



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congolds unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious — whether by its technology or its fecundity — from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 01007 — \$12.00
plus \$2.40 for postage & handling

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.50

The History and Significance of the New World Order

By Scott Spencer
page 1

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

J.B. Campbell:
F.B.I. Contact
page 31

Major D.V. Clerkin:
What is Worrying You?
page 41

Richard C. Bentinck, M.D.
**Judicial Interpretation
and Frog Legs for Dinner**
page 46

Letters to the Editor
page 50

VOL. 24 — NO. 8

APRIL 1997

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts will not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1997
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES effective 1 December 1993

00012 FIRST CLASS / AIRMAIL: All other countries	\$100.00
00020 AIR MAIL (Printed Matter) Europe, South America	\$ 80.00
00021 AIR MAIL (Printed Matter) Middle East, Far East, South Africa	\$ 85.00
00022 AIR MAIL (Printed Matter) Sample Copy	\$ 6.50
00030 First Class (Bulk Rate) USA only	\$ 50.00
00031 First Class (Bulk Rate) (Printed Matter) Abroad	\$ 70.00
00032 First Class (Bulk Rate) Sample Copy	\$ 5.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION

10 copies	\$ 30.00
50 copies	\$ 120.00
100 copies	\$ 200.00
500 copies	\$ 800.00
1000 copies	\$1200.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers, however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

The History and Significance of the New World Order

by
Scott Spencer

The concept and the reality of the New World Order are widely misunderstood, even by most patriots; or perhaps I should say, especially by most patriots. Some of this misunderstanding is caused by the shortcomings of the patriots, but there is also disinformation deliberately inserted by our enemies. We need an accurate understanding of what — and who — we are fighting to insure our victory.

The self-styled “patriots,” the coin-sellers and quack-cure salesmen, if given the reins of power in the United States tomorrow morning, would either be shot dead trying to flee the country, or would be dancing to an internationalist Jewish tune by nightfall. Most of them want only to hawk their questionable wares. Even if they know what the New World Order really is, they would rather have their bank statements published than oppose it.

The Old World Order

The United States is, itself, a product of the fall of the Old World Order. The Old World Order, as far as government is concerned, was comprised of the Christian monarchies which ruled by “divine right” after the fall of the Western Roman Empire in the sixth century. The term “New World Order” pertained to an ideal world which was allegedly to develop on the ashes of the Old Order after no powerful monarchs ruled in Europe. The American Revolution was a relatively benign manifestation of a revolutionary movement against that Old Order. This movement bore its most bitter fruit in the 19th and 20th centuries. This revolutionary movement, whatever its merits once were, has been hijacked by the enemies of our race and nation.

The increase of chaos in 19th century Europe, brought

about by the undermining of monarchies, was offset by the growth of national consciousness among the peoples of Europe.

The idea that the overthrow of a monarchy would always lead to self-government of "the people" was transparently fraudulent. Powerful Jews were often ideally positioned when the monarch fell. The Jews were, then as now, a people unto themselves. They had their own interests, and these interests were often antithetical to the interests of the peoples among whom they lived.

Self-government of a people leads to nationalism and a sensitivity to alien control of government. Consequently there was a healthy, although grossly inadequate, reaction against Jewish power. Nationalism is inherently hostile toward organized Jewry, and organized Jewry is inherently hostile toward nationalism.

The French Revolution

An early milestone of the New World Order was the French Revolution. The French monarchy, and the causes of its downfall, have been widely and deliberately misrepresented. The truth about the French monarchy was stated by the Scottish philosopher David Hume in 1742:

Though all kinds of government be improved in modern times, yet monarchical government seems to have made the greatest advance to perfection. It may now be affirmed of civilized monarchies, what was formerly said of republics alone, that they are a government of laws, not of men. They are susceptible of order, method, and constancy, to a surprising degree. Property is there secure; industry is encouraged; the arts flourish; and the prince lives among his subjects like a father among his children.

Hume added that he saw more "sources of degeneracy" in representative republics like that of England than in France, which he called "the most perfect model of pure monarchy."

It is generally conceded that a conspiracy orchestrated the French Revolution. The moderate members of the conspiracy, such as Lafayette, were appalled at the outcome of the process they had helped to start. Lafayette and many others had merely wanted to establish a constitutional monarchy, but as

in all revolutions, the moderates did not determine the outcome.

But in spite of any conspiracy, Louis XVI could not have been overthrown unless he allowed himself to be overthrown.

This may seem a peculiar thing to say, that Louis XVI allowed himself to be overthrown, allowed his kingdom to be ruined, and subjected himself and many others to the whim of filthy degenerates, but Louis XVI was a liberal, much like the liberals we encounter today. He was an enemy to his friends and a friend to his enemies. The entire French Revolution could have been stifled on several occasions if only the King had allowed his bodyguards and his troops to deal with the gangs of hired ruffians in the manner they so richly deserved. But no, Louis was a "humanitarian." In the Siege of the Tuileries the King's own Swiss guards were brutally murdered simply because he had forbidden them to raise their weapons, even in self-defense, against those hired thugs whom the King naively regarded as "the people."

Louis was not a congenitally stupid man, but from childhood his head had been filled with wrong ideas, the same kind of wrong ideas which public schools and the mass-media impress upon us and our children today.

The fate of Louis XVI should be a cautionary tale about the deadliness of wrong ideas. Some wrong ideas, the "brotherhood of man," for example, are highly infectious because they appeal to wishful thinking; it is soothing and pleasant to think that violence, conflict, and death are mere vestiges of an unenlightened past, and that all unpleasantness can be avoided simply by being nice to everyone. This mode of thinking is a deadly form of self-indulgence.

Louis XVI had far more armed forces than were needed to crush the Revolution, but he chose not to crush it. Over one million Frenchmen, many of whom were the best in the nation, were murdered — as a consequence of his "humanitarianism."

The Bolshevik Revolution

Czarist Russia was naturally a prime target of Jewish malice and defamation, since it was the last absolute monarchy in Europe. In addition, the government of the Czar (Czar is the Russian equivalent of Kaiser or Caesar), more than any other government, had taken steps to protect its people from Jewish exploitation. The Japanese victory over Czarist Russia in 1905 was the first great blow to the confidence of the White world; it was brought about with the financial assistance of Jewish bankers. Czarist Russia's defeat in 1905 was part of a long pattern of events, including numerous assassinations, attempted assassinations, and bombings. In the end there was the bloody ritual murder of Czar Nicholas II and most of his family.

It should be noted, however, that the Czar, like Louis XVI, essentially permitted his own rule to be replaced. At first, it was replaced by a republic. The republic was weak and dissonant and ended up paving the way for a reign of terror. As in France, the better racial elements were murdered.

The preponderance of Jewish influence in the Bolshevik Revolution is thoroughly documented. Additionally, Zionism played a part. Zionism is, and was, an integral aspect of the largely Jewish New World Order. Rabbi Moses Hess, one of the primary instigators of Zionism, was a mentor of Karl Marx.

The Destruction of Britain

Zionism contributed to the destruction of Britain as a world power. Zionism helped to dismantle the White-dominated world of our grandfathers, ushering in the Jews' New World Order of multiracialism, the absolute rule of money, and cultural chaos.

In 1914 the greatest power in the White world was the British Empire. After the First World War the alleged "victor," Great Britain, was a second-rate power. After the Second World War, which Britain also supposedly "won," she was a third-rate power and quickly stripped of almost all her possessions. How was this great empire destroyed?

As a consequence of the First World War, the international Jewish bankers raked in a huge debt. Britain in particular was ruinously indebted. Britain's plight would not have been so grievous had the Jewish bankers not succeeded in prolonging the war by involving the United States. Even Winston Churchill later stated that it would have been better if the United States had stayed out of World War I.

However, it was the moral weakness of Britain's leaders which allowed Britain to suffer usury and to participate in that fratricidal war. One can blame the people of Britain for having elected such leaders, and it is the British people who have suffered because of it, but it is an inherent feature of every democratic republic that the people can only vote on the basis of information the controlled media give them. It is only in retrospect that a voter can know whether he has made a mistake. Britain has gone to its ruin quietly and obediently, lacking a national leadership with the will to preserve the nation.

The First World War

The American people have likewise been saddled with treacherous leadership. Neither of the World Wars, and perhaps no foreign war in this century, has served the interests of the American people.

Jewish bankers, many of whom were influential Zionists, extorted the Balfour Declaration from Britain. Organized Jewry promised Britain to bring the United States into the war against Germany if, after the war, they would be given Palestine. How were the Jews able to bring the United States into the war? Firstly, even at that time, they owned many newspapers in the United States which they used for pro-war propaganda. And secondly, through Woodrow Wilson. Wilson was a weak-willed and self-indulgent man. He was the first U.S. president to be surrounded by Jewish "advisors" and to be thoroughly beholden to Jewish interests.

The German Kaiser, William II, had been a great friend of the Jews and had many Jews in his government. Jewish

gratitude manifested itself just as it always has historically — as a stab in the back. Germany was deserted by World Jewry the moment Britain offered the Jews a sweeter deal: the Balfour Declaration.

The “war to make the world safe for democracy,” as it was called, was the first war explicitly propagandized as a struggle for a New World Order. In November 1918, on the eve of the German surrender, the “League to Enforce Peace” published a pamphlet entitled *The Foundations of a New World Order*. The nominal president of the League was former U.S. President William Howard Taft. Evidence suggests the “League to Enforce Peace” was largely a Jewish creation. And its Orwellian-sounding title carries the mark of one of their operations.

The New World Order and Egalitarianism

World War I was the first of the many wars in which young White men were required to shed their blood and end their lives, not to defend their borders from invaders, not to gain an increase in the territory controlled by their people, and not even to enrich their national treasury, but for abstract and allegedly “moral” purposes crafted to increase the suckers’ enthusiasm for slaughter. Thus, we had the war “to end war” or the war “to make the world safe for democracy.” In recent times we have had a war to “restore democracy” in Kuwait and U.S. intervention to “restore democracy” to Haiti. The pattern was set in 1917.

The Pyrrhic victors of the First World War could not disregard the egalitarian New World Order propaganda which they had spouted. Lothrop Stoddard, a widely read political and social commentator in the post-war period, wrote in *The Rising Tide of Color* in 1920:

During the war years the allied statesmen had officially proclaimed times without number that the war was being fought to establish a new world order based on such principles as the rights of small nations, and the liberty of all peoples. These pronouncements had been treasured and memorized throughout the East. When, therefore, the East saw a peace settlement based, not upon those high professions,

but upon the imperialistic secret treaties, it was fired with a moral indignation and sense of outraged justice never known before.

The European powers, while they displayed an unlimited capacity for treachery toward defeated Germany, whose people were certainly not granted self-determination, did abide by their foolish feel-good propaganda of a “New World Order” when it came to the non-White world. Unrest by non-Whites in British and French colonies was met with concessions, and the European empires were gradually dissolved.

It is ironic that Britain was a leading promoter of the League of Nations and the New World Order; it perhaps lost more than any other nation because of it. It seems less ironic if one considers that British foreign policy was under the control of Jewish bankers, who did not give a hoot about Britain’s destiny. Once the Britons had developed and pacified the dark continent sufficiently for safe operation of Jewish-owned gold, copper, and diamond mines, they were disposable. Beyond this trail-blazing function, all those White colonists were just in the way. And the destruction of White political power in Africa was an explicit part of the New World Order agenda.

A collection of essays entitled “The New World Order” was published by Oxford University Press in 1932. In the essay entitled *Race Problems in Industry and Culture*, F. S. Marvin states:

Until South Africa can not only contemplate, but insist on having, a Bantu as one of its delegation to Geneva, it has not recognized the principle.

Marvin also advocated the admission of the non-White hordes into White homelands. He wrote:

But there does exist a teeming population in Japan, pressing for outlets, and hundreds of millions of Chinese . . . India, too, constantly increases her needy but industrious and naturally skillful peasantry. Meanwhile the white man in Australia, South Africa, and on the Pacific Coast [of the United States] is maintaining in his own supposed interest the strictest exclusion that he can arrange against the settlement of these people in lands which he controls, and they could happily and profitably inhabit. It is a policy untenable in the long run, condemned alike by considerations of industry, biology, and humanity. Let us be thankful that a League of Nations stands in the breach, not yet to take active steps, for other more urgent tasks are at hand, but to keep steadily before the eyes of all parties the hopes and duties of cooperating mankind.

F.S. Marvin was a professor of history and a member of

the Royal Historical Society. It is absolutely terrifying that there have been, and are, men who hold positions of respect who speak such idiocy. Similarly, in more recent times, the Queen of England, in her Christmas address of 1994, praised the recent introduction of "democracy" to South Africa. F.S. Marvin's prescription was a toned-down version of the tirades of lunatic abolitionists one hundred years earlier. They said the same things because they suffered from the same syndrome: sadomasochistic race-treason clothed in the sanctimonious pretension of Judaic otherworldliness.

The conspiracy theorists who make so much noise about Cecil Rhodes and say the New World Order is a British conspiracy are trafficking information that is not only incomplete and misleading, but grossly out of date. One of these groups is the Lyndon Larouche organization whose leading figures appear to be mostly Jews. Similarly, it is a lie that the New World Order — even Communism — is a "Russian" phenomena. The biggest lie, however, is that the New World Order is some sort of German or "Nazi" plot. It is primarily Jewish in origin. "Patriots" who tell you otherwise are ill-informed or liars.

Hitler's New European Order

Perhaps the biggest lie on the God, country, and gold coin circuit is that the National Socialist German government was a progenitor of today's New World Order.

Bolshevism was viewed with great alarm by Russia's neighbor, Germany, which now found itself flanked on one side by the Soviet Union, and on the other by Judeo-democratic France. In the economic ruin deliberately foisted upon Germany at the end of the First World War, Bolshevism was a serious menace. A Judeo-Communist regime even seized power briefly in Bavaria shortly after the war.

The liberal Weimar constitution imposed on Germany after the war was written by Hugo Preuss, a Jew. The dominant political party of the Weimar period was the Social Democratic Party, founded by Ferdinand Lasalle, also a Jew.

NEW WORLD ORDER PLEGGED TO JEWS

Arthur Greenwood of British War Cabinet sends Message of Assurance Here

RIGHTING OF WRONGS SEEN

English Rabbi Delivers to Dr. S. S. Wise New Statement on Question After War

In the first public declaration on the Jewish question since the outbreak of the war, Arthur Greenwood, member without portfolio in the British War Cabinet, assured the Jews of the United States that when victory was achieved an effort would be made to found a new world order based on the ideals of "justice and peace."

Mr. Greenwood, who is Deputy Leader of the British Labor party, declared that in the new world the "conscience of civilized humanity would demand that the wrongs suffered by the Jewish people in so many countries should be righted." He added that after the war an opportunity would be given to Jews everywhere to make a "distinctive and constructive contribution" in the rebuilding of the world.

The message was delivered last week to Dr. Stephen S. Wise, chairman of the executive committee of the World Jewish Congress, by Rabbi Maurice L. Perlzweig, chairman of the British section of the congress. Rabbi Perlzweig arrived

This is the exact text of an article from the *New York Times* of October 6, 1940.

from England Monday evening.

Intention to Right Wrongs

Comparing the statement with the Balfour Declaration of 1917, Dr. Wise declared that in a sense it had "wider and farther reaching implications" as it dealt with the status of Jews throughout the world. He said that Mr. Greenwood's message could be interpreted as a statement of England's firm intention to help right the wrongs which Jews have suffered and continue to suffer today because of Hitler's "disorder and lawlessness."

Mr. Greenwood, sending the Jews of America a message of "encouragement and warm good wishes," wrote:

"The tragic fate of the Jewish victims of Nazi tyranny has, as you know, filled us with a deep emotion. The speeches of responsible statesmen in Parliament and at the League of Nations during the last seven years have reflected the horror with which the people of this country have viewed the Nazi relapse into barbarism.

"The British government sought again to secure some amelioration of the lot of persecuted Jewry both in Germany itself and in the countries which were infected by the Nazi doctrine of racial hatred. Today the same sinister power which has trampled on its own defenseless minorities, and by fraud and force has temporarily robbed many small peoples of their independence, has challenged the last stronghold of liberty in Europe.

New World Order Forecast

"When we have achieved victory, as we assuredly shall, the nations will have the opportunity of establishing a new world order based on the ideals of justice and peace. In such a world it is our confident hope that the consciences of civ-

ilized humanity would demand that the wrongs suffered by the Jewish people in so many countries should be righted.

"In the rebuilding of civilized society after the war, there should and will be a real opportunity for Jews everywhere to make a distinctive and constructive contribution; and all men of goodwill must assuredly hope that in new Europe the Jewish people, in whatever country they may live, will have freedom and full equality before the law with every other citizen."

In an interview at the Hotel Astor, Rabbi Perlzweig declared he was certain Mr. Greenwood "speaks for England." There is a clear realization, he added, that freedom and emancipation for the Jewish people are tied up with emancipation and freedom for people everywhere. The message, Rabbi Perlzweig remarked, was the subject of earnest consideration by the British Government.

"This is a declaration on behalf of the whole world," he observed. "Here the British Government expressed clearly what it hopes will take place after the war is won."

The Weimar regime was a sink-pit of degeneracy, corruption, and ugliness. Nihilistic creeds of self-destruction were made popular by the mostly Jewish-controlled magazines and newspapers. Drug use skyrocketed. Homosexuality suddenly became "fashionable." Modern "art" replaced the beautiful images of the pre-1918 period. Pornography of the grossest and most indecent kind was popularized. Marxism was preached from university lecterns and even many church pulpits. Sound familiar?

This was what it meant to implement the New World Order in Germany. What followed was a powerful reaction against the New World Order. But it was not merely a reaction. Nor was it an impractical attempt to reinstate the Old Order, although it did preserve much of what was good in the Old Order.

The economic devastation of Germany under the Weimar government was extreme. The currency became worthless. Workers were paid with wheelbarrows full of money — twice a day, because the depreciation was so rapid that your money would lose half its value by nightfall. Eventually, billion-mark postage stamps were printed, and trillion-mark bank notes, but all had the same ultimate value: zero. German workers and soldiers saw their savings and their future turn into nothingness. German children starved. Jewish businessmen, during the same period, bought ancient German estates for pocket change in foreign currency.

The Weimar regime was a

The Old Order had been based on monarchy and Christianity. Even in the anti-monarchical United States, Christian baptism was a widespread qualification for holding public office until the middle of 19th century. This reliance on religion alone as the official basis of society had made the Old Order vulnerable. Belief in Christianity had declined, especially among more educated Europeans. Some of the best minds of our race were resentful of the Old Order. And the reliance on Christianity meant any racial alien could become part of the nation simply by undergoing the ritual of baptism. For many Jews, this ritual was meaningless. It was simply submitted to as a means to achieve power.

In opposition to the New World Order, Hitler erected his New Order of Europe. It had some of the characteristics of the Old Order; for example, it preserved the nation-state and traditional morality. It also preserved many of Old Order's trappings, such as the customary Roman salute. But it was different from the Old Order in that it was primarily based, not on religion, but on race.

Misinformation about

National Socialist Germany

A tremendous amount of malicious dishonesty has been directed against the memory of Hitler's New European Order. For example, there has been an effort to manipulate Christians with the lie that Adolf Hitler was a scourge of Christianity. In fact, Adolf Hitler received a great deal of support from Christian clergymen, Catholic and Lutheran. Among the smaller sects, the Seventh Day Adventist and New Apostolic churches were among the most ardent supporters of National Socialism, long before the party actually came to power.

Another lie is that Hitler was a member of the Thule Society. Such a society did exist, but Hitler regarded it as an embarrassment. You may read his opinion of its freakish "occult" characters in the last chapter of Book I of *Mein Kampf*.

It is also a lie that Hitler took away the guns from the German people. The promoters of this falsehood usually make

the insinuation by means of a verbal shell-game, in which they distort the actual disarming of non-citizen, non-German, Jewish deportees during the war into a fictitious disarming of the German people — an event which never took place under National Socialism.

The National Socialists actually relaxed the gun laws in Germany. In fact, private ownership of guns persisted in Germany until the New World Order forces of the Allies rolled in and confiscated guns, and converted Germany into a brain-washed province of the New World Order.

This myth of Hitler the gun-grabber has been promoted most vociferously by an organization calling itself "Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership." It should be clear that Jews in general are a very biased source of information about Hitler.

Why Is Hitler Demonized?

Hitler is accused of many things he did not do, but there is one thing he did do. He broke the Jews' grip on Germany and restored Germany to the German people. This fact is reflected in his popularity; during most of his administration, Adolf Hitler was favorably regarded by more than 90% of the German people — a popularity which no American President has ever matched.

Because Hitler put the interests of his own people first, and freed them from the New World Order, World Jewry declared war on Germany in 1933. They revived essentially the same propaganda they had used against the Kaiser. With appropriate changes, the same kind of propaganda is used to rouse us against whoever the current enemy of the New World Order happens to be.

Heaven forbid that our people should ever have a strong leader who cares for our survival as a people, as Hitler cared for Germany. That would not suit the New World Order at all!

And that is why the obsession with the democratic republic as a form of government is a grievous error in the Ameri-

can patriot movement. When the New World Order was implemented in Germany, it included the establishment of a republican constitution in place of the monarchy. Our political tradition in the U.S. and our public school education lead us automatically to regard this as progress. Really, we ought not to be so smug.

Those who make "democracy" their political ideal are, whether they realize it or not, helping the New World Order. Our main concern about government should not be whether it is a "big government" or a "democratic" government, but whether it is a government which serves us — or serves our enemies.

It is absurd to moralize against Adolf Hitler for setting up a strong government to preserve his people from the New World Order. It is absurd to criticize him for not acting like a typical American conservative — for not using approaches that have always failed. Conservatives always compromise; they use half-measures and try to be nice to everyone. It is to Hitler's credit that he saw clearly what had to be done and did it, with very little compromise. Let us no longer make virtues of irresolution and weakness; let us no longer moralize against success.

The New World Order Is Here Now

All of those so-called "patriots," with their flag-waving and their knee-jerk tendency to link everything bad to Hitler — including, most ironically, the New World Order — have built their house on quicksand, for it is the United States of America since 1933 which has been the enforcer of the New World Order, and it was Germany and her allies in World War II which defended our civilization against it.

It is customary for "patriotic" commentators to wail endlessly about the threat of the New World Order "taking over the United States." The New World Order is not some future threat. It rules now.

The New World Order crowd has been at the levers of power in the United States during most of this century. They

experienced a temporary setback in the 1920s, during the Harding and Coolidge administrations, when popular sentiment recognized that the First World War had been a grievous error. During the 1920s immigration was drastically reduced, and a number of Jewish subversives were even deported. But since the Great Depression swept Franklin Roosevelt and his retinue of Jews and Communists into power, the New World Order has had uninterrupted control of the United States Government. The McCarthy era marked the last important attempt to regain control of the United States government for the American people. McCarthyism failed because the full depth and racial nature of the problem were not recognized and faced in a forthright manner. Most of the patriotic efforts since McCarthy have been far more timid, far less inclined to call a spade a spade and, as a consequence, have failed utterly.

The Scare Word "Nazi"

For telling the truth about Germany, World War II, and the New World Order, some of the coin salesmen will no doubt call me a "Nazi." Let me say this: There has never been a government on planet Earth that could receive unqualified endorsement from me, or probably from any reasonable man.

Learning from history is important, and it is interesting to study various regimes from as objective an outlook as possible. This becomes very difficult when a government, its leader, or its people have become demonized and turned into a metaphor for "evil."

Another heavily-demonized regime is the Old America, which I would define roughly as pre-1965 America, or European-America. Hardly a day goes by that the networks or the lie-papers don't torment us with a documentary "proving" the evil "racism" and "oppression" that were omnipresent in the Old America. However, anyone over 40 can remember America was freer, safer, more cultured, more prosperous, and more optimistic than now. Exempt from demonization, of course, are New World Order change agents like the Marxist

profligate "Martin Luther" King and the unspeakable Franklin Roosevelt, who were doing their best to destroy the Old America and everything it stood for. They are not demonized, but are regarded as heroes, if not saints!

The National Socialist regime in Germany between 1933 and 1945 has been demonized even more than the Old America. It has become a metaphor for "evil" used by almost all political factions in the insane asylum that still goes by the name "America."

We have the loony left accusing militias of being dangerous "Nazis," while some right-wing "patriot" groups accuse the Clintonistas and their enforcers of being "Nazis," "fascists," "stormtroopers," etc. "Nazi" has become a word that one attaches to any form of government power, or rebellion against government power, that one disapproves of. For all practical purposes, the word has ceased to have any actual meaning.

Like the word "witch" in the 16th century, the word "Nazi" still has the power to instill fear of ostracism or punishment, so cowards of many political stripes do all sorts of mental and verbal gymnastics to avoid the feared appellation.

The really interesting thing to note is that National Socialist Germany is not demonized by the establishment for its possible faults — but rather for one of its virtues. After all, many regimes of yesterday — and today — have far less personal freedom than was allowed citizens there. They have far less press freedom, far more regimentation, far higher taxation, far more government regulation, far more hostility toward their own citizens, and all the rest of it.

The real reason, and in fact the *only* reason, National Socialist Germany is demonized is the same reason that pre-1965 America is demonized: Both favored the survival of the White race. This is a crime that the New World Order cannot forgive.

Resistance to the New World Order

The modern resistance to the New World Order may be regarded as having begun early in this century, when alarm

over the blood soaked Bolshevik Revolution — and its adherents in this country — began to grow.

Literally hundreds of nationalist, anti-Communist, and patriotic societies and organizations have arisen, prospered, and fallen in the past 80 years. Some of them achieved national recognition and had many thousands of members and supporters. Some names you would recognize, such as the America First Committee and the John Birch Society. Some may be familiar to you from their current shortwave and satellite radio broadcasts. While some of these organizations have made small fortunes, both by selling quack cures (for disease and for subversion) and by selling overpriced precious metals; they have all failed to halt the advance of the New World Order.

Why Opposition Has Failed

This failure can be attributed to the fact that the “patriots” themselves accept a large part of New World Order doctrine. They accept the idea of “rights” inherent in all beings arguably human, regardless of race, creed, color, sexual preference, etc., etc. — until you gag. As long as such nonsense is enshrined as “sacred truth,” the failure will continue.

The doctrine “all men are created equal” is one such sacred cow. It must be repudiated by patriots, for in five short words it exemplifies New World Order ideology.

Its origin lies in the 18th century philosophy of the Enlightenment, which first enshrined the ideas of 1) basic human equality, and 2) rights which inhere in every human being equally regardless of the worth or attainments of that human being.

The American Revolution may have merely adopted some of the notions of the Enlightenment, such as “all men are created equal,” simply because these were fashionable ideas at the time which were conducive to revolution.

The Declaration of Independence incorporates the very unfortunate statement, the only statement from that document which the public schools and the Jewish-controlled me-

dia have made sure that we know by heart: “We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal . . .” Now, it is obvious to almost everyone that individual human beings are not born equal to each other. On the face of it, Thomas Jefferson’s statement is blatantly, and even embarrassingly, untrue. Jefferson himself later advocated repatriation of Blacks to Africa. This may give us some insight into his more mature and considered views. Unfortunately however, he did write that phrase.

During the Enlightenment, leading philosophers such as John Locke and Jean Jacques Rousseau seriously argued that everyone was born mentally identical. Locke’s term for the condition of the mind at birth was *tabula rasa*, Latin for “blank slate.” Racial differences were thought to be due to environmental influence over the course of just a few generations. These fallacies, part of the intellectual universe in which Thomas Jefferson lived, were overturned in the nineteenth century. Many scientists, including Charles Darwin, began making discoveries that showed human equality was a myth. Darwin dealt a death blow to the superstitions of the Enlightenment by providing evidence that man was part of the animal kingdom in which all are clearly *not* created equal.

Darwin wrote that men are not *tabulae rasae*; human motivations and emotions are based on instincts. These are at least partially hereditary, and differ from one race to another. More recently, mainstream psychology has largely acknowledged that the characteristics of the mind are largely hereditary, although the Politically Correct adherents of the Boas school still argue the point.

Science should have utterly dispelled the belief that all men are created equal, but unfortunately, because it is a piece of cant which has been enshrined on a holy relic of the United States it has continued to distort thinking. The Declaration of Independence was not regarded as a holy relic until about the 1840s, when it was put on display in the National Portrait Gallery, at the urging of Daniel Webster.

When “Martin Luther” King Jr. spoke in Washington, DC, in 1963, he used the words in the Declaration as if they were

a debt instrument. He said, 'you claim to believe this; you must act accordingly.' (Perhaps his Jewish and Communist associate, Stanley Levison, had something to do with it.) It is a dramatic illustration of the latent destructive power of wrong ideas.

The Declaration of Independence, with enthronement of Judaic egalitarian dogma, has been a millstone around the neck of the American people. It has provided powerful rhetorical ammunition for egalitarian dogmatists. Yet, since it is one of the founding documents of the United States, the average American does not feel that it would be safe to say that the words of the Declaration are obviously not literally true — although he may know this perfectly well. Thus the average American is intimidated into endorsing the fundamental premise of the New World Order, "all men are created equal."

The Constitution of the United States is on an altogether higher level. We are indeed fortunate to have the rights which the Constitution expresses, but that piece of paper did not create them. These rights are part of the Anglo Saxon tradition and spring from the soul of our branch of the White race. The republican form of government has hardly existed outside of the White world (except in name) because it presupposes a self-discipline and independence of thought which are characteristic primarily of our race. When the republican form is transplanted to Africa or Asia, it simply does not work the same way. Witness Liberia. Witness the Philippines.

Secret Societies, etc.

It is not without some trepidation that I set out to discuss obscure conspiratorial aspects of the New World Order; it is a subject on which our enemies do not mind having patriots focus. The very obscurity of the subject makes misrepresentation easy, and the distance of such conspiracies from everyday life suggests that there is nothing that an ordinary person can do to resist. Some people who call themselves patriots have become so wrapped up in fantasies that the most obvious facts have escaped their notice: the fact, for example, that multiracialism is the centerpiece of the New World Order.

Many secret societies have been heavily influenced by Cabalism — a system of esoteric theory and "magic" developed by rabbis from the 7th to 13th centuries. "Illumination" is an important term in Cabalism, hence the series of Jewish-led organizations calling themselves Illuminati or Illuminated Ones. The Freemasons are one of the secret societies which have been influenced both by Jews and by Cabalism. With Illuminism and Freemasonry, the Jews essentially resumed the ancient Jewish practice of multiplying their own power by proselytizing Gentiles.

We may note in passing the continuing Jewish involvement in New Age cults, all of which, for some reason or other, seem to have "equality" and "brotherhood" and "One World" as central themes. The credulous followers of these cults are thus hoodwinked, like the Masons, into advancing the Jewish agenda in the name of a spurious humanitarianism with a small, or large, helping of "spiritual" gobbledygook thrown in.

It is customary among patriot commentators, eager to stay marginally on the Jews' good side, to point to some Gentile stooge as an excuse to avoid implicating the Jews. The so-called Jewish "patriots" can also be counted on to do this. The fact that these essentially Jewish organizations try to ensnare Gentile stooges is not at all surprising in light of *Isaiah* 61, which states:

Aliens shall stand and feed your flocks, foreigners shall be your plowmen and vine dressers; but you shall be called the priests of the Lord, men shall speak of you as the ministers of our God; you shall eat the wealth of nations, and in their riches you shall glory.

Jews often recruit Gentiles to be their "plowmen and vine dressers," or some equivalent thereof.

Grand Orient Freemasonry and quasi-Masonic secret societies such as Adam Weishaupt's Order of Illuminati had an important role in inciting the French Revolution. The Grand Orient Lodge of Freemasonry, notorious for being Jewish-controlled, horrified Europe by ordering that Louis XVI be executed.

Jewish writer Max Dimont states in *Jews, God, and History* that there was an addition to Cabalism in the 16th cen-

tury, which has significant implications:

A new metaphysical philosophy was injected into Cabalism in the sixteenth century by one of the great Cabalistic scholars, Isaac Luria (1534-1572), known as Ari, 'the lion.' Luria held that all matter and thought evolved through a three stage cycle: tzimtzum, literally 'contraction' or thesis; shevirat hakeilim, literally 'breaking of the vessels' or antithesis; and tikkun, literally 'restoration' or synthesis.

That last Hebrew term, *tikkun*, you have heard before: It is the name of Rabbi Michael Lerner's Jewish magazine. It was Rabbi Lerner who was the spiritual advisor of First Lady Hillary Clinton. It was Rabbi Lerner who put the words "politics of meaning" into her mouth.

Also notable is Jacob Frank, a Jew and the leader of the Frankists. They also called themselves the "Illuminated." This group was part of what is called the "Jewish Reformation," which also included Hasidic Judaism. Jewish writer, Norman F. Cantor, states in *The Sacred Chain: the History of the Jews*:

Central to Frank's doctrine, and practiced by him and some of his followers, was the legitimacy of sexual promiscuity based on the assumption, from Cabalistic derivation, that sexual activity was a form of cosmic healing, unifying the spiritual and material realms.

The "free love" advocated and practiced by Jacob Frank and his ilk was echoed in the French Revolution, in the Bolshevik Revolution, in the radical abolitionist movement of the American Civil War era, and in the hippie movement of the 60s.

Abolitionism

Perhaps the most destructive movement in American history was radical abolitionism. This movement was comprised of a tiny minority of lunatics and degenerates, who somehow made enough noise that they succeeded in having America's racial identity officially destroyed.

Few of those involved were Jews themselves, but they represent an example of the Jewish "ideals" of universal human equality, which are vended to the gullible.

The general character of the abolitionists is suggested by a memoir of Henry B. Stanton, who attended a convention of abolitionists in Boston:

There was a representative array on the front seats, near the platform. First was

Garrison, his countenance calling to mind the pictures of the prophet Isaiah in a rapt mood; next was the fine Roman head of Wendell Phillips; at his right was Father Lampson, so called, a crazy loon — his hair and flowing beard as white as the driven snow. He was the inventor of the valuable scythe-snath, and invariably carried a snath in his hand. His forte was selling his wares on secular days and disturbing religious meetings on Sunday. Next to Lampson sat Edmund Quincy, high born and wealthy, the son of the famous President Quincy [of Harvard]. Next to Quincy was Abigail Folsom, another lunatic, with a shock of unkempt hair reaching down to her waist. At her right was George W. Mellen, clad in the military costume of the Revolution, and fancying himself to be General Washington, because he was named after him. Poor Mellen died in an asylum.

Another prominent figure in Radical Abolitionism was Victoria Woodhull, who was also a feminist, an occultist, and a Communist. Stanton continues:

As if her time did not pass spectacularly enough, Victoria Woodhull organized an American section of the International Workingmen's Association [the First Communist International]. In this endeavor her chief ally was William West . . . Their section of the International advocated woman's suffrage and sexual freedom as well as Stephen Pearl Andrews' pet theories of universal language and "pantarchical" order.

These flakes were an embarrassment even to the Marxists. In the interests of party orthodoxy, Victoria Woodhull's section was expelled from the party when Marx relocated the center of World Communism from London to New York City in 1872.

In 1863 Henry C. Wright published *The Self-Abnegationist*, which was a reaction against the findings of Charles Darwin *vis a vis* the implications for man. Wright defined self-abnegation in these terms: "Suffer rather than inflict suffering; die, rather than kill."

He further explains:

Self-preservation, at the expense of others, is condemned by universal consciousness . . . Let any man, whatever be his moral or intellectual development, fully understand the meaning of self-abnegation and then enter into the sacred and silent depths of his own soul, and he will find this to be an abiding law of his nature, to which he will find his heaven in being obedient.

Mainstream historian Lewis Perry states that there was behind radical abolitionism a religious movement called "Perfectionism," which is "the quest for perfect holiness and the idea that such perfection might be immediately possible." Perry further states:

Perfectionist ideas permeated the major denominations and inspired a variety of shockingly radical splinter movements. It was possible for those who deemed their personal sanctification to be perfect to attack the practices of institutional churches and hold themselves to new standards of morality. Rumors of sexual promiscuity particularly haunted the career of perfectionism in upstate New York. John Humphrey Noyes proceeded from the development of perfectionist religious theories to preach common marriage among the saints, a belief which, as practiced by Noyes and his followers at the Oneida Community, was popularly referred to as "free love." Though free love and the disruption of churches were of course widely condemned, it was difficult for the evangelical orthodoxy to distinguish its basic perfectionist beliefs from those of scandalous radicals.

The combination of the term "perfectionism" with the advocacy of sexual promiscuity is a suggestive parallel to Jewish Cabalism. Adam Weishaupt's Order of the Illuminati was also known as the Perfektibilisten. We return to Perry:

The most notorious perfectionist was John Humphrey Noyes. Noyes had been brought up as an orthodox New Englander and educated in the 'New Divinity' at Yale... " After a meeting with abolitionist leader William Lloyd Garrison, Noyes announced that he had retracted his allegiance to the United States government and now championed the claim of Jesus Christ to the throne of the world. He depicted the government as a fat libertine flogging Negroes and torturing Indians. . . . "My hope of the millennium," he wrote, "begins where Dr. Beecher's expires — viz, at the overthrow of this nation."

James Russell Lowell, a prominent abolitionist, explicitly advocated race-mixing, on the grounds that mulatto offspring would be more submissive — more "Christian" — than the White race. He wrote:

We have never had any doubt that the African race was intended to introduce a new element of civilization, and that the Caucasian would be benefited greatly by an infusion of its gentler and less selfish qualities. The Caucasian mind, which always seeks to govern, at whatever cost, can never come to so beautiful or Christian a height of civilization, as with a mixture of those seemingly humble, but truly more noble, qualities which teach it to obey.

Abolitionist Henry C. Wright stated in 1857 what would become the actual agenda of the Reconstruction period:

A baptism of blood awaits the slave holder and his abettors. So be it. The retribution is just. Must the slave holders become the slaves of those whom they have enslaved? History answers "Yes." If slavery goes down in blood, the conquered will be the bondsmen and bondswomen of the conquerors; for the practical teaching of Church and State is, that might makes the right to enslave. Bid American slave holders beware! Their turn may come, will come, must come, to be bought and sold as brutes, and to have their wives and daughters consigned to the Negro's harem, unless they willingly and penitently let their slaves go free.

It should be noted that, aside from the grotesque dream of forced miscegenation, Wright's vision is not essentially different from that of Karl Marx; it was an axiom of Marxist anarchism that workers were in fact slaves, who would one day change places with their masters.

Marxism: Illuminism Reincarnate

Karl Marx, though he disclaimed the Jewish religion, was the descendent of a long line of rabbis. Both his father and mother were Jews. His father, Heinrich, a well-to-do lawyer who was a dutiful follower of the Enlightenment philosophers, was faced with a choice of being baptized or giving up his profession. He chose the former.

Karl Marx had no mystical pretensions whatsoever; he called his ideology "dialectical materialism," incorporating a semblance of the Hegelian philosophy which was the popular, mainstream philosophy of that time.

One often hears patriotic broadcasters refer vaguely to "the Hegelian dialectic," as if Hegelianism itself were a tool of conspiracy. In fact, Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels both stated repeatedly that the Hegelian dialectic, as espoused by Hegel, was not and could not be an instrument of conspiracy. I consider it important to exonerate Hegel because it appears as part of a general knee-jerk tendency to dump on the Germans whenever possible so as to appease the real perpetrators of the New World Order, the Jews.

The Political Spectrum

In recent years, when the term Conservative has been redefined to mean laissez faire — a meaning it never had before — it has become the fashion among the historically myopic to redefine the terms "right" and "left" in politics. They claim that the left stands for "more government" and that the right stands for "less government," that Communism is the extreme of the left and Anarchy the extreme of the right. This conception of the political spectrum is a false one. Historically, Marxism has embraced both Communism and Anarchism.

The Anarchists of 100 years ago, such as Alexander Birkman and "Red Emma" Goldman, were called "Reds," and they were indeed Marxists who used the familiar Marxist slogans. On the ostensible premise that society makes men bad, the Marxist ideal is precisely the elimination of all government, and of all other social barriers — the same ideal which Adam Weishaupt espoused. The real motive behind this abhorrence of social barriers is simply the Jews' desire to recreate our society in their own image, so that they will have a free hand to engage in power-seeking activities without the barriers that traditional White societies imposed on them. They would like to freely engage in all the abhorrent practices which emanate from the Jewish soul and are condoned by their Jewish lawbook, the Talmud.

Perhaps it is clear now why laissez faire is so widely touted by Jews like Milton Friedman and Ayn Rand. Laissez faire and Marxism are not the opposites that most of our people assume them to be. Marxism actually goes farther than laissez faire, advocating a never-never land in which there is no government whatsoever.

The Captain of the Chicago Police, Michael J. Schaack, stated in his 1889 book *Anarchy and Anarchists: a History of the Red Terror and the Social Revolution in America and Europe*:

It [anarchism] is founded upon the teachings of Karl Marx and his disciples, and it aims directly at the complete destruction of all forms of government and religion. It offers no solution of the problems which will arise when society, as we understand it, shall disappear, but contents itself with declaring that the duty at hand is tearing down; that the work of building up must come later.

When one considers that anarchism is, in fact, laissez faire carried a step farther, it becomes apparent that Marxism and the beloved laissez faire doctrine of today's so-called Conservatives are intimately related. The two philosophies are in fact striving toward the same impossible goal: a world without any constraints or conflict, and with plenty for everyone. The salient element in Marxism and laissez faire is the drive to abolish the constraints and the order of healthy White society. The bribe which these Jewish doctrines offer to their Gentile adherents is a license for self-indulgence. In the name of laissez faire, our millionaires justify stabbing Ameri-

can workingmen in the back by importing workers from the non-White world. And under Marxist inspiration, the so-called "civil rights" movement was organized. Now, it is obvious that the so-called "civil rights" movement resulted in less freedom for White people, but it has on the whole, by its destruction of communities and social norms, increased the level of anarchy, in the sense of chaos, in our society.

Furthermore, although it did produce an expansion of government, the really significant thing is that the government has been perverted. The big government we have today is distinctly anti-White and is pernicious in ways that a pro-White government of equal proportions would not be. Indeed if an equally powerful state had been organized for the purpose of fighting off the enemies of our race, perhaps we would not have been conquered by infiltration as we have been today.

Big Government

Any people which is at war will necessarily have a big government, and that is true whether the enemy is internal or external. The reason why our enemies, the enemies of our race, have built up a big government is that they have marked us, racially conscious White men and women (and really all White people) as enemies in our own land. Big government has been built up to wage war against us. Should we ever gain control of the seat of power, any scruples about using "big government" against our enemies will be a disaster, a snatching of defeat from the jaws of victory. The redefinition of "conservative" to mean "laissez faire" would be crippling at the very brink of victory. A government in the hands of White patriots will have to be a powerful government if it is to correct all the damage that has been done by the current anti-White regime.

So the question of left and right is not a question of more or less government. The original "rightists," were supporting the big government (for its time) of Louis XVI, and the original "leftists" like Weishaupt advocated anarchy. But anarchism is always a transitional ideology; anarchy is a power-vacuum, and Nature abhors a vacuum. Ultimately, the

question is whether we will live in a society ordered according to the character of our own race or according to the demands of that vastly different Middle Eastern race.

This anti-government attitude among patriots is understandable, because in the United States our experiences with big government are almost all bad. Really big government in the U.S. began with Franklin Roosevelt. In the U.S., more government has always meant more racially destructive policies. This is simply because of the malevolent entity which controls our government. A government that is truly of, by, and for our people would not be of that nature, so the axiom that big government is bad government would not necessarily apply.

The crucial question about government is whether it is run by and for our people, or whether it is run by and for the enemies of our people.

Recruiting America as a Partner in the New World Order

Today in the United States both political parties are universalist, and both see man as a mainly economic entity. They share these characteristics with Marxism. The only valid alternative, the only true antithesis to the New World Order, is a society based on race. Only by establishing a race-based society can America and the civilization of the West survive. Only by establishing a race-based community can we begin to defeat the New World Order.

The last time America was culturally healthy was in the 1920s. Internationalism had been repudiated. The First World War had been recognized as a grievous mistake, and America's leaders were committed to keeping America out of any such future catastrophes. Eugenics as a national policy seemed entirely possible for the United States of the 1920s.

The last healthy epoch of America's existence was overturned by the Great Depression. The abrupt stock-market crash which heralded the Depression did not take the leaders of Jewry by surprise. Some have argued that the Jews used their control of credit through the Federal Reserve System,

which they had in place from the days of their puppet Woodrow Wilson, to engineer the stock-market crash. Regardless of whether the Jews caused the crash, manipulated it, or merely had inside knowledge of it, evidence suggests that Bernard Baruch (an extremely wealthy Jewish speculator who had been one of the Jewish string pullers behind Wilson and virtual economic czar during World War I), knew exactly when the crash was coming. He abruptly pulled all his money out of stocks only a few days before the stock market crash, over the protests of his broker. After the crash, leading Jews were able to buy up American industry for practically nothing.

It is an old saw that money is the mother's milk of political campaigns. With their vastly increased share of the American pie, and with a smear campaign, the Jews were able to blame Herbert Hoover for the depression and replace him with their puppet Roosevelt, who had run as a Conservative but governed as a Marxist socialist and did not let the Constitution get in his way. Roosevelt was also notorious for stocking the executive branch with large numbers of Communists and Jews; many of whom remained for decades.

When Germany dispossessed the Jews, who had robbed them on a scale far more massive than in the United States, the Jews wanted revenge. Along the way, the Jews killed several birds with one stone. In order to discredit the eugenics movement which still had proponents in the United States, the Jews involved America in what amounted to a war against eugenics. It is said that a war makes any cause sacred, and the Second World War made the cause of doing the Jews' bidding sacred in this country. This false religion remains in place to this day.

The Cold War Era

At the end of the Second Fratricidal War in Europe, genuine patriotic Americans like George S. Patton urged that Bolshevism be finished off by military means. Several years later, Senator Joseph R. McCarthy published *America's Retreat from Victory*, which argued that the reason why the opportunity to destroy Bolshevism had not been grasped was

that the American government was controlled by Communist sympathizers — an obvious fact to anyone who takes the time to investigate the matter. The division of the world into two enemy factions helped the New World Order planners in many ways. By maintaining Communism and capitalism as two ostensibly hostile brands of Jewish universalism, Jewish bankers and arms merchants were able to create wars at leisure. And by financing and selling arms to both sides in the various hot wars and in the ongoing Cold War, they were able to vastly increase their wealth and the debt-burden of the entire world.

Furthermore, the Cold War, with its focus on foreign aggression, diverted attention from subversion at home. Subversion in America advanced most rapidly during the Cold War, especially during the Vietnam War, when patriotic Americans were afraid to complain too loudly lest the country appear further divided in the face of its foreign foe.

At the same time, the Cold War's constant focus on a foreign menace caused people to overlook the treason in their own government. It was the end of the Cold War that allowed America's racial consciousness to spring back to life — in time to survey all the damage that treason had wrought in forty years. Not the least of this treason was the media's gradual brainwashing of our people, many of whom had learned to think of America only as the vehicle of a certain brand of universalism — the liberal capitalist brand. This brand of universalism was then represented as the only alternative to Communism. The liberal capitalist world view, this phony neo-conservatism, was the rationale for NAFTA and for free trade with China: In a purely materialistic and economic world view, whether it be laissez faire or Communism, race and nation receive no consideration. It is an encouraging sign, however, that in spite of the brainwashing, the majority reaction was against NAFTA. This shows that part of America still has some will to live.

The "Civil Rights" Movement

What damaged America more than anything else in re-

cent times has been the so-called "Civil Rights" movement. It is misnamed because it is not about "rights" but about enforcing equality. This movement was planned by the Jews after the Second World War and was carried out at the tactical level by Jewish agitators and fellow travelers who held positions of influence in the media and universities. This war against the White race was also waged by Gentile stooges like Dwight David Eisenhower, who appointed Earl Warren to the Supreme Court, then said "Oops!" with a pretended look of surprise. Nevertheless, he did not neglect to send paratroopers to Little Rock to enforce the Warren Court's anti-White agenda. Eisenhower, the protege of Bernard Baruch, had also been the first "supreme commander" of the Soviet-American alliance that called itself the "United Nations" even before Communist agent Alger Hiss chaired the nominal founding meeting of that organization in San Francisco several years later. Eisenhower's mentor Baruch was also a leader of the Jewish community in the Western Hemisphere; at this point it is very clear how everything ties together.

Immigration

What threatens America most today is immigration. The United States government has for years been under-funding its border patrol and refusing to take adequate measures to curb the illegal immigration of fast-breeding mestizos. You will recall that non-White immigration was part of the New World Order program described by F.S. Marvin in 1932. In Canada this destructive immigration is part of official government policy. Almost one-quarter of a million largely non-White immigrants enter Canada legally every year, despite horrendous unemployment among the White population. The U.S. government pursues by subterfuge the very same New World Order policy which the Canadian government pursues openly, and which the British government pursued by bringing Blacks into Britain.

One would have to be brain-dead to believe that the motive for bringing these fast-breeding non-White populations

into our homelands is in any way charitable, since any relief afforded to Mexico by emigration will quickly be canceled by population increase. The population of Mexico doubles every nineteen years! Current policies will lead to the entire world being overpopulated with brown men and women.

As America becomes increasingly non-White, it will also become increasingly unfree. A people united by common blood and common values need few laws, few prisons, and few policemen to get along peaceably. Multicultural empires are not known for their freedom.

Non-White America, populated by mulattos and mestizos, will be easier for our enemies to control and exploit, and whatever White minority remains, if it adheres to the representative process, will be perennially behind the eight-ball, always outvoted by racial aliens being manipulated by our enemies. It seems to me that those multiracial "Constitutional" patriots, who want us all to pretend that race doesn't matter, are leading their adherents in precisely this direction: toward a United States in which the Constitution is a revered artifact with even less influence than it has today.

We do not have to accept this fate, nor will the fight be impossible! Louis XVI and Czar Nicholas II died because they lacked the will to resist and didn't even really try. We understand what is happening. A highly motivated and disciplined minority can change the course of history. It has happened before. In fact, it has seldom happened any *other* way.

We have every reason to fight, and no incentive whatsoever to give up, for the loss of our race means the loss of everything. The struggle itself will make us strong!

Editor's Note: All five parts of this series are contained in this issue of Free Speech. Due to space limitations, and to avoid repetition, more editing than usual was required. The audio tapes of this series contain the complete shows as originally broadcast. These tapes, which consist of 5 half hour shows, are available for the special price of \$50.00 postpaid, from National Alliance, P.O. Box 90, Hillsboro, WV 24946.

The author of this series, Scott Spencer, is a writer and researcher who lives in Toronto, Canada.

FBI Contact

by

J.B. Campbell

17 November, 1996

To Our Friends:

On Wednesday, 13 November, 1996 I drove my family home to Hamilton, Montana from a trip to Missoula. It was about 12:30 in the afternoon. My wife noticed a new white Bronco coming out of our neighbor's ranch, whose road we share. There were two men in it. She didn't mention it to me. It had been a long time since we had been thinking about federal agents coming to our house.

We got the children and groceries unloaded and into the house. Within five minutes our dogs ran barking to the west end of the yard. We had visitors and they were in the white Bronco. I looked out and saw them at the gate, standing there. I put my jacket back on and went out to see who they were. When I got to the gate the younger one said, "J. B. Campbell?"

"Yep." He produced his identification and said, "Bill Jones, FBI." (name changed) Well, I thought, this may be it. Then he asked if I were involved with a tire disposal business, and I said that I was. Now, I understood. Then he said that they'd had complaints about my business. "My business? I don't think so..." Confused, he went to his vehicle and got his note pad. Reading, he named another business with a similar name. "Yeah, that's the one. They burned us, too." I had bought a franchise from a tire disposal business in New York but, along with a number of other victims, had been fed a pack of lies about the markets for our product, as well as the product itself. The patent holder in New York had gone to the FBI and given them my name as a fellow victim, against my wishes. I certainly did not wish to become involved with the FBI under any circumstances, and I

Liberty Bell / April 1997 — 31

knew that the con-man who had cheated us would not be paying us back in any event. I said as much to the agents.

Nevertheless, they said they had some questions about exactly how the crook had defrauded me. I gave a brief summary at the gate and then they asked if we could go inside for more details. So, I let them come in and invited them to sit at the dining room table. For the next hour and a half I explained the nature of the business as well as the various misrepresentations made by the crook. They listened politely and Bill took notes. The older one hadn't mentioned his name, but he watched me as I gave the details of the fraud, saying little. He really didn't seem that interested in the matter. It occurred to me that both men were quite nervous.

At the end of the interview, they got up to leave. I took them outside and walked them to the gate. As I let them through, the older agent turned and said, "I guess I really didn't need to come along on this... Bill could have handled it. But I've been wanting to meet you, since I've read your book..."

I looked at him in a new light. "My book?"

"The New American Man," he reminded me. Then I knew who he was.

"What's your name?" I asked him.

"Joe."

"Joe Dowd?" (name changed)

"That's right." Here we go, I thought. But he quickly continued. "You've obviously had a very interesting life... you were a mercenary in Zimbabwe—or Rhodesia, I guess it was called... And there have been a lot of other interesting things, besides the book. Anyway, I mainly wanted to meet you to find out if your ideas have changed..."

Joe Dowd runs the "militia desk" out of the Missoula FBI office. He'd been pursuing me for two and a half years, ever since I'd arrived in Montana. I'd heard nothing unpleasant about the man and in fact had heard that he may well be a decent individual, for an FBI agent. When I'd learned that John Trochmann, with whom I'd been closely

associated for the last six months of 1994, had been meeting with Dowd for at least two years, I immediately disassociated myself from the Trochmanns and denounced them in my monthly column in the *National Educator*, published in Southern California. I had written, very charitably, that the Trochmanns held the naive belief that there were actual human beings in the FBI. This may be true, I wrote, but it isn't worth the risk to find out. I had been referring to the man before me.

"They have changed," I replied.

"How so?"

"I no longer believe that there is anything that can be done about our present situation..."

"You don't think so?"

"No. It must play out on its own."

"But, you wrote some pretty strong stuff... 'The government has betrayed us—'"

"—and must therefore be overthrown," I finished for him. He nodded.

"That was pretty—"

"Yes, well—it's true. But you know that mainly I was talking about the invisible government—the ones we can't get at by voting. What are you going to do about them? Those boys are the problem."

"But you must have some ideas."

"No, I really don't."

"Well, I don't agree with that," he said. "I think there is still something which can be done."

"Well, I'd like to hear about it." He didn't say anything.

"Look," I said, "the government is totally corrupt. Your agency is probably going to be destroyed by the Oklahoma City trial... The Idaho deal was bad enough. Oklahoma City is going to be a catastrophe for you. When the people find out about what you all have been doing just in Oklahoma City, there'll probably be a national emergency."

"I understand the problems. I'm interested in solutions."

"Well... the solution is for the news media to tell us what's going on—to tell us the truth. But they only lie to us."

The news media are your public relations firms. As long as that's the case, we're going down."

"But the truth is coming out," he said.

"Yes, it is—mainly through the Internet."

"Well, that's something, isn't it?"

"Yes, it is becoming a problem for the news people."

"The truth about World War II would never have come out at the time, so that is some improvement, you must admit."

"Yes, it is... but it's really a matter of timing. The question is, can enough truth get out to enough people in time? In time to prevent the dictatorship. I frankly don't think so. You guys know about the plane that was shot down—you won't tell us. You know about the Gulf War disease—you won't tell us... We've got a president—this Clinton is a stinking little gangster and killer from Arkansas, a criminal! The creeps I was writing about in my book put him and his stinking wife in there because that's what they want. He's going down and will probably declare a national emergency to prevent that! No, I'm sorry—it's going to happen and there's nothing we can do about it. We are going to have martial law and then it's going to get bad."

"Well," said Dowd, "I'm an optimist and I believe that this can be prevented. After all, we do have some things in common... I have children just as you do and certainly don't want this to happen to them."

"Of course not," I agreed. "We do have that in common. I'm trying to give them a normal life for as long as possible. I've gotten back to horses and cattle..."

"But you're still a fighter..."

"Yes, I'm still a fighter, but I just don't believe that now is the time for fighting. Now is the time for watching this thing collapse... Look—the stock market is at 6300! Based on what? Look at today's paper. On the front page a big industry is shutting down; on the back page, Sunbeam is firing half their people. And the stock market goes up! What if the market corrects by twenty five percent? It will be a national emergency."

"Do you want a real shock? Have you read *Votescam*? Do you know how the vote is counted in this country? I'll ask you the way I ask everyone else: 'Do you know how the vote is counted?' The guy says, 'No.' 'It's done by computer.' The guy says, 'Oh.' 'Do you know who programs the computers that count the votes?' The guy then gives you a funny look. [I then digressed into a discussion of vote fraud.] Now, the authors of *Votescam* go to the FBI and this agent looks at their spread sheets and evidence and he says, 'This is vote fraud, but I can't do anything about it.'

Dowd nodded and said, "We don't have that much power..."

"Yeah, well—you're still an FBI special agent. You can do something." Of course, he was right. But I was right, too. He could quit and write a book, like Gary Aldrich. I encouraged him to read *Votescam*, which will probably make him join the militia.

"My book,"* I said to the other agent, "started the militia movement—"

"—I know," interrupted Dowd. "I know that it did, although there are people in the FBI who won't admit it."

"Well, it's true. It didn't turn out exactly as I had in mind, with all these guys marching around in uniforms, playing soldier, but it is better than nothing. The militia is really a state of mind, a willingness to stand up to government and say, 'No.' Do whatever has to be done." Dowd nodded frankly. "Anyway, how is that different from the American Revolution? That's all those guys did. Every one of them that signed lost about everything. That's the way it goes, but it needs doing every now and then."

"Maybe the truth is that it's our turn in the barrel," I told them. "You know, we have wrecked the rest of the world with our wars and foreign policy. Maybe we deserve what we're going to get..." (I reminded them about what we did to the Iraqis and to the Panamanians.) Dowd shook his

We have four copies of Mr. Campbell's book, *The New American Man - A Call to Arms*, left in stock. Send \$10.00 + \$2.00 postage to Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270.

head. "No, we must prevent that. You had a lot to say in your book..."

"You know," I replied, "my dad hated Roosevelt. Now, if he and his contemporaries did to Roosevelt and his gang what I called for in my book, then we wouldn't be having these problems... I came along too late, but I felt I had to make a contribution. Too little, too late." I didn't even bring up the nightmarish racial situation we face in America—our real downfall.

"You really should keep writing," said Dowd. "You have a lot to say."

"I write some now. I used to write every month for the *National Educator* but I got a little too radical for it... I'll tell you this: This is a very violent country. You've got some very brutal people on your side—real killers. They scare me. And, there are some brutal people on my side, and they scare me, too. I always said that after my book was out for a while some guys would come along who would make me look tame. And it's true. This is going to be a real bad deal."

"We believe," said Dowd for both of them, "that any corrupt people in the FBI should be punished."

"Well, I wish there were more of them like you."

"There are more than you think," said Dowd. "You know, I would like to talk about this some more with you, some other time. Maybe it will do some good, maybe not—I really don't know. But it probably couldn't hurt."

"You are welcome to read everything I've written," I offered. "I don't mind talking to you but I would require full disclosure." He looked at me. "I doubt if that would be possible." I shrugged. He added, "You have a certain genius for describing our problems and you should continue to write about them because maybe a solution can come out of your ideas." I looked at him and at the other one in a daze. Was I hearing correctly? All I could say in response was, "This is the most ironic conversation I've ever had."

Ever since I began writing for newspapers and *Liberty Bell* I have been relentless in my attacks on the criminals in the FBI, which I have called "America's Number One

Terrorist Organization," based on countless examples other than Ruby Ridge, the World Trade Center and Waco. I doubt if any writer has written more consistently or loathingly than I about what is essentially a legalized criminal Freemasonic syndicate, the enforcement arm of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, and its destruction of those who have tried to defend our race from deadly miscegenation. The FBI is a dying beast, but still dangerous. Its patron saint, Hoover, is now revealed as a homosexual transvestite who was controlled by organized crime through blackmail by Meyer Lansky. Its current director is a slimy opportunist run by a diseased lesbian, run by the two most disgusting human beings since Franklin Roosevelt.

The FBI has committed countless felony crimes against good Americans. The FBI's sadistic crimes against Louis and Sheila Beam—in Mexico—almost defy belief. Louis went on to become the only individual on the FBI's Top Ten Most Wanted who was acquitted of all charges—primarily that of "sedition." (The charge of sedition is the highest honor a corrupt government can bestow on anyone.)

The bureau has lost practically all of its former credibility, which existed only in the minds of those who knew the FBI from its Hollywood version, from Jimmy Stewart to Efram Zimbalist to the X-Files. Those people never stopped to think about Hollywood's function, which is to vilify the White race and glorify the enemies of the White race. That has pretty much been the FBI's function, as well.

I am personally aware that the FBI is heavily involved in the trafficking of narcotics, principally through Alaska for distribution to the lower 48 states. The FBI is involved in murder in that operation, as well. The FBI teamed with the ADL in an ambush murder of White patriots in Meridian, Mississippi in the late 1960s. There are reports here in Montana that the FBI is running drugs into this state from Canada. (CIA brings it in, FBI distributes it) The FBI actually made the bomb that blew up in the World Trade Center, as was reported in the *New York Times*, the *Los Angeles*

Times, Reuters, and others. If the bomb had been placed properly, it would have toppled one tower into the other and killed a hundred thousand people inside. Why? Because it would have shown that Israel's enemies are our enemies.

The FBI's true nature was revealed in North Idaho and at Waco. FBI criminals are now being prosecuted over the Weaver outrage. We haven't yet had hearings on Waco, because it will be Weaver times a thousand. And, as I indicated, the shocking truth about Oklahoma City may well bring down our so-called democratic government.

For those who are not familiar with my book, I simply called for the overthrow of our unlawful government and the elimination of those traitors and criminals who have ruined us and the rest of the world. Two carloads of FBI's attempted to arrest me on the charge of "sedition" in Medford, Oregon on 31 July, 1990. I was tipped off and didn't show up for my speaking engagement. But they never came for me after that, doubtless knowing that they could not win a sedition case in this country. They may have been trying to use me to find out about others. But I never became involved with any group until I came to Montana in the early summer of 1994. It was my belief that there was going to be a big fight up here and therefore this was where I needed to be. And there very nearly was...

The Oklahoma City bombing changed everything. I knew immediately that the government had blown the place up from inside, and said so as a guest on a Denver radio show within a couple of days. The certainty that the government had lost control of this country became blindingly apparent with the bombing. The attempt to pin it on the militia was pathetic. I realized that I had actually overestimated the enemy. The bombing demonstrated their sick fear of us—that they would even kill children of federal employees to make us look bad, and to justify our legalized lynching. The militia had made its point. The FBI and its rival agencies had thrown craps again.

Now we can see an FBI deeply involved with the Clintons' pending destruction, providing illegal favors, covering

up the results of investigations into criminal activity, prosecuting innocent White House employees. Perhaps the greatest whistleblower in American history is about to administer the coup d'grace: Frederick Whitehurst, a special agent in the FBI's crime lab, has already tried to tell how the FBI routinely frames suspects with manufactured scientific evidence, and he accuses the current director, Louis Freeh, of committing this crime in his most important case. Whitehurst will be a witness for the defense in the Denver trial, which is being stalled off for as long as possible. No doubt Freeh and his perverted bosses are frantically considering ways to suspend the proceedings, perhaps with a declaration of national emergency.

So, as we see with Whitehurst, there are actual human beings in the FBI. Quite possibly the two men who came into my home are of the same species. I can't really blame Dowd for entering under false colors: It was the only way he'd have gotten in. As it is, I'm glad we had the talk. I remain cautiously optimistic (only with regard to this particular and isolated incident).

This does not excuse the Trochmanns for their working with Dowd for some four years now. Such contact with an agency as foul and dangerous as the FBI demands immediate and full disclosure, which I made immediately with telephone calls and an abbreviated e-mail version of this report. The Trochmanns worked also with the ATF against the Freemen, as well as with other agencies, attempting to persuade this or that dissident to surrender, a la Bo Gritz, with whom they collaborated against Weaver and Gordon Sellner (who received a life sentence for "attempted murder" after he was shot from ambush).

The main idea here is that we must reestablish the employer-employee relationship between us and our employees in government. Obviously we don't want to employ these people anymore. But they are still under us and we must continue to make this relationship clear until we can persuade them to take jobs in productive and private enterprise. Government work brings out the worst in people. The

greatest favor we can do for them is to get them out of this self-destructive line which has become so deadly dangerous for us all.

I am convinced at present that we can only watch the destruction of our system. We must be prepared for the declaration of a national emergency, which will probably mean a suspension of what's left of the Constitution. There are elements in our government who are so evil that they have actually unleashed on us a number of contagious AIDS-like viruses known collectively as Gulf War Illness, which is in fact biological warfare. They have even devised a way to wreck gas masks. They do, however, possess an antidote to protect themselves from this mass death. We must persuade them to share it with us...

My life has been dedicated to fighting evil where I found it. I called for the destruction of our traitors consistently since 1968, eventually publishing this call to arms in the above-mentioned book, first written in 1983. The militia movement resulted from this call to arms but it remains primarily a defensive, rather than an offensive, movement. We cannot win by remaining on the defensive. At some point, probably after a declaration of national emergency, we will be forced to fight the brainwashed elements of our military and police to avoid deportation to concentration camps—in self-defense. If our hidden oppressors, the Rothschild-Rockefeller gangsters, are not punished then our lives are meaningless. We will have failed our children as our parents and grandparents failed us. We must break this cycle of powerlessness. We have the power! But if we don't use it, it is the same as if we don't have it. I tried to provide the spark to overthrow these sexually perverted, homicidal maniacs who have turned this country into a cesspool. Their orders will be ignored when they are gone.

We must take charge of our lives. There is no alternative to this except death. □

What is worrying you?

by

Major D.V. Clerkin

Do you look to the heavens for comets? Or do you think the world is coming to an end in the year 2000? How about the New World Order? The United Nations? I don't want to pooh-pooh some people's favorite boogeymen, but really, none of these things is as fearful as the absolute collapse of the United States into bankruptcy. There is nothing that we can do to prevent such a calamity, and in fact we ought to welcome this event; for without the demise of Washington as a power in this continent, the white race will not survive into the next century as a political force to be reckoned with. Demographics already show that the diminishing numbers of whites (due to a 1.6 birth rate) are losing the battle for political influence. Plus the fact that most whites think and act against their own racial interests. Were the United States to continue on, soon the non-whites would have political power over us, especially considering that the powerful Jewish interests always work against true white interests.

No, even though the collapse of the Washington regime will mean dangerous conditions for whites, if we are serious about surviving we ought to be thinking about a future in which we rebuild a national entity for ourselves on this continent.

How will the collapse come about? First understand that there is nearly eight trillions of dollars in public debt, and about 20 trillions in private, mostly credit card debt abroad in this economy. Everything depends upon the ability to continue to pay the interest on these debts, public and private, but especially the Interest on the National Debt. Private individuals may bankrupt themselves with credit card profligacies, but the country does not suffer death because of it. But if the Interest on the National Debt is not paid, then the full faith and credit of the United States will suffer. We have legal tender laws in the United States. The

government could call toilet paper money if it chose to do so. However, the foreign concerns that buy Treasury Bills do not have to accept worthless scrip as payment of Interest on the Debt. If the full faith and credit of the United States is destroyed by the failure to pay the Interest quarterly, even one quarter, then the currency of the United States, the "Federal Reserve Note," will be so worthless that no foreign bond holder will accept it. Washington will do anything it has to do to pay the Interest on the Debt.

The Interest now is paid because the foreign concerns hold Treasury Bills they have invested in the bond market. The money taken in from Treasury Bill sales is returned to the investors as interest. A dead bond market would finish the country quickly. Consequently, Washington does what it has to do to prop up a sagging bond market. If interest rates do not offer good enough investment, then the Federal Reserve will raise their "discount rate," causing a rise in the "prime lending rate," the rate at which big corporate customers borrow money. Soon the bank rates on homes and automobiles rise. If the Federal Reserve jacks up its "discount rate" too high, then all down the line interest rates are affected, causing slowdowns in the building of new homes and the sales of cars, thus putting workers on the unemployment lines. Keep in mind that all the economies of the Western world are based on debt, a condition engendered by Jewish practices going back to the Middle ages: fractional banking — the holding of only a fraction of the money lent out. Thus was paper currency born.

There have been paper currencies issued by the countries of the world not borrowed from central banks the Greenbacks of Lincoln's time and the United States Notes of JFK's time, plus the Reichsmark of Adolf Hitler — these currencies issued on the worth of the economies in goods and services, plus the value of the land in resources. Now there is no such currency in existence anywhere in the developed world. All currencies are borrowed from central banks through a devious process which creates them from thin air, then charges interest to the holders of such curren-

cies who have to work to earn them.

Since Washington cannot seem to stop borrowing, cannot get hold of a balanced budget, the Debt principle rises and the Interest on that Debt goes up accordingly. Any business owner or householder knows that continued borrowing slowly saps the ability to keep up. Anyone who has extended his credit on a bank card beyond his ability to pay, is well aware of the eventual consequences. Washington, however, seems unwilling to face this fact. Probably because the unlimited ability to tax and play with interest rates permits the government to borrow and spend without thought to the consequences.

Failure to pay the Interest on the Debt will mean the end of confidence in the government's promises, what is known as the full faith and credit of the United States. The government MUST pay the Interest. If the Interest is not paid, then the holders of government bonds will file breach of contract suits in the U.S. district courts. The courts must entertain such actions, and judgements will have to be paid in something the foreign investors will accept. The currency being worthless, the judgments will have to be paid in federal lands and properties, there allegedly being no gold left in Fort Knox. Thus the United States will be partitioned.

But it will not come to that because the government will do anything to pay the Interest. As the Interest on the Debt rises, the Federal Reserve will have to fuel the bond market with a rise in interest rates. Because the government will not get to the business of living within its means, will not balance the budget (it is probably too late for that now), its only resort must be to raise taxes to pay for the increasing costs of the burgeoning government. Interest rates and taxes can only be raised so much before the economy dries up. So the alternative is to cut the entitlements, the welfare programs, government pensions, civilian and military, Medicare, Social Security (whose trust fund is already nearing the point of no return for a Ponzi Scheme), and Medicaid. When the welfare budget is cut, and already the States are moving to cut free access to the welfare dollar,

thus making recipients angry, the hotheads among the blacks will claim that these cuts are genocide against black people, the starvation of black children. Blacks have burned the cities before for lesser reasons. Race riots, shootings of police, attacks on white suburban communities, will bring on martial law and the Army will be ordered by the president to restore order. The militias will then rise up claiming that the Constitution is being overthrown by the New World Order. Civil war is a distinct possibility.

So the next time someone tries to work you up with stories of space creatures and spiritual possessions, remind him that the actuality of life is much scarier.

What to do about all of this? Well, be armed. You will need to be. A good short barreled shotgun and a good high caliber revolver, probably a .38 or a .357, or a .45 semi-automatic for those with a steady hand. And buy some rounds now while you can get them. Believe it or not, along with gold and silver, bullets will most likely serve as money during the crunch. Why not, they are capable of saving your life. You can't eat bullets, but you can stay alive until you can find something to eat. Those whites fearful of guns and their lethal purpose will probably not survive the period of chaos which will follow the collapse of government control. They will be butchered without mercy by the marauders, many of whom will be whites on the prowl for whatever the unarmed have in their homes and cars. This goes for rural areas of the country as well as the big cities. You will only know who your enemy is when he comes calling with that look in his eye. So be prepared to use extreme violence, and don't think twice once you have decided this fellow is going to harm you or yours.

It is at this time that the great racial awareness among whites will arise. All talk of race equality will cease. Most blacks will attack you now if given the chance. Come the chaos and you will be a real target. Again I say to beware of the vicious white trash, the scum of our race, the predators who come into their own when law and order break down. Out of this chaos we will have just one chance to form

into a new white polity, a *Staat* of our own in North America. It won't be a large *Staat* at first, due to the fact that we will perforce be very selective of who we allow to enter into the new *Staat*. The first thing we must do is set up an immigration court. Petitioners must present their qualifications for becoming a citizen of the Aryan Republic. We shall have to be sticklers in our choosing. No race mixers of course, with their broods of bastards trying to escape the chaos; and no old boo-hoos for the 'poor blacks.' We do not intend to make the mistakes that killed America off. The Aryan Republic will be a place for the white loyalist, with an army to protect the borders, and a government determined to protect the best of our race, giving them a place to bring up white children in security and the high European culture.

America died a long time ago, the Republic died at Appomattox. There is no reason to think that any political action can resurrect it. The cream of our racial crop does not have to die with it. Be forewarned. □

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$12.00 plus \$2.40 for postage and handling to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION AND FROG LEGS FOR DINNER

by

Richard C. Bentinck, M.D.

The Western frog sits basking stupidly in the pond of Western Civilization as the temperature slowly rises. Already he is parboiled half to death and doesn't realize what is being done to him. The so-called 'hate crime', a term of totally open, political and even frivolous definition, might just be enough to finish him off. In Germany, even to speak the word 'holocaust' with a smile can get you five years in prison! Most of Europe is gone. Canada is not far behind. Australia is on its way. When we are gone, the war is lost.

In our Sovereign States of America united, we pride ourselves on our First Amendment of the Constitution. It guarantees us the freedom of speech and expression, doesn't it? We value it, don't we? Did not Voltaire, who fought judicial arbitrariness, define our First Amendment when he said, "I may not agree with what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it"? Well, we also—truly the last bastion of freedom in the world—are losing the rights first voiced formally in 1215 in the Magna Carta and locked in stone in 1787 by our Constitution. But even stone yields to attack by the winds.

An article called "Combating Bigotry in Law Enforcement" which appeared in *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin* for June, 1996, shows the sneaky and insidious means by which politically correct, corrupted judges are turning the rock of our Constitution into anonymous sand. The article, written by a Ph.D. professor and an FBI lawyer starts off on a high-blown note of constitutional virtuosity, "In civilized nations, freedom of thought is sacred—the equal right of individuals to think as they see fit—freedom of thought is the most basic of all obstacles to governmental tyranny—(and)—the right to freedom of thought is unconditional". Did you catch the little hooker? What happened to freedom of expression? What they really

are saying is, "You can think what you want as long as you don't communicate your thought to anyone else by word or action".

Think a moment. If thought cannot be aired, it is rendered pointless. As will anything that is unused, it loses purpose and meaning. Thought will die. What do we call a creature that cannot think? It is a robot. A robot is a thing that is totally under the control of something other than itself, isn't it? In a word, it is the ultimate *slave*.

Now they begin to get really devious. They say, "Neither the U.S. Constitution nor first amendment *case law* guarantees unconditional freedom of speech and nonverbal expression", implying that we've all been reading the darn thing wrong for over 200 years! Look again at their sentence. They cleverly construct the sentence such that it gives the impression that we really do not have a Constitutional right to freedom of expression. And the sentence is true. It is true, the Constitution devoid of its Amendments, says nothing about freedom of expression. That right is in the First Amendment (capitalized). While the sentence does not mention the First Amendment per se, its presence is implied. Instead, they mention 'first amendment case law' (without caps). And that also is true—but what the entire sentence says to the unwary and without analysis, is a lie. The First Amendment clearly guarantees freedom of expression with no conditions to all citizens of the Sovereign States of America united.

'Case law' is merely some judge's 'interpretation'. It is his 'opinion'—which may be bigoted, or worse. Is there anyone on earth still so naive as to believe that opinions are not for sale? As we know, judges are merely lawyers who, as federal judges, are appointed by politicians to life tenure because they know which side their bread is buttered on. If they are not federal judges, they are elected and we all know what a disgusting farce that has become. A judge is just a lawyer with a sinecure. The mere act of donning a black robe and sitting in a taxpayer-built palace does not magically confer either intelligence, knowledge, honesty or honor.

Now, the article discloses some pretty fancy judicial foot-

work. In order to allow somebody to penalize somebody else if they don't like him or what he says, judges have displayed remarkable terpsichorean talent as they pirouette through their dance of words. And then, adding insult to injury, they quote reams of previous semantically improper decisions in support of their own corruption of the basic law. That is exactly what it amounts to. Precedent (read, '*judicial interpretation*') is the law, not the law itself in these latter days.

While this article seems to refer mostly to certain types of employees rather than to their wives, husbands, or neighbors, it is but an insignificant step to general application. How big a step do you think it is from penalizing one type of employee for speaking freely to extending this same penalty to all of us in the name of *equality under the law*?

These '*judicial interpretations*' are quite properly labeled Orwellian '*newspeak*'. They redefine words and reinterpret sentences out of syntax in order to fit some political expediency. Thus, according to this article, telling someone of your thoughts is protected by the First Amendment only if it may be "fairly characterized as constituting speech on a matter of public concern—whether it relates to any matter of political, social, or other legitimate concern to the community". Obviously, what may be a "matter of public concern" may be entirely in the mind of the listener or observer—or elected official!

He, the official, then gets to decide why he thinks you expressed it, whether you might have had some personal bias—which could simply mean that you knew more about it than he did—and whether you said it publicly. If you expressed this idea of yours at a private party, even as a lampoon to liven things up and have a good time, it would *not* be considered a matter of public concern because it was not bruited from the rooftops to the general public! So, if someone at the party disliked your perfume (the wearing of perfume is a form of expression) and you had saved it specially for the party and never worn it on the street, you are in trouble.

Don't think it stops there! This wonderful lawyer paid by your taxes to be a judge gets to apply the "Balancing Process".

Reaching into his deepening bag of tricks, your local paragon of justice gets to decide whether the value of your expression to the public interest outweighs its adverse effects on legitimate law enforcement interests! But, there is more. Your remark need cause no disruption of law enforcement interests. All it takes is that somebody has an idea that your expression could somehow cause some disruption of law enforcement *interests*. 'Interests' covers an almost limitless field—and the court can rule against you. Somewhere in the back of your mind, is the little phrase, 'police state', beginning to rankle?

Now can you see what is going on? First, get the poor, dumbed-down boobs accustomed to something lukewarm, confuse them with razzle-dazzle and then clamp down! The frog is almost ready for eating. Does he still have enough gumption to jump out of the pot? □

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb.) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's *1984*" —R.S.H. "A searing expose of Red bestiality" —Dr. A.J. App). **THE ANTI-HUMANS**, Order #01013. Sale price, single copy \$2.00 + \$1.50 postage, 10 for \$15.00 + \$5.00 postage. Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS,
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

PLEASE REMEMBER:

Your subscription to *Liberty Bell*, your book orders, and your regular monetary contributions are our lifeblood. Help us keep *Liberty Bell* ringing and proclaiming the truth. Your continued support is needed and will be greatly appreciated!

Editor, TULSA WORLD
P.O. Box 1770
Tulsa 74102

**LETTERS
TO THE
EDITOR**

13 January 1997

Lately there has been much discussion about an impending Social Security crisis, and with good reason. Productive, thrifty people are awakening to the fact that Social Security is a rotten deal for them and is likely to become much worse.

If participation in Social Security were to be made voluntary it would have few takers amongst thrifty, self-reliant people, more of whose earnings could be put to more effective use in providing for their retirement years without being forced to depend on "our" profligate, bungling, corrupt, mendacious and redistributive government in Washington.

Of course, that government has the power to print ever-increasing amounts of paper money, but what will that money buy in future years?

Like others who were employed in the 1950s, I was forced to make "contributions" to Social Security that had a purchasing power around ten times as great as the dollars in which my present benefits are being paid. However, 85% of part of my benefits is being taxed at a rate which is applied to present-day earnings in debased dollars.

Monetary policy is being formulated by men who are trying to figure out how to roll over the huge indebtedness of the government in Washington. Trust in the future value of the dollar has become so low that the federal government is now issuing bonds that will supposedly compensate for the decline in the future purchasing power of its paper money. People of my generation recall that the federal government callously repudiated its gold obligations in 1939.

The philosophical basis of Social Security lies in the oversaving theories which were current in the 1930s and in a cynical contempt of the inherent self-reliance of American workers.

Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

2446 East 22nd Place
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74114

* * *

Mr. George Dietz
Editor, THE LIBERTY BELL
P.O. Box 21
Reedy, West Virginia 25270

1 January 1997

I was utterly astonished to read the following sentence on page 35 of the January, 1997 issue of the LIBERTY BELL: "One believes that there must be a money or value, 100 per cent gold and silver used for a short time around the 14th century."

What a lack of awareness of the real history of monetary media, perhaps propagated by collectivist academic economists! As a matter of fact, European governments have been striking gold, silver and (later) bronze coins for over 2,500 years, not just "for a short time around the 14th century." By the fifth century B.C. Athens, for example, was producing a huge silver coinage with well established standards of weight and fineness. The Athenian silver coins became so highly respected, trusted and desired that they circulated as far away as India, where they were also imitated. In Germany, a figurative expression sometimes used for doing inefficient or pointless work is "Eulen nach Athen tragen" (to carry owls to Athens). This figurative expression corresponds to "to carry coals to Newcastle" in English. The "owls" of the German expression, which actually goes back to ancient Greek literature, are the Athenian silver coins, which depicted owls on their reverses as attributes of the goddess Athena.

By the way, the technical and artistic accomplishment of striking coins with elaborate designs, which required the preparation ("sinking") of steel dies, gives a notable testimony to the skills and mentality of ancient European man. Many books are available to the reader who wishes to learn about the remarkable ancient coinage of Europe, for example, Agnes Brett, *Catalogue of Greek Coins, Museum of Fine*

Arts. Boston (1955 and later reprint). The magnificent artistic achievement of ancient European coinage can be viewed in the book by C.M. Kraay and Max Hirmer, *Greek Coins* (New York, 1966). The ancient Chinese civilization also brought forth coins as media of exchange but they were relatively crude, cast (not struck) bronze coins with inscriptions in Chinese characters. In ancient times American Indians had no coins. They used such commodities as shells and cocoa beans as media of exchange. However, they treasured gold and worked it into artistically simple ornaments by hammering it and even employing the lost wax process.

When attempts have been made to substitute a fiat paper money for monetary media based on the precious metals, they have resulted in economic dislocation, chaos and injustice. Toward the end of the eighteenth century the revolutionary government of France issued paper money (assignats) supposedly based on the value of lands confiscated from the Church. These assignats soon became worthless as ever larger quantities of them were issued. (Goethe later satirized them in the second part of his drama, *Faust*, when Faust's evil companion, Mephistopheles, induces the government of an emperor to issue fraudulent paper money.) Napoleon's government returned to gold and silver as monetary media which served the European economy well for over a century. Paper money was also issued by American colonial and state governments around the time of the American Revolution. This paper money also became worthless. ("Not worth a Continental" referred to paper money issued by the Continental Congress.)

In our days the Federal Reserve System, founded largely by Jewish bankers in 1919, has provided us with another example of paper money. One of Roosevelt's first measures in 1933 was the prohibition of the use of gold as a monetary medium, quite in opposition to the intent of the Constitution, Article 1, Section 10, "No State shall... make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts." The "pestilent effects of paper money" are vividly described in

Federalist Paper No. 44, written by Madison in support of that Section. The American Constitution, after all, was written by Aryan men as a manifestation of their aspirations for government and economic life.

Paper money hyperinflations in Europe during the early 1920s enabled Jews, with their international banking connections, to buy up great amounts of real estate and other tangible assets. They became wealthier on average than their host populations, (For details, see Louis Marschalko, *The World Conquerors*, 1958, reprint of 1968, pages 121 ff.) Such paper money inflations took place in Germany, Danzig, Austria, Hungary, Poland and Russia. In general, Jews have a tendency to favor monetary media that can be manipulated and debased. In Israel itself various monetary units have been subject to hyperinflations.

Aryan man at his best has a mentality, perhaps even genetically determined, which makes him comfortable with monetary stability. Aryan man is by nature inventive, energetic and thrifty, but his thrifty inclinations are betrayed when monetary media are debased and are thus frustrated. In our times a lack of monetary stability is manifested in the decline of purchasing power of Federal Reserve Notes. If we look back on the prices of automobiles and postal services in the 1950s, for example, we observe that the purchasing power of such paper money has declined by about 90%, a reality which has had a profound effect on American life, particularly as a concomitant of the declining numbers and fortunes of the Aryan component of the American population.

When we reflect on actual monetary history of the past thousands of years its racial aspects become apparent.

(Monetary "reforms" took place in Israel during 1949, 1960, 1980 and 1985. In 1985 1000 old Sheqalim were equated to 1 New Sheqel.)

Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.
2446 East 22nd Place
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74114

* * *

Dear George,

I have sent my extra copies of *Liberty Bell* to other people, hoping to educate or give another viewpoint, but I fear we have far too many slow learners or even non-learners among us.

Along those lines of non-learners I do put Joe Sobran. For many years I read his articles in *The Wanderer* and found them filled with such hate toward Germany and the German people. His constant repetition of dictator Hitler and the Six Million even long after Jewish historians were lowering the numbers irritated me and I wrote letters to him about this and also asked him if he read anything but the Jewish version of that war. Needless to say, I received no reply. Indeed it is only since his former friends, Jews have turned on him that he now tells a little about the hold the Jews have on this country. I firmly believe that if he were again accepted back into the fold of his Jewish friends he would again revert to his old refrain of the guilt of the German people because of the 6 Million.

I doubt that any of our people, Hans Schmidt, Michael Hoffman, Ernst Zündel or Günther Deckert or any others trying to get another viewpoint across could be printed in *The Wanderer* or Joe Sobran's newsletter. Those people are kept out of any print and may only be found in the smaller newsletters, newspapers or magazines such as *Liberty Bell*.

I do enjoy *Liberty Bell* for it encourages me to believe that one day the whole truth about not only those two wars, but this entire last century will be evident for all to see and read.

With my best wishes for the New Year,

Sincerely Yours,
Mrs. M.K., Oregon

* * *

Dear Sir,

11 January 1997

...There is one point of issue I think has largely been overlooked and that is the use by the Left of using words like apartheid and then giving them all sorts of connotations which have little bearing on their true meaning. If for instance people would use the English translation of separate development then they would arrive at a different conclusion to the one they had drawn on hearing only the foreign word. Another favorite trick was to use an abbreviation of foreign words like the word Nazi; this word in itself has no meaning and so can be twisted and used to stand for anything derogatory. Which is why the word Nazi is almost always used when describing either the Third Reich or the National Socialist German Workers Party or anyone sympathetic to either of these. If people were to use the correct term which is National Socialist then a true definition would emerge, one which the enemies of National Socialism would not like one bit.

Also another disturbing trend in recent years has been the total corruption of words to mean something different to their original meaning. I refer here to the definition Gay, the proper use of this word is to describe being merry, lively, happy etc., a positive word to denote merriment. But now it has been highjacked to fulfill a meaning of perversion. In actual fact the correct word for a homosexual is a Uranist and homosexuality is Uranism. Also something near to this is an Urning which means, according to the dictionary, a male who feels like a woman towards other males. So if this collection of Leftists and perverts wish to call themselves anything let them henceforth refer to themselves as they are the URANIST and URNING LIBERATION FRONTS and leave the word Gay alone.

Yours faithfully,
Mr. A.J.Ch., England

PLAGIARISM?

In the February 1997 issue of *Liberty Bell* magazine I have been accused of plagiarism by Kevin Alfred Strom of the National Alliance. This allegation, like all the others the NA has leveled against me, is false.

The article Mr. Strom accuses me of plagiarizing is "Jewish Media Control Close Up" by Jack Killey. This article was sent to me by Kevin Alfred Strom himself, and no one else, via e-mail. The article was then forwarded by me as an e-mail NSNet Bulletin. I "plagiarized" nothing; I gave both Mr. Killey credit as author and Mr. Strom credit as source of the article.

The article was also reprinted in the weekly newsletter of the NSWPP, *Resistance*, issue #88, September 16th, 1996. In that reprint, both Mr. Killey and Mr. Strom were given credit. Had Mr. Strom bothered to check with me before writing a defamatory letter to George Dietz accusing me of plagiarism, he would have learned this and I would have been happy to provide him with copies of both the e-mail and print articles to confirm that due credit was given to the author and himself.

This bogus accusation is part and parcel of a continuing series of vicious and contemptible attacks made against myself and the National Socialist White People's Party by the National Alliance. This campaign is motivated by fear of financial and political competition from the Party, and nothing more. It has recently escalated from the usual Movement smears and slander into acts of illegality such as forged e-mails and spams, vandalism, obscene and threatening telephone calls, and trespassing. The low point came on December 1st, 1996, when a member of the National Alliance, whose identity is known to me, crept up on my apartment during the hours of darkness and defecated on my doorstep. Mr. Strom is normally an intelligent and articulate spokesman for our race, but he is keeping some pretty vile company, and I am sorry he has allowed himself to become involved in this revolting business.

Winston Smith
General Secretary N.S.W.P.P.
PO Box 9444, Chapel Hill NC 27515

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight Is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**