



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the dictatorship of the proletariat, which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an over-crowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 01007 — \$12.00
plus \$2.40 for postage & handling

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.50

THE WAY AHEAD
A Primer for the N.S.-Vanguard
Part VI
By Colin Jordan
page 1

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Brander C. Kitchin:
Holocaustophyllia, page 26;
The Tragedy of Government
Schools, page 30;
The Federal Toilet,
page 35.

Guillermo Coletti:
Rosenberg at Nuremberg,
page 38.

Letters to the Editor
page 52

VOL. 24 — NO. 9

MAY 1997

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts will not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1997

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES

effective 1 December 1993

00012 FIRST CLASS / AIRMAIL: All other countries	\$ 100.00
00020 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Europe, South America	\$ 80.00
00021 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Middle East, Far East, South Africa	\$ 85.00
00022 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Sample Copy	\$ 6.50
00030 THIRD CLASS: (Bulk Rate) USA only	\$ 50.00
00031 THIRD CLASS: (Printed Matter) Abroad	\$ 70.00
00033 THIRD CLASS: Sample Copy	\$ 5.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION

10 copies	\$ 30.00
50 copies	\$ 120.00
100 copies	\$ 200.00
500 copies	\$ 800.00
1000 copies	\$ 1200.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

THE WAY AHEAD

A Primer for the N.S.-Vanguard

by

Colin Jordan

Part VI

THE PURSUIT OF POWER

IS ELECTIONEERING THE WAY TO WIN?

The objective of the Vanguard is success in the pursuit of power: power meaning the whole compass of opportunity and ability to implement our ideas for the salvation, upliftment and prosperity of the Aryan peoples. For this successful pursuit we need the right sort of people, inspired by the right sort of ideology, and brought together in the right form of organisation with the right methods. Nothing else will suffice.

In thus defining our purpose, we are currently prompted by the proximity of a General Election in the United Kingdom to raise the question whether the conventional political party with its integral commitment to electioneering is the right form of organisation with the right sort of people and the right methods for our purpose. Does it instead represent a woefully mistaken, habitual conformity to gross waste in the pursuit of an utterly illusionary aim?

The British National Party and other lesser nationalist parties in this country, where at present there is no outright National-Socialist party, believe that the way ahead must be through a political party contesting elections. The BNP has accordingly committed itself to putting up 50 candidates in the forthcoming General Election, a target fixed precisely because of the free, five-minute television and radio time this extent of candidature will entitle it to, along with free mailing of election literature. It and the other participant parties of nationalism are currently convulsed with high ex-

citement in their feeling of having climbed out of the shadows of comparative obscurity to join in "the big time" of ballot box competition.

The BNP explicitly recognises, realistically, that on this occasion it cannot expect to capture any parliamentary seats, or even in almost all constituencies to regain — through securing the necessary proportion of the total votes cast — the £500 deposit required of a candidate by the authorities. Nevertheless, it is insistent that the operation will prove immensely worthwhile in the recruitment obtained through contesting.

It rightly points out that for a cost of some £50,000 in deposits and the other expenses of contesting, such as printing costs (although not accounting for the value of all the time expended by party members on the electioneering), the party can gain around £3 million of television time, plus the value of the accompanying radio time, plus something in the region of £60,000 of postage. Considered purely on this arithmetic, the financial return so exceeds the financial outlay as to make the venture greatly worthwhile. However, a full and accurate assessment has to delve much deeper than this attractive but superficial reckoning.

Recruitment, the BNP has named as the immediate objective and justification for its electioneering, so what volume of recruitment and quality in that volume can be expected for the expenditure of the £50,000, plus the value of all the time expended? Set by its own criterion, the BNP case for fighting the 1997 General Election stands or falls on this assessment. In the final analysis this becomes the simple question of what amount of extra work and financial assistance can be expected from the total of election-won recruits in return for the total expenditure in obtaining them, and subtracting from this the further cost in accommodating them and keeping them content with membership. We will look into this crucial question in the course now of an examination of the whole question of party politics under Democracy.

THE GREAT PRETENCE

Democracy is the Great Pretence in politics, the pretence that the people rule themselves whereas in fact some particular people do the ruling for them. Under it, periodically we have a festival of head counting after candidates acceptable to the permanent dictators behind the scenes have been put before the public, and notions favourable to those candidates have been implanted in the minds of the public by the media, the public are said to decide between these candidates and so determine the government.

Certainly some extent of divergence is necessarily presented between the approved candidates in order to give the illusion of free choice. However, the divergence is kept within the family, as it were, confined within safe bounds. Thus the seemingly different parties are virtually but different wings of the same team, wholeheartedly agreed as one and the same in opposition to what National-Socialism holds to be vital for the salvation, upliftment and prosperity of the Aryan peoples.

Consistent with this, whenever the contrived array of parties comes up against a potentially dangerous outburst of discontent, safety valves are created. Bogus champions of rebellion are set up as in the case of Enoch Powell in the 1960s on immigration, and now on European union the billionaire, Jewish financier, Sir James Goldsmith, and his Referendum Party, and, to cater for all tastes, the United Kingdom Independence Party. Showing their bogus nature, both of these parties have a lot to say about the infringement of British national sovereignty by the European authorities at Brussels, but not a single word about the infringement of our sovereignty by way of the alien influence in and on British government at London emanating from such as the fellow tribesmen of Goldsmith. Patriotic Britons who persist in remaining blind to this disqualifying contradiction, hailing these fakes as St George-like saviours, are pitiable in their so easily satisfied gullibility.

Goldsmith at least lives up to his name. *The Sunday*

Telegraph (London, 21 April 1996) revealed regarding this shining crusader of Britain's national sovereignty nitwits, descended from the Jewish ghetto of Frankfurt, Germany: "He keeps his wealth in gold and foreign currencies, dipping in and out of the world's stock market like a gambler when he senses a swift profit."

One thing we can be certain of is that this man's profit is not ours, swift or otherwise. Anyone so shallow minded as to be drawn by election fever to support him or any other representative of The Old Order of Democracy is clearly and conclusively feeble and not of Vanguard quality. What we need and want is freedom from the Goldsmiths of this world, whether based in Britain, Brussels or the Back of Beyond. Getting Britain out of Europe is not the supreme need. Getting the tribe of Goldsmith out of power in Britain and elsewhere is.

RULE BY BRAIN-BENDER BOX

The success of the Great Pretence of Democracy is attributable to the success of its practitioners in utilising the power of the media which they almost exclusively control, including the positively hypnotic power of the kind of image radiation peculiar to television which makes it unique as a means of mind control because of its magnetic capacity. These radiations, resulting from the electronic scanning peculiar to television, bombard the brain at a rate beyond the ability to cope of that part of the brain capable of reasoning, so that the passively uncritical part of the brain predominates. This visual hypnotism is comparable to the audio hypnotism achieved by repetitive, ultra-rapid "rock", radiated beyond the pulse rate of the human body, and thereby its ability to resist domination, which has reduced the younger generation to a zombie-like condition.

Democracy's dictators have established their domination of the ballot box through their domination of this compelling force of the brain-bending box in well-nigh every home, whereby the minds of the masses are enslaved to the system. Thus this dictatorship cannot be overthrown by playing

the party game of the ballot box in the absence of gaining power over the brain-bending box, either by acquiring an adequate television facility in competition or by putting the television transmitters of the dictators out of action and keeping them out of action. Even Adolf Hitler could not today have succeeded otherwise in attaining state power, and we have no faint shadow of a second Adolf Hitler around today.

The mental enslavement of the masses is set to be made even more secure by the forthcoming introduction of 30-channel, digital television on top of satellite and cable television. Thus will primary television be reinforced in providing a daily, round-the-clock domination of the minds of most of the people, and thereby the majority of voters in the beguiling business of parliamentary elections as the core of Democracy's confidence trick concerning public rule. This digital access to the brain box as decisively influential to the verdict of the ballot box is to be in the hands of a consortium encompassing the British Sky Broadcasting of media magnate, Rupert Murdoch, whose mother was Jewish, making him by rabbinical standards Jewish too; Carlton Communications run by the Jew, Michael Green, worth £200 million; and the £7.5 billion Granada group; all very indicative of government of the people by and for the Chosen People.

So what of the BNP's goal of five minutes on the box? The realistic answer is that it has to be measured in effect against the effect of all the rest of the time, day in, day out, year in, year out in which the dictators of Democracy have been and will be conducting on that box what amounts to constant electioneering. They have been conducting this in the ceaseless propagation of their propaganda, both directly in the presentation of alleged information, accompanied by the elimination of contrary information, and indirectly in the deliberate and highly suggestive projection of fictional material favourable to their aims, for example the portrayal of inter-racial liaisons in an attractive setting, this being far more effective than mere exhortation to this end. The BNP's five minutes on the box cannot be more than an inconse-

quential flicker in comparison. Can the cost of this flicker prove worthwhile?

In estimating the answer to this question, we have to analyse the nature of a political party in relation to the nature of the British public today. A political party today is a reflection of the Democracy under which it operates, whatever it may incorporate in seeming challenge to that Democracy. A party is wide open to the masses who have been moulded by indoctrination by the media of Democracy, and that party's ultimate aim is to solicit successfully the sufficient approval of those indoctrinated by the media of Democracy. Electioneering and the party are thus inseparably linked, the electioneering of the party being pitched at the masses and the party in turn seeking to incorporate the masses.

The vast majority of the people who will watch the BNP's appearance on television and will read the BNP election literature coming in the post will be either Whites who are firm opponents or hostile Coloureds, and on all of these the indiscriminate output will be completely wasted, so that in respect of most viewers and readers what will have been costless will be gain-less. Beyond that there can be expected to be a comparatively small number of people who nod their heads in agreement, but who do nothing more about it. Beyond that there can be expected to be a comparatively minute number of people who are sufficiently stirred to write for more information and even to enrol. Among them will probably be a very small part of them who will not only continue their membership permanently, but prove prepared to contribute substantially more than the cost of retaining them, these last-mentioned being the ultimate gauge of the worth of the operation, and probably costing in terms of the expenses of electioneering for their procurement a very high price each.

The great majority of that minute minority who may be recruited, temporarily at any rate, as the reward of the current electioneering will be conspicuously and injuriously the products of Democracy, far more reflective of the system, its outlook, its values and its ethos than rebellious to it their re-

belliousness in most cases being only to some part or parts of it, without depth of perception of the interaction of all parts of the complete pattern of decay. As carriers of the psychological diseases of Democracy, they will have at least as much capacity to infect others as to improve them.

As background to this, it has to be faced up to that of the great bulk of the British people of today, while certainly not the scum of the earth, are equally certainly not the salt of the earth as so many nationalists are fond of making out in flattering them for votes and membership. A great part of the best of the breed was thrown away in the death toll of two mad wars against our brother nation, Germany. What remains has in most cases been duped and doped, degraded and corrupted by years of never-ending influence by the media of Democracy, their strength of character so sapped that they have become docile to the point of acquiescence in their own national and racial ruination, displaying the dismal demeanour of a beaten people.

It is thus fantasy to think that salvation can come through soliciting the masses. They will not be brought to undertake an uprising. On the other hand they will not take to the streets in violent defence of the system. As a motive force one way or the other regarding the rescue and resurgence of Britain, the general public is truly irrelevant.

The great majority of existing members of the nationalist parties, and the great majority of those who may become members through electioneering are and will be in the nature of things people of poor quality, part-timers, big on talk, big on beer, small on effort, wanting to be entertained, giving little and requiring as much if not more to keep them in place, poor on staying power, so many of them losing heart or interest after a while. So it is that the party is always like a bath with the tap running but the plug pulled out. They reflect the hard fact that people at large are not by nature fitted to be political workers and fighters, but only bystanders and occasional auxiliaries and suppliers of some money.

THE PARTY CURSE OF COMPROMISE

The form of organisation which is the party is thus condemnable because in the ostensible cause of combating Democracy it perpetrates the selfdefeating contradiction of throwing itself open to the products of that Democracy, and seeking outside the approval of these products at large as access to and sanction for state power. This inevitably means, sooner or later, major compromise. It cannot be otherwise. The process is a vicious circle. The recruited members of the public, being carriers of the psychological disease of Democracy, maybe subtly but no less surely stamp their character on the organisation, influencing policy and practice towards compromise. The leaders of the party, under the pressure of the bulk of the members, are led into compromise in order to retain their support. They are furthermore led into it in order to attract new support from outside.

The dominant inclination in a party is thus to adapt, omit, tone down, however debilitating this trimming is to the purported policy for national resurgence, wherever original principles come to be experienced as severely clashing with the prejudices and shortcomings of the recruited members and the solicited public; prejudices and shortcomings induced and fostered by the very promoters of degeneration in power at present. Thus a party by its very nature imports the thought, spirit and habits of Democracy, and is in constant danger of succumbing to them, being in this fashion taken over by Democracy, and rendered incapable of conquering it.

Not suprisingly the vast majority of the members of nationalist parties, heavily infected with the way of thinking of Democracy, are readily responsive to, indeed always eagerly expectant of Democracy's game of electioneering. One of the prime agitators for electioneering in one of these parties recently proclaimed that "... nothing enthuses party members and activists like a General Election ..." as he passionately proceeded to applaud and promote this propensity. Either incapable of perceiving the arguments against electioneer-

ing or incapable of pursuing the alternative paths to power, the votaries of electioneering are instantly aroused to feverish excitement by the bustle of this conventional competition, forfeiting with alacrity what circumspection they otherwise possess. By their shallow disposition they are forever itching to stampede to the polls, like cattle to the feeding pens, and intent on making their leaders aware that this is their favourite exercise which they fondly want and first and foremost expect.

The tiny minority, already present in the party or to come to it in consequence of its General Election publicity, who are capable of higher things than the dull round of party activity amid beery gossip galore and ballot box delusions, can but be disabled and depressed by dispersal among the mass of mediocre or even more deficient members, instead of being selectively recognised and set apart so that their superiority can be put to best advantage.

This lumping together of the majority, who do and give little, with the tiny minority who do most of the work and provide most of the money and who in consequence are an elite, has been defended by one of the nationalist leaders as a mixture beneficial to the party because the comparatively inert majority help the party with some financial contributions and literature purchases. This advocacy of combination disregards the fact that these minor benefits could be coaxed from them without incorporating them in the organisation, instead dealing with them as auxiliaries outside, and thus without the nullifying cost of accomodating them inside, including the drag and deterrent they exert on the elite. It is an advocacy that comes strangely from a man fond of military analogies, since it is comparable to the advocacy of combining in one and the same unit back line Pioneer Corps and front line Parachute personnel, a practice shunned by the British Army and every other army in the world.

While the BNP is currently foreshortening the election debate to the matter simply of contesting for the sake of television and radio time, whereby access to the public and resulting recruitment can be gained, this cannot be taken as

the end of the line, but only as the beginning. Having started out on the election road, this and any such party will be inevitably carried further along that road by the unleashed motive force involved in electioneering.

This means, incontestably, a permanent tendency to compromise as the price of soliciting the votes of the masses. This is so because the masses, being in the state they are thanks to the success of Democracy in using the media in its possession to mould their minds, will never accept what is really necessary for national and racial salvation. Any alternative party which failed to compromise heavily, reducing its message to flattery and fleshy bribes and thus imitating the old parties of Democracy whose purpose is to keep the masses content with their exploitation in their degraded state as darkness falls, would be presenting to them a message essentially offensive to their vanity and taste in its appeal to the higher considerations of life above the lower. It would, therefore, not win their general support. The masses will not vote for their own upliftment in a higher order of society. This has to be accomplished without their support and request, despite them and indeed against them. It is absurd to pivot your whole project, as does a conventional party, on the submission of change to the decision of those who so need to be changed. You might as well make the case for law and order conditional on the approval of the criminals.

So the name of the party game, if it is to be played successfully, has to be compromise, compromise and still more compromise. The religious issue, namely the need to replace ruinous Christianity which is at the very bottom of our troubles, has to be avoided in a major move of self-emasculation in the cause of acceptability, so as not to lose the votes of all the Christians. Freemasonry, another instrument of corruption, has to be avoided, so as not to forfeit the votes of all the freemasons. The odious royal tribe has to be preserved from requisite condemnation, if not spoken favourably of, so as not to lose the votes of the multitude of dotting admirers. The foul din of the jungle called "rock", so immensely damaging to our younger generation, has to be compromised with too,

at least to the extent of self-censorship if not explicit acceptance (as was officially awarded in an item in the December, 1996, issue of the BNP magazine, *Spearhead*. Queers, being now so numerous and obtrusive as a natural result of the system of perversion known as Democracy, compromise with them is similarly called for in the quest for popularity and electoral success. If enough votes are not obtained through a certain amount of compromise, then clearly in playing the party game more and more compromise is needed.

If, eventually, such a self-mutilating, new party did happen to win its way to state power as a government, you can take it as certain that the long-standing habit of compromise would have become so ingrained as to keep it permanently on the path of compromise to retain the state power acquired by the same means. Thus the nominally new party would become in fact nothing new at all. In a process of compromise it would come more and more to resemble the old parties of Democracy it was supposed to replace.

HAIDER, LE PEN, FINI: THE WAY OF SELF-DEFEAT

Those in Britain who argue for electioneering as the way to power by courting popularity by trimming policy appropriately heavily rely on citing the electoral advances of "new" parties in Austria, France and Italy as proof of what can be achieved this way, and should be copied in Britain. What they do not candidly concede in the presentation of their argument is that the trimming they have in mind is so deep and extensive in its effect on principle as to change the nature of the party to that of more of a conformist than a rebel. All three of the supposedly "new" parties they have in mind have compromised themselves out of the latter category into the former.

The nearer a "new" party draws to the policy and practice of the old parties, the nearer it gets to the electoral support the latter obtain. It can always advance in popularity by retreating further and further away from the principles

required for real resurgence. The reward for this contortion in the course of the party game is not the reality of success. It is the reality of self-defeat.

In projection of this mirage of success, we have held up to us the example in Austria of Jörg Haider and his "Freedom Party" which gained 28% of the Austrian vote in the November, 1996, European Parliament elections. Its deputy leader now in that European Parliament happens to be a Peter Sichrovsky, identified by the London *Jewish Chronicle* (22 November 1996) as a Jew.

The Washington Post (U.S.A.; 1 December 1996) said of Haider, "He disavows any plans for wholesale expulsions and stresses that he only wants to throw out illegal aliens and toughen citizenship laws. He also says that Turks and other immigrants from Islamic nations are welcome to stay if they adapt to Western secular traditions." Will something like this crass compromise be attractive to election enthusiasts in the BNP as policy enough to present to the British public in respect of Britain's Coloured Invasion?

As we in Britain await the Labour Party's projected penalisation of "holocaust" denial, will those same election enthusiasts be happy to know that Haider the trimmer is already doing the job for our enemies of suppressing denial of this dogma of Democracy? He has expelled from his party a member who dared to deny the Jewish propaganda (*Sunday Times*, London, 17 December 1995)

From France we have held up to us the example of Jean-Marie Le Pen and his vote-chasing National Front. "Le Pen has indeed consistently supported Israel and in February 1987 met with representatives of 24 American-Jewish organisations with whom he had 'positive and cordial' exchanges," reported *Right Now* (U.K., No. 10, 1996) quoting an interview in the *Jerusalem Report* of 27 February, 1992.

In a biography of Le Pen, who is a longtime friend of Robert Hemmerdinger, vice-president of the national committee of French Jews, the novelist Roger Mauge wrote: "Before the meeting I had engaged in conversation with a young Jewish French doctor from Amiens, a member of the FN

since 1988. He was fiercely proud to tell me of his huge admiration for Le Pen and that whatever the FN's detractors may claim, it is not racist but a nationalist party and one with hundreds of active Jewish members, likewise black and brown members and supporters ..." (*Right Now* magazine, U.K., No. 10). Will those same BNP enthusiasts for election-eering endorse the same course of compromise for the BNP, namely cordial arrangements with Jewish organisations, and the admission of numerous Jews and Coloureds as members?

From Italy we have held up to us the example of Gianfranco Fini and the National Alliance into which by drastic compromise he has turned the former, fascistic MSI. How drastic that compromise has been is shown by the fact that a National Alliance delegation visited Israel in August of 1995 to meet Ephraim Zuroff of the baleful, Los Angeles-based Simon Wiesenthal Center, and in the following September Zuroff went to Rome to meet Fini at the party headquarters. Will those same BNP election enthusiasts who look to and acclaim Fini as a model, as they do regarding Haider and Le Pen, be prepared to follow suit and invite Zuroff to London to discuss co-operation in the pursuit of what are called "Nazi war criminals?"

The Institute for Jewish Policy's 1996 *Anti-Semitism World Report* analysed the electoral advances of Haider, Le Pen, Fini and the like as being the consequence of seeking respectability by rejecting overt anti-Semitism. Are the election enthusiasts of Britain's nationalist parties similarly prepared to sacrifice principle in respect of the Jewish problem for the sake of attaining respectability? Some have already shown so.

The lure of electoral success has already caused supposedly "new" parties in Russia to compromise decisively in this respect. Nikolay Lysenko, a former leader of Pamyat, has got himself elected to the Duma, the lower house of parliament, after renouncing anti-Semitism and declaring that Israel is "Russia's strategic ally" (*Jewish Chronicle*, London, 31 May 1996). Vladimir Zhirinovsky, leader of the reputedly

Liberty Bell / May 1997 — 13

nationalist "Liberal Democratic Party", has put himself on record as stressing that he views Jews as equal citizens, which is not so surprising since his own father was Jewish (*Jewish Chronicle*, London, 14 June 1996).

In holding up as models for the BNP the performance of these foreign parties of abject compromise, one of the BNP's chief agitators for such electioneering by drastic compromise, a Tony Lecomber, has gone almost the whole way in selling out principle for the sake of expediency. In *Spearhead* (March, 1996) he has poured scorn on fascism and National-Socialism as something "old, stale and unsaleable", terming any association with Hitler's National-Socialist Germany "the kiss of death." It only remains for this gentleman to consummate what he no doubt considers to be his superb smartness by coming out explicitly as anti-Hitler, as have certain other nationalist bodies in Britain already, that much more to curry favour with the moronic masses, and to seek to appease the enemy.

Contrary to the misconception of this misleading Mr Lecomber, National-Socialism is not a dead creed but a living one whose principles remain as valid today as ever in the past. Properly understood, National-Socialism is neither restricted to the ways and means of the past in pursuit of those principles, nor is it driven to compromise those principles in order to pursue different ways and means in different times, such as now. The commanding requirement is simply that changes must always be consonant with and not conflicting with those principles. That the brainwashed public is currently opposed to National-Socialism, which they misunderstand due to media misinformation, is no valid argument for shedding National-Socialism to appease that public. Instead, power has to be pursued in all ways possible which are consistent with the inviolate preservation of our principles, and these do not include the party game and electioneering.

STOPPING A REBEL PARTY

If by chance a new party not only retained its soul from ruinous compromise but also gained enough votes to become something of a real threat to the controllers of Democracy — in combination a miracle — you can be absolutely certain that those controllers would bring about in one way or another the exclusion of that new party from state power. They would stop at nothing in putting a stop to the attempt to overthrow them. For them not to do so does not make sense, and does not fit with experience.

So what is our experience bearing out this conclusion? We might begin the answer 60 years back, when the British Union of Fascists looked like a growing threat. Then the holders of state power brought in the 1936 Public Order Act banning political uniforms to stop the great advantage the BUF was gaining from their use.

Over the past 30 years the holders of state power have brought in law after law increasingly to stifle our essential, racial message in our fight against racially ruinous Democracy. They are still at it, planning further laws to ban questioning the alleged, Jewish "Holocaust" and to prohibit virtually any racial expression not complimentary to the Jews and the Coloureds.

At the same time as they have advanced in the use of outright, explicit prohibition, they have as their other arm of suppression advanced in implicit prohibition in practice by the denial of the necessary facilities for political campaigning by a party of revolt. Banning by way of police and Home Office exclusion and harassment has come to prevail in the matter of outdoor demonstrations and marches and meetings. One prominent example of this is the exclusion of our sort of people from Trafalgar Square, London's leading, open-air meeting place, ever since 1962, when the NSM there proclaimed that "Hitler was Right!" Yet ever since then every kind of racial minority from all over the globe has been allowed to use it. Indoor gatherings have been made extremely difficult, if not yet entirely impossible, by pres-

sure on the owners of halls, joined to a tolerance of attacks on meetings by rampaging Reds.

While the sly preference of Democracy is to ban indirectly by the imposition of handicaps, if this failed to be sufficient to prevent a new party of revolt, intact in its principles, from approaching anywhere near to state power, it is inconceivable that the present holders of power, who have already shown how ruthlessly they will impede such a new party, will stand by and allow that new party to attain a victory at the ballot box, and sweep them from power. While quite content, as long as that new party remains far distant from that power, to let it squander its resources in electioneering, pocketing its forfeited deposits, once the dictators of Democracy estimate the danger line has been reached you can be certain that they will outlaw the rebel party as "criminal" in its "racism" or "subversion", fabricating anything and everything necessary to this end.

Already the path towards outlawing, if necessary, is being prepared. One route already in place lies in the United Nations International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, adopted by the General Assembly and ratified by 129 states by the beginning of 1992. Its Article 4 (a) prohibits (1) All dissemination of ideas based on racial superiority, and (3) incitement to racial discrimination, and (6) the provision of any assistance to racist activities. Consider how easily these sections could be stretched to envelop the BNP and put it out of business!

Already the European Commission of Human Rights in a case designated Request No. 6741/74, X v. Italy, has agreed that seeking to reconstitute a fascist party is a criminal offence, and already such as the BNP is dubbed a "fascist party" In Germany ever since 1945 it has been rated a criminal offence to seek to establish a fascist or National-Socialist party, although the NSDAP in elections and referendums had the support of a transcendent proportion of the German people, who thus were in effect disenfranchised in 1945 by the power-wielders of the Great Pretence.

Already in a European Commission of Human Rights

case designated Request No. D 8348/78 and 8406/78, Glimmerveen and others v. Netherlands, it has been laid down that Holland was correct in imprisoning the Chairman of the Nederlandse Volks Unie, a party supporting ethnic homogeneity for the country, for the offence of issuing an election manifesto expressing this; correct in confiscating the election manifesto; and correct in invalidating the electoral lists bearing the Chairman's name; all on the grounds of "racial discrimination."

How much longer do you think it will be in Britain before, in line with such international rulings, it becomes prohibited to stand for a Britain for the British, prohibited to advocate discrimination in favour of our own folk, and prohibited to oppose further Coloured immigration into Britain and to advocate repatriation of the Coloured immigrants already here?

The dictators of Democracy, besides being able to act against the BNP on the grounds of "racial discrimination", will also be able to act on the grounds of "subversion". Major-General Frank Kitson, expert on "counter subversion", gave the following definition of "subversion" in his book, *Low Intensity Operations* (Barrie & Rockcliff, 1971):- "Subversion, then, will be held to mean all measures short of the use of armed force taken by one section of the people of a country to overthrow those governing the country at the time or to force them to do things they do not want to do."

This echoes the statement of Britain's Director General of Military Intelligence 5, otherwise known as the "Security Service", who in June, 1994, proclaimed: "The intention to undermine democracy is what 'subversion' means to us." Those who over 30 years ago in the Spearhead Trial of 1962 were able to convict leaders of the then National Socialist Movement, including myself, of creating some sort of private army because they had organised a stewarding force for defensive purposes only against Red and Jewish violence should have no great difficulty in contriving a successful

ELECTIONEERING: DEAD END ROAD OF FAILURE

In summary, from the survey which has been made the inescapable conclusions which arise are, firstly, that electioneering is a colossal mistake, tragically consuming the time and effort and money so sorely needed for other, truly productive pursuits of power. Even in the very short-term analysis as a means now to a media appearance and thereby recruiting it is not worthwhile. The quality and productivity of the recruitment will not be commensurate with the cost and effort of obtaining it. The 1997 General Election operation will thus prove a failure.

In the long-term, electioneering will fail to advance the party in the face of the overwhelming power and effect of a hostile media, unless an utterly ruinous extent of compromise is undertaken, which is in itself a form of utter failure. If it does not fail through cancerous compromise, it will fail nevertheless because the dictators of Democracy will intervene to crush it by the imposition of impediments or the imposition of a ban.

The vote-chasing herald of compromise, Tony Lecomber, calls his pipe dream "the electoral motorway" Actually it is no more than a dead end track to nowhere. A few occasions may occur when an abnormally substantial vote is obtained, maybe even an occasion of stark rarity when a winning one in a solitary constituency is obtained, but on any sober appraisal this is not to be taken as any indication of the beginning of some marvellous break through to power, but only a temporary derangement in an otherwise competently restrictive system.

The second, certain conclusion is that a political party today is an instrument of failure in the pursuit of power because its overriding concern is quantity before quality. Accordingly, in drawing in all grades of people, it draws in the defects of Democracy, and in combining all those grades in one and the same organisation, it deprives those of quality of the opportunity to function at their best because of the

encumbrance of the mediocre members who are the majority.

It is said that a political party at the very least serves to provide a mustering facility whereby persons capable of better things, those with the potentiality to function as an elite, can be initially detected and contacted. However, even in such a secondary role it is a failure because it equally serves to bring people to the notice of the enemy, both the surveillance agencies of the authorities and the agents of other hostile forces. Such contacting has thus to be avoided. Recruiting for the Vanguard has to be pursued outside and away from the overt functions and visible presence of a political party as a matter of the most elementary security. It has to be done by discreet, private approach to particular individuals of seeming suitability, and in no other way.

I have no hesitation — in the outcome not of mere, arm-chair theorising, but the experience of some 50 years of political activity of almost every kind from the distribution of hundreds of thousands of items of literature, speeches at meetings galore, electioneering both local and national, and personal participation in an underground force which eluded apprehension by the authorities — in proclaiming the political party and its inseparable electioneering to be hopelessly unfitted for our needs today in pursuing power. I am absolutely convinced that, if we persist in occupying ourselves with a structure and a field of operation which has proved itself a failure, we will lose our very last chance of victory. I am sure that the very beginning of hope of victory lies in the complete rejection of the political party as an instrument, and thereby the liberation of the elite from the crippling clutches of this egalitarian structure.

In thus firmly rejecting the party game and its electioneering, it is clearly incumbent on us to go beyond a condemnation which is purely negative, however compelling its logic, and constructively supply an alternative of convincing efficacy to complete the argument. In this we have overall to show two things. Firstly, we have to show that we are not destructively arguing for a withdrawal into a retirement

from action in which to dote on the past to the exclusion of the present and future which would be to pervert National-Socialism into a cult of nostalgia akin to that of the souvenir and fancy dress playboys who are satisfied with a Hollywood Nazi role to the delight of the enemy and the damage of our cause. Secondly, we have to show that we are thoroughly practical, being both thoroughly innovative and yet thoroughly averse to indulgence in fanciful aspirations beyond our current competence.

Hence at the outset let it be made abundantly clear that — contrary to any attempt by those of a contrary persuasion to cast us into ridicule by misrepresentation — we are not in the business of engrossment in juvenile fantasies of armed uprisings now or in the near future which are just about as crazy as the dreams of ballot box victory by those of a contrary persuasion. If there is to be any future whatsoever for Aryan civilisation, the time will come for the physical seizure of state power by our people, be certain of it as the only way of acquiring that state power, but that time is nowhere near. That time will only arrive by way of sufficient, preparatory undermining of the present system, which will take a long time and an immensity of effort to accomplish, and to which all attention and resources must from now on be devoted in place of the waste on futile, political parties.

What has to be grasped is that, appropriate to present conditions and our present circumstances in those conditions, the need of the day is for guerilla activities. Open and frontal confrontation, as with marches and other conventional demonstrations and public meetings and the canvassing and picketing of electioneering, play into the hands of the far more numerous forces of the enemy, giving the big the chance to overwhelm the small, and which may show the bravery of the latter, but also most certainly shows its tactical stupidity.

At this point, preparatory to examining what needs to be done in place of the party game, we should be reminded of our opening definition of our objective. This is the pursuit of power in all its forms contributory to the salvation, uplift-

ment and prosperity of the Aryan peoples.

This means that we have at the start to break free from the mental confinement of conventional politics whereby political power is seen as singularly synonymous with state power, and thus the matter alone of attaining the power to form a government of the state with the ensuing power to arrange the society of that state as desired. Power exists wherever the opportunity and the capacity to implement National-Socialism is to be found, and it is our job to identify and utilise all such forms of power in the outcome of a comprehensive study of the subject. This totality of perception of power accords with our totality of perception of our cause.

FORMS OF POWER TO PURSUE

In this we are brought, firstly, to recognise the most basic power of all: that of the individual to conduct his or her personal life as a fulltime, fully developed National-Socialist, daily living the National-Socialist way, and thus detaching himself or herself as far as possible from the society and life of Democracy. Here we come up against a distinguishing defect of the nationalist party which is that, so accomodating to the human products of Democracy, so intent on reaching out in electioneering to those products, most of its members in the pursuit of state power lack the understanding and application of this personal power. They babble incessantly about what they will do to put the state right when they win at the ballot box, all the time showing their sheer inability to put themselves and their families right. They cannot even govern their own homes and bring up their own children properly, let alone change the country for the better.

In so far as power comes through knowledge, the study — both by individuals on their own and by specialising teams — of everything pertaining to our struggle from the extent of our racial heritage to the workings of the enemy state and the enemy forces, as a prelude to successful action against that state and those forces, is a vital aspect of the pursuit of power. The systematic engagement in this prereq-

quisite for success in that pursuit has to be a distinction of the purposeful and effective elite in contrast to the ignorant and impotent amateurs of the political party who regard vote-chasing as the be-all and end-all of political life.

Power in the form of influence exists in the ability of specialist teams to perform spectacular, propaganda operations which achieve an impact out of all proportion to the effort expended, and which is far beyond that of the customary propaganda of a political party. This is to be achieved through the combination of a highly imaginative selection of daring targets and the highly competent preparation and execution of operations by well-organised and well-trained personnel.

Here, contrary to the political party with its electioneering, the purpose is not to try to solicit the votes and the membership of the public at large, but specifically to stimulate discontent with and disruption of the system, creating sympathy for rebellion as preparation for the day when a seizure of state power becomes feasible because of the break down of the system. Come that day, the public will then at last become of real importance, not as active participants in the actual seizure, which will be the highly specialised task of the Vanguard, but, beyond mere abstention from active opposition, as sympathetic suppliers of auxiliary help. In this way and to this extent the consent of the people, however tacit, is ultimately needed, and therefore all along to be encouraged.

SABOTAGE THE SYSTEM!

Major, even decisive, power exists in the ability of specialist teams with the necessary aptitude, knowledge and training to strike at the delicate and vulnerable workings of the present system, inflicting serious damage contributory to its desired break down. This break down, which alone can provide the opportunity for the seizure of state power — break through only coming through break down — is not something simply to be waited for as the culmination of the

inherent strains and defects of the system, however important they are as factors to be taken advantage of. Contrary to those who realise that only a break down can bring a breakthrough, but then treat it as something which they can only wait for as bystanders, so that their realisation becomes an excuse for inaction, a break down is something which has to be brought on through the constant application of acts of sabotage, impeding and dislocating the machinery of government.

This conception of power through sabotage is most definitely not some facile and fanciful notion devoid of practicality and efficacy. It is an unconventional one of high potentiality meriting the most careful consideration. Among so much else, it includes, as a mild but nevertheless worthy form, the sabotage of the electoral process of Democracy, this process being vital to its Great Pretence. In place of participation in this process, contributory to its continuation, involved in the electioneering of nationalist parties, we have to urge strict abstention through the message of "Don't Vote!"

Alongside this imperative and invaluable disruption of the machinery of the present system, there is much scope for the disruption of enemy persons and organisations to counter their disruptive activities against us. For example, it is disgraceful that the Jewish *Searchlight* gang has been able for decades to continue to infiltrate nationalist parties and National-Socialist organisations, molest their members, and bring pressure to bear on owners of halls and hotels to refuse or to cancel bookings. Appropriate Vanguard personnel should be quite quickly capable of putting this gang out of business, and keeping them out. Likewise for another example, it is disgraceful that the impudent Asian, Ameer Anwar, who desecrated and destroyed the Rudolf Hess memorial in Scotland several years ago, has been allowed to go unpunished ever since.

Activities here indicated are all necessarily covert ones, and one rule which has to be stressed and stressed is that covert and overt activities and personnel must never be

mixed for the most obvious reason of security. Having established this firm rule for the Vanguard, it can be mentioned that, strictly for those who have no intention now or in the future of engaging in covert activities, one highly purposeful, overt activity open to such Vanguard personnel, and which in its exercise of a form of power is not to be ignored as unworthy in comparison to covert activities, is the promotion of one form or another of National-Socialist community. Therein, National-Socialism can be put into practice on a small scale as far as possible, the community resembling a National-Socialist state in miniature, and in this constituting some seizure of power from Democracy.

Some of the alternatives to electioneering in the party game have now been briefly mentioned here to show that such exist. They and others will be examined in more detail in parts of "The Way Ahead" directly following this one. All of them require an elite, a Vanguard, as the one and only, competent form of organisation for their implementation.

✱ ✱ ✱

BNP COMPROMISES ON JEWS. With electioneering comes compromise, as shown in this issue's main article. Further illustrating this, the BNP *Spearhead* (Feb., 1997, P.3) has an editorial statement rejecting "hostility to Jews simply on the grounds of their Jewishness" and stating "When we oppose the big Jewish interests, we do so not on grounds of what they are, but on grounds of what they do." This is a rejection of the racial standpoint basic to National-Socialism which holds that it is because of what they are that Jews do what they do, being by nature injurious to our race and nation. Talk only of opposing "big Jewish interests" implies that the rest of Jewry is acceptable as citizens and as members of the party.

REICHSJUGENDFÜHRER ARTUR AXMANN, who replaced Baldur von Schirach as Hitler Youth leader, died on 24 October, 1996, the police keeping the burial place se-

cret to prevent a demonstration in his memory at his funeral.

~~X~~ **TO HELL WITH HOFFMAN**, a revisionist in the U.S.A. hailed in some indiscriminating quarters for his book, *The Great Holocaust Trial* (trial of Ernst Zündel, who there blackguards Adolf Hitler as "criminal" and "a disaster for Germany." Such viperish characters should not be tolerated.

REMEMBER APRIL 20, birthday of the most maligned man in history because the greatest ever champion of the Aryan peoples. Hitler was right: Democracy is death. One million times "Heil Hitler!"

WHO ARE THE MIND-BENDERS? This is the title of a new and truly outstanding booklet detailing the Chosen People in control of Britain's television, radio, cinema, press and publishing, music and advertising, and thus at work bending the brains of the British public so as to decide the results of the forthcoming General Election. Every reader should see this 24-page, illustrated exposure of the dictators of public opinion in our Democracy today, obtainable at £1 from BNP, P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW.

EUROPE'S FINEST, the denigrated warriors of the Waffen-SS, are the subject of Sieqrunen magazine, 1 issue \$8.00, 2 issues \$15.00. Richard Landwehr, P.O. Box 6718, Brookings, O R 97415, U.S.A.

HITLER BUSTS, 8 inches high. Details from BCM 4161, London, WC1N 3XX.

Additional copies of this Part VI as well as earlier parts are available from Colin Jordan, Thorgarth, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate, HG3 5JQ, England.

HOLOCAUSTOPHILIA

by
Brander C. Kitchin

Here we go again! Will it never end? This has got to be the most incredibly successful money-raising fraud in world history. Now, according to an Associated Press release in July, 1996, two Jewish Senators have given *one million dollars of taxpayers money* to their brother-under-the-skin, Stephen Spielberg, producer of the most egregious hate-fiction film of the century, "Schindler's List." For this little million dollar endorsement, Spielberg, who already has added more than enough to the horror of Hollywood in the estimation of many, plans to add to the horror of the 'Holocaust Myth' by talking to 'survivors of the holocaust' whose number has grown to the legion of Jewish ethnicity. Mr. Spielberg, however, plans to speak to only about 50,000 of these. That works out to about \$20 per conversation extracted just from the U.S. taxpayers alone. Only he knows how much more he might expect to collect from other sources such as the Ford Foundation which seems to have a penchant for funding projects destructive to the welfare of the nation and its Christian majority. Old Henry Ford, who held no fondness for Zion, is now affectionately known as 'The Spinner'.

Mr. Spielberg must be rubbing his little hands in glee as he chortles, "This single gift will open the floodgates to get all the funding necessary to complete this project".

Of the two Jewish Senators who concocted this heist, only one is named. He is Senator Arlen Specter, Republicrat of Pennsylvania. True to the definition of his name, he is 'something that perturbs the mind'. The name of the other is quite possibly guess-able to be one of the most odious Jewish liars in Congress. He may be one, who in this regard, actually outrivals his nearest competitor, the non-Jewish toady to the ADL (Anti-Defamation League of the B'nai B'rith) who emanates from the mis-named Southern Poverty Law Center. According to reports, it is anything but impoverished. Zion is

generous to its goy minions. Why not? At 3 billion dollars a year straight out of the U.S. taxpayers wallet plus more millions in 'gifts' such as the 100 million dollars of airport detection equipment recently donated to Israel by Clinton, they can afford to throw our money around. This airport detection equipment had been destined for U.S. airports, but what's a few more dead *goyim*?

An article, "The Disappearing Millions", which appeared in the *Spotlight* Newspaper for April 29, 1996 presents officially-accepted statistics that by themselves spell 'finis' to the scam of the twentieth century. Aside from the mortality figures researched and presented by the Spotlight staff, there is a mountain of other data that refute the gold-lined holocaust fantasies of Zion.

Incidentally, holocaust wailing by Jews was a part of World War I and its aftermath. That is when the mythical-magical-money figure of 6,000,000 dead Jews was first used. In 1919 Felix M. Warburg of the Jewish banking family linked to the Rothschild empire had become chairman of the Joint Distribution Committee of American Funds for Jewish War Sufferers. He contended that "The Jews were the worst sufferers in the War. The successive blows of contending armies have all but broken the back of European Jewry and have reduced to tragically unbelievable poverty, starvation and disease about 6,000,000 souls, or half the Jewish population of the earth." Large amounts of money were collected and, it is reported, about 80% of it ended up in the hands of international bankers. The earlier financial success of the myth almost certainly encouraged its present vogue.

Few realize that Jews had been banished for cause from Europe for hundreds of years in the past. The government schools of today teach as if there were no yesterday—or, if mention of yesterday is required, it must be refurbished into something that it never was. After the Jew-managed disaster of the Treaty of Versailles which closed out a phase of the well organized plan to reduce the population of Aryan humanity, a desperate Germany's goal was simply to survive. The immediate threat to Germany's integrity were the Russian hordes

gathered by the Communism of the Jewish Bolsheviks poised to destroy Western Europe and eventually all of Western Civilization. Internal sabotage by Germany's—and Western Europe's—Jewish population supported by worldwide Jewry was seen as not just an immediate threat, but European Jewry also was recognized as the long-term barrier to progress of Aryan civilization. Expulsion of all Jews from Germany and eventually all of Western Europe had, once again, become an imperative for sheer survival—and for essentially the same reasons they had been expelled before. Jews have a genius for invading and controlling the financial world to the detriment of whatever nation they parasitize. Take a good look at our own Federal Reserve System which is neither federal nor is it a reserve—for us. Gradually it is accomplishing one of Communism's most important goals: the elimination of private property, but that is another story.

Most Jews had left Germany for greener pastures during the thirties. German plans for resettlement of Jews in Madagascar were never carried out, probably because of the exigencies of the times. Food was scarce. The piles of human bodies photographed and used by the Jewish propaganda machine were almost entirely dead of typhus, starvation and allied bombing and almost all were non-Jewish. Fuel to feed the war machine was even more hard to find as the war went on. Mass incineration of millions of human bodies as claimed would have been out of the question because of shortage of the necessary fuel, if for no other reason. Considering that thoroughness marks the Teutonic temperament, it seems likely that, had extermination of all available Jews been the goal, it would have been accomplished.

On the other hand, we can get a glimpse of the Jewish proclivity for actions that really do fit the word they seem to love so much to bandy about. Under the regime of the traitorous Franklin Delano Roosevelt and one of his manipulators, Secretary of the Treasury Henry Morgenthau, Jr., a Jew and the son of a Jewish banker, the proposed extermination of the German people by starvation and castration of all males including children was a Jew-conceived atrocity. That is the

real definition of real genocide! And then there are the tender sentiments of Israeli Cabinet Minister Shunamit Aloni. In a statement perhaps meant to excuse the Zionist holocaust against the Palestinians, he said that Israelis have "emerged from a system of religious beliefs which teaches that we are a Supreme People with the right to kill Arabs and take their property". Isn't that the real 'final solution' sought by the Zionist Jews? And this is exactly what they are doing with, of course, the help of the puppet U.S. government.

Maybe that explains why, to the Jewish people, all non-Jews are referred to as '*goyim*'. I am told that '*goyim*' is not a term of endearment. We are not even given the status of beloved pets of this self-styled vastly superior people. In their lingo, *goyim* are cattle. We, then, are just 'steaks-on-the-table'!

And maybe that explains why there are 'Holocaust Memorials' only to the Jewish dead. At last count, there are reported to be forty or more. Considering, according to the figures cited in the Spotlight article, that fewer than 200,000 Jews died in Europe of all causes—mostly starvation and typhus along with all the other hazards of that awful war—during World War II, that calculates out to about one 'Holocaust Memorial' for each 5,000 Jews!

We should be so honored! About 200 million non-Jews actually did die as the result of this awful war in which the killing power of both sides was largely indebted to the world of Zionist finance. And this figure does not include the millions of Russians who succumbed to the Jew-invented, inspired and managed juggernaut of Communism.

It was a terrible war—the most cruel and mindless in the history of humanity. The war itself was the true holocaust. But to all those millions of dead British, French, Germans, Poles, Italians, Greeks, Belgians, Dutch, Czechs, Russians, Canadians, Americans—yes, and Japanese—who were not Jews, there is no 'Holocaust Memorial and Museum'. Many times more non-Jews died than Jews and we no longer hear of them. Even cattle sacrificed to Mammon deserve some continuing recognition, don't they? How many dead non-Jews does it take to rate a holocaust memorial? All of us?



THE TRAGEDY OF GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS

By

Brander C. Kitchin

Why this continual parade, year after year, of multi-million dollar school bond issues? Why throw good money after bad? Whence comes the right to extort more money from property owners, many of whom have already paid their dues to the government 'school' system and seen their children maimed or destroyed in the process?

Government schools with the federal nose thrust into every nook, cranny and orifice of the citizen body are unconstitutional in the first place. Perhaps if they served the function the poor dumbed-down boobs are regaled to think they do, there could be some forgiveness for this violation of the Constitution.

But, they don't! There is absolutely no question that they fail to educate. While this nation spends more than any 'advanced' nation in the world, 'graduates' of the government school system rank, not only below their foreign peers, but even lower than students of some 'third world' countries. Why do government schools avoid like the plague teaching methods that were eminently successful over hundreds, even thousands of years? Outside of the failed government system, these same old methods prove every day that they still are successful with educable children.

The contrast between the performance of graduates of home schooling, private schools and, most glaring of all, the abysmal performance of graduates of government schools, is appalling. The disparity itself proves that children can be educated, but not in government schools. How can this be? Why does the government through its bastard creations, The corrupt Federal Department of 'Education', and the nefarious National 'Education' Association (NEA), the strongest union

in the nation, fight in every dirty way in its armamentarium, the voucher idea? As a first step in expelling the federal government out of the business of child abuse (destruction of a mind is the most awful of all abuses), vouchers would return some of the taxpayer's hard-earned money for them to use in the rescue of their children. Furthermore, a voucher system would be fair, would work and would be consistent with our vaunted system of free enterprise

If a voucher system were in place, virtually overnight private enterprise would create a panoply of private schools catering to the educational needs of the spectrum of American families and their children. 'Crowding' would cease to be an issue. The real talents of real teachers with real texts would no longer need to be hidden virtues. Out of hiding, truth in education would be found again and the parade of bond issues designed to pauperize the property owner while destroying the minds of America's children would cease. And then, if State legislators can be made to remember their oaths of office and enforce the 10th Amendment of the Constitution, tax monies now sent to Washington to support the vast bureaucracies feeding from 'programs' forbidden the federal government by the Constitution would be retained within the State. Without an immense federal bureaucracy made up largely of blacks to support, costs—and taxes—will plummet. Once again, America can become 'the land of the free and the home of the brave'.

So why are Americans denied by 'their' government the freedom of choice our Constitution guarantees? Why do government schools exist at all? Why is a voucher system given only lip service? The answer is that the puppeteers who pull the strings of our elected and appointed politicians do not want an educated citizenry capable of thought. They do not want a distinct American culture of educated citizens aware of their heritage and their personal individuality. A polyglot mixture of cleverly divided and dumbed-down factions are easily herded.

The fatal process began in earnest in 1867 when, after the Civil War, in violation of the Constitution and in the exuber-

ance of the rape of the South through Yankee-imposed 'Reconstruction', the Federal Department of Education was established. This ushered in the notions of 'progressive education' championed by the philosopher, John Dewey, a name that has become synonymous with the destruction of learning in this nation. This system holds that the education of the child must be directed away from the heretofore conventional categories of reading, writing, mathematics, science and history to 'individual development for social living'. Moreover, "education must be a continuous reconstruction of living experience based on activity directed by the child"! Does this sound a little like training for the Welfare State and gang warfare in the streets, in short, The New World Order? This does not require teachers, merely custodians.

Opposition to this politically-directed travesty grew as the progressive dumbing-down of younger Americans became increasingly apparent to their elders. Resistance crescendoed in the 1950's but, by then, the parasitic tapeworm of 'progressive education' had become so deeply burrowed into the entrails of society that it was able to control its host. Since then the dumbing down has become so profound and universal that opposition has virtually disappeared. What once was, is no longer remembered, let alone taught. One need only read the letters of the 'founding fathers' and others of that era to realize the reality of the dream that has been lost.

Pursuant to the 14th Amendment, itself a 'Reconstructionist' perversion of the Constitution signed improperly into being in 1868, the Supreme Court in 1954 imposed their desegregation order in violation of State's rights guaranteed by the 10th Amendment. In 1964, the close of this infamous decade saw the coup de grace administered to education in the union of Sovereign States by the so-called 'Civil Rights Act'. The transformation of schools to centers of violence and depravity for the indoctrination of America's children in the politically correct dogma imposed by the elite puppeteers was concluded.

It has been observed and known for centuries that the so-called 'races' differ profoundly in their educability. The pure

African black lies at the bottom of the pool of educability with the brown floating a little above. The terrible and tragic damage that could be wrought by forced integration was no secret.

An interesting and equally tragic phenomenon tends to obscure the differences in mental performance between white people and blacks. The gene for blackness, the gene that determines the black skin color, eye color and black, kinky flat hair, is a dominant. As a consequence, we see many individuals who lack the characteristic physical features of the black—in fact, there are many with a near-Caucasian physiognomy, but with a black or dark skin. The genetic makeup of such individuals is predominantly Caucasian brought about through the egregious, though recently politically correct process of miscegenation. What this process has shown worldwide is that civilized behavior remains forever a thin and fragile veneer in these hybrid creatures, regardless of how remote the polluting genetic structure may lie.

Thus we see 'blacks' in relatively prestigious positions which, in most instances, they have attained over equally, or better qualified whites simply because of their color. This is one of the frauds of 'affirmative action'. But that is the least of the concerns. Most whites see this phenomenon interminably in the media. The Chief of Police is black with mostly Caucasian features. It is usually not consciously recognized that he is mostly white genetically with the dominant black skin. Hollywood portrays his underlings as white and stupid as they crawl to him for his sage advice.

Most whites identify blacks almost exclusively by skin color and, seeing such 'blacks' in authoritative positions, they are deceived into thinking that the teeming hordes of blacks are all alike. All that they need in order to magically become educable and civilized is more taxpayer's money and more self-esteem. And thus the government schools are using that deceit to impose a new grading system in which marks are awarded simply for the teacher's impression that the student was 'trying.' Whether or not anything was taught or learned is of no consequence. How can that be called education? It's not even training!

In order that minority dregs eventually 'graduate' with their self-esteem properly enhanced, the entire process of education had to be geared to the abilities and mentality of the least endowed. This process of 'dumbing down' to the lowest denominator was enhanced by its opposite, 'esteeming up.' If it was possible to make a pure negro think he was the equal of a Shakespeare because he could be trained to count his toes with 50% accuracy, the goal of the government education system had been reached.

Add to this spurious sense of superiority the idea that the historical failure of the negro to attain any semblance of a civilization was all because of his oppression by the white man as bruited by all media as well as the government schools, the result had to have been apparent to the elite masters who inflicted this travesty upon humanity. The net result of course, is that this blown up negro, his self-esteem inflated like a blimp, feels himself invulnerable and invincible. Just as, though less effectively, tribal 'witch doctors' in African tribal warfare are able to convince the 'warriors' of each side that the bullets of the enemy will turn to water and goaded by the elite-manufactured hatred, he feels free to let loose all his savage instincts. And that is just what he does. Violent, savage crime in this nation has increased exponentially, particularly since the 'Civil Rights Act' of 1964 further enhanced the black and brown senses of power and hate. Washington, D.C. now largely black, is one of the most violent crime centers of the world. Incidentally, the 'Civil Rights Act' should rightly be called the 'Rights Deprivation Act' because, in practice, it removed rights from white Americans and gave them to blacks and browns. It was never meant to attain its advertised goal of a 'level playing field.'

There is another facet to the tragedy of government schools. With the process of education reduced to the level of comprehension of the lowest denominator, educable children are bored stiff. And, tragedy of tragedies, out of a combination

of boredom and official encouragement, they begin to imbibe the way of life of their ineducable peers and take to drugs, indiscriminate sex, disease, crime and failure. As the government school system deliberately works to assume the role of parent, and government 'social workers' intimidate the real parents from taking the dominant role in the formation of their child's moral and social values, the American family goes down the drain. And so goes Western Civilization.

Can any reasonable intelligent American adult think that this course of events in the educational establishment which began in 19th century and crescendoes to its ugly finale at the end of the 20th is an accident? Is it sheer stupidity that drives the educational innovators to perform failed experiment after failed experiment to the overwhelmingly documented disadvantage of its victims? Absolutely not! Just as it takes genius to be always successful, it takes genius to consistently fail. On the basis of chance alone, even an idiot will be right once in a while. This is a well-planned, deliberate process to destroy the dominant civilization in order that a dumbed-down and docile peasantry can be controlled totally in the New World Order. Think about it.

☆ ☆ ☆

THE FEDERAL TOILET

By

Brander C. Kitchin

Government intrusion respects nothing. Now it even invades the nation's bathrooms and, of all places, climbs right into the nation's toilets. Well, maybe that's not so far out after all. Many feel that is exactly the place for it. But the problem is, with the feds in the bowl, the darn things won't flush! Like everything the federal mattoids touch, let alone climb into, nothing ever works properly again.

Once more 'out of its tree' and under cover of the "National Energy Policy Act" of 1994, the federal monkey has dictated that what it calls 'low-flow toilets' must be the only source of relief installed in the nation's new homes.

The feds are 'out of their tree' because the U.S. Congress has absolutely no Constitutional right to even consider legislating such an act unless it limits any authority stemming from the Act to the District of Columbia, the Solomon Islands and federally-owned-by-purchase buildings and installations in the several Sovereign States. Because such an act is an invasion of the rights of the Sovereign States, Congress is forbidden by the Constitution to undertake such legislation, let alone allow some federal bureaucrat to stop picking his nose long enough to come up with some regulations that defy interpretation. Each Sovereign State is superior to the federal government and each citizen of each State is boss of his State. What goes into a citizen's toilet unless the toilet is in a federal building or installation is none of the feds business. Well, not quite. If some enterprising individual attempts to market the product in interstate commerce, then a case might be made, but only at the state border and only the packaged product.

Back to the great federal toilet caper. Their regulations limited their new toilet standards to a maximum of 1.6 gallons per flush. Supposedly this was going to save water thereby diminishing the amount of secondarily-treated sewage that seeps into the nation's aquifers. Better ideas abound, but better ideas seem never to seep into the federal bureaucratic brain. The 1.6 gallons doesn't do the job. It takes two to three flushes to do the job. Meanwhile, someone has to stand by while the tank fills so he can press the lever again.

The net result? Not only is more water wasted, but time is wasted also. But that's not all. Its a plumber's delight! Many people, in the dead of a dark night, have a plumber take out the federally-designed junk and re-install an old-style, workable model. The federally-imposed 'solution' to the problem of water shortages and polluted aquifers has made the problem

worse and created new problems along the way.

Doesn't this sound familiar? Can anyone name a single instance where a government-designed and imposed 'solution' has failed to worsen the problem it was supposed to solve and which, at the same time, failed to create additional problems along the way? This is the same old Hegelian dialectic which the federal government adopted long ago.

Think about it just a little. Is it reasonable that any organism can consistently make wrong decisions and take wrong actions out of sheer stupidity and incompetence? Even an idiot isn't going to be wrong all the time. Once in a while, just as a matter of chance, he's going to do something right! Being wrong all the time, like being always right, takes genius. Ergo, federal 'wrongness' has to be deliberate.

But why? Cui bono? Who benefits? Think about it! The end result of federal process as practiced is an infinity of 'problems', real or perceived. This means government involvement in everything even your toilet! This means dependence upon government which leads straight to total government control. Control by federal government means that the principles upon which this nation of Sovereign States was founded have been destroyed.

That is the road which already we have traveled too far. It ends at tyranny. Tyranny is slavery!

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb.) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's *1984*" —R.S.H. "A searing expose of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App) **THE ANTI-HUMANS**, Order #01013. Sale priced, single copy \$2.00 + \$1.50 postage; 10 for \$15.00 + \$5.00 postage. Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS,
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Rosenberg at Nuremberg, An Assessment

(a fragment of a work in progress)

By

Guillermo Coletti

"You should seek your enemy, you should wage your war, a war for your opinions. And if your opinion is defeated, your honesty should still cry triumph over that!"

Thus Spoke Zarathustra
Friedrich Nietzsche

The Defeat of Europe

At the end of the Second Great War, the Old World, the land of our ancestors, found itself devastated by years of war, hunger, diseases and the violent deaths of tens of millions of Aryans, whose fates so ungratefully go ignored today, outweighed by sentiments arisen from the Zionist myth of the six million. The saying "one man's loss is another man's gain" could not have had a better opportunity to prove itself true. As millions of Europeans swallowed the bitter taste of defeat and destruction, in cities across the Atlantic jubilant people celebrated what synthesized the triumph of the modern over the eternal; the struggle of our forefathers had just been smashed by the brute force of soulless materialism. And in New York city, on its famous Wall Street, Jewish financiers planned the future state of affairs that was to rule the world up until our days.

Jewish WarMongering

The war that Judea had declared against Germany in 1933, pompously announced on the front page of London's Daily Express on March 24, 1933, had come to an end. "We knew that the Jewish high finance had declared war against Germany with all its subject powers, for today's Germany had first of all, and for all peoples, visibly broken the dictatorship of the Jewish Stock Exchange lords ...", wrote Alfred Rosenberg in his article "Europe's Revolution", published in *Völkischer Beobachter* in its May 12, 1940, edition. By 1945 victory had been delivered to the temples of the Stock Exchange, ironically, by Aryans.

As if a forecaster of terrible times to come, Feodor Dostoyevski had said, before the First World War, that "now comes the age of great wars and revolutions. From them will emerge as victor the international Jewish banker".

On his article "Jewish world politics", published in June 2nd., 1924, Rosenberg wrote: "On 10 June, 1895, the founder of political Zionism, Theodor Herzl, wrote in his diary that 'the next European war cannot harm us, but only promote us because all Jews will carry their property and possessions over in security; moreover we will already therein speak at the conclusion of peace as moneylenders and aim at advantages of recognition by the ways of diplomacy'. 'Carried over in security' is today some two thirds of the entire gold of the world. In the treasures of Wall Street Jews lies the blood of twelve million white men traded into metal! That is the result of the most enormous world war, which has actually not harmed the Jews but "only promoted" them. Entire villages, entire cities, (...) entire provinces are destroyed and buried by poisonous grenades. The most beautiful monuments of ancient European culture have fallen there beyond recovery. Millions endure unspeakable misery. But no nation has become free!". The Jew who Rosenberg referred to, Theodor Herzl, the founder of political Zionism, is today studied with utmost reverence in educational establishments in Western countries.

After the debacle of the Axis, Europe witnessed an unprecedented event: it was the judicial procedure popularly known as the Nuremberg Trial, conducted by an International Military Tribunal that brought together Americans, Russians, Frenchmen and Englishmen, to sit simultaneously as judges and prosecutors. Bolshevism and Capitalism, which up until the beginning of World War II had maintained an appearance of disparity, were now embraced, enjoying the glory of military victory over a defeated German people. Their vanquished enemies, in sharp contrast to the principles of Universalism and Egalitarianism adopted by the governments of Bolshevik Russia and the America of the New Deal, epitomized the concept of the nationstate and the preservation of ethnic traditions, before a rapidly globalizing new economic and industrial order.

Opinion of an Early Revisionist

When addressing the question of the Nuremberg Trial and the last days of the War, proper credit must be given to a very courageous early revisionist mind: Mr. Maurice Bardeche. This Frenchman who fought the German occupation of his homeland, a former member of the Resistance, released in 1947 his book *Nuremberg or The Promised Land*. This particular book, unfortunately, at least to my knowledge, not yet available in English, has been one of the greatest admitted influence for historians such as Paul Rassinier

and Robert Faurisson. From this book the following words may help us understand the devastation and misinformation, all too common in those days.

"(..) I stupidly believe in the truth. I also believe that she always ends up triumphant on top of everything, even in spite of the image that of us has been created. (..) The forgery invented by the Resistance has already given us that proof."

"If the propaganda of the democracies had lied during three years, on matters concerning ourselves, if it has masqueraded everything that we have done; should we believe it when it talks about Germany? Hasn't the history of the occupation been falsified as well as it has presented a false image of the French government? (..) Shouldn't we ask ourselves, if the same revision doesn't need to be made over the condemnation that was pronounced by the same judges at Nuremberg? Isn't it honest at least, isn't it necessary to propose this question? If the judicial action that has hit thousands of Frenchmen is an imposture, what proof do we have that the sentences given to thousands of Germans isn't also the same? Do we have the right to disengage ourselves? Will we tolerate that, in this time, thousands of men suffer and revolt when seeing us avoid their testimonies, in front of our cowardice? (..) They reject the straight jacket with which we have attempted to silence their voice and their past; they know that our newspapers lie, they know that our films lie, that our writers lie, they know and they will never forget it. Should we let fall upon us those looks of contempt that they so justifiably throw us? The whole history of this war has to be redone, we know that. Will we deny our contribution to the truth?" "We have seen these men [the Germans] installed in our homes and in our cities; they have been our enemies and, what's even more cruel, that have been masters in our own homes. This doesn't take from them the right that all men have to truth and justice, the right to the honesty of other men. They have fought with courage, they have suffered the fate of a war that they have accepted; today their cities are destroyed, they live in caves among ruins, they own nothing. Like beggars they live of what the victor gives them, their sons die and their daughters are the bounty of the foreigner; their misery surpasses anything that could have been imagined. Will we deny them bread and salt? And if those beggars we outlaw were men like us? If our hands were not cleaner than their hands?; if our conscience were not lighter than their conscience?; if we had been mistaken? And what if we had been lied to?"

"It is upon this sentence without appeal that the victors command us to found our dialogue with Germany and, more likely, to reject it."

Rosenberg, a Dedicated Patriot

Of all the men accused in the first Nuremberg Trial, one, Alfred Rosenberg, embodied the philosophy of National Socialism more deeply than any of the other defendants. During his years of service to Germany and to National Socialism, Rosenberg had served as Minister to the Occupied Eastern Territories and as chief National Socialist theoretician (the latter has caused Rosenberg to acquire philosophical recognition). That condition, his responsibility on the ideological field, could not have been overseen by the victors in the politically charged trial. Even the choice of venue, Nuremberg, appears today as an act of confrontation to the German Reich, since this was the city regularly used for the party rallies of the NSDAP (Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei).

Alfred Rosenberg was perhaps the most misunderstood of all the people who had a position of relevance in the process of decisionmaking in Germany, during the years of the III Reich. Frequently criticized by party comrades, as well as political outsiders, and the target of adverse comments in Germany and abroad, the work of Rosenberg stands today defiant to the passing of time. Upon its release in December 1936, half a million copies of Rosenberg's magnum opus, *The Myth of the 20th Century*, acquired distribution in Germany almost immediately. This volume, described by its author as "an evaluation of the spiritual/intellectual confrontations of our age", was so rich in historical and philosophical background, it moved many intellectuals to wonder whether there actually were as many readers as there were books sold. By covering a wide range of topics and events, from Ancient to Contemporary History and from Hinduism and Buddhism to Western philosophy, *The Myth ... remains a complex book, doubtfully suitable for the uninitiated*. His book *The Myth ...*, many of his articles and written transcriptions of many of his speeches, are currently available in most European languages, while compilations of some of his most remarkable speeches and essays have been translated and published even into Arabic, for distribution in Middle Eastern countries. For the young National Socialist minds of today, the philosophy that he embraced has survived and grown, in spite of the censorship that surrounds it and the political

ostracism that endures. And along with the survival and growth of the National Socialist outlook on life, Rosenberg is today one of its guiding lights.

Nordicism, PanEuropeism and Christianity

When the topic of Rosenberg and his most controversial opinions are discussed, directly two items become material: Rosenberg's clear and determined Nordicism and his firm antiChristian views. Although many National Socialist scholars have chosen to refer to his views as simply nonChristian, it wouldn't be accurate to continue that opinion, since many of his writings are strong indictments of Christianity as a whole, of a Nietzschean caliber. Rosenberg's uncompromising Nordicism might have put him in a peculiar position with many of his countrymen and comrades; it must be remembered that the population of Germany is not composed solely of Norsemen and that Germans of Alpine background are not only numerous but have also been a crucial element in the development of German Culture. In a broader spectrum, this was a partial manifestation of a very well marked division among National Socialists of that time, those who supported PanGermanism and the advocates of PanEuropeism. It is, however, a mystery whether Rosenberg's outlook on the subdivisions of Aryans remained unchanged all the way until after the end of the war. It is very clear that the SS and the WaffenSS have arrived to the conclusion that all Caucasian men have a common fate: "The European peoples have only one choice if they want to save their existence: to see what they have in common and to stand up for it." (from an issue of the *SS Leitheft*, official WaffenSS periodical). Perhaps Rosenberg's attitudes towards nonNordics were modified by the end of the War, after witnessing that the last defense of Berlin was provided by volunteers of French origin from the Charlemagne division of the WaffenSS.

Justice by the Victors

At the aftermath of World War II, the life of Alfred Rosenberg was no longer depending on the outcome of military hostilities, but on the proceedings of the International Military Tribunal in Nuremberg. The so-called Nuremberg Trial stands today alone in history as a visible separation of might and right, a trial in which the victorious forces were simultaneously prosecution and judges. This was the first time in recorded history that entire governmental organizations were tried in a criminal proceeding, by enemy foreign occupational

forces. By using collective indictments, and eventual convictions, against groups and organizations of the III German Reich, the Allies aspired to have in their hands the power to punish, ultimately even with death, any member of these groups, solely because of membership. The groups and organizations in question were the government of the Reich, the SS (Die SchultzStaffeln), the SD (Der Sicherheitsdienst), the SA (Sturmabteilung), the Gestapo and the High Command of the German Armed Forces. The ones which were eventually declared criminal were the Gestapo, the SS and the SD. The charges that led to the criminalizing of these entities and all the individual defendants were:

- a) crimes against the peace;
- b) war crimes;
- c) crimes against humanity.

Additional charges were added, varying from defendant to defendant. In the case of Rosenberg, those charges were "looting", "plundering" and "slavery". The time frame adjudicated for these alleged offenses was established as: "The first acts of aggression referred to in the indictment are the seizure of Austria and Czechoslovakia, and the first war of aggression charged in the indictment is the war against Poland, beginning on September 1, 1939" [Official Transcript of the Int. Mil. Trib., August 31, 1946, p. 16920]. The first of the Nuremberg Trials lasted from October 1945 to October 1946 and, although International Law at that time mandated for international trials to be conducted by representatives of nations neutral to causes in question, in this case the judges were supplied by England, France, Russia and the US, the same nations staffing the prosecution teams.

Attorneys for the Defense Speak

The conditions under which the attorneys for the defendants had to prepare their cases were less than ideal and, by all means, inferior in resources to those used by the prosecution. Years after the culmination of the trial, many of these attorneys wrote articles and essays on the subject. What follows are some of their opinions.

Dr. Carl Haensel, chief Counsel for the SS: "Suspicion could arise insofar as the proceedings could be looked upon as a retaliation of the victors, because the Tribunal consisted of neither a representative of the defeated Germany nor a representative of a neutral nation." [*The Nuremberg Trial Revisited*, by Carl Haensel, *De Paul*

Law Review, Spring/Summer 1965, p. 258]. Similar feelings of discontent on procedural matters have been expressed by other defense counsels.

Dr. Herbert Kraus: "If judgments based only on law in force had followed, the guilty parties could not have complained ... it is particularly unfortunate that only representatives of the four great world powers sat on the bench," (Dr. Herbert Kraus, Chief Counsel for Hjalmar Schacht, *The Nuremberg Trial of the Major War Criminals: Reflections After Seventeen Years*, *De Paul Law Review*, Spring/Summer 1965, p. 247). In the same article there is another very interesting passage: "I have in my possession a poem of one of the defendants, which expresses these sentiments clearly. Translated (from German to English) it reads as follows:

Legal Ascertainment

They administered law quite strangely
And held a queer trial too:
Because we broke a law,
Which they invented new;

But for those who break it now
It's in force and real;
Us: they could found 'guilty' only
Under postfactum law ..."

Dr. Otto Kranzbuhler: "Since the French Revolution it has been considered a basic requirement of true administration of justice that the separation of powers is strictly observed in legal proceedings. In Nuremberg, in the International Military Tribunal, it appeared that two of the legislators of the London Charter, that is the American, Jackson, and a Britisher, Sir David Maxwell Fyfe, acted as chief prosecutors, this as part of the executive power, while two other legislators of the London Charter, a Frenchman, Falco, and a Russian, Nikichankow, reappeared at Nuremberg in the capacity of Judges. By this personal overlapping, the doctrine of separation of powers was grossly neglected and thus the authority of the administration of justice greatly impaired from the very outset." "The conservative definition of a war crime certainly would not provide a sufficient basis for the prosecution of statesmen or public officers ... or ... members of the legal profession ... or, even less, the prosecution of

industrialists ... (an) enormous step was taken in Nuremberg ... The supposition underlying this step was the recognition of a completely new doctrine; the doctrine that international law is binding upon the individual citizen. One can read in any textbook on international law that the law of nations is the law regulating the relations between sovereign states." [Chief Counsel for Grand Admiral Karl Dönitz, "Nuremberg Eighteen Years Afterwards", *De Paul Law Review*, p. 338].

Otto Pannenbecker, Chief Counsel for Wilhelm Frick, Minister of the Interior of Germany, wrote in his 'The Nuremberg WarCrimes Trial', published in Volume XIV, Number 2, 1965, issue of *De Paul Law Review*: "A much more serious objection, however, is raised by the indictment of planning, preparing and waging a war of aggression which was lodged against the defendants. Such an indictment constitutes a violation of laws existing in constitutional civilized states. These laws ensure that nobody shall be charged with a crime not already subject to legal punishment at the time of perpetration. This axiom, existing in all civilized countries, says that penal laws are not permitted to be applied retroactively."

This first trial was followed by a total of twelve processes against more than 170 defendants. The irregularities, peculiarities and arbitrariness of these processes are frequent topic of review by History and Law scholars worldwide.

Observations on Legal Procedure

When Alfred Rosenberg took the witness stand on April 1946 as a defendant, he must have known that the possibilities of this trial ending with an impartial judgement were narrowing. The owner of a sharp and analytical mind, the basis of this first Nuremberg Trial, in other words, the absolute control of the victors over Prosecutors and Judges and the lack of any neutral representation, other than witnesses without much authority to speak, object to or even expose wrongs, could not have passed inadvertently in front of him. The nature of the procedures at the Nuremberg Trial still today appalls the minds of jurists, even among some of leftwing extractions. The basis of the execution of International Law that had been articulated by the same Warwinning Allied countries, was now being flagrantly ignored by them, just a few years later. The legal principle that there is no crime without the previous existence of a law detailing with

precision the criminalization of the alleged violation, a principle to which all countries presenting charges against the defendants fully subscribed had been grossly violated (see Otto Pannenbecker's comment, above). The Allies exempted themselves from complying with other legal rules that are substantial part of the legal systems of their own countries, as indicated in articles 19 and 21 of the London Agreement of 8 August 1945, which held that the Nuremberg Tribunal "shall not be bound by technical rules of evidence" and "shall not require proof of facts of common knowledge, but shall take judicial notice thereof".

Some of the violations of contemporary International Law ignored the following principles applicable and valid during the 1945-1946 period:

it was mandated that war crimes charges be presented in front of a tribunal where no representative of either warring faction was to be represented, thus guaranteeing neutrality and fairness;

it was instructed that the same country could not participate as both Prosecution and Judge;

International Law prescribed that allegations of war crimes, or allegations of crimes committed during the exercise of a military mission ('while wearing the uniform of a country's army'), were to be reviewed by military law and personnel, in Nuremberg the Defendants were all prosecuted and judged by civilian authorities;

international treaties signed by different countries can apply only to the participating countries, consequently treaties signed only by Russia, England, the United States and France must have at no time applied to Germany, Japan or Italy;

it was, and still is, instructed that Defense counsels must have access to evidence presented by the Prosecution, which in the case of this trial did not happen.

Attorneys for the defendants found themselves denied access to United States and Russia were the Prosecution kept large amounts of evidence until hours before being presented at the Tribunal.

The US Chief Prosecutor declared during the hearing of 26 July 1946 at the Nuremberg Trial, that the IMT was simply a "continuation of the war effort of the Allied Nations" against Germany, with which they were "technically still at war", even though the enemy's political and military institutions had been crushed.

Rosenberg The Accused

On April 15, 1946, Alfred Rosenberg took the witness stand as a Defendant in Nuremberg. He was first cross-examined by Dr. Thoma, his own attorney, who stated that Mr. Rosenberg "did not participate in a conspiracy against peace" and that he had indeed "advocated respect for all races ... advocated freedom of conscience and a sensible solution of the Jewish problem, even giving certain advantages to Jews" [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 444]. Contrary to popular belief, no tangible evidence was ever presented, before, during or after the Nuremberg trials, linking Rosenberg or any other German Defendant to cases of alleged War Crimes.

After taking the procedural oath to tell the truth, Mr. Rosenberg was cited. Part of the evidence to be reviewed, was a portion of Rosenberg's writings, as follows: 'Tradition and our Present Age', 'Writings and Speeches', 'Formation of the Idea' and *The Myth of the 20th Century* [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 444]. The bashing of National Socialism as an ideology, however, was present on a permanent basis during the months of the trial, but it was with Rosenberg, himself a theoretician, that this practice acquired larger inquisitorial proportions.

In the early part of his testimony Rosenberg recalled his joining of the NSDAP in late 1919, shortly after meeting with Anton Drexler and Hitler, recalled his membership number, 625 [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 447]. This initial portion of the Defendant's testimony attempts to become an account of the early days of the NSDAP, the NSDAP view of the European crisis and the solutions considered to that effect, as well as the philosophical influences and foundations of Mr. Rosenberg's outlook of the world, but the President of the Court did not allow the Defendant to express more than a few phrases before ordering to the attorney for the Defense, Dr. Thoma, to "confine his witness to the charges against him" [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 449]. It is quite obvious that what was on trial in Nuremberg were not merely actions, but also the philosophy of nationalism in general and National Socialism in particular. Dr. Thoma replied that devoting "some time to Rosenberg's train of thought" was necessary to "determine the motives for his actions". Dr. Thoma also argued that "since the Prosecution maintains that National Socialism was a fight against democracy, a one-sided stress on nationalism and militarism, he (Rosenberg) ought now to have the opportunity to say why National Socialism supported milita-

ism, and whether that was actually the case”.

The trial continues with Dr. Thoma asking the Defendant about the charges that National Socialism preached a ‘Master Race’ (Herrenrasse). What follows is Mr. Rosenberg’s full answer:

“I know that this problem is the main point of the Indictment, and I realize that at present, in view of the number of terrible incidents, conclusions are automatically drawn about the past and the reason for the origin of the so-called racial science. I believe, however, that it is of decisive importance in judging this problem to know exactly what we were concerned with.”

“I have never heard the word “master race” as often as in this court room. To my knowledge, I did not mention or use it at all in my writings. I leafed through my “Writings and Speeches” again and did not find this word. I spoke only once of super humans as mentioned by Homer, and I found a quotation from a British author, who in writing about the life of Lord Kitchener said the Englishman who had conquered the world had proven himself as a creative superman (“Herrenmensch”). Then I found the word “master race” in a writing of the American ethnologist, Madison Grant, and of the French ethnologist, Lapouge.”

“I would like to admit, however—and not only to admit, but to emphasize—that the word “superman” came to my attention particularly during my activity as a Minister in the East—and very unpleasantly—when used by a number of leaders of the administration in the East. Perhaps when we come to the question of the East, I may return to this subject in detail and state what position I took in regard to these utterances which came to my attention. In principle, however, I was convinced that ethnology was, after all, not an invention of the National Socialist movement, but a biological discovery, which was the conclusion of 400 years of European research. The laws of heredity discovered in the 1860’s, and rediscovered several decades later, enable us to gain a deeper insight into history than many other earlier theories. Accordingly, race ...” [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 450-451]. At this point Rosenberg was interrupted by the President of the court. It is impossible to imagine what Rosenberg would have said, had he been allowed to speak further on this issue of race, but there is an indication, by referring to heredity laws and ethnology, that at least he was attempting to approach the racial question within the criminal context the Prosecution aspired to see.

Certainly the Jewish question was not absent from the testimony of Rosenberg, whether when presenting his outlook on things

or when addressing the policies that the III Reich had adopted on this matter. Rosenberg observed that in the 19th century a movement of national emancipation was to be found among many Jewish leaders who were finally starting to realize that they should seek their way in their roots, namely Asia. On the same matter, Rosenberg described his attitude in the political sphere as “more radical”, citing his observations and experiences in Russia and Germany as crucial and opinionshaping. Referring to post World War I days, Rosenberg stated that he “could not conceive how, at the time when the German soldiers returned, they were greeted by a Jewish university professor who explained that the German soldiers had died on the field of dishonor. I could not understand that lack of reverence could go so far.” [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 452].

Rosenberg also took advantage of some questions to further the historical background on the topic of mass deportations. He mentioned the little publicized fact that “leading papers of the so-called democratic parties recognized the increase of unemployment in Germany and suggested that Germans should emigrate to the French colonies, to the Argentine, and to China” [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 452], in clear reference to the period between World War I and the III Reich. Rosenberg went on to add that “prominent Jewish people and the chairman of the Democratic Party suggested three times quite openly that, in view of the increase of unemployment, Germans should be deported to Africa and Asia.” It is precisely at moments like this when Rosenberg’s presence acquires larger stature. Under the pressure of being tried and prosecuted by the enemies of the German Reich, Rosenberg assumed responsibility for his ideals, rather than seeking refuge in administrative or procedural excuses. The principle of individual responsibility, which was stressed from the NSDAP leadership, manifested itself, through Rosenberg when discussing the Jewish question. A rare commodity among individuals who at one point held political office, was not absent from the life experience of Rosenberg, from theoretician to defendant at Nuremberg: coherence in integrity.

The charges against Rosenberg partially arose from his duties as Minister for the Occupied Eastern Territories, the position that was proposed to him in person by Adolf Hitler on April 2, 1941. Rosenberg recalled the meeting as follows: “the Führer summoned me in the morning and explained to me that he regarded a military clash with the Soviet Union as inevitable. As reasons he quoted two points: first, the military occupation of Rumanian territory ...; sec-

Liberty Bell / May 1997 — 49

ond, the continual reenforcing for a long time and on a gigantic scale of the Red Army along the line of demarcation and in Soviet Russian territory generally. These facts were so striking that he had already given the relevant military and other orders and had decided to assign me as a political adviser in a decisive capacity ... On 20 April (1941) I received a preliminary task, which was to form a central department for dealing with Eastern questions and to get in touch with the highest Reich authorities concerned with these matters." [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 476477]. Although the position of Rosenberg concerning the Eastern territories had a powerful-sounding name, the truth is that the SS, crucial on the management of Eastern affairs, was at no point under his supervision. To that effect, the Führer decreed on July 17, 1941, that "Police security in the newly occupied Eastern Territories is a matter for the Reichsführer SS and Chief of the German Police" [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 483]. Nevertheless, the extent of Rosenberg's responsibilities was quite complex, since it involved the administration of areas known for their hundreds-years old conflicts and populated by ethnic groups diverse in language, religions and culture.

Special attention was given during the proceedings at Nuremberg at the issue of German policies towards Jews. He mentioned that, although he had never visited no real concentration camp, he had "heard from the foreign press all sort of derogatory atrocity reports" [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 513] and that he conveyed this to Himmler. Rosenberg recalled Himmler's response: "Why don't you come to Dachau and take a look at things for yourself? We have a swimming pool there, we have sanitary installations—irreproachable; no objections can be raised." Rosenberg gave two reasons for his refraining from visiting Dachau or other camp; he thought that if indeed something improper had been going on at Dachau it would have probably not been shown to him and, "for reasons of good taste" he "simply did not want to look at people who had been deprived of their liberty". Nevertheless, just making this comment to Himmler, Rosenberg reasoned, might have brought awareness of the nature of the rumors which were being spread.

Rosenberg made on several occasions, during his statement, parallels between some of the actions and attitudes of the Reich, and similarities with the victors. The official story of the Holocaust claims that Jews as well as Gypsies, Jehovah's Witnesses and homosexuals were targeted for removal. Indeed, Jehovah's Witnesses

have been prosecuted in the United States for the same reason they have been prosecuted in the III Reich, namely, their conscientious objections to military duty. To this regard Rosenberg added; "An American chaplain has very kindly given me in my cell a church paper from Columbus [Ohio]. I gather from that that the United States, too, arrested Jehovah's Witnesses during the war and that until December 1945, 11,000 of them were still detained in camps." [IMT, Blue Series, Vol. XI, p. 513].

At the end, all efforts by Rosenberg to provide truthful background information for his thoughts and actions, proved fruitless, for he was one of the convicted Defendants. It was instructed by the Tribunal that the sentences be implemented during the night hours of October 15 and 16. The condemned spent their last hours of life under strict scrutiny; the Allies had not to allow one more Nazi escape the noose by committing suicide, as had just happened with Hermann Göring. The guards received orders to keep the prisoners in sight at all time. Eyewitnesses accounts mention that, while The Bible became the favorite last reading among the prisoners awaiting execution, Rosenberg read *Die Geige*, a novel by Binding. In the early morning hours of October 16 1946, at 0130, Alfred Rosenberg was removed from his cell by American Military Police personnel, who led him to his final destination. A prayer for him was offered, which he decisively rejected. Finally, this "sentence without appeal" was carried out by a John C. Woods, an American executioner from Wisconsin. Rosenberg's life came to an end by hanging.

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$12.00 plus \$2.40 for postage and handling.

To My Friends Around the World

At the beginning of 1997 I want to thank you personally from all my heart for your friendship, your regular contact and your help with encouragement and money. May the new year find us again in the center of the fight for our race and nation.

It has been a long time since I sent out letters in English. I had neither the time nor the physical strength to do it. But the press has reported about my activities more than in ten years before. I wish I could have sent all these newspaper articles with an English translation. We have hit the headlines again and again. A few items I will copy for you to give you an impression.

I was on the move most of the year, organizing spectacular actions, speaking to big and small audiences or visiting friends in Germany and other countries. Very seldom I spent a few hours in my office. Correspondence was practically zero. And when I was at home I was completely worn out. But I think it is more important to hit the headlines than writing long letters although I love writing and wish I could be in regular contact with you.

The climax of the year was the publication of my 95 Theses for Germany on the occasion of Martin Luther's death 450 years ago. I handed them over personally to our State president and our Bundestagspräsident during a ceremony in Luther's town Eisleben, afterwards they were printed on a full page in the big daily newspaper HESSISCH-NIEDERSÄCHSISCHE ALLGEMEINE with 450,000 circulation. For three weeks it was the main topic of this paper and it was sold out every day. We got hundreds of letters and phone calls, 95 percent positive or even enthusiastic and only very few (mostly anonymous) negative reactions. It was as though the whole nation has been waiting for a message like this, the good news of the end of our psychological slavery. Up to this day letters and phone calls come in daily in regards to this subject.

Apart from the publication in the paper 50,000 leaflets have been distributed all over the country, and many doors of churches and synagogues have been decorated with big posters of the Theses. Now they have been translated even into Japanese. Our good friend Hans Schmidt has organized this stroke of genius. Now we need a translation into Russian to inform Lebed and Zhirinovskiy and others that there are Germans with a normal mind, not imbued with a guilt complex.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Our next big stroke was the attack on the anti-Wehrmacht exhibition produced by two communists and financed by the cigarette muly millionaire Reemtsma. A friend and I sprayed our protest onto the big posters of this exhibition which defames all German soldiers as criminals. I was sentenced to 4,500 marks in court for damage. But every one of four court sessions was reported by television and the press. With the result that the whole German public was alerted, and now all veteran societies and the Bundeswehr are alarmed and are planning to do something against this affrontery. Hundreds have already demonstrated in Nürnberg and Karlsruhe. And on 1 March a huge demonstration will be held in Munich with over a thousand people. 50 busses are ordered already.

On 17 March will be the hearing in the upper court in Erfurt because both, prosecutor and myself, appealed the sentence. The prosecutor wants me behind bars and I want to accuse the justice system for not only allowing the defamation of our soldiers but even protecting these communist liars. I am preparing a huge demonstration in front of the court.

When I was released from prison in 1990 I made the decision to concentrate on one thing only: to liberate my people from this devilish guilt complex and give them a new faith again, the old faith of our forefathers, to give them pride and moral strength. And I asked God to give me another ten years for public activities until the end of this century.

Give a man a faith and you make him ten times stronger. Give a nation a faith and she will be invincible. But how do you do it? It certainly is not enough to hand out leaflets or write articles, it is not enough to found a party or an organization. It needs courageous action. Unless you do something courageous you will never find a faith. And you cannot give faith to others.

For me it meant to go out on the street and proclaim my conviction and stand for it. To go where the stones are rough, where others shy back, where I hesitated to go myself. It meant not only to draw up 95 Theses with my conviction and hand them out to my friends, but to make them known to the government, the church leaders, the press. It meant: if my nation is calumniated and downgraded to stand up and attack the liars publicly. Which I did with the communist exhibitors and with the Jew boy Goldhagen. And I will do again and again until others join me and thus give hope to our nation that there are still men to take up the fight, that a nation is not lost as long as there are ten men to stand up

and be counted.

The top Jew in Germany, Ignatz Bubis, has accused our president and the speaker of parliament for not having done anything against my 95 Theses. They should have done something although my writings are not punishable, as the General Attorney stated. But Bubis demanded: whatever Roeder may write — the authorities have the duty to do something against it. That means: I am the most dangerous, the most hated man to the Jews in Germany. What an honor! I took the opportunity in January when Bubis had his 70th birthday to congratulate him. I wished him the tranquility to meet me and challenged him to argue with me on a TV show.

Everybody thinks that this will never happen. The Jews and their puppets are determined to keep us silent. I know the situation. But this is where faith comes in.

Why should we accept facts as they are? Why not believe in miracles? Why not believe in a power to change the course of history? I do! I know: God is with us, He loves those who expect miracles from Him.

Believe it or not: one day after Bubis' birthday the German TV came to me on the Knüll for an interview. They did not know anything about my letter to Bubis but they interviewed me for two hours and wanted to know everything about my international activities, especially my connections with America. They had just filmed American groups of opposition and want to give a report of movements discontent with their governments. Three young boys, open-minded, down to earth and disgusted with the suppression of free speech in our country and elsewhere.

I am not so silly to believe that there will be a positive report about us but the sheer fact that TV is interested in what we are doing is a miracle in itself. And even a negative report is better than silence. Adolf Hitler, in MEIN KAMPF, once wrote: If I open the newspaper in the morning and I am not attacked by the Jew press I know that I have not done my duty the day before. Better to be hated than to be ignored. The day will come soon when we can proclaim our conviction from the roof tops.

Yours in the fight, as ever,
Manfred Roeder, Haus Richberg
D-34639 Schwarzenborn, Germany.

* * *

January 31, 1997

Dear Mr. Dietz:

The February issue of Liberty Bell was first-rate. I particularly enjoyed Bruce Campbell's splendid article re the Aryans. It was well researched. He was kind enough to mention in his article that I had seen the Tarim Basin mummies before Alan Alda and Professor Mair had viewed them. I must confess that at the time, January 1986, I was unaware of the true significance of what I saw. Thanks to Dr. Mair et al, and to Bruce and his wife, we are now learning the true worth of these incredible finds. We have only heard the beginning of "Les Aryans." I would like to add that I heartily agree with Bruce's conclusions re the Jewish religions and the harm they do. See the last paragraphs of his article. We as a race or people will never solve our problems until we give up celestial crutches and admit we are of this world, not some mythical Beulah Land in the sky.

Hans Schmidt's letter to the Smithsonian was a marvel of compelling argument against Robert Shnayerson's sly, evil propositions carried in the October 1996 issue of the Smithsonian magazine. Hans, you "done" good!

A letter by Kevin Alfred Strom appeared in the February issue. He took some slams at Harold Covington who is the General Secretary of the revitalized "National Socialist White Peoples Party"; the party of the late, great Lincoln Rockwell.

While I agree Mr. Strom has some basis for being peeved, if events occurred as he outlined them in his letter, I, nevertheless, think his peevishness over such a minor point is a bit much. This constant backbiting, bickering and fits of jealousy within our so-called "movement" leaves me cold...real cold. We're too damned few to waste time and resources pursuing these stupid, little "Oh, I'm just so sensitive" games.

In defense of Covington and the NSWPP, I would suggest his is the only group that has a plan of action for the future. What do other "racial" groups offer? Do they serve up anything other than well-written moans about how terrible things are with Jews and Negroids so dominant? Does that interesting, well-written publication, Instauration, present a plan of action for a future white society? Does the National Alliance? Does Mythbusters? Does any other white, racist publication or organization? I can't think of one. Enlighten me if such do exist.

All the whining in the world about how bad things are will get us nowhere. There has to be goals set; plans laid; action taken. The only form of government tried in the last 1000 years that made ra-

cial, moral, economic, ecological, rational sense was Adolf Hitler's National Socialism. A modified Third Reich is called for now! It is a political system that came into existence through the ballot box in Germany; and that is the way it should become reality here. Hitler wanted no coups after the aborted try in Munich. Lets be perfectly legal; no criminal acts whatsoever! Out in the open with our plans and arguments. Coups and revolutions are self-destructive. When conditions get hopeless enough, a majority of the people will vote NS into power. Racial National Socialists have as much classical right as any other group to sell their political program; their political ideal. The NS program for government, and Colin Jordan's Ten Commandments for the code that National Socialists live by, are the nuclei around which we should be gathering. Look! Racial National Socialism has great appeal. Great appeal, indeed! Why do you think Jews, liberals, negroids et al soil their pants every time NS messages surface? If NS ideas and visions had no worth; no appeal, then that lot wouldn't waste a yawn over them.

The USA is approaching a condition similar to that of Weimar Germany of the twenties. The Jews are already in the driver's seat here as they were there then. Aryan America is also suffering negroidal excesses today as did the South during those terrible years of reconstruction. So...the time has arrived to hone NS theories for governing; to take part in "sandbox" exercises to test those theories, and to build a manpower elite who will be the governing cadre for that coming glorious NS state we all long to see. So, come on! Let's coalesce! Now!

E.H., Arkansas

CORRECTION:

There was an error in Figure 1, page 35 of the article "The Descension of Man," Vol. 24, No. 7, March 1997 *Liberty Bell*. The correct table is reproduced below.

FIG. 1 DISTRIBUTION OF mtDNA BY SHARED TYPE

<u>Australia</u> n=21	<u>Caucasian</u> n=46	<u>New Guinea</u> n=26	<u>Asia</u> n=34	<u>Africa</u> n=20
Type 1 (2)*	Type 2 (3)	Type 5 (3)		
	Type 3 (2)	Type 6 (3)		
	Type 4 (2)	Type 7 (6)		

*Number in parenthesis is the number of individuals sharing the type

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight Is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dletz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dletz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!