



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congolids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious — whether by its technology or its fecundity — from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 01007 — \$12.00
plus \$2.40 for postage & handling

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7687

SINGLE COPY \$5.50

Focusing Our Anger
To Be Effective, Our Anger Must Be
Properly Directed
By Dr. William Pierce
page 19

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Guillermo Coletti: A Holocaust Museum in Buenos Aires, page 1; Jewish Victory Against Free Speech in Brazil, page 7; Another Extravagant Theory on Race, page 9; Life Magazine 1945: A Call to Vengeance, page 12; Germany Protects Peruvia Terrorists, page 17 — From Instauration: Honest Abe's True Colors, page 27; We Must Know Our Racial Past, page 38; Is It Time to de-Holocaust Jewish History?, page 44; Conspiracies Then and Now, page 47.

Letters to the Editor,
page 52.

VOL. 24 — NO. 12

AUGUST 1987

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA
Phone/Fax 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Unsolicited manuscripts will not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publications become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1996

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

00011	FIRST CLASS: Canada / Mexico	\$ 70.00
00012	FIRST CLASS / AIR MAIL: Abroad	\$100.00
00020	AIR MAIL (Printed Matter): Europe, South America	\$ 80.00
00021	AIR MAIL (Printed Matter): Middle East, Far East, Africa	\$ 90.00
00022	AIR MAIL (Printed Matter): Sample Copy	\$ 6.50
00030	THIRD CLASS (Bulk Rate): U.S.A. only	\$ 50.00
00031	THIRD CLASS (Printed Matter): Abroad	\$ 70.00
00033	THIRD CLASS: Sample Copy	\$ 5.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 30.00
50 copies	\$ 120.00
100 copies	\$ 200.00
500 copies	\$ 800.00
1000 copies	\$1200.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution of government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor

A Holocaust Museum in Buenos Aires

by

Guillermo Coletti

The Jewish Century

Today's World Politics has shown little tolerance for neutrality on the Jewish question. The current status quo demands that Jewish issues be discussed with utmost reverence. Allegations of Jewish misfortune are treated with more compassion and sympathy than those of any other people. The rule of foreign policies for Western countries is that Israel is to be protected regardless of violations to basic principles otherwise upheld to the rest of the nations. Whatever criminal atrocities Jews have perpetrated, and still do up to this day, in the name of Israel's security, are shamefully tolerated. The many times that Israeli military personnel have massacred Palestinians or Lebanese civilians, the Western democracies limited themselves to simply writing symbolic letters of complaint; letters which were never intended to pressure Israel to modify its human rights policy or to suggest correction. The Jewish state has never been punished for the repeated violations to the borders of the nation of Lebanon. The community of self-proclaimed "law abiding nations" has created a double standard for Israel. Professional politicians in the West are on the Jewish side in a wide variety of issues. When free-thinking intellectuals call to question governments' allegiances to the Jewish state, they become ostracized dissidents who endure all kinds of adversities, from losing their jobs and careers to losing their freedoms and even, in some extreme cases, their lives. As this nameless century nears an end, it becomes more appropriate to deem it the Jewish Century, since the Jewish rise to power is one of the most determining factors in the lives of nations. At the heart of the most dramatic events of the last one hundred years are the children of Judea and their Talmudic beliefs.

The most sensational examples of this allmighty influence are to be found in the Bolshevik Revolution, the two World Wars, the Marxist inspired Maoist takeover of China and all the Middle Eastern armed conflicts. Jewish power is no more a matter of suspicion and it must no longer be called a conspiracy: it has become an "in your face" kind of force. Everything is now in the open and made official by laws, decrees and other types of documented policy. Deeming the Jewish power as a conspiracy implies that the basis of its existence are concealed and available only to the selected few. Reality is so grotesquely explicit that it has become selfevident. We need not to imagine what goes on behind closed doors; what they allow us to see has surpassed all levels of healthy tolerance.

Shrines to Jewish Power

Great civilizations have always erected their most magnificent monuments to praise their leaders. In Ancient Egypt, the pyramids and the sphinxes, largest structures known to man of those days, were raised to honor the lives and deaths of the masters of Egyptian society: the Pharaohs. The Ancient Greeks have also built great monuments, too many to mention, to their higher figures, their gods as well as their worldly rulers. The European cities, to this day, are adorned with thousands of statues, museums and monuments dedicated to those who once ruled the land and led the people. Exception to this norm are monuments to "unknown soldiers", so many of them tainted with cheap demagoguery! Today, that tradition has not changed. As in the 1980's cities were 'modern' only when subscribing to avantgarde cosmopolitanism (gay pride parades, easy accesability to recreational drugs, etc.), in the 1990's the most 'modern' requirement to be met is also the final architectural tribute: a Holocaust Museum. All over the West, edifices bear witness to this dramatic Jewish overstepping into our values. The Holocaust memorials, museums, monuments and other similar structures, are shrines to Jewish power that as long as they stand, will remind us all who the

real rulers of our nations are. These buildings are pantheons to national surrender; a solid document of massive submission.

Argentina and the New World Order

Democratic Argentina is a country that has embraced the New World Order and, rather consequently, all the trends and mannerisms that come with it, with a willingness almost passionate. No opportunity to demonstrate allegiance to the influence of the World Stock Exchange and the United Nations has been spared. During the Persian Gulf War, Argentina sent three warships to the region to incorporate itself to the multinational effort to oust the Iraqis from Kuwait, their former province. Later, Argentina's navy was also present at the multinational blockade against Haiti, a country with national defense forces inferior in number and fire power to those of the Los Angeles Police Department. Members of the Argentinean military have also worn the "blue helmets" of the United Nations in the Balkans and currently they keep peace (at least allegedly) in parts of Africa. A few Argentinean young men (the actual number is less than 10) have died in the black continent due to regional viral diseases they have contracted, while some have brought new viruses to the otherwise healthy population of the South American country. Argentina has opened its doors to nonWhite immigrants from the Orient, Koreans being the most largely benefited by this politics of "open borders". Amnesty was granted to all illegal aliens during the early 1990's, thus giving legal status to nearly half million mestizos from bordering Latin American countries (the Amnesty Law had initially been promoted on the basis that no more than fifty thousand illegals would be using the advantages of that piece of legislation). Argentina's lackey submission to the New World Order has been reinforced with the enactment of antihate laws, which curb constitutionally guaranteed freedom of speech. It all seems to indicate that the unwritten policies of diplomatic-

conomicultural apartheid that once made Argentina a pariah nation, since and due to its neutrality during World War II, are finally changing. The government of President Menem and his allies in the Legislative branch of political power, have gone one step further in their effort to get closer to Washington, Tel Aviv and Bonn. Now Buenos Aires will have its own Holocaust Museum, a respectful shrine to Jewish power.

A Holocaust Museum in Buenos Aires

Law 24636, sanctioned by the Argentinean Congress and published in the May 7, 1996, edition of the Official Bulletin, mandates the construction that will "honor the memory" of the alleged 6,000,000 victims of the Holocaust (one of the proponents of the law stated that 1,500,000 "victims" were Jewish children). For this purpose, the national government has donated an expensive piece of property in the area of the Congress building. This donation was made in 1995 to the Fundación Memoria del Holocausto (Foundation Memory of the Holocaust). On paper, the Museum has been proposed by a number of legislators who bear no Jewish last names (their backgrounds appear to be Spanish, Italian, Irish and English). Ruben Beraja, the Argentinean Jew banker vicePresident of the World Jewish Congress, said in an interview published in the daily *Clarín* (May 8, 1996) that "this was a spontaneous initiative of the legislators and we support it because it will put Buenos Aires among the cities of the world that repudiate the horror of the Holocaust". Mr. Beraja's assertion that the initiative was "spontaneous" is difficult to believe, considering the background of some of these politicians and the intrusion of the proIsraeli lobby in the national affairs of Argentina. Also suspicious is Mr. Beraja's sincere interest on what is best for Buenos Aires. Worthy of mention is that while the above mentioned parties were trying to sell to the Argentinean populace the notion that this whole operation was "spontaneous", the Jew Gilbert Lewi, president of the Foundation "Memoria del Holocausto", takes credit publicly for

the whole idea. His foundation will get a piece of the estate, as it's explained in the latter portion of this report.

Holocaust Museum = Germanophobia

Although the construction of the Holocaust Museum was approved only in May of 1996, by November of the same year the architectural work had already been assigned (to architects Berdichevsky, Cherny, Pfeifer, Huberman, Schmunis, Triskier, etc.). The Museum is described as a "narrative museum" (in other words, a Talmudic theme park), suggesting that what the attendants won't see it will be narrated to them; not necessarily the best method for stimulating critical thinking. Traditional museums offer attendees opportunities to observe and draw their own conclusions without the emotional burden of having speakers placed all over the areas where they walk, inciting them to approve or disapprove, rejoice or cry. Judging by the emotionally charged antiGerman overtone of the plans for this museum and previous similar projects, this one is expected to be a house of propaganda, a Hollywoodesque recreation of the all too often seen scenes of mean Aryan villains abusing helpless, righteous Jews. The top two floors of the structure will be taken over by the foundation "Memoria del Holocausto", from where they will coordinate activities for the advancement of traditional Jewish interests.

A Congressman Loyal to the Jews

One of the most relentlessly proIsraeli members of the block of 14 legislators is deputy Claudio Mendoza, who sits in the Argentinean Congress as a representative of the impoverished province of Chaco, near the Paraguayan border. Mr. Mendoza is no neophyte in the field of promoting Germanophobia; in 1994 he inaugurated a Holocaust Museum in the city of Resistencia, the capital of his native Chaco. The monument in question consists of seven columns representing; in Mendoza's words, "the advance of humanity from primitivism toward spiritual evolution". That first

monument was designed by a Jewish architect, Claudia Aranovich. Coincidentally, in 1994, Mr. Mendoza received a Human Rights Award from the worldwide B'nai B'rith. It is interesting to notice the proJewish record of Mr. Mendoza's agenda. Certainly the same energy and devotion has not been applied to represent the members of his poverty stricken constituency. The Chaco province has quite a pathetic record of backwardness; 9.7% of its population live in residences with dirt floors; illiteracy runs at 16.5% of those over 10 years of age; 36.1 per 1,000 of newborns die before ever reaching their first year of age (official statistics as of 1992). Nevertheless, Mr. Mendoza sees it appropriate to campaign, as a paid representative of the Chaco province, for Holocaustmania. Mr. Mendoza's willingness to comply with the mercurial desires of the chosenites seems to know no limits.

May this be a case of innocently misplaced loyalties?

Personal Skepticism

In spite of the millions of dollars spent throughout the globe, I have not found any evidence that the type of projects that aim to perennially remind us all of alleged Jewish sufferings have contributed to any of the supposedly desired objectives, namely: promoting peace, understanding and justice among all men; reconciling and healing old wounds; fighting ethnic stereotypes and building a society where tolerance rules, without political persecutions. Isn't it about time that we evaluate the results and the purposes of this international campaign to perpetuate sorrow and solidarity for a dubious Jewish defenselessness and victimization? I believe that none of the previously mentioned objectives will ever be achieved in any Western nation, as long as the success of some of these goals remain in the hands of frenzied antiWhite aliens.

Jewish Victory Against Free Speech in Brazil

by
Guillermo Coletti

The headline published in the December 1996 issue of the bulletin of the Latin American Jewish Congress joyfully boasts of "Victory"; a Jewish victory that is. In the report originated in Brazil and produced by Mauricio Soibelman, president of the Israeli Federation of Rio Grande do Sul (a southern Brazilian state), we learn that the victory that causes celebration in the Jewish community in Brazil is a new defeat for free speech in the largest South American country.

In a decision delivered in late 1996, Brazilian judicial authorities tried and convicted the local revisionist writer, publisher and intellectual, Siegfried Ellwanger Castan. Mr. Castan's best known work is *Holocaust, Jewish or German?*

Mr. Siegfried Ellwanger Castan

Mr. Ellwanger has proven to be one of the most efficient activists of the Revisionist cause. The above mentioned book was released in 1987, and since then at least twenty two (22!) editions have followed the first one. More than one hundred thousand (100,000) copies have been sold in Portuguese, Spanish and English. Mr. Ellwanger was born in Southern Brazil in 1928 and has lived a very interesting life. He volunteered to the Brazil Marine Corps in 1946, is a patent holder of support plates for rails used in Brazilian railways and also exported. He successfully worked in the steel business, at a young age he was the head salesperson of a very important steel importing corporation. Ten years after that, Mr. Ellwanger started his own business, also in the trade of steel. A curious man and researcher of history, Mr. Ellwanger has traveled to the Americas (from Alaska to Tierra del Fuego), Western Europe and Poland. His afterre-

retirement involvement in the revisionist studies of the Holocaust, has doubtlessly provided Mr. Ellwanger more excitement than an average retiree aspires to have. Although I have never had the pleasure to meet in person with Mr. Ellwanger, personal accounts of others depict him as a dedicated man who holds the highest standards of hospitality with his friends.

A Fighter For Free Speech

Through his publishing company, 'Revisao Editora Ltda.', Mr. Ellwanger has made available to the Brazilian public a wide variety of books, some originally written in Portuguese while some had been translated from their original tongues. Some of the works that the Jewish lobby has targeted for their inquisitorial objectives are (original titles are in Portuguese): *The Secret History of Brazil*, by Gustavo Barroso; *World Conquerors*, by Marchalko; *Brazil, a Bankers Colony*, by Barroso; Henry Ford's *The International Jew* and *The Protocols of Zion*, as well as summaries and translations of the Leuchter Report and material from the Institute for Historical Review.

Mr. Ellwanger's record of fighting censorship is a long one. Unfortunately, I have not kept record of the many times his literature was censored or confiscated by government authorities. But the latest court decision is particularly more serious. In the afternoon of October 31, 1996, Mr. Ellwanger was sentenced to two years in prison for publishing antisemitic books that "show contempt for human dignity". This decision was based on law 8081, enacted in 1990. The prison term was changed to three years of community service.

For further questions regarding this matter please do not hesitate to contact me by writing to: Guillermo Coletti, P.O. Box 61221, Pasadena, CA 91106, or contact Mr. Ellwanger at: Revisao Editorial, Ltda., Caixa Postal 10 466, 90001 Porto Alegre, RGS, Brazil

X

Another Extravagant Theory on Race

by

Guillermo Coletti

The debate of the Race question, in a magnitude never seen before, has entered a convulsive period where logic and absurdity may clash with full force. An open discussion of this issue has been suppressed for decades by actions perpetrated by the radical left, condoned by the humble and defeated remains of the right. Dr. Hans F.K. Günther has traced the background of this distortion of anthropological realities to an even farther remote time: "the conviction of the strength of heredity may have been debilitated by the penetration of the world of Christian ideas (in Aryan lands), with its emphasis in the separation of body and soul, spirit and flesh", as he stated in his 1933 essay 'Volk und Staat in ihrer Stellung zu Vererbung und Auslese'. Thus, ceaselessly imposing on Westerners fantastic views and notions of alleged unequivocal racial equality, only one side has been allowed to propagate into the main channels of public opinion. By ostracizing ethnocentric views, with the chronic emotional charges of bigotry and prejudice to currents of thought that could supply diversity into the treatment of this topic, the egalitarians have accomplished to bring their perspective into educational institutions, mass media, etc. How long can the status quo hold on to its power? How long can distorted and flatout false anthropological ideas survive the relentless search for truth? Judging by recent events, the prognosis for absolute egalitarianism does not appear to be one of longevity (to review a great summary of the latest anthropological findings I strongly recommend reading 'Our People, The Aryans', by J.B. Campbell, published in *Liberty Bell*, Vol. 24, Issue No. 6 of February 1997).

Generation after generation of liberals have provided us

with a wide variety of theories pertaining to ethnicity, all of which have been articulated and circulated for the sole purpose of advancing their antiAryan agenda. From their mouths we have heard that environment and weather make races what they are, as well as other wild excuses for their stated biological egalitarianism. It has also been said that actual differences among races are limited to skin color but that, given the exact same circumstances and surroundings, individuals of different backgrounds would behave and progress along the same lines. Some negrocentric leaders have announced that all human life derives from the African negro. I've also come across black nationalists' literature that strongly suggested that whites were created by sophisticated negro scientists flying intergalactic machines, thousands of years back, during a genetic experiment that went bad. I could go on listing enough varieties of this topic to fill up thousands of pages with nothing but empty rhetoric. I won't do that. I will just dedicate a few lines to one of the latest absurdities to have reached my very crowded desk.

Princeton University Press recently released *The History and Geography of Human Genes*, a book by Luca CavalliSforza, Paolo Menozzi and Alberto Piazza. This eight pound package of paper (per book!) reveals some, perhaps all, of the findings of an allegedly lengthy study of human blood that used blood samples of individuals who settled in communities established in selected places before 1492, the year Italian navigator Christopher Columbus arrived in America. The immediate goal was to examine chromosomes, genes and all that good stuff, of people whose genetic histories were presumably preserved unchanged.

This essay, which was timely for a rebuttal to some of the basis of *The Bell Curve*, repeats the notion that racial differences are mainly adaptations to climate, as humans migrated from one type of weather, to another. Afrocentric agitators have much to be happy about with this book, which emphatically affirms that the African form of human life is the oldest. So far nothing unheard of. Anyone who

has researched the race question must have encountered these beliefs at one point or another.

"The Basques are likely to be the most direct descendants of the CroMagnon people, among the first modern humans in Europe". I've never doubted the Basques were quite modern, but being "the most direct descendants of the Cro Magnon people" goes beyond being modern, that is 'cool'. The reader must figure out whether this characteristic is a plus or not. I've always liked the Basques, chances are I will always like them, regardless of the truthfulness of Mr. Cavalli's findings.

What I've found to be uniquely unbelievable and deeply irritating is CavalliSforza's suggestion that all Europeans are a hybrid population, with 65% Asian and 35% African genes. In other words, according to CavalliSforza all Europeans are mongrelized; there's no such thing as a White race, but a subrace of mongrels who are two thirds Asian and one third African negro, and happened to have developed similar features and cultures. If we were to accept this as true, then we should, more or less, accept as conceivable the following scenario:

a negro female and a negro male reproduce during a torrid afternoon in the jungles of Africa, simultaneously in Bangkok, an Asian female and an AsianAfrican male reproduce, these two couples will have (respectively or irrespectively) a male and a female offspring who will later on mate to give birth to ... a Norwegian, or a Frenchman, or a Briton, or any other European you may wish to choose.

CavalliSforza, Princeton University and the entire bunch of countercultural McGovernicks they represent, are experiencing new heights of desperation. Being a resident of Southern California, as I have been, for over the last ten years, I have had plenty of opportunities to observe all kinds of mongrelized children. I don't believe there's a possible mix whose sample I haven't seen at a shopping mall or elsewhere. And I have, most certainly, seen the results of

the unions between Asians and Africans, even in the suggested percentages. And none of those offsprings, never, ever, has resembled a White man.

No matter how many blood samples CavalliSforza and his associates have taken during their trips to the wilderness of Africa, or to the rice fields of Asia, a logical foundation is missing from the treaty they so vehemently produced. I don't believe it.

✱ ✱ ✱

Life Magazine 1945: A Call To Vengeance

by
Guillermo Coletti

"The man who reads nothing at all
is better educated than the man
who reads nothing but the newspapers"
Thomas Jefferson

Former Argentinean president Gen. Roberto Viola once said, much to the irritation of Jews in South America and elsewhere, that if the Axis forces had won the Second World War, the War Crimes Trials would have taken place in Arlington, Virginia instead of Nuremberg, as they did. What he tried to say was not that there was an Axis plot to put the leaders of the Allied nations on trial, but that the procedures at Nuremberg were simply an extension of the hostilities, a 'trial by the victors'. It must be remembered, as an example of the atmosphere reigning at the Nuremberg procedures, that a newspaper publisher, Mr. Julius Streicher, who never participated in the German government or in acts of war, was sentenced to death by hanging and, eventually, executed for "war crimes". If publishing opinions not in favor of a winner of a war constitutes a war crime, then where would it have fit the editorial we are about to review, had the War Crimes Trials taken place at Arlington, Virginia?

Life magazine edition of May 28, 1945, ran an editorial simply entitled 'War Crimes: To Promote the Idea of Law is More Vital to us than Killing Nazis'. The headline is indeed slightly misleading, since it projects a benevolence rather hard to find in the piece. It was the end of the war and the graphic accomplishments (quite impressive indeed) of the lavish publication rejoiced over the destruction caused to German cities. Almost every issue of Life magazine of the period 1945-1946 is richly adorned with full page photographs depicting the devastation inflicted upon some of the most sacred sites in the history of Western man, almost always concealing from the American public the human side of the tragedy: the faces of the Germans wandering among ruins which once were home. At best it may have been intellectual inertia, as the drums of war kept beating in the pages of Life until long after the hostilities have ended. The general overtone of that editorial can very comfortably be diagnosed as acutely germanophobic.

It is commonly accepted, at least in unspoken ways, that not even the most fanatical neoChristians actually believe that we should love our enemies. But when it comes to exercising antiGerman propaganda, although it is not expected to see the enemies of Germany turn the other cheek, the rhetoric is tainted with unique viciousness. Why? What could have prompted this society with such large Germanic presence (GermanAmericans are the largest ethnic group in the USA) to be so prolific in the field of Germanophobia? Certainly GermanAmericans outnumber Jewish Americans, as do almost all other Caucasians. Factually speaking, the United States has been involved in many wars, military campaigns on foreign soil, 'peacekeeping' operations, etc. On average, for every year this land celebrated its independence, a foreign land saw itself under American military presence, under one pretext or another.

Not every time a crisis developed it was followed by a declaration of war. Let's bear in mind that American diplomats are committed to send their armies overseas to protect

peace, freedom and democracy. Examining all of the other circumstances of military confrontation, the anti German situation finds no parallel in defamation. The editorial we are about to review would be irrelevant to the affairs of world politics of today if the antiGerman climate had been completely sanitized, or at least subsided, but it hasn't. I remember fondly that during an Oktoberfest celebration that took place in 1996, a letter sent by President Clinton was read on the loudspeakers. My German friends felt verily honored. It was, we all believed, a friendly letter in which President Clinton gracefully acknowledged the many contributions the Germans have rendered to humanity in general and to the American state in particular. In the letter, names of prominent Germans were given as examples of good Germans; as far as I know every single German the President deemed a good German in his letter was a Jew, with Albert Einstein on top of the list. But the war is over! It has been over for over fifty years and, even more to my argument, the Germans were defeated! It's 1997 and the Commander in Chief of the American Armed Forces is still handing out medals to veterans of the war against Germany. No matter how many sensitivity training sessions are designed, all year round, to please homosexuals, gang members, lesbian mothers, Jews, heroin addicts or others, nothing is being done to repair the insults that the memory of each one of the ten million dead Germans from World War II has received. Let's review some of the statements featured in the above mentioned editorial.

"Human instinct demands some sort of action to express our horror at what the Nazis have done. Retribution, vengeance, justice call it what you like—but action." No holds barred. The looting of Germany, the tons of explosives dropped upon millions of defenseless German civilians, the invasion, the hunger unnecessarily inflicted on multi millions of women and children, were not sufficient to placate the bigotry of *Life's* editorialist, whose name goes unknown. He wants more 'retribution', more 'vengeance'. In what form

did he want to see this 'retribution' and 'vengeance' accomplished? And who, precisely, did he want to see avenged? Was his purpose to incite more Germanophobia even at the end of the war? What did people like him want? What punishment would have been enough? The good thing about this particular statement is the baldness and unpolished truthfulness about the real intentions of the circus surrounding the postWar punishment of Germany. Who can question the 'Nazis' tomorrow for calling on the demands of human instinct for vengeance for what 'whoever' have done? Justifying vengeance and retribution on the basis of human instinct actually is the same as aspiring to a *carte blanche* for whatever cruelty may be performed on suspects of the crime of 'inhumanity'. And, by the way, up until this day I encounter people who emphatically sustain that the Allies were too generous and too humane with the Germans.

In increased excitement the piece continues: "The War Crimes Commission broadening of the definition of war crimes made potential criminals of four to six million Germans at one stroke." The writer could hardly contain himself from calling for genocide, but implying that four to six million Germans were worthy of punishment as war criminals in a very direct form suggests that additionally to the defeat of Germany, an extra four to six million (a million more or less make no difference) could be easily added. He continued: "If there are to be executions on any such wholesale scale, the British and American firing squads may find their thirst for blood soon slaked." Now that's what I call objective journalism, mainstream opinionshaping, unbiased reporting. I only wish he had put some 'objectivism' aside for a moment and would have added some personal emotion into this piece, just to make things more palatable for blood thirsty Brits. Don't we all wish he had told us, if only for a minute, how he really felt about the German people? I must add, and in a more serious tone, that I have never found any evidence of any such thirst for blood was present in the

British soldier.

"If the whole of Germany is guilty of murder, no doubt it would be just to exterminate the German people." Perhaps this passage is the most significant of all. Maybe *Life* magazine proposed a debate that few were alert enough to notice it and, consequently, it went unanswered. Perhaps nobody dared touching the subject. Maybe he is right when he says that if the whole of a people are guilty "no doubt it would be just to exterminate" them. The extermination of an entire people is, after all, a moral dilemma, even when it's about exterminating Germans. Maybe there's something here that all decent people and good people should give some thought.

This anonymous editorialist of *Life* magazine made an obvious attempt at convincing his readers that he was not alone in this hatred. He, perhaps, wanted America to accept that he was speaking for all Americans. "The National Lawyers Guild, for example, decrying 'excessive legalism', is prepared to prove almost any German guilty of anything." Of course, one has to be truly cynical to believe that; we all know well that the bulk of the American lawyers would never endorse such emotional outbursts. The American lawyer is without a doubt one of the most ethical human beings ever to be found on the face of this planet at any given time. The American lawyer of the 1940's, as well as the one of the 1990's, has the kind of human fiber rarely found among beings of lesser morality. The American Lawyer is made of the substance that brings nationbuilders into this world. And justice too.

As the editorial progresses, *Life* finds it difficult to conceal its raging enthusiasm. "But what should our 'national policy' be? Should it be to secure the maximum number of convictions? Or to reestablish in demented Europe a respect for the law?" What would this journalist think of the Jewish state? Would he propose a large number of convictions or

establishing respect for the law in demented Israel? Although this exaggerated parlance may lead many to question the background of the unknown author of this *Life* piece, it is better to remain outside prejudices and not assume immediately that this man might have been Jewish.

And so the history of American journalism and its commitment to the truth, and nothing but the truth, goes. The country that once gave us H.L. Mencken, progressively, little by little, unnoticeably for the masses, finally led us to Geraldo Rivera. Of course.

Germany Protects Peruvian Terrorists

by

Guillermo Coletti

The crisis at the residence of the Japanese Ambassador in Lima, Peru, finally reached an end on April 22, 1997. After the leftist guerrillas of the Revolutionary Movement Tupac Amaru (MRTA) occupied the building, by extensive use of explosives and blasting fully automatic AK47's, and took over 400 people hostage, this sorry situation ended quite benignly. Of the initial number of hostages only a few more than 70 had remained under control of the Maoist MRTA until the end. I have to say that it made me happy seeing the brutes of the MRTA defeated under the same rules they had chosen to conduct their own subversive business, the rules of strength and military might. President Fujimori was present right there, with his soldiers, at the site of the fight. Not a chance we will ever see Prime Minister Kohl anytime soon next to the soldiers of his Fatherland, fighting Communism. I know that by now many must be thinking that I'm really stretching the point. For many it must be difficult to imagine a possible reason to bring to Germany into this picture.

There are reasons why the government of Germany is

not totally marginal to this crisis. As the final rescue operation developed, CNN was broadcasting live from Peru, whatever piece of the action their cameras could get. A fine job indeed, at least as an indicator of the modern technologies available in broadcasting. Bernard Shaw was at the studios in Atlanta explaining, or trying to explain, what was going on. CNN was also connected to a 'freelance' female reporter in Peru. A visibly disappointed Bernard Shaw then had a telephone conversation with the leader of the MRTA, Mr. Isaac Velaso, a Peruvian who is a resident of ... Hamburg, Germany. Yes, the leader of one of the most brutal and ruthless terrorist groups ever to have existed resides in Germany. How can this be possible? Isn't this the same German government that imprisoned Gerhard Lauck, Günther Deckert, Hans Schmidt, Fred Leuchter and many others, for openly discussing historical viewpoints? And yet, there he is, in Hamburg, the leader of the MRTA, the leader of a terrorist organization that has killed people, that is responsible for detonating thousands of explosions, robbing banks, destruction of property, kidnapping innocent people, etc., etc., etc. Germany gives this terrorist enemy of the West a place where he can live in safety. This terrorist openly admitted, over CNN, live for hundreds of millions of people to hear him the world over, his responsibility for this criminal outrage but he boastfully added that he will continue an armed struggle to destabilize the government of Fujimori in Peru. In other words, the government of Germany is protecting terrorists and, consequently, creating the right conditions for the promotion of terrorism. While all of this is tolerated by German authorities, that same government jails historians and Germany's own national patriots. That is Democracy at work.

PLEASE REMEMBER:

Your subscription to *Liberty Bell*, your book orders, and your regular monetary contributions are our lifeblood. Help us keep *Liberty Bell* ringing and proclaiming the truth. Your continued support is needed and will be greatly appreciated!

Focusing Our Anger To Be Effective, Our Anger Must Be Properly Directed

By

Dr. William Pierce

Hillary Clinton went to South Africa a few days ago to show the Clinton government's support for Black rule in that country. She met with the new Black ruler of South Africa, Nelson Mandela, and she toured the prison where Mandela was an inmate for 27 years. And she said all of the Politically Correct things during her tour: about White injustice toward Blacks, and the victims of apartheid, and so on. The average American viewer watching her performance on television and listening to her comments was given the very definite impression that the jailing of Mandela was one of the "injustices" Mrs. Clinton was talking about, that Mandela was a "victim of apartheid," who had been locked up by the wicked South African racists merely because he was a Black man.

The fact of the matter is that Mandela was locked up for being a terrorist and nothing else. In 1963 the police in South Africa broke up a terrorist gang which had been meeting at Rivonia, near Johannesburg. The group consisted of both Blacks, of whom Mandela was one, and Jews — plus one Indian. The Rivonia gang had detailed plans for a campaign of terror and murder. They already had carried out a number of terrorist killings before they were arrested. Terrorists acting under their direction had murdered a number of White South Africans, including women and children. Some of the Jews involved managed to escape from the country and continued their terrorist activities from abroad. One of these Jews who escaped was Joe Slovo, the Communist Party leader of South Africa. Mandela was not able to escape, however, because he already had been picked up by the police in connection with his illegal Communist Party activities before the raid on the terrorist headquarters at Rivonia. He was put on trial for his

role in the terrorist conspiracy, convicted, and sentenced to life in prison. Now, of course, he is a hero of the leftists, including the Clintons and the American news media.

At home the Clintons are very much down on terrorists and always are calling for new laws to fight them and for more severe punishments when they are caught. It wasn't always that way. Back during the 1960s and 1970s Bill Clinton ran around with terrorists who burned ROTC buildings on university campuses and bombed Selective Service offices.

The lesson in all of this is that when the Clintons condemn terrorism, what they mean is that terrorism by White people on behalf of White people is bad and must be stamped out, but terrorism by Jews or Blacks against Whites is commendable.

It is unfortunate that the great mass of White Americans are not able to see this hypocrisy on the part of the Clintonistas. The reason they can't see it is that the controlled news media keep it covered up. How many of you listening today have ever even heard of Rivonia? You've certainly heard plenty about Nelson Mandela and the fact that he was sent to prison by the White South African government, but the implication always is that his only offense was that he was a Black leader, and that his imprisonment was an instance of White injustice against Blacks. You never hear that he was convicted of terrorism, of being an active terrorist in a gang which had murdered White South Africans and had the intent to murder many more of them and overthrow their government. The bosses of the news media know that, and the Clintons know that, but they deliberately conceal that information from you. If you're really interested in the details, go to any large library and look for information about what was happening in South Africa in 1963, 1964, and 1965 in connection with the Rivonia terrorist gang. That name again is Rivonia: R-i-v-o-n-i-a. That's where Nelson Mandela got his start, but you'd never learn that from listening to Hillary Clinton or watching the television news today.

And there are many other things you'd never learn from Hillary or the television news. Mrs. Clinton has been holding

a number of press conferences during her South African tour, and she never fails to say how pleased she is by all of the "progress" which has been made since the advent of Black rule there. She smiles and tells the television audience how wonderful everything is in South Africa now that the Whites no longer are running the country. And that is a bare-faced lie, but you won't hear any television newsman contradicting her. The truth is that South Africa has become a much more dangerous and unpleasant place to live, for both Blacks and Whites, since the country was turned over to Black rule three years ago, and Mrs. Clinton and the media bosses know it. The murder rate and the rate for crime of all types have skyrocketed. Johannesburg, which used to be a clean, decent, and safe city under White rule, now has the highest murder rate in the world. Gangs of armed Black criminals roam the streets of Johannesburg and prey on both Blacks and Whites with impunity. In the Black tribal areas of South Africa old superstitions have reasserted themselves, and thousands of Blacks have been burned, hacked, or stoned to death on suspicion of being witches during the past three years. If your neighbor's cow dies, he may accuse you of having caused the death by witchcraft, and then you may have your hands wired behind your back and a gasoline-soaked tire put around your neck and set afire, the same punishment Blacks used to administer to other Blacks suspected of collaborating with Whites. Under White rule, killing Blacks suspected of witchcraft was not tolerated, but now there's no one to stop the practice. White South Africans who live on farms or who drive in rural areas must worry constantly about Black gangs. One of the practices of these gangs is to descend suddenly upon a White farm or to force an automobile with White occupants off the road and then gang-rape any White females they find.

The infrastructure of South Africa is beginning to come apart from neglect and corruption, and Whites who can afford it and have the option are fleeing the country. Even Blacks often will whisper to visitors that they wish Whites were still running the country, because their lives were much better under White rule. South Africa, in other words is coming unrav-

eled under Black rule, is ceasing to function as a civilized country — much like our nation's capital, Washington, DC, also is coming unraveled under Black rule. But don't expect to learn this from any television news program.

You know, I talk with many people about things like this deliberate sanctification by the controlled news media of a Black terrorist thug and their lying about conditions in South Africa, and sometimes I become emotional when I talk about such things. It angers me, it makes me furious, that our news media, on which we depend for our knowledge about what's going on in the world, are under the control of a bunch of Jews who deliberately deceive us in order to advance their own interests.

What irritates me at least as much as this deception, however, is the moral paralysis of many of the people to whom I speak. They agree with me that it is bad that the news media deliberately deceive us, but they don't become angry about it, they don't become outraged. They just take it for granted that the media are deceptive, but they don't feel any obligation to try to do something about that. It's as if they consider themselves merely spectators watching the world go by like some sort of drama on a television screen.

I'll give you another example, and that is what is happening to young White women in our armed forces. It is good, of course, that the Army brass finally have made a move against the Black drill instructors and Black officers who have been raping them. Finally. What has not changed, however, is the system which deliberately subjects White women to the authority of Black males and pretends that everything will be all right, a system which pretends that there is no difference between Blacks and Whites or between women and men. That filthy and destructive system is still in place. And it is only a fluke that the rapes and other abuse of our women under that system have been exposed. It's not that no one knew what was happening all along. All of the White enlisted men and the White officers knew what was happening, but they didn't do anything about it because they were afraid of being accused of racism. So now, finally, the dirty little secret about our Army is out because a

few women raised hell about it, but the system is still in place. How the filthy creatures who designed that system and support that system must be smirking and gloating now.

You know, more than 20 years ago, in 1975, when I began writing *The Turner Diaries* I foresaw this sort of thing, and I predicted it in my book. I saw that, on the one hand, the feminists were generating hostility between men and women and were undermining the special, protected status that women always had had in our society, and on the other hand the race fanatics who demanded the forced social integration of the races were poisoning the minds of our young people with their propaganda and persuading them that it was evil to refuse to give Blacks whatever they wanted because their ancestors had been the slaves of our ancestors and so we owed them something. I saw this, and I predicted that it would lead to both large-scale miscegenation and to a much greater incidence of violence against our women and rape of our women. In fact there is an episode in *The Turner Diaries* where Black U.S. Army soldiers are gang-raping White girls, and White officers who are aware of what is happening refuse to interfere out of fear of being charged with racism.

And so now, just a little over 20 years later, it has come to pass. But I did not make that prediction cold-bloodedly. I looked at the trends I could see in 1975, and I thought about where they would take our society in the next 20 years, but I wasn't cool and detached about it. I was mad as hell, and I'm still mad as hell. Even back in 1975 I wanted to take all of the feminist agitators and propagandists and all of the race-mixing fanatics and all of the media bosses and all of the bureaucrats and politicians who were collaborating with them, and I wanted to put them up against a wall, in batches of a thousand or so at a time, and machine-gun them. And I still want to do that. I am convinced that one day we will have to do that before we can get our civilization back on track, and I look forward to the day.

Of course, I didn't machine-gun any of these destroyers of our civilization, any of these poisoners of the souls of our people, because I didn't have the means for doing so. But I didn't

just sit on my hands either. I pledged that I would do everything that I could do to build the means for one day stamping out the filth that is poisoning our people. And that's what I have been doing, reaching out to our people through every feasible medium — through books and through the Internet and through these American Dissident Voices broadcasts — informing our people, awakening them, explaining things to them, and trying to inspire them.

And I know that I've been reaching many people, because I hear from them all the time. They tell me that I have helped them to understand what is happening, that I have helped them to make sense out of things, that I have given them a new sense of direction. And I am glad for all of that. But what still is disconcerting to me is the relatively small number of these people who display any passion, any anger. For many of them it is as if they have been watching a confusing television drama, and I have helped them to understand the drama a little better, but it still isn't real to them; it still isn't their responsibility to do something about it. They still are just spectators. Not all of them. The number of people who are angry is growing. But too many are still just dispassionate spectators.

Maybe I shouldn't be irritated about this. Maybe this is just human nature. Maybe most people are inherently incapable of being anything but spectators — although I'm inclined to believe that the television age has encouraged and exacerbated this passivity in some people who might otherwise be active and responsible citizens. Anyway, I should be thankful that at least understanding is growing among the spectators as well as among the more active people. Even passive support based on understanding is better than nothing.

But our real hope for the future lies with the people who still are capable of feeling moral outrage, still are capable of becoming angry: angry that our news media are being used to deceive us, angry that our entertainment media are being used to poison the minds of our people, angry that our government used our resources to pressure the people of South Africa into turning their country over to Black savages, angry that our Army has become what it is today. We need many

more such angry people.

Our anger, of course, must be focused and directed. When someone sees his world being destroyed; when someone sees the future of his children being stolen from them; when someone sees everything around him that is fine and noble and beautiful being trampled into the mud; when someone sees his heritage, which has been created through the work and sacrifice of countless generations of his forebears, falling into the uncaring hands of savages — it is easy for him to be angry at many things, at many people. It is easy to be angry at liberals, who manage to be on the wrong side of every issue and whose mindless egalitarianism lies at the root of most of the destructive policies which are wrecking our civilization. It is easy to be angry at lawyers — along with big businessmen and corporation executives — a privileged class whose members seem to be far more interested in fattening themselves on the various processes of decay in our society, even hastening those processes whenever it seems personally advantageous to them, rather than using their positions of influence to oppose the destruction. It is easy to be angry at homosexuals and feminists, those sick creatures whose organized drive to remake the world to suit their own perverse needs has so poisoned our society in recent decades. And it is certainly easy to be angry at Blacks and other non-Whites, whose pestilential presence has done so much damage to our world.

And all of that anger would be justified. Certainly we must deal with each of these groups decisively before we can restore our world to health, but to focus on any of them now can only mislead us. There are just two proper targets for our attention and our anger now: they are, first and foremost, the controlled news and entertainment media, which have promoted and orchestrated every destructive and degenerative movement, policy, and tendency which afflicts our society; and second, the government, which for all practical purposes is today a creature of the media.

Without the backing of the mass media, liberalism would still be only a nutcase fringe tendency among a minority of academics and clerics. The whole campaign of racial integra-

tion and special rights for Blacks, which has pushed Blacks into every facet of White society since the Second World War, has been directed by the mass media: even the Black violence which has flared up so often in our cities is a direct and deliberate media product, a product designed to intimidate White opposition. None of the rioting, burning, and looting in Los Angeles a couple of years ago following the Rodney King incident, for example, would have occurred if the mass media had not incited it with their endless repetition on television of the arrest scene. Without the media incitement it would have been just one more arrest of a drug-crazed Black criminal by fed-up White policemen.

And just as the controlled news media today portray a Black terrorist and murderer of White women and children in South Africa as a saintly hero, just as they deliberately create the utterly false impression in White Americans that South Africa has become more peaceful and prosperous since the end of White rule, so it was the same sort of media lying and misrepresentation about South Africa in the past which led the U.S. government to boycott South Africa and apply other pressure leading to Black rule. The media, with their lies and propaganda, manipulate public opinion, and the politicians in the government just follow along.

And so it is with virtually every other governmental policy. The media push public opinion in the direction the media bosses want it to go, and the politicians, willing to do anything to be elected, go along.

So let us keep in mind who the principal enemies of our people are, and let us keep our anger focused on these enemies. But let us also remember that our anger must not be dissipated in foolish and unproductive violence. Not now. Not yet. Now is the time for hard work, for intelligent work, not for violence.

This article is based on the *American Dissident Voices* broadcast aired on March 29, 1997. Reprinted from *Free Speech*. Subscriptions available from National Alliance, Box 90, Hillsboro WV 242946.

Abraham Lincoln reconsidered

Honest Abe's True Colors

By
GRAY FOX

Much of Moriarty's recent essay (Jan. 1997) is devoted to backpedaling from the man that General George McLellan called "the Royal Ape." There seems to have arisen in Moriarty's psyche some dim recognition that Lincoln wasn't such a good role model for race-conscious whites after all. It is difficult to take seriously any racist who favors the man that Nelson Mandela has identified as one of his most admired heroes along with Lenin, Stalin and Chairman Mao.

Unfortunately, following Moriarty's change of heart, he expends much ink to convince readers that the Southern view of Lincoln is irrelevant. He still denies that Lincoln played a major role in initiating white dissolution. He wonders if a successful South would have become just another Brazil. He assures us that "white racism" won't sell anymore and that neither the Old South nor the pre-civil rights South can be resurrected. Finally he proclaims that while "the issue today is how to salvage the, or a, white nation in America...splitting the white population along sectional lines seems counterproductive."

At the end, there is a return to the earlier article (Nov. 1996) that puffs Lincoln as revealer of life's great profundities. Southerners who just don't "get it" receive some rather condescending advice. Having lost a vicious war by being too chivalrous, they should gratefully abase themselves before their conqueror's all-seeing wisdom.

Certainly the South suffered, and its wounds are evident to this day. But suffering is more profitable if it brings knowledge as well as experience. Lincoln taught the Confederates the difference between a war and a duel. Lincoln can teach today's white Majority the difference between the United

This statement displays a callous disregard for the hundreds of thousands of courageous men in gray who fought to preserve their race and their civilization in the conflict of 1861-1865 and who endured the infamous Reconstruction that followed. It also displays a lamentable lack of understanding of the causes of the war and the nature of the forces arrayed against the Confederacy. Most distressingly it reveals a myopic inability to discern that the Cause of the Confederacy in 1861 is also the cause of white racialists today.

Red Republican in the White House

The South was not so ignorant as contemporary Americans of Lincoln's true colors, one of which turns out to be Red. Southerners in 1860 were well aware of the destructive force that first showed its face in 1848, when Karl Marx published the Communist Manifesto, the year that saw the outbreak of socialist revolutions throughout Europe. Although these revolutions were premature, it was seven more decades before the forces of communism were able to subvert a European state in their nihilistic revolt against civilization.

In an 1860 sermon delivered in the shadow of looming war, Southern theologian James H. Thornwell revealed just how well the South understood not only the implications of the North's rising secular humanist pseudo-religion and its ideological soul-mate, abolitionism, but also the relationship of both to the embryonic political and ideological monstrosity whose evil, all-devouring nature he could have only guessed at in 1860.

The parties in this conflict are not merely Abolitionists and slaveholders, they are Atheists, Socialists, Communists, Red Republicans, Jacobins on the one side and the friends of order and regulated freedom on the other. In one word, the world is the battleground, Christianity and Atheism the combatants, and the progress of humanity the stake.

This startling quote shows just how well Southerners understood who the principals were in the impending clash that New York Senator William Seward called "an irrepressible conflict between opposing and enduring forces." Americans should consider carefully the implications of the North's "Red" connection. Those who see Lincoln as anything other than an agent of white destruction place themselves in league with just about every enemy the white race has. One hopes that this is done out of ignorance rather than by informed choice.

Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels supported Lincoln's war. During the war, Marx wrote a column for socialist Horace Greeley's *New York Tribune*. Award-winning historian James McPherson made the connection between Lincoln and socialism in *Abraham Lincoln and the Second American Revolution*:

Lincoln championed the leaders of the European revolutions of 1848; in turn, a man who knew something about those revolutions, Karl Marx praised Lincoln in 1865 as "the single-minded son of the working class" who led his "country through the matchless struggle for the rescue of an enchained race and the reconstruction of a social world."

Russian revolutionaries favored the North. Alexander Herzen called Jefferson Davis "the greatest political criminal of our time." Michael Bakunin wrote of Lincoln's war, "[I]n the struggle between the Northern and Southern United States...the North...has all my sympathies." Bakunin was even more pleased with Reconstruction and the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments. Winning the war wasn't enough; for "popular self-government" to become a reality, "another revolution. . . far more profound" was required. Like the Bolsheviks who infiltrated America in the 1920s and 1930s, these early Communist revolutionaries clearly saw the potential of using the Negro race as a proletariat for subjugation of the West.

In light of this, perhaps it is not coincidental that Lin-

coln's administration enacted into law three planks of the Communist Manifesto. The first was a national banking act. The second was the Internal Revenue Service. The third, enacted by the Morrill Tariff Act, was public education and Land Grant Colleges.

Lincoln benefited from the failed 1848 Communist revolutions in more material ways. Many veteran "forty-eighters" fled to the U.S. With the outbreak of the War for Southern Independence, they joined Lincoln's invasion army, forming an experienced cadre of military leaders who helped compensate for the fact that many of the best U.S. officers cast their lot with the Confederacy. Friedrich Engels later wrote of this bonanza of Communist leadership that "had it not been for the experienced soldiers who had entered America after the European revolution (1848), especially from Germany, the organization of the Union army would have taken still longer than it did."

The list of revolutionaries who served as Union general officers is revealing. It includes: Joseph Weydemeyer, Carl Schurz, Franz Sigel, Thomas Medgher (commander of the Irish Brigade), Peter Osterhaus, August von Willich, Friedrich Salomon, Alexander Schimmelfennig, E. S. Solomon, Albin Schoepf, Julius Stahel, Max Weber and Frederick Hecker. The title of William L. Burton's 1988 book, *Melting Pot Soldiers*, tells the story with a remarkable economy of words.

The Lavender Lincoln

There is hardly time or space to recount the many horror stories of Lincoln's personal aberrations. There is some evidence that he was the bastard offspring of a North Carolina man who took Lincoln's mother into his home as an orphan child and molested her sexually. Lincoln publicly professed Christianity for the sake of politics, but as a young man he wrote a book mocking Christianity and the Bible. Recent medical studies of Lincoln's condition suggest that he may have suffered from Marfan's Syndrome, a genetic disease that frequently leads to early death.

Throughout life he was plagued by nightmares, delusions, hallucinations and forebodings about his own death, which some writers believe he may have craved.

His 1832 address to the Young Men's Lyceum in Springfield supports that theory. George McDaniel writes:

In that bizarre speech, speaking almost wistfully, Lincoln rhapsodized about the founding fathers' having achieved glory in death. He went on to warn his young audience cryptically about the possibility of a genius rising up in America, who, in his quest for fame, might attempt to destroy the country. He counseled his bewildered audience that they must help defeat this evil genius. Could Lincoln just possibly have been speaking of his own evil side and could the two faces exemplify his schizophrenic nature? Could Lincoln himself be the "house divided against itself" that he spoke of years later!

Lincoln was almost certainly a sexual deviant. Rumors persist of dalliances between Lincoln and Marine guards in the White House. These charges are unproven but others are more certain. In his book, *The Inner World of Abraham Lincoln*, historian Michael Burlingame describes Lincoln's homosexuality and the sadomasochistic marital relationship with his wife. In his 1926 book, *Abraham Lincoln: The Prairie Years*, Carl Sandburg, hardly a lightweight source, described Lincoln and merchant Joshua Speed as having "a streak of lavender and spots soft as May violets."

In *The Story the Soldierly Wouldn't Tell: Sex in the Civil War*, medical historian Thomas P. Lowry tells how Lincoln slept in the same bed with Speed for four years. When Speed announced his marriage, Lincoln became so depressed he could not perform his duties as an Illinois legislator. His friends feared he might commit suicide. As quoted in Lincoln associate William Herndon's 1888 work, *Life of Lincoln*, Lincoln wrote to Speed:

Your [letter], announcing that Miss Fanny and you are "no more twain, but one flesh," reached me this morning....I feel

somewhat jealous of both of you now. You will be so exclusively concerned for one another that I shall be forgotten entirely....I shall be very lonesome without you.

Firebell in the Night

While honorable men like Stephen Douglas and James Buchanan tried to salvage the Constitution and white civilization, Lincoln's abolitionist allies, known at the time as "black Republicans," fanned the flames of race war. Thomas Jefferson had long ago seen that the fervor aroused by the slavery question would lead to a national catastrophe. In a prescient letter to Massachusetts Congressman John Holmes following the Missouri Compromise of 1820 which excluded slavery from territories north of latitude 36°30' Jefferson wrote:

[T]his momentous question, like a firebell in the night, awakened and filled me with terror. I considered it at once as the knell of the Union. . . . A geographical line, coinciding with a marked principle, moral and political, once conceived and held up to the angry passions of men, will never be obliterated....I regret that I am now to die in the belief that the useless sacrifice of themselves by the generation of 1776, to acquire self-government and happiness to their country, is to be thrown away by the unwise and unworthy passions of their sons.

The War's cause has been distorted by both sides in the aftermath. The South's detractors claim that the war was fought to free the slaves. Many Southerners, fearing the "racism" smear, counter that the war was fought over states rights and free trade. The reality is that slavery was the central issue but in a way radically different than liberals admit. Southerners were forced to fight for survival against the "angry passions" that Jefferson foresaw.

Have Americans forgotten why John Brown attacked Harpers Ferry! Throughout the decades before the war, abolitionists agitated relentlessly for armed servile insur-

rection against the South. Intent on fulfilling this malevolent fantasy, Brown planned to arm Virginia's slaves as a prelude to massacre. For this monstrous act, the Northern press compared Brown favorably to Jesus Christ. With the examples of the bloody Nat Turner Rebellion, the genocidal Haiti massacres and other lethal slave uprisings before them, Southerners had little choice but to defend themselves against those who openly advocated their mass murder.

Outgoing President James Buchanan understood the potential for disaster far better than Lincoln. In his final speech to Congress in December 1860, he said, "Selfpreservation is the first law of nature, and therefore any state of society in which the sword is all the time suspended over the heads of the people must at last become intolerable." No one in a position of leadership incited passions more than Abraham Lincoln. To the South, Lincoln, the great "house-divided-against-itself-cannot-stand abolitionist was the point of the sword Buchanan so vividly described. The election of 1860 was the beginning of its descent. The South had no choice but to abandon a union that sanctioned murder of white women and children.

Given events since the war, is it true that Lincoln was "the historical and political progenitor of today's largely self-inflicted white genocide!" Is this mere "heated opinion," as Moriarty contends! Significantly, he fails to quote the remainder of my critical passage:

Beyond the murder of over 600,000 of his own countrymen in a war of fratricidal vengeance marked by the deliberate employment of "total war" by Lincoln's Union army commanders.. Lincoln's war conferred moral sanction, and indeed constitutional compulsion, upon the lethal delusion of racial egalitarianism.

It is the ascendancy of the ideology of racial equality that threatens our existence. Lincoln was not alone in creating our plight. It is certainly true that others have followed in his footsteps. But it was Lincoln who created the

legal and social climate that legitimized the destruction of America's racial hierarchy. The inexorable consequence of Lincoln's revolution held at bay for a century by segregation and Jim Crow was an inevitable and concomitant rise of race-mixing and the agent of our "self-inflicted genocide."

This view is not mine alone. Perceptive Southerners said as much at the time. In his postwar book, *In Defense of Virginia and the South*, Robert L. Dabney, chaplain to Stonewall Jackson, described the deadly peril Lincoln's revolution created: "The black race is an alien one on our soil; and nothing except his amalgamation with ours, or his subordination to ours, can prevent the rise of that instinctive antipathy of race, which, history shows, always arises between opposite races in proximity." He added:

[T]he offspring of an amalgamation must be a hybrid race.. ..And this apparently is the destiny which our conquerors have in view. If indeed they can mix the blood of the heroes of Manassas with this vile stream from the fens of Africa, then they will never again have occasion to tremble before the righteous resistance of Virginia freemen; but will have a race supple and vile enough to fill that position of political subjugation, which they desire to fix on the South.

Advance to Barbarism

The assertion that the embattled South thought of the War as some sort of romantic lark is so far divorced from historical reality as to be deeply insulting. Outnumbered more than 4-to-1 in population, and 100-to-1 in industrial capacity at the beginning of the War, the South fought because it had no choice. It was Lincoln who raised an army to invade the South. It was the South which fought with grim desperation as long as it was able.

Men like Stonewall Jackson and Nathan Bedford Forrest were not squeamish when it came to the art of killing. When asked what to do about massive looting and vandalism by Union troops in Fredericksburg on the eve of the

December 1862 battle, Jackson replied: "Kill them, sir. Kill them every one." Forrest's ferocity is the stuff of legend. His philosophy was "war means fighting, and fighting means killing." He was so good at it that William T. Sherman once wrote, "Forrest should be hunted down and killed if it costs 10,000 lives and bankrupts the treasury."

Grant asked Lincoln to suspend exchange of Union and Confederate prisoners because he calculated that one Confederate was worth three of his "melting pot soldiers."

The Army of Northern Virginia under Robert E. Lee inflicted such devastating casualties on Grant's Army of the Potomac that it very nearly cost Lincoln the election of 1864.

It is true that the South refrained from the organized butchery of Sherman, Phil Sheridan, Benjamin "Beast" Butler and other Unionists who waged total war on defenseless civilians. The burning of Atlanta, the march to the sea, the destruction of the Shenandoah Valley, the depopulation of parts of Missouri and Georgia, the internment of civilians in concentration camps, the obscene treatment of Southern POWs in Northern camps, and uncounted other atrocities bear scathing testimony to Lincoln's barbarism against the South. However, only a man utterly devoid of civilizing instincts could construe such behavior as a virtue. As with the Allies' wars against Germany, self-righteous carnage against our own blood kin has brought the white race perilously close to disaster.

The second assertion that Lincoln teaches us, the "difference between the United States of America and the American Nation," says nothing positive about Lincoln. One presumes that "the United States of America" denotes the federal government, and "the American Nation" denotes the people themselves, sans government. One further presumes that a racist must surely favor preserving the people ahead of their institutions. However, in the conflict of 1861-1865, it was Lincoln who fought to preserve the "Union," that all-powerful empire that was the United

States of America. Conversely the South fought to preserve itself from racial amalgamation. It seems self-evident that a racist must embrace the South's position and condemn the megalomaniac who tried to destroy it.

Salvaging an Ethnostate

Does Southern nationalism ("sectionalism") have no role in the political calculus of the 20th century? Who voted for California's anti-immigration Proposition 187 and anti-affirmative action Proposition 209? Far from abandoning racial awareness, we must fan its flames. As conditions worsen, the white population will polarize along racial lines.

As for Southern nationalism, if "sectionalism" is not the answer, what is? How, except by irredentism and geographic concentration, can an ethnostate arise! Shall we all move to odd-numbered street addresses and call that our nation! The idea is preposterous. Any animal in the wild knows instinctively that it must defend its own territory or die. One can already see sectionalism at work in Quebec and in the Southwest, where Mexico is assiduously colonizing land that was once American. Does anyone believe that Mexicans intent on establishing "Aztlan" will forego "sectionalism" in pursuit of their goal? Those who dismiss Southern nationalism and the strong racial consciousness that underlies it should ask themselves what they would substitute in its place.

While no one wishes bloodshed, we must realize that salvation may not come without disruption. A core group identity and a locus of action are mandatory for success.

Diffuse resistance everywhere translates to effectiveness nowhere. Most Southerners still retain a sense of kinship and loyalty to their Southern heritage. This self-consciousness exists in few other regions. It is not so pronounced even in the West, where antipathy to the federal government is at least as strong as it is in the South.

If one "section" or even one state takes action, it may be

joined by others, just as Lincoln's call for the invasion of the lower Southern states precipitated secession by Virginia, North Carolina, Tennessee and Arkansas. Refusal by a governor to accept a particularly noxious federal diktat may, in fact, be the confrontational spark that one day ignites the birth of an ethnostate. This will work best in a region where sectional loyalties command participation by sister states. It could have happened when Eisenhower sent troops to Little Rock.

Finally, no war is won without a unifying cause and symbols of that cause. The Confederate flag is still a talisman of extraordinary power, as anyone who has ever lofted it in defiance in the face of blacks can readily attest. The elemental fear and dismay are palpable.

What lessons, then, are we to learn from the man whose war caused the deaths of more white Americans than all other American wars combined, the man who ushered in political and social equality for a race whose genes are destroying Western civilization, the man who advanced the universalist cause as no one in his era had done before or since! Perhaps there is a lesson for us, but it is not the one cited in Moriarty's "Deep Politics." It is this: failure to discern the true nature of any conflict abrogates all hope of victory. In war one must identify enemies and allies. Those who are not allies are enemies, and must be treated as such.

Lincoln overthrew a nation based on the sanctity and preeminence of the white race in order to preserve an empire that trumpeted such sanctimonious "principles" as preservation of the Union, racial equality, commercial hegemony and on and on. This makes Lincoln the enemy.

What non-Southerners must recognize is that it was the South that fought to save the white race. In Lincoln's own words, Southerners fought against an "evil genius" who tried to destroy the American Nation and its founding people. Consequently the Southern Cause is the cause of whites everywhere and all whites must join that cause if

we are to succeed. When the time comes to rectify the racial disaster that looms before us, the South will be in the forefront of that struggle. But it must not be alone. The "irrepressible conflict" is more than just a Southern struggle. It is more than just an American struggle. It is a struggle for white survival.

Reprinted from *Instauration*, April 1997. Subscriptions are available through Howard Allen Enterprises, P.O. Box 76, Cape Canaveral FL 32920



To ensure our racial future

We Must Know Our Racial Past

The Study of Languages. Historically, investigation regarding the origins of the white, Aryan or Indo-European peoples has been confined to methods and time frames consistent with and constrained by Biblical interpretations in the book of Genesis. This confined all discussion of the subject to no earlier than 2350 B.C. or about 1,656 years after the Biblical story of the creation, when the families of Noah disembarked from the ark and set out to populate the world. Consequently most of the early attempts to set the historical record straight involved speculative interpretations of ancient classical texts, as well as politically motivated fabrications of medieval monks. It was not until the development of the science of linguistics that racial studies moved from the realm of myth and guesswork to the scientific arena of fact.

The progress from savagery to civilization is intimately bound up with the advance of abstract thinking, which has enabled the world's civilized peoples to rise above the chaos of animal sensations and to fashion an ordered cosmos. The growth of reasoning in turn goes hand in hand with the development of language. Words, after all, are the very seeds of thought. It follows that a common language not

only implies a common mental outlook among its speakers, but to a large extent also reflects the general progress of a race as it moves from animalism to savagery, from savagery to barbarism and finally from barbarism to civilization. Philology, the study of languages, may therefore claim a place among the historical disciplines, so far as it is able to trace and interpret the progress of early civilizations.

The close relationships between some European languages had been explored as early as the beginning of the 17th century. In 1767 a member of both the Royal Society and the Society of Antiquaries, James Parsons, did some research on the most ancient peoples of Europe and Asia. Through a comparison of linguistically similar elements contained in different languages he was able to offer a guide to the relative affinity these groups shared and to their distant origins. He concluded that the languages of Europe, Iran and India were all derived from a common linguistic ancestor. Parsons can well be credited with the independent discovery of what we now call the Indo-European language family.

After Parsons' discovery, and in 1796, William Jones, then Chief Justice of India and a scholar in linguistics, proposed that Sanskrit, Gothic and Celtic languages as well as old Persian belonged to the same family. It was not, however, until the beginning of the 19th century that the development of comparative philology allowed us to arrive at the concept of a linguistic affinity unfathered by Noah. Modern studies have revealed a commonalty among the languages of ancient white peoples stretching from the Atlantic to India. This suggests a single source or ancestry that was the precursor to the Indo-European, a language that has been termed Proto-Indo-European, Archaeological evidence shows that the Proto-Indo-European peoples and, by extension their vocabulary, appeared on the scene in Neolithic times, an appearance not coincidentally corresponding to the first widespread domestication of animals. Irrespective of the advancements made through the study

of language similarities, this line of study was limited because the occupation of Europe by Indo-Europeans invariably predates the written historical record.

Archaeological Evidence and Radiocarbon Dating. We know that the study of race is a multidisciplinary field. It draws upon Linguistics, but also from History, Anthropology, Archaeology, Biology and other fields of expertise. One of the least likely of scientific fields, yet in retrospect one of the most important to the discovery of the origins of peoples, was that of Atomic Physics, a study with which, until comparatively recently, archaeologists were unfamiliar.

In 1949, Willard F. Libby opened a door to the past which egalitarian Marxism never succeeded in closing—a scientific method of dating using radiocarbon or carbon-14.

Now with Colin Renfrew's advancements in radiocarbon techniques we know with certainty that European cultures are of greater antiquity than previously supposed. The megalithic tombs of Western Europe, we now know, are older than the Pyramids, which were once thought to have preceded them. Indeed they rank as the earliest stone monuments in the world. The "Newgrange" tomb in Ireland has been dated to 3350 B.C. and a tomb in Brittany to an astounding 4300 B.C. We also know that early metal-using cultures of Europe antedate both Troy and the Bronze Age Aegean, from which they were presumed to have derived. Through microscopic analysis Alexander Marshack has taken the European time line even further back. He postulates an advanced time-based and time-factoring culture, capable of advanced thought processes and astronomical measurements thousands of years before it was deemed possible.

The origins of our culture become less important when we realize that the creators of culture, notwithstanding their specific geographic origins, were biologically the self-same people as we. That is, a generally dolichocranial, invariably tall and unquestionable white race. The

darkening and coarseness of many peoples currently seen in the Middle East and India are reflective of inbreeding with more primitive peoples over a period of several thousand years. It is not a mirror of their physical appearance at the time of their cultural Zenith. Even in ancient Hittite inscriptions our people were referred to as *Hari*, the blond people. Indeed there is substantial evidence to indicate that this very down-breeding led directly to the decline and dissolution of these once proud and advanced civilizations. The practice of incest among the Vanar, a people of Nordic and Germanic mythology, and among the "God Kings" of Egypt may well have been an attempt to protect the bloodlines against such degeneration.

Natural Selection. White, Aryan, Indo-European peoples have inhabited the European continent at least since the Early Bronze Age, perhaps as far back as 7,000 years ago or even longer. For all intents and purposes they were identical to the modern Caucasian. The white race is unmistakably the child of the earth of his European homeland.

Some 100,000 years ago the ancestors of our race may have migrated to their current cold and stressful Eurasian climates. There the harsh and unforgiving landscape influenced the temperament, as well as the creativity, of these ancient ancestral people. The harsher climates selected for larger brains, more forward planning, a longer time horizon, greater family stability and increased longevity, with concomitant reductions in sex hormones, speed of maturation, reproductive fertility and aggressiveness. This latter feature, whatever its negative effects, is a blessed necessity for complex cultural development.

Other humanoid subraces adopted different survival mechanisms. The Negro developed greater bone density, a higher percentage of "quick-twitch" muscle fiber, higher levels of testosterone, faster rates of growth to sexual maturation and higher rates of reproductivity. In a strictly evolutionary sense, there is no right or wrong way in which

nature acts to ensure the survival of a given species—only successes and failures. In this respect both the Negroid and the white can be said to be on more or less equal terms. Survival is one thing, civilization is another. Evidence from a variety of scientific fields demonstrates that the beginnings of our race and the beginnings and mainte-



DNA analysis indicates that this mummy, found in western China, is of European origin.

nance of civilization are irreconcilably linked.

Ancient Journeys. In ancient times our people expanded from their Eurasian homeland and mastered all of Europe. The Indo-Europeans brought their culture to the icy North and storm-tossed western lands of the continent. To the south our ancestors ventured to the limits of the Sahara and Africa's Atlas Mountains, where to this day pale Bedouins relatively unpolluted by Arabia's now swarthy multitudes, still move to the seasonal pulse of nature. To the east, we went through the Khyber Pass and along the timeless old "silk road." Auburn and red-headed people have recently been found in remote areas of China where previous history dictated they were never supposed to have

been.

Similar interest is provoked by a white ruling class among the population of the Incas who were identified to Pizarro as being the *viracochas* "a divine race of white men with beards." Thor Heyerdahl's seminal study of Easter Island shed light upon a white race which once inhabited eastern Polynesia. Here a people called the *oho-tea* are said by the native populations to have been a race which in "olden times had white skin, red hair and blue eyes." According to Heyerdahl's studies they were eliminated in a final war by the more primitive yet more numerous *Hanau momoko* people, the descendants of whom now are the sole inhabitants of the sorry little island.

From Kashmir to Mysore in the western provinces of India our race's influence prevailed and established cultures that were old before the annals of recorded time. The caste system was introduced into India by our Aryan cousins millennia ago in a failed attempt at maintaining racial integrity. The Sanskrit word for caste, *varna*, means color. Even today, after the infusion of ancient Aryan blood so many centuries ago, we still find the occasional blue-eyed Brahman (the highest caste) conversing in a language whose Aryan roots weave the story of our greatness back though the mists of time.

An American Beginning: An American End. In ancient North America the impact of our race was similarly felt. A 9,300-year-old Caucasian male was found on the banks of the Columbia River in Washington State, the so-called "Columbia River Man." As in so many other areas of the world where the thought police rule and news is suppressed and twisted, information of white achievement has been suppressed. If it checks out, Columbia River Man would revise everything we thought we knew about early man in the Americas. In the light of these and similar findings it is now considered possible that the earliest waves of humans coming across the Bering land bridge were not the ancestors of the people we now call Native Americans.

Many of the traces left behind by these early trekkers display markedly Caucasian features. It is possible, perhaps even probable, given the historical precedents from around the globe, that they were the victims of genocide perpetrated by the proto-Indians.

We continue to find that our race's travels in Paleolithic times were more extensive and wondrous than previously imagined. Wherever we went we brought with us our unique gift—civilization. These early pioneering cultures have now fallen into ruin. With the decline of the spark of white creativity, no one was left to build. Once prosperous cities have now decayed; along with the sad monuments to nearly forgotten achievements. Will this also be the fate of our civilization? In spite of our self-deluded retreat from responsibility, we still continue to hold sway over the ever shrinking remnants of a civilized world that is still the envy of backward peoples everywhere. Whites must remember that without the continuation and the survival of their race, not only their culture, but all high civilization may end and a dark pall of ignorance will settle on the earth.

LAWRENCE

☆ ☆ ☆

Is It Time to de-Holocaust Jewish History?

Professor Robert Faurisson once remarked that the Holocaust has become a civic religion for Jews. Anyone who needs further proof of that statement should read *The Seventh Million* by Israeli journalist Tom Segev. In rich detail Segev shows how the Holocaust, the alleged "gassing" of six million Jews, has expanded from a wartime event at first downplayed by Zionists to a daily obsession.

The first section of Segev's work covers much the same territory as Lenni Brenner's *Zionism in the Age of the Dictators* or Edwin Black's *The Transfer Agreement.* Segev as-

serts that the main interest of Zionist leaders was the foundation of the Jewish state, not saving Jews in Europe or elsewhere. In the immediate postwar years, Holocaust "survivors" were far from being coddled by the Israeli state-in-the-making. They were loudly criticized by sabras (Jews born in Palestine) for letting themselves be led like "lambs to the slaughter." Had they emigrated to Palestine before the war they would have been saved. Consequently the Holocaust not merely proved the validity of Zionism; it was implicitly blamed on the "survivors."

In *The Seventh Million*, Segev deftly exposes the internal contradictions of Israeli society. The Zionist emigrants from Hitler's Germany were the objects of unremitting hostility. They were accused of possessing the innate German respect for authority that characterized the Nazis. Their Yiddish publications were considered a linguistic corruption of the official Hebrew. All in all, life for German Jews under Zionism was not an easy one.

One of the major issues facing Israel in the 1950s was the decision to reestablish relations with Germany. The issue, as can be surmised, was an emotional one. In many heated debates Ben-Gurion advocated the establishment of ties with Germany in order to strengthen Israel economically and militarily. The reparations agreements, it is important to note, did not compensate Jews for six million murdered relatives. Rather they were intended to compensate Jews, whether they lived in Israel or not, for wrongfully confiscated property. In time reparations also came to include compensation for career interruptions or diminished earning capacity.

Nahum Goldmann of the World Jewish Congress first cannily agreed to relatively small initial sums in exchange for ever expanding payments down through the years. Segev diligently explores the vast claims racket that grew out of the agreements. Viewing most of the claimants as cheats, Germans meticulously checked the dates and details of the applications. This early bureaucratic revisionism surprised many Jews who had been looking for "easy

money.

The two most significant parts of *The Seventh Million* are the accounts of the Kastner and Eichmann trials. The former was triggered by a pamphlet written by Malchiel Grunewald accusing Dr. Rudolf Kastner, an official of the Mapai government, of having collaborated with the Nazis during the war. An official of the Jewish Agency in Hungary and involved in the notorious "trucks-for-Jews" deal, Kastner allegedly went out of his way to save the lives of his Jewish friends while shipping the rest of the Chosen off to Auschwitz.

The Kastner trial was a disaster for Ben-Gurion and the Mapai Party. It established what had been common knowledge among the leaders, but not to the man in the street, namely the Zionist policy of saving the few and sacrificing the many. The legal proceedings were based on the fallacious assumption that the Jews who remained in Europe had in fact been exterminated. The Kastner trial gave Menahem Begin and his Herut Party their first real opportunity to break the power of the Socialist and Labor politicians. The verdict of the trial court supported Grunewald's charges against Kastner.

The kidnapping of Adolf Eichmann was Ben-Gurion's chance to correct the damage caused by the Kastner trial. Throughout the proceedings Gideon Hausner, the prosecutor, strove to show how heroically the Jews had resisted the Holocaust. Eichmann, the defendant, was an almost irrelevant figure. The trial completely failed to establish his role as an architect of mass murder. It did allow Ben-Gurion and Mapai members to claim that Jews and their leaders had not been "Kastners" during the war.

It was the Eichmann trial which made the Holocaust a permanent fixture of the Israeli conscience. Almost all Israeli educational courses on the Six Million date from that time. Today the Holocaust is big business in Israel, as it is all over the world. Israelis are taught to delve deeply into the "meaning" of the Holocaust and reach the inevitable conclusion that only unquestioning support of Israel and

its policies can prevent a similar tragedy from occurring. It is this conclusion that Segev challenges. Although no revisionist, he correctly understands that the nationalist interpretation of the Six Million story is wrong. He agrees with Professor Yehuda Elkana, who wrote a widely read newspaper article, "For Forgetting":

I see no greater danger to the future of Israel than the fact that the Holocaust has been instilled methodically into the consciousness of the Israeli public, including that very large part that did not endure the Holocaust, as well as the generation of children that has been born and grown up here. For the first time I understand the seriousness of what we have done, when for decades we have sent every child in Israel to visit Yad Vashem over and over again. What did we expect tender children to do with this experience? Our minds, even hearts, closed, without interpretation, we have proclaimed "Remember!" What for! What is a child supposed to do with these memories! For a great many of them, the horror pictures were likely to be interpreted as a call for hatred. "Remember" could be interpreted as a call for long-standing, blind hatred. It may well be that the world at large will remember. I am not sure of that, but in any case that is not our concern. Each nation, including Germany, will decide for itself, in the context of its own considerations, whether it wishes to remember. We, on the other hand, must forget. I do not see any more important political educational stance for the country's leaders than to stand up for life, to give oneself over to the construction of our future—and not to deal, morning and evening, with symbols, ceremonies and lessons of the Holocaust. The role of historical remembrance must be uprooted from our lives.

And that, in so many words, is what author Segev calls the task of *The Seventh Million*.

472

☆☆☆

Conspiracies, Then and Now

In the America of the 1950s and 1960s, it was not uncommon, on meeting a fellow right-winger, to come to touch on—better put, to dwell on—what we called in those

times the Communist menace. Nor was it less common, after sundry Bolshevik atrocities and terrifying threats had passed in review, for one of us to play the trump card in civilized humanity's case for the prosecution: "Communism's a conspiracy, you know." So we knew—or thought we knew.

It's almost a decade since the U.S.S.R., well, not exactly collapsed, but began to slough off its Soviet skin. Only a



The percipient Revilo Oliver

few diehards, even on the right, seem willing to maintain that this is all a carefully contrived deception to lull us to our doom. Nor is it widely claimed, even in the remotest stands of Birchdom, that whatever beast is aborning or slouching is worse, or could be worse, than Lenin's, Stalin's, Brezhnev's Russia.

More than 30 years after the late Revilo Oliver debunked conclusively the right-wing delusion that some malevolent and seemingly omnipotent combination was to blame for all the right wing's and all the white man's woes, communism may be moribund, but conspiracy—The Conspiracy!—lives on.

Consult the booklist of nearly any "patriotic" publisher. Three years short of the millennium the same hodgepodes of Gothic romance, arabesque invention, baroque

exaggeration, Victorian consternation—all calculated to make your maiden auntie and 10,000 right-wingers swoon—vend and wend their way among our kind.

Freemasons? Rosicrucians? Illuminati? Banksters? Bilderbergers? CFR? Skull and Bones? Club of Rome? A diller, a dollar, a thousand Rhodes scholars!

Run even part way through the profusion of plotters and complotters that "experts" in "conspiriology"—as many of them like to call their "science"—have at their fingertips and the names begin to have a nonsensical, but vaguely comforting ring, somewhat like the London church-bell rhymes of fond remembrance from childhood.

What then is wrong with a little conspiracy theory! First, as Professor Oliver argued in his magisterial *Conspiracy or Degeneracy* [available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$6.00 + postage], it doesn't adequately describe reality, aside from failing to explain the workings of politics and history.

It is noteworthy that the very word conspiracy is a legalism, denoting a secret plan to carry out a crime. Conspirilogists have converted this legal formulation into a moral one, whereby all the doings of designated "conspirators" become evil. (Note that often enough the "conspiracies" or their elements—e.g., the institution of the Rhodes scholarships—are seldom crimes by law).

The malign afflatus of conspiracy quickly overwhelms its individual crimes, real or imagined. Soon enough a Manichaeian (Magian in Spengler's sense) spirit infuses the conspiracy hunters, the most fervent of whom may discover in the workings of the Federal Reserve or the Order of Demolay the direct and continuing work of the Evil One himself.

This is not to argue—any more than Oliver did—that conspiracies don't occur. Leaving aside the innumerable criminal and sometimes not-so-criminal arrangements that delight American prosecutors' hearts (and wishing sadly in passing that some of our rambunctious and misguided activists had known the practical consequences of their own

"conspiracies"), plots to overthrow governments, to topple dynasties, even found new nations have been a staple of history.

The authors of England's Glorious Revolution, and our own, were just as conspiratorial as those who master-minded France's and Russia's upheavals. But try to find a patriot who'd call Washington and Jefferson "conspirators." His contemporaries, however, weren't afraid to call the Sage of Monticello conspirator, revolutionary or worse. Yale College President Timothy Dwight stumped the country accusing Jefferson of being an Illuminatus.

This sort of historical embarrassment is common enough for the current crop of conspiriologists. Their politics are generally populist. They scorn "elites." But the conspiracy literature they feast on is often absolutist; often stalwartly Papist—logically as out of place at gun shows and right-wing conclaves where it's sold as its sellers would be if they sat behind their tables in the costumes of the Ancien Regime.

"But, ah," some argue, "conspiracy makes good propaganda. Certainly it sells. When all the names of defunct cabals are gotten through, at bottom lurks, invariably—the Jew!"

Is the possibility of conjuring up from all the gimcrack apparatus of conspiriology the Eternal Jew—Judeus ex machina, if you will—enough to make it all worthwhile? Once more following Revido Oliver, I say no. Conspiracy mongers invariably treat the Jewish problem in one of two ways: they either overrate and overdamn the Jews and their influence or, timorously, they leave them out altogether.

As Oliver, in his learned prescience, foresaw over three decades ago, Aryan objectivity and ingenuity has begun to devise, under the rubric of sociobiology, the first synthesis of which was broached by Professor E.O. Wilson, theories that explain the Jews and their behavior. One such, Professor Kevin Macdonald's *A People That Shall Dwell Alone: Judaism As an Evolutionary Croup Strategy* (Praeger,

Westport, CT, 1994), is easily worth the price of all the anti-Semitic conspiracy pamphlets combined.

A book like the above brings the Jewish problem within the province of biology, within the same precincts as the racial problems which perturb the American social order. Here let us note how seldom the conspiracy screeds even so much as glance at race. The fall of the Knights Templar, the introduction of the metric system, the symbols on the reverse of a dollar bill—these are deemed far more important than the history, say, of how America's immigration policy was turned topsy-turvy in the 1960s.

Most Instaurationists aren't conspiracy buffs. The editor has wisely seen to that. But on the wide and woolly right conspiracy theories rule.

Thirty years after Oliver's *Conspiracy or Degeneracy*, nearly a decade after our "victory" over the Soviet, not even the publicly proclaimed intent to turn America into a Third World nation—and the effects of that transformation day by day, year by year, decade by decade—the awful truth is transformed, transvested, gussied up in conspiratorialist drag. Then, and only then, the cobwebs fall from glazed eyes. The patriots smile, they understand: "Oh, you mean the New World Order! It's a conspiracy, you know."

MORIARTY

The preceding articles have been reprinted from *Instauration*, May 1997. Subscriptions are available from Howard Allen Enterprises, PO Box 76, Cape Canaveral FL 32920.

**THE BOOK THAT MADE THE JEWS
SO MAD THEY HAD TO INVENT
THE MOVIE HOLOCAUST!**

AUSCHWITZ:

**An Eye-Witness Report
by Thies Christophersen
Foreword by Manfred Roeder**

Order No: 01017—single copy \$3.50 + \$2.00 for postage,

Dear Landsmann:

Here is \$50 for another year of your interesting publication.

Intellectually, I know that the Jews exist only to steal. That is what they do. Naturally they are all communists since they are always in favor of redistributing wealth from others to themselves. But even knowing this, I am shocked at their recent swindles. They are claiming that every cent of gold the German government ever owned belongs to them. Further, from the Eastern European nations come millions more Holihoax survivors, all demanding reparations for their deaths. Here in the U.S., Jewish veterans of the Red Army of WW II are demanding veterans benefits from the US government.

But this has one good aspect: it makes clear that WW II was between the Jews and the Nazis. The British, Americans, Russians, etc. were simply slaves and dogs of the Jews. Note that only the Jews are paid tribute by the Germans. The winner gets tribute, not the US flunkies. Of course, the Jews have stolen three times from America what they got from Germany. Red China seems to be Clinton's biggest campaign contributor. They are running a \$50 billion trade surplus with the US this year. I understand that Israel ends up with a third of this sum by selling US weapons and expertise to China.

The US stock market is now the biggest bubble of all time. Any attempt of the people to cash their imaginary profits will collapse the mess instantly. When it does, the whole savings of America will be wiped out. That money is already gone, stolen by the Jews! The stock bubble fulfills the wish of Caligula that the Roman people had only a single neck to cut. Financially, that is now the situation of the American people under Caligula clone Clinton.

This monster Nethanyahu fancies himself the Messiah. After the next war conquers the Mideast for Israel he intends to rebuild the Jews' temple and resume human sacrifices. If he does these things, many orthodox Jews will hail him as the Messiah as they hailed Bar Kochba in Hadrian's time. Kochba was named after a comet that appeared to promise the Jews victory over the Romans. Sure makes Hale-Bopp ominous, doesn't it?

I enclose an interesting report on the "Millennium Bug" as it is

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

called. [This report is reproduced elsewhere in this issue.] At first I thought this would be solved by some sort of easy fix but the more I study it the worse it get. This could put an end to mainframe computing. I have written to you before of my dislike of computer hype. Here is another reason to dislike it. This disaster has its good side. The Feds' computer system will shut down. So much for spying on people's every move or benefits. Note the comment that the Feds' computers now lose up to 20% of records entered.

Yours truly,
S.R., New York State

Dear George,

I found the last few Liberty Bells interesting, though I don't know if not voting is the answer. I like Manfred Roeder, a brave man, as is Günter Deckert and a few other voices crying in the wilderness. Would that some of their country men and ours heeded them.

Best wishes to you.

Sincerely yours,
Mrs. M.K., Oregon

Dear George,

M.R. of New Jersey says that our race ideas are wrong. This person will have to wait for the inevitable collapse of the System. Then the muds will go wild, and his dear Puerto Rican relatives will side with them against M.R.

As you see, I have had to cut back on what we have been doing in publishing. With so many willy-nilly whites about, it is a wonder that any of us bother doing or saying anything anymore. It would be interesting to see the whining should our resistance disappear. How nice it would be if I retired to grow turnips.

Maj. D.V. Clerkin, Euro-American Alliance

Editor, Liberty Bell

Let me comment on Mr. Cornell's letter in the June LB, pages 46-47, which, in turn, commented on my letter in the April LB, pages 51-53.

Mr. Cornell poses the question as to what my plan would be for reforming "the Jew's monetary system," as he correctly terms it.

My reform is simple: A return to Constitutional law, namely Article 1, Section 10, which prohibits paper money as a tender in payment of debts. The intentions and reasons for this Section are explained in the *Federalist Papers*.

The precious metals in the form of struck coins have served mankind well for over 2 1/2 millenia. Big, redistributive government and its supporters in Academe do not like money with a stable purchasing power because they increase their power by deliberately decreasing the purchasing power of the monetary unit, the dollar in the case of the United States. By so doing they put productive people into ever higher levels of taxation, as caused by the graduated nature of the income tax, which was advocated by Karl Marx. (See the closing paragraphs of the *Communist Manifesto* of 1848.) By the way, the Federal Reserve System was founded in 1913, as I pointed out in my original letter. How "1913" came out as "1919" I do not know.

Sincerely, Charles E. Weber, PhD.

Editor, TULSA WORLD

P.O. Box 1770

Tulsa OK 74101

Thomas Sowell is well justified in calling apologizing for slavery "insanity." (26 June) He points out that slavery was by no means a peculiarly American institution, but does not point out that before the Civil War there were a number of wealthy, free Afro-Americans who owned slaves themselves. He also does not point out that American slaves, for the most part, were Negroes who had been captured by other Negroes and sold to Caucasians who were engaged in the slave trade.

A fact that is seldom, if ever, found in highschool history textbooks is that both Jefferson and Lincoln were pessimistic about the abilities of Caucasian and Negro Americans to live in one country without strife. Lincoln, in fact, envisaged resettlement and repatriation as the solution. Voluntary repatriation resulted in the foundation of the Republic of Liberia many years before the Civil War.

Charles E. Weber, PhD.

Dear Sir,

The verdict of guilty and the sentence of death have been

54 — Liberty Bell / August 1997

passed on Tim McVeigh, in one of the greatest travesties of so-called justice seen in the Western World since the Nuremberg show trials carried out after the Second World War. Nowhere did the prosecution prove that Tim Veigh was in the locality of the Oklahoma Federal Building. The whole of their case rested on circumstantial 'evidence', and I think you in America know how much reliability can be placed on that. We in Britain certainly do, as guilty verdicts have been overturned one after another as it has been shown that the authorities themselves have tampered with the evidence. Indeed the usual agencies used in the investigation of forensic evidence have been cast aside in favour of a brought in so-called British 'expert.' One does not have to wonder too much to see who this 'expert' is working for, as the British MI5 and MI6 work closely in accord with their colleagues in the FBI and CIA etc.

So one may ask why Tim McVeigh, but the answer is obvious, the so-called justice system you have in America has no interest in who really blew up the Oklahoma Federal Building. Their only interest lay in making sure the right sort of person paid for it. Indeed that was the whole point of the exercise to cause a public outcry against anyone who stood up to the Machiavellian clique around Clinton, Tim McVeigh's only crime, and it was truly a heinous crime in the eyes of Clinton and his traitorous friends, was that McVeigh was an upstanding White man who had served with distinction as a soldier in the Gulf War (unlike Clinton who had been a traitorous coward during the time of the Vietnam War), and that he had read *The Turner Diaries* and had urged his fellow soldiers to read them as well. Also that he had been disgusted at the massacre at Waco which although one might totally disagree with the ideas of the Branch Davidians, that does not give the FBI the right to murder them.

What is particularly nauseating is the line up of the alliance of leftist and reactionary media hacks gathered up like the bunch of venomous swine they are against one lone young veteran, spurning up their spleen, effectively denying McVeigh and his co-defendant any real kind of fair trial. Let us hope that this collection of vermin get one day their just desserts. But because of their lies and the arousal of public hysteria it looks as if the System will claim yet one or maybe two more innocent victims, let us hope not. But if the worst happens then we hope that Tim McVeigh will continue to show the calmness and dignity he has shown throughout and meet the ultimate sacrifice like the soldier he is.

Liberty Bell / August 1997 — 55

M.C., England

Dear George,

I, too, wish all of us come together as your correspondent asks. And like it or not, I believe it has to be race. People have to forget all the catch words, slogans, banners of former days or years, for they have led us to this impasse. We have become sheep to be led, pushed, directed into any and all directions as a thoughtless mass. Truly we have become the common man, race less, nation less.

I do not know if we shall be able to break these bonds, worse than any slavery, for they are a slavery of the mind, but until we do we are lost.

I can hope that somewhere in all these various groups there will be people capable and willing to strive or work for that goal—to free people's minds of these false ideals.

Keep well — keep publishing. Contribution enclosed.

Mrs. S.W.K., Oregon

Dear Mr. Dietz,

My wife and I were just discussing, over a beer, Gary North's article on the cataclysmic date, January 1, 2000, [see July *Liberty Bell*] and felt that you are all going about it wrong. The solution does not lay in the reprogramming of everyone's computers. Leave them alone. Change instead the calendars!

When year 2000 rolls around arbitrarily change the year to a non-leap-year year, the same as 1990. If the day comes up different than the original 1900 day, then change it. Monday becomes Wednesday, for example. Note, that become a short work week, to be widely applauded by all of the world's slackers. An easy sell.

To tune the year 2000 to match the new Leap Year phase change the clocks by the calculated time required to put it back on track. You might need to add 37 minutes and 15 seconds, as another example. So 12:00 o'clock become 12:37 and 15 seconds. No bigger an inconvenience than adjusting for daylight savings time. Some grubbling though, I am sure.

Since we subtracted two days the banks would not have to pay interest. Social Security also is able to pay two days less. Government, on the other hand, is screwed out of two days taxes. Again the population would roar approval. So what's the problem?

Yours truly,
R.T., California

KEEP THE *LIBERTY BELL* RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**