanding how the AIDS virus attacks the body. The protein linked with genetic risk to AIDS infection was identified as Gc. Scientists found noticeable differences in susceptibility to AIDS according to the specific deviation of the protein carried by a patient. All persons have Gc in their blood, but they inherit the protein in one of six combinations of three major genetic subtypes.

Researchers at the University of Pittsburgh and the National Institute of Health said they found a set of genes that may influence how the AIDS virus affects the immune system. Their findings suggest some people may be born with genes that make their immune system more susceptible to the AIDS virus by making it easier for the virus to penetrate immune system cells and reproduce inside them.

Scientists find that genetic factors seem to play a role in the individuals susceptible to the aids virus. It appears that some races are more equal to others in their ability to acquire the HIV virus.

Professor Luc Montagnier, the French scientist who is the co-discoverer of the virus believed to cause AIDS, surmises that the disease may have other causes, and theorizes that the search for a vaccine could be fruitless. At The University of California at Berkeley, Peter Duesberg has said that HIV is not a new virus, but is perhaps hundreds of years old. He believes that the epidemic is the result of increasing use of drugs such as cocaine, which can damage the immune system, and claims that the epidemic is being fueled by the use of AZT and aggravated by unnecessary medical treatment.

The HIV is known to be a retrovirus, retro, because it acts in a reverse direction. In all known organisms, the genetic material, DNA, is converted to RNA and then to proteins. In retroviruses the hereditary information is carried by RNA, which is translated backward to DNA. The resulting DNA then infects the host cell, inserting itself into the host's genetic material where it can later reproduce. One characteristic of retro-

viruses is that they can become incorporated into the genetic material of the host cell, lie dormant, and be reactivated at a later time.

While at present it is almost a certainty that this plague deserves the title Black Plague, it can still pose a danger to Whites who are so foolish as to hold the opinion that it cannot be acquired by anything but direct sexual contact with other races. Transmission is typically through introduction into the body of fluids from an infected person. All body fluids of infected HIV persons blood, sweat, tears, saliva, urine, mucus, and even mothers milk contain the virus.

There is a real danger to young people from the deceptive information that appears in TV spots and is additionally promulgated in the school system as "Safe Sex Education." The students are told that if they use condoms that they are at low risk of acquiring AIDS. Examination of that premise reveals that most young people do not regard heavy petting as sexual action and do participate in behavior that is dangerous to their health, both mental and physical.

Kissing, as part of sexual activity but not exclusive to it, usually results in the exchange of saliva, hence the colloquial term among young people of "swapping spit" to mean kissing. The Food and Drug Administration, in August 1990, has approved a saliva-based test for AIDS. The study at several hospitals involves more than 4000 samples of blood and saliva. Now one would think that if the FDA would approve saliva testing for the presence of AIDS one could rationally conclude that saliva can transmit the Aids virus. (*Detroit Free Press*, August 8, 1990)

In our multiracial society, most of us are forced by circumstances to mingle with all shades of people; this is certainly true of most school children, and with the Liberal bent of the "education" system today, the pressure to conform to the "One World-One People" dogma is a formidable force on our young people.

Keeping a positive racial outlook and therefore doing what comes naturally, i.e., no social or sexual intercourse with nonwhites, and a cautious attitude toward HIV carries will, with few exceptions, keep you safe from the new Black Death. AIDS appears to demonstrate that genetics follow natural law, evoking harsh and unconditional judgement with explicit and absolute penalties for violation. □

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations .

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**