

AMERICA'S DECLINE

THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE



REVILO P. OLIVER

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007—\$8.50
plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145-7667

SINGLE COPY \$2.00

GERMANY AFTER WORLD WAR ONE

by Ben Kriegh — page 23

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

GAS MASQUE — A ONE-ACT PLAY

by Wayland D. Smith — page 1

USE THEIR OWN ARGUMENTS AGAINST THEM

by Allan Callahan — page 6

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

page 8

WE SHALL BE MASTERS OF OUR OWN

MANIFEST DESTINY

by Ben Klassen — page 9

THE WAR WITH MEXICO

by Ben Klassen — page 12

A LIE — AND THE TRUTH

page 21

VOL. 11 — NO. 2

OCTOBER 1983

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA - Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints \$ 2.00
THIRD CLASS - U.S.A. only \$15.00
FIRST CLASS - U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only \$22.00
FIRST CLASS - All foreign countries \$25.00

AIR MAIL - Europe-South America \$35.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa \$39.00
Sample Copy \$ 3.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies \$ 15.00
50 copies \$ 50.00
100 copies \$ 90.00
500 copies \$350.00
1000 copies \$600.00

These prices apply only to our standard 60-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

GAS MASQUE

A ONE-ACT PLAY

by

Wayland D. Smith

SCENE: A "Social Science" class at Ginzburg Junior High School. This is a typical American public indoctrination factory in which a minimum of education is offered with a maximum of approved social attitudes and myths.

TIME: The present.

DRAMATIS PERSONAE:

MISS LIBBY PINQUE: Miss Pinque is a teacher; she is moderately young, moderately pretty, and moderately stupid. She has dutifully absorbed all the ideas and jargon of her teachers' college. She *never* loses her temper; she abhors corporal punishment; and her facial expression is always one of unctuous philanthropy. She means well—insofar as she means anything at all.

THOMAS: A sceptic and iconoclast; he has a natural ease and maturity and a certain sense of irony which disconcerts Miss Pinque, although he is never impolite or impertinent.

ALEX) Various students. Despite the name of the
HEATHER) school, nearly all the students are Aryan
JEFFREY) children from middle-class and working-class
ROBIN) homes. They are nice kids, still largely uncor-
FRANK) rupted, but very vulnerable.
DENNIS)
GEORGE)
HARRY)

October 1983

MISS PINQUE Now class, last week we were all required to watch "Holocaust" for our homework. Today we want to discuss what we have learned from this splendid history lesson.

(Miss Pinque never says "I"; it would set her apart from her students, she feels.)

Alex?

ALEX The Germans murdered six million Jews and lots of other people, too, like Gypsies.

MISS PINQUE Excellent!
(No child's answer is ever "dumb." It is "good" if it is stupid and "excellent" if it is the answer desired.)

But we have all always known that, haven't we? What else can someone tell us? What was a typical Jewish family like? Oh, and by the way, Alex, we don't talk about "Jews"; we say "nice Jewish people." Yes, Heather?

HEATHER Well, a typical Jewish family—I mean nice Jewish family—would be where the father is a kind, handsome doctor: a loving father and husband; generous of his time and skills to everyone, Jewish or not, and never greedy. His wife would be a talented pianist—almost concert-level—and a loving mother who plays Mozart duets with her equally talented and pretty young daughter.

JEFFREY And there'd be two sons: one would be a sensitive and talented artist; the other would be a handsome, healthy sports-loving type who would become a hero of the Resistance later on. In the end, he would go to Palestine to help take it away from the dirty Arabs who had been squatting there for about 1500 years. I know that's a long time, but he had a right to kick the Arabs after the rotten way

the Germans had treated him. At least, I think so. . . .

MISS PINQUE Of course, Jeffrey. Never doubt it. Very good. Yes, Robin?

ROBIN But *all* the Jews were brave, noble, good, kind, and so on, not just the Weiss family—weren't they?

THOMAS But what about the ones who didn't want to fight or resist? Weren't they stupid or cowardly?

MISS PINQUE (With the nearest thing to sternness of which she is still capable:)

"Doubting Thomas" again, eh? We don't use words like "stupid" and "cowardly" in this class. We might hurt someone's feelings. And no, they were not; they were mistaken about the best way to survive, that's all. But let us go on. What did we learn about the Germans?

FRANK They were evil, horrible, cruel, and mad—I mean crazy. Not human beings at all, really. No one has ever done such horrible things.

DENNIS And the thing is, they had no *reason* to hate the Jewish people.

MISS PINQUE Were *all* Germans so horrible?

CLASS Yes!

GEORGE Well, I suppose there were one or two who were not so bad. There was one old priest, and that girl who married the Jewish son who was an artist. And then there was that guy at the end who said he was sorry and guilty along with everyone else because he hadn't saved more than a few hundred Jewish people.

HARRY Well, it wasn't only the Germans, though. The Poles and Lithuanians and Ukrainians were all hateful and cruel to the Jewish people.

MISS PINQUE What about the Americans and the British, and so on?

HARRY They were almost as bad. They didn't care and wouldn't do anything to help the Jewish people. And even the Swiss Red Cross and the neutral Swedes pretended it wasn't happening. I guess what we've learned is that we are all rotten and guilty except the Jews—er, nice Jewish people, I mean.

MISS PINQUE Well, it seems you have all done very well. Good! We can see now that after the terrible experience the nice Jewish people have had, we should all be very kind to them from now on forever, and that they are fully entitled to have everything they ever want. We are all guilty and we must all pay. Yes, Thomas, what is it? We haven't much time left.

THOMAS I was thinking
(Miss Pinque frowns a bit at this.)

My dad says that Hitler wrote a book and said that we should all be on guard against something he called "the Big Lie." It was supposed to be that people told little lies and expected other people to do so too, but they would always fall for a *real whopper* because they couldn't believe that anyone would have what my dad calls "the *chutzpah*" to tell it. And I can't help wondering

MISS PINQUE (Frantically) All right, Thomas, that's enough! Class is dismissed!
(But Thomas plows on)

THOMAS . . . why, if all those different people hated Jews, they should all be wrong and only the Jews be right and good. My dad says it's a case of "our Hymie is the only one in step."

MISS PINQUE Thomas! I am going to send you to the Principal! You are a disruptive influence in my class. We cannot have dirty Nazis in this school!!

THOMAS But I'm not a

MISS PINQUE *Anyone* who questions the Holocaust or does not believe that we are all guilty and the nice Jewish people are the finest type of humanity and the Chosen People, is a dirty Nazi! Go!!
(Thomas goes to the door, turns, smiles and speaks)

THOMAS Miss Pinque . . . ?

MISS PINQUE (Tightly) Yes?

THOMAS Do the Germans get equal time for rebuttal?

THE END

BOOKS
ON THE HOLOCAUST SWINDLE

01017	Auschwitz: An Eye-Witness Report, by Thies Christophersen	
	Foreword by Dr. Manfred Roeder, pb.	\$ 2.00
04005	Did 6 Million Really Die? (Six Mill. Lost and Found!) pb.	\$ 2.50
08012	The Hoax of the 20th Century, Prof. Butz, pb.	\$ 7.00
12003	Letter to the Pope on his Visit to Auschwitz, Degrelle	\$ 1.25
14008	Nuremberg and Other War Crimes Trials, pb.	\$ 3.00
18010	The Six Million Myth, pb.	2 for \$ 1.50

FOR POSTAGE AND HANDLING

on DOMESTIC ORDERS, please include \$ 1.00 for orders under \$10.00—10% for orders over \$10.00; on FOREIGN ORDERS, please include \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00—15% for orders over \$10.00—50% for AIR MAIL delivery. West Virginia residents must include 5% for State Sales Tax.

Order from: Liberty Bell Publications, Reedy WV 25270 USA

USE THEIR OWN ARGUMENTS AGAINST THEM

by
Allan Callaban

How do you win an argument, or a debate? By showing that your opponent has the wrong facts and information, or is using a wrong standard, or a double standard. And how do we know if a standard is wrong? If it is not in keeping with *natural law*, whether in the realms of physics, biology; human behavior, or anything else.

Most people probably feel that their opponents know they are wrong, but continue to behave the way they do out of bullheadedness or some other reason. I disagree, being firmly convinced that all acts committed by humans are justified in the minds of those that commit them, in one way or another. A man will not act against his own wishes unless he is brainwashed, forced, coerced or pressured in some way or other. He may change his mind and be sorry later, but *at the time he commits an act* he always justifies that act.

This holds true in every case. No criminal looks at himself the way society at large does. He knows that he is considered a criminal, but he views himself differently. For example, when Francis "Two Gun" Crowley was sentenced to die in the electric chair, did he say, "This is what I get for shooting a policeman?" No, he said, "This is what I get for defending myself." Similar examples are legion.

Informed people today know that there are vast differences of opinion on the race issue, that there is much argument on the subject. There are many shades of opinion, but, broadly speaking, all people can be put into two camps: those whose ideals and actions tend to promote integration and racial mongrelization, and those whose ideals and actions tend to promote segregation and race purity. We argue, but *why do we argue?* Because we both believe that there is some kind of Law or Rule applying to human behavior. If we both didn't believe this, there would be no sense in us arguing. We might fight like animals, but we wouldn't *argue*. Arguing means trying to show that the other fellow is in the wrong, and there would be no point in doing this unless we both had some kind of standard on Right and Wrong, just as there would be no point in saying that a basketball player had committed a foul unless there was some agreement about the rules of basketball.

Those of us who hold the ideal of race purity know that our opponents have a standard not based on natural law, and that their most cherished

notions are not based on truth. Take the notion of "racial equality," for instance. It is a fact that equality among any sexually reproducing species is a biological impossibility. We should never miss an opportunity to point this out. Things like these are pretty effective, but I believe the best method of all, for defeating our opponents, is to *use their own arguments against them!*

Their Achilles' heel is their self-righteousness. They are constantly puffed up with the notion of how righteous they are. Once this notion is destroyed, the battle is half won, and it *can* be destroyed. No one likes being exposed as a hypocrite. Can you imagine the town drunk getting up in front of his fellow townsmen, who all know him well, to try to lecture them on the evils of drunkenness, while pretending that he himself is a teetotaler? He would be laughed off the platform.

The race-mixers love to think up names for us, so we should do the same for them. When they call us "racists," we should call them by their most accurate name—*mongrelizers!* Whenever any of these mongrelizers accuse us of discrimination, we can point out to them that they do the same thing. They discriminate against *us!* They reject us and do not want us around; do not want us to hold public office or have any influence in the community or nation. When they accuse us of "preaching hate," we can point out the fact that there is every bit as much hatred preached from their camp as ours. They are constantly speaking against us and trying to bring down hatred upon our heads. If they accuse us of "anti-Semitism," we can accuse them of "anti-Aryanism." They say it was wrong for the National Socialist government to come in and start discriminating against Jews in Germany in 1933, we say it was wrong for the Israeli government to come in and start discriminating against Arabs in Israel in 1948, and so on.

Using their own arguments against them will prove effective in most cases. And when the religious angle comes up, it can be handled in the same way. When the race-mixing Christian tells us that we should "love everybody," we ask him if he loves racists, Nazis and klansmen? We ask him why his hero, Jesus Christ, preached "love your enemies" while announcing that he was going to burn his own enemies in hell forever? We also mention that we are far less cruel than Christ, as we only want to defeat our enemies, not torture them forever after.

In the past, our opponents have had things pretty much their own way and have usually won—by *default!* Most pro-Whites have so far made little effort to meet the mongrelizers' arguments. But by using their own arguments against them we can, I think, mount a very effective counterattack. It will shake their faith in their cause, and weaken their resolve. Being less sure of themselves, they will thus be less able to defend themselves. □

Letters to the Editor

Dear Landsmann:

3 July 1983

Please renew my subscription for one year and send me the books by Prof. Oliver as listed below.

I want to tell you how I enjoy your publications. Their quality, compared to the "Journalism" inflicted upon me every day by the Jews-media, is outstanding. The Jew-press just repeats the tired old junk from the 1930s over and over. There is nothing new, no original thought, just the tired old Marxism of the 30s. That and whatever new anti-white racism the media thinks the public will stand at the moment. The Liberal intellectuals constantly pride themselves on being "modern" and "progressive" and how smart they are compared to Archie Bunker types. This while repeating the stale old bromides of the 1870s as if they just thought them up. Most Liberals have no more mentality than a tape recorder. All they can do is regurgitate whatever propaganda is fed them by the Jewsmidia. It is groups like yours that are the intellectual leaders of our time and not the tired hacks who pretend to be the intelligentsia.

Have you looked at the booklets I sent you and the material on cancer? These are of vital importance considering the number of people killed by the Jew medical racket every year, which is worse than any war. Remember the "Protocols of 1489" with their advice to the Jews of France:

"Make your children into doctors and apothecaries that they may take away the Gentiles' lives."

The Jews of the AMA are putting that into practice every day. Did you note McBeans (a pseudonym) contention that the germ theory of disease is false? The germ theory was plagiarized and put over on science by Pasteur who had the backing of the Jew flunky Napoleon III. This false theory is being propped up by political pressure today too.

Finally, I hope you noted the Gordon Kahl affair. It is right out of the "Turner Diaries"! God Bless Kahl! If even 1% of white men had his courage and conviction, we wouldn't be the slaves we are now.

Sincerely,
R.S., New York

Dear George:

5 July 1983

Enclosed is my check for subscription renewal;

Perier's "The Jews Love Christianity" is the best conversion tool I've ever come across. It cleared my mind!

Yours,
W.F., Washington

continued on page 53

Liberty Bell

Reprinted with the author's permission from
The White Man's Bible, Copyright 1981,
by Ben Klassen.

CREATIVE 32
CREDO No.

WE SHALL BE MASTERS OF OUR OWN MANIFEST DESTINY WINNING OF THE WEST: PROTOTYPE FOR WINNING OF THE WORLD

Robust Energy. In all the glorious pages of the White Man's history, there is probably none that shine forth as brilliantly as does the saga of the White Man's drive westward to span the American continent from the Atlantic to the Pacific in the nineteenth century.

Superb Accomplishment. In terms of accomplishment; of expanding the White Man's territory and numbers; in terms of building cities, railroads, farms, roads, harbors, telegraph and telephone lines, and many other basic fundamentals of our White civilization; in terms of pushing back the inferior savages and creating productive new living space for the White Race; in terms of organizing and creating political institutions, stability and government over former wildernesses; in these and many other areas of achievement, none can rival the phenomenal accomplishments of the raw and brawling push westward that was the shining American epic summed up in "The Winning of the West".

Manifest Destiny The White American loudly proclaimed he had a "Manifest Destiny" to do so, and had an exuberant feeling of accomplishment in his very soul. Had the White Race of the nineteenth century had a racial religion like CREATIVITY, it would have done the job even better—faster, more thoroughly and more permanently.

Our Blueprint. We of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR are making the unbridled White Man's spirit of conquest and expansion as exemplified by the building of America and the WINNING OF THE WEST an integral part of our own dynamic philosophy. We are building on it as our blueprint, a grand model and point to it as an explicit moral justification for **Winning the World** for the White Race.

Highest Moral Virtue in our eyes. We categorically state: that if the building of America and the Winning of the West was morally wrong, then we might as well condemn the White Man's right to life, his right to survival, his right to inhabit this earth, as also morally wrong. We condemn such an attitude as both insane and suicidal. If the White Man's philosophy and program of pushing the inferior savages ever westward and building a future homeland and nation for the White Race in the 19th century is morally correct, then the White Man's program for doing so in the rest of the world—Africa, Australia, etc., is equally correct today, in the twentieth century, and ever beyond.

What Traitors can do. Anyone who denies the White Man's right to build America in the 19th century is a hypocrite and a traitor to the

October 1983

White Race. Any White Man whose heart bleeds for the savage Indian can readily make his own restitution. He can assuage his Jew-scrambled "conscience" by renouncing his membership in the White Race, selling his property and giving the proceeds to the Indians, and committing harikari. How many hypocrites blabbering about the rights of the Indians (and other mud races) are willing to back up their insane postulations with this kind of action? When put to the crucial test, there is none, except perhaps a few who have been driven to the edge of insanity by an overdose of Jewish propaganda. However, I know of none.

* * * * *

Analyze our Strengths. Let us briefly review the phenomenon that is America and analyze the factors that made it the most productive, the wealthiest, the most powerful nation in all history. In this review let us also include our White Racial Comrades in Canada, who are really part and parcel of the White Man's conquest of the North American continent, and we will include them equally when we speak of America and Americans.

Last Bastion of the White Race. Today, in the fourth quarter of the 20th century, America stands as the last bastion, the last stronghold of the White Race. Although it contains less than 6% of the population of the world; although only approximately half of even that population is now White, still America produces as much in terms of goods and food as the rest of the world combined. If we subtracted the productivity of Whites in Europe and elsewhere, the productivity of food, clothing, technology, machinery etc. would so far outstrip that produced by 30 times the number of inferior mud races that we can hardly be considered as living on the same planet.

Conclusion. Therefore, let us proceed to the inevitable conclusion:

(a) In America, the White Race, by conquering and pushing before it the inferior redskin savages and the mongrel Mexicans, has produced the finest, most affluent and advanced civilization the world has ever witnessed.

(b) We of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR not only approve of this accomplishment both morally and ethically, but loudly **APPLAUD IT as the finest, most productive accomplishment in the brilliant history of the White Race.**

(c) That this great and tremendous accomplishment must serve as the future prototype for the White Man's winning of the world.

Part of Our Creed. We adopt it as part and parcel of our religious creed, and whereas the Winning of the West was accomplished in a more or less haphazard and unplanned fashion, we hope to dramatically improve on our next phase, the **Winning of the World.** We mean to give it a plan and a purpose and make it a Holy Crusade to which the entire White Brotherhood will give its total dedication and effort.

The Mexican Problem. A large part of the **Winning of the West** was not only a matter of subduing and destroying the savage Indians but also a matter of conquering the Mexicans who already held a large area of land in what is now Texas, California, Arizona, New Mexico and part of the Rocky Mountain states. The Spanish Conquistadores had preceded the White Anglo-Saxons into these areas by a good 300 years. By the time the Anglos came along these areas were still only sparsely settled, and the population had degenerated into Mexicans—a mud people consisting mostly of Mestizo mix-breeds and Indians.

We shall explore this most interesting and significant piece of history in the next chapter.

CREATIVE 33
CREDO No.

THE WAR WITH MEXICO 1846-48 AN UNFINISHED WAR

Most U.S. Wars Costly and Pointless. The United States has fought many wars in its short history of a little over 200 years. Most of these wars were tremendously costly in lives, money and material and most of them were not in the best interests of the American people. On the contrary, most of them were ghastly, stupid wars in which the United States even when "victorious" came out a bad loser. One of the most destructive, insane and devastating was the Civil War fought between 1861 and 1865. Others were W.W. I, W.W. II, the Korean War, the Vietnam War and others that plunged the country into terrible financial indebtedness to the Jews and made the United States and the world a worse place to live in.

Constructive and Beneficial Exception. One of the most notable exceptions was the Mexican War fought between 1846 and 1848. At this time the Jews were already manipulating the financial affairs of our country and frantically fomenting the coming Civil War. But their control over the basic drives and instincts of the people was far from what it is today. (They didn't have television in those days.) At that time in history the American people were exuberant about the idea of conquering a continent and the idea of "**Manifest Destiny**" to span that continent from the Atlantic to the Pacific with the White Race was still an exciting dream. Fortunately at this time the United States had a quiet, unpretentious, yet determined president who was one of our greatest—**James K. Polk.** As can be expected, subsequent Jewish history has given this great man very little notice, and even less credit. Yet his short 4 year regime was **one of the most productive and significant** in the history of our young republic.

Nation Distracted by the Slave Issue. This is all the more remarkable since his era was one in which it seemed no president could come to grips with any vital problems. Between the period of 1837 and the election of Lincoln, every issue, no matter how disconnected, ended up in a pro or con hassle about slavery, an issue the Jews were fomenting vociferously to drive the young nation into civil war.

President Polk a Man of Action. Polk managed the issue as "a plague on both your houses" and doggedly went about his business of successfully achieving his historic objectives.

In short summary these accomplishments were (a) the **annexation of Texas** on December 29, 1845; (b) **whipping the entire Mexican nation** (with its background of nearly 300 years of Spanish civilization) and occupying its capital, Mexico City; (c) by the Treaty of Hidalgo **Guadalupe acquiring the present territory of New Mexico, Arizona, Nevada, Idaho**; (d) by another military action taking the **state of California**; (e) by purchase and negotiation with Great Britain **acquiring the "Oregon Territory"**, which includes the states of Washington, Oregon and the northern part of California.

Expanded White Man's Territory by half. Thereby Polk in his short term acquired in total the western third of America's 48 and established its final southern, western and northern boundaries. All this in the middle of a period when other "great" politicians were tearing the nation apart with the Jew-concocted slavery issue. Not bad for a "forgotten" president, a president whose memory we CREATORS will rekindle in the hearts of our race.

But let us go back less than a decade before Polk's time. The War with Mexico really began in 1836 with a heroic drama at a small mission on the San Antonio river. It was called the Alamo.

* * * * *

CONQUEST OF TEXAS

Remember the Alamo! Mexican history began with the conquest of the Aztec empire by Cortes in 1521. Although the Spanish Conquistadores had a good two hundred years head start over their Anglo-Saxon rivals to the north, there was this difference: The Anglo-Saxons drove the Indians before them, took the land and married White women. The Spaniards on the other hand exploited the land for gold and silver, enslaved the Indians and intermarried with them. In the end the Indians absorbed the Spaniards, reduced the population to a mixture of mongrelized mestizos, (with Indian genes predominating) and reverted into one of the more backward countries in the western hemisphere. Over the centuries **the inferior Indian genes by sheer numbers conquered the Spanish Conquistadores.**

Mexican Independence. In 1810, while Spain itself was under Napoleonic occupation, the Mexicans revolted. After more than ten

years of anarchy, killing, banditry, warfare and mayhem, Spain finally recognized Mexican Independence. The mongrels were on their own.

Anarchy Rampant. During the quarter century between independence in 1821 and the beginning of the Mexican War in 1846 Mexico had had more than 40 different "governments." Although the Mexicans copied the American Constitution, revised and re-wrote it a dozen times, anarchy and revolution was the norm rather than the exception.

Up for Grabs. Although rights to the vast area of Texas had been relinquished to Spain by a U.S. treaty in 1819 in exchange for Florida, the rugged frontiersmen of the 1830's never accepted this "sell-out." They regarded it as belonging to the White Race by natural right and in the path of westward expansion. "Manifest Destiny" belonged to them. By 1835 there were approximately 30,000 White settlers in the area commonly known as Texas. The Mexicans claimed the area as theirs, but at best their claim was weak. Actually the land was up for grabs. The Anglos regarded it as a natural extension of a nation moving West. The Texans were ready to declare independence.

Punitive Expedition by Santa Ana. Into this picture stepped the cruel, flamboyant and treacherous Mexican general—Santa Ana. In the game of musical chairs, by intrigue, brilliant military feats and deception he was by 1836 the undisputed dictator of Mexico. When the Anglo gringos refused to bow to their tax collectors and recognize Mexican domain over Texas he was going to teach them a lesson. Starting out with an army of 6000 men in the winter of 1836 he marched north, with the avowed intention of subduing and/or driving before him and killing every White Anglo settler in Texas. First he had to subdue the small Texan garrison at San Antonio de Bejar.

Patriots and Adventurers. Most of the 182 Texas volunteers that held San Antonio were there almost by accident. Jim Bowie, of frontier fame, arrived there less than a month previously with a small group of some 30 men and orders from Sam Houston to blow up the Alamo. This order he promptly disobeyed and decided to stay. Colonel William B. Travis, only 27, arrived there with a group of 25 men about two weeks after Bowie. He too had orders to blow up the Alamo Mission so it would not fall into the hands of the Mexicans. His orders came from "Governor" Henry Smith of Texas, one of two warring political factions. Like Bowie, Colonel Travis, too, disobeyed those orders and decided to stay. These two groups, joined the small contingent of 104 men already there under Colonel James Clinton Neil, who left shortly due to illness. What with other departures altogether there were now 150 men at San Antonio.

To this mixed group, few of whom were soldiers in the regular sense of the word, must be added the presence of Davy Crockett, Indian fighter, frontiersman, former Tennessee legislator and U.S. Congressman, now at 50, already a living American legend.

Makeshift and Disorganized. Like the split, makeshift, fledgling government of Texas, the command of the garrison at the Alamo was divided between Colonel Wm. B. Travis and James Bowie, with most of the men leaning towards Bowie in their loyalty.

Such was the disorganized situation when Santa Ana and his army of 6000 arrived on February 23, 1836.

Colonel Travis gathered his men from the town of San Antonio into the Mission courtyard of the Alamo, since the chapel building was the only one in the town with walls suitable for any kind of defense. During the first day, Colonel James Bowie was severely hurt in attempting to mount a cannon, his chest nearly crushed. This left Colonel Travis in supreme command, a duty he discharged with valor and glory.

Request for Aid. Couriers sent out by Travis to enlist aid in their desperate situation bore little fruit. Colonel Fannin at Goliad with 400 volunteers got the message, but he either would not, or could not move. The only aid received on the tenth day of the siege was a small contingent of 32 volunteers from the town of Gonzales (raising the total to 182 in the Alamo). It was aid that could mean little in the success of the outcome. The Gonzales men knew it and their brave decision to help was a **decision to die fighting.**

Days of Heroism. After twelve days the Texas sharpshooters had exacted a heavy toll from the Mexicans, and despite the constant cannonading, despite the sleeplessness, despite the shortness of powder and shot, they had not had a single casualty among their men.

Storming of the Alamo. Early on the morning of the thirteenth day Santa Ana decided to storm the walls. His buglers played the famed "Deguello", a primitive and ancient Moorish march, the signal cry that no quarter would be given, no prisoners taken alive. By 9 o'clock in the morning it was all over. Every Texan had been murdered, bayoneted and mutilated.

Glorious Legacy. But the Texans had not died in vain. They died as heroes whose story still inspires with tremendous pride the Texans of today and Americans in general. They will live on in history as did the gallant 300 who died defending the pass at Thermopolae in Greece twenty three centuries earlier. Furthermore, they exacted an extremely heavy toll. Between 1500 and 1600 Mexicans died in those thirteen days.

Disaster and Revenge. But that is not all. Their death shocked all of Texas. It finally aroused the squabbling Texans to unite and take their independence by force. It did something else: It set off a deep and lasting rage in Texans that cried out for revenge. It was a victory in death.

Annihilation of Texans. There was more bad news for the Texans. In the now victorious Santa Ana the Texans faced an enemy cold-bloodedly determined to crush them so completely they would never rise again. Nothing less than total extermination of all the White gringos would do. He would teach those Americanos a lesson they would never forget.

Goliad sent no help. Ninety-five miles to the southeast of the Alamo lay the small Texas village of Goliad, garrisoned by 400 young American recruits under the command of Colonel James Fannin. It was this group that Colonel Travis had asked for help in defending the beleaguered Alamo. Colonel Fannin was a strange and vacillating man. Partly through indecision, partly through mishap, no aid was ever sent from Fannin to the desperate men in the Alamo. This was to cost the Texans and the garrison at Goliad dearly.

Goliad Captured. In his march to wipe Texas off the map a force of 1400 of Santa Ana's men under General Jose Urrea arrived at Goliad on March 19, 1836, and surrounded Fannin's garrison. After two days of fierce fighting, the Americans surrendered on the Mexican promise they would be treated with honor and sent on parole to the United States. For eight days Fannin's men were held captive in Goliad and treated humanely. Then came Santa Ana's order: The men were all to be shot.

Mexican Treachery. Early on Palm Sunday, March 27, 1836 the Mexican troops marched the prisoners out of Goliad telling them they were to be freed on parole and sent to New Orleans. Suddenly near the San Antonio river and half a mile from the fort the Mexicans turned on the Americans and shot them down in cold blood. Only 60 managed to escape.

Panic. The news of Santa Ana's slaughter hit the Texan civilians like a thunderclap, precipitating a frantic exodus from farms and settlements.

Houston biding his time. Meanwhile, Sam Houston was organizing the remnants of his tattered army, retreating before the Mexican onslaught, carefully picking his place and time.

* * * * *

Revenge. Only a few weeks later, April 21, 1836, Sam Houston with a force of 600 volunteers trapped Santa Ana's forces at San Jacinto. With the rallying cry of "Remember the Alamo!" the furious Texans annihilated the Mexicans in a battle that lasted only 20 minutes. General Santa Ana was captured. In fact, it was probably the only important battle in American history where not a single enemy soldier escaped being either captured or killed.

Stupid Clemency. There is one footnote to this victory that should, however, be both a lesson and a warning to us. Instead of killing all the Mexicans for murdering the heroes of the Alamo, and again the slaughter at Goliad, Sam Houston struck an agreement with Santa Ana, who was after all, El Presidente of Mexico and its supreme military commander. Santa Ana could live if he would send his remaining troops home and surrender all Mexican claims to Texas. Santa Ana agreed.

Repudiated and Betrayed. Santa Ana's successors immediately repudiated the claim and a year later Santa Ana was released, to fight against the Americans again 10 years later, as we shall see.

In the meantime, for 10 years Texas walked the road alone, under

continual threat from Mexican invasion.

* * * * *

Lessons to be learned. The lessons that we, the White Race, can learn from this dramatic episode in the Winning of the West are several.

1. Only by unity can we win.
2. Only by struggle and sacrifice can we win domain over land and territory and rout the mud races.
3. That when we allow the mud races (through our generosity, charity, stupidity or whatever) to win over us they can be brutally cruel and will try to exterminate the White Race whenever they have the opportunity.
4. Unfortunately, it often takes a major tragedy to bring the White Race to their senses before they will unite and fight.
5. Never allow a defeated enemy to come back later to fight again. We shall have more about this in the next episode of our history.

THE MEXICAN WAR OF 1846-48

Mexico Seething with Revenge. With little or no help from the United States proper, Texas remained an independent "Republic" for nearly ten years, before it was finally accepted for statehood in December 29, 1845. This delay, as we stated in the beginning, was due to the idiotic squabbling in Washington between the different factions on the issue of slavery. With the Americanization of Texas in 1836, the relations between the U.S. and Mexico continued to grow more abrasive. Whereas the Mexicans were fuming over the loss of Texas (which they did not acknowledge) they were also seething for revenge. In Mexico City there was vainglorious rhetoric about marching on Washington. American contempt for Mexican anarchy and continuous revolution, on the other hand, brought forth a war fever to storm the "Halls of Montezuma" and teach these greasers a lesson. But mostly, behind all this was the basic American desire for those western lands that Mexico still claimed, but was unable to hold, and the Mexicans knew it.

A Man of Action. It took a President Polk to bring the issue to a head. While other presidents (and politicians) wasted their energies in the incessant factional squabbles about the slavery issue, Polk decided to act. We, the White people of America, owe him a huge debt of gratitude for his foresight, courage and determination.

On to the Rio Grande. In March of 1846 Polk ordered Brig. General Zachary Taylor's army out of its camp at Corpus Christi to march southward to "defend the Rio Grande." After 20 days "Old Rough & Ready's" 3000 men reached a place called Arroyo Colorado, about 30 miles north of the Rio Grande. A strong Mexican force warned them not to cross the stream, as it would mean war. Taylor's men crossed the stream immediately. The Mexicans fled without a fight. Taylor's men

marched on to the Rio Grande where they looked across the 100 yard width of river at the Mexican city of Matamoros. Despite Texan independence they were now in territory still claimed by Mexico. He decided to build a fort opposite the Mexican city. The Americans were unaware that the Mexican Army of the North was awaiting them at Matamoros with at least as many men and twice as many cannon.

Poised for War. Thus two armies—and two nations—were poised on the brink of war, with the Americans daring the Mexicans to make the first move.

Mexican Forces Superior in Size. We must remember that at this time Mexico had a larger population than the U.S., an equal amount of territory, and a much larger army trained in the rigors of 35 years of warfare and a capital city that was four times the population of the city of Washington. The American army, on the other hand, had no real wartime experience for two generations, except for inconsequential Seminole Indian wars in Florida ten years earlier. Its total strength was only about 5300 men spread paper-thin over some 100 outposts. Furthermore, except for Polk's determination, the war at home caused wide and sometimes wild divisions of American public opinion. Many Americans, still mindful of the Revolution and the hated British soldiers had little use for "regulars."

Blood is Spilled. In the meantime, while Taylor's force was dwindling due to desertions and disease, the Mexican side was reinforced by a new contingent of 2000 men, and a new general, Mariano Arista, took command. He had come to fight. Sending General Taylor a polite note that "hostilities have commenced," his cavalry crossed the Rio Grande well upstream from Matamoros. When Taylor sent a cavalry patrol of 65 men to investigate, they were ambushed. The war was on.

Congress Drags its Feet. "American blood has been spilled", General Taylor wrote President Polk. Two weeks later, May 9, 1846 the report reached Polk. On May 11 Polk sent a message to a divided Congress. Rather than asking for an outright declaration of war, he asked Congress "to acknowledge" a state of war already existing "by the act of Mexico herself." After much bitter and heated debate, Congress finally "acknowledged" such state, and proposed to supply the President with 50,000 troops and 10 million dollars in order to bring the "existing" war to a speedy termination.

Volunteers Eager. It makes interesting reading to study the details of the war that ensued. Unfortunately, we do not have the space here to do so. Once war was declared, Americans eagerly volunteered for service, although never did the U.S. muster a standing army of 50,000 men at this time.

Two American Victories. With his decimated army of 3000 men Taylor defeated the superior Mexican forces in two successive engagements—at Palo Alto, and at Resaca de Palma, both on the north side of the Rio Grande. On May 18, 1846 Taylor crossed the river and

occupied the city of Matamoros itself, a city of 4000. However, he had foolishly allowed the defeated remnants of General Arista's army to escape and fight another day.

Taylor National Hero. The first news of Old Rough & Ready's brilliant victories reached Washington on May 23, 1846, ten days after passage of the war bill. Taylor, the unknown frontiersman, became a national hero almost overnight. Volunteers rushed to the colors. By midsummer of 1846 Taylor had some 14,000 men on the Texas-Mexican border.

On To Monterrey. By mid August, Taylor decided to move inland to engage the enemy. Taking only 6000 troops with him he moved on Monterrey, a formidable fortress-city of 15,000 where he met General Ampudia's superior Army of the North, now re-inforced and well equipped. After three days of heavy fighting the Americans finally ran up the flag of victory on the Citadel. Their losses had been extremely heavy.

Mistaken Generosity. Defeated General Ampudia proposed an Armistice and General Taylor magnanimously accepted, allowing the Mexican troops to march out with their arms two days later.

This was the second time Taylor had made the same costly mistake—a mistake the White Race in general is only too prone in committing. When the opportunity presents itself, the enemy should be utterly destroyed so that it never will be able to fight again.

Ten years earlier Sam Houston had made the same charitable mistake—he allowed General Santa Ana to live after capturing him at San Jacinto. This treacherous and most brilliant of Mexican Generals was to be Taylor's next adversary, a blunder which President Polk helped bring about.

Treacherous Santa Ana is Back. Santa Ana, prior to the commencement of hostilities, had been in exile in Cuba. This wily old fox was the one man who could successfully rally the Mexicans to effective resistance, and the Mexicans, realizing this, forgave all his earlier sins. The question was how to get him through the American blockade that now spanned the Gulf of Mexico.

Polk is Tricked. Polk, hoping to persuade the Mexicans to "sell" the disputed territories, believed Santa Ana when he sent Polk a note suggesting he, Santa Ana, was the only Mexican strong enough to conclude a negotiated peace and end the war. Polk followed up on the suggestion and allowed Santa Ana to slip through the Navy's blockade.

Once back in Mexico City, Santa Ana had no intention of making peace. In short order he again captured control of the Mexican government and rallied the army to repel the gringo invaders. He was by far the best weapon the Mexicans had.

Within less than a month Santa Ana raised an army of 25,000 men. Meanwhile Taylor, with his depleted forces, marched southward towards Saltillo. With reinforcements of 2400 volunteers under General Wool,

Taylor prepared to meet Santa Ana, who was marching north to scourge and destroy the invaders.

Victory at Buena Vista. At the Battle of Buena Vista it was a matter of 4500 American volunteers versus 15,000 Mexican effectives led by Santa Ana. After bloody and costly fighting all day on February 23, 1847 in which Taylor's army several times was on the brink of being destroyed, the Mexicans retreated the next morning and headed south. It was Taylor's last battle, and, the next election catapulted him into the office of President.

General Winfield Scott takes Over. In the meantime, Polk had decided to place General Winfield Scott in charge of the Mexican War. Using Lobos Island, 180 miles from Vera Cruz, as an amphibious staging area, Scott raced against time. He had to beat the deadly yellow fever scourge he knew would be more deadly to his men than the enemy. It was his objective to storm Vera Cruz, march his men to the higher attitudes before the summer heat and then on to Mexico City.

Landing at Vera Cruz. Starting in February of 1847, after interminable delays and foul-ups, finally at 5:30 p.m. March 9, Scott landed troops on Collada Beach a few miles south of Vera Cruz. By 10 o'clock that night all 11,000 men had landed without a single casualty. It is still a puzzle to military historians why the Mexicans in plain sight allowed the landing without opposition.

Vera Cruz Surrenders. Vera Cruz itself was a military fortress with the harbor guarded by Fort Ulua, with walls 15 feet thick. In three days the Americans had surrounded Vera Cruz in a seven mile arc and cut off its water supply. By March 22 the American mortar batteries (set up under harassing Mexican fire from Vera Cruz) were in place and ready to reply. After several days of intensive bombardment in both directions, Mexican morale inside of Vera Cruz began to collapse. By March 28 Vera Cruz surrendered, and the garrison inside of Fort Ulua, dependent on the city for food, capitulated also.

Scott's losses were minimal for the tremendous victory achieved—13 killed, 55 wounded.

Yellow Fever. But the season was getting late. Scattered cases of yellow fever were already breaking out. By April 8 the first American contingents started on the road to Mexico City and higher ground.

Ambush at Cerro Gordo. In the meantime General Santa Ana had pulled his forces together and carefully chosen the most strategic mountain pass to waylay the Americans—a little town called Cerro Gordo. With 12,000 troops well entrenched on the hills, he met the Americans on April 18. After a full day of heavy fighting the Americans routed the Mexicans. The Americans suffered 431 casualties but the Mexicans suffered 1200 killed or wounded and 3000 prisoners. Later the prisoners were released.

American Victory. General Scott was jubilant. In a resounding

victory at Cerro Gordo he had smashed the only enemy army between him and Mexico City 180 miles away. Surely now the Mexicans would negotiate for peace.

Truculent Enemy. But he was mistaken. The worst of the fighting was yet to come. Mexico was an ugly enemy—she would not fight and she would not surrender, or so it seemed.

Dissent in Washington. At home in the States the war of words raged on even more furiously. In Washington, politics flared full force. Some called for withdrawal to the Rio Grande. Some clamored for instant peace. Some argued for finishing it conclusively. The issue of slavery flared up again and was linked to the pro-war forces. Instead of giving General Scott and his valiant soldiers their full support, half the country abandoned them.

American Strength Eroding. Added to Scott's problems at this time were the short term (1 year) volunteers whose time was now up. Most of them opted not to re-enlist and 3000 marched back to Vera Cruz to be shipped home, thus reducing Scott's effectives to a mere 7000. His supply lines from Vera Cruz were over-extended and reinforcements were meager and slow in coming. To add to Scott's problems, President Polk sent a civilian peace negotiator, Nicholas Trist, who out-ranked Scott, with a sealed peace proposal to the Mexican government. Scott was outraged and he balked. He asked to be recalled.

Fierce Hatred for Yankees. But Mexico would not negotiate anyway. Scott's spirits rose when 4000 long awaited reinforcements finally arrived. On August 6, 1847 another 2500 recruits under Brig. General Franklin Pierce (the future president) arrived at Puebla. This brought the Americans strength up to about 13,000, of whom 2200 were sick and unfit for duty. Ahead of them lay the valley of Mexico City with 200,000 inhabitants defended by some 30,000 soldiers under Santa Ana, all filled with a fierce hatred for the Yankee invaders.

Victory and Deception. Having used Puebla as the staging area for the final assault, Scott's men marched over the passes into the valley of Mexico on August 20 and immediately engaged the enemy. After a day of heavy fighting and severe losses on both sides the Americans shattered the Mexican army, broke the Mexican defenses at the bridges and had the city at their mercy. Santa Ana shrewdly proposed a truce of one year for discussion of "preliminaries of peace." What he was really attempting was to buy time to again rally his forces. Scott fell for the ploy. Although he rejected a year's time as too long, he agreed to a short armistice.

Santa Ana was delighted, and the truce went into effect August 24.

Big Mistake in Misplaced Trust. Scott made the biggest mistake of his campaign. Again trusting in the "sincerity" of the enemy, he was fooled. Santa Ana worked feverishly to reorganize his forces, deploy new cannon and batteries at strategic buildings and roads and build their defenses. Even the common American soldiers knew it was a foolhardy

continued on page 41

A LIE—and the TRUTH

Reprinted from *Lüge und Wahrheit*, published by
Freundeskreis, Postfach 52 0529, D-2000 Hamburg 52, West Germany
Translated from the German by I.S., Washington D.C.

IT IS A LIE that Pope John Paul II is a friend of the Germans.
IT IS THE TRUTH that when he was Karol Wojtyla, he belonged to the Polish Episcopate and concluded an agreement with the Polish government emphasizing, "not only economic, historic, and religious laws, but historic justice demands that the reclaimed western parts of Poland remain forever with Poland." The Polish Catholic Church has always supported the imperialistic chauvinism of the Poles that went so far that the late Cardinal Wyszynski declared in a Breslau sermon, "When we look around at the churches, we know that we didn't take over any German heritage. It is not the German but the Polish soul that speaks to us out of these stones. The buildings have waited and waited until they finally returned into Polish hands." The words "religious laws," which sanctioned the conquest of east German territories by Poland, was taken up again by Stefan Wyszynski in 1966 when he said, "With the recapture of the Polish western provinces and the destruction of the German Reich, the counter-reformation has reached its goal."

These words are not just an exaggerated reaction to the German occupation of Poland during the Second World War. Already during the Pan-Slavist Congress at Prague in 1848, the expulsion of all Germans east of the "line Triest-Stettin" was demanded. A Polish song composed at that time says, "Our Enemy, shall fall, whosoever hangs a German, will be assured of God's praise."

On 29 October 1920, the Poles occupied Middle Lithuania. 1920-12, Polish troops under Korfanty occupied Upper Silesia. In 1921, the Poles occupied the White Russian areas belonging to Poland. The Posen newspaper *Dziennik* wrote at that time, "the only common ground which can exist between the Poles and Germans is one of hate and battle."

As a token of gratitude for the fact that in November of 1916 the



Pope John Paul II

Kingdom of Poland was again established by Austria and the German Reich, Western Prussia (the German-populated part of Poland) and Upper Silesia, were annexed by force. Without the Free Corps, German lands would have been occupied by the Poles. From 1919 to 1921, more than 1.1 million Germans were dispossessed through terror, harassment, encroachment, and laws prohibiting their newspapers, schools, even their language, from their homelands. The *Gazetta Gdanzka* wrote about it on 13 June 1926: "The safest tanks to protect us are millions of Polish homesteaders. All land which is still occupied by the Germans has to be taken away from them" (in 1940, these Poles were then returned to Central Poland, which, as the media now tell us, is our "guilt.")

But the Poles were still not satisfied. They systematically choked off the old German town of Danzig, which had been declared a "Free Town" (fair game for the Poles) by disregarding the right of self-determination and cutting it off from the German Reich. This encroachment was only a preliminary step to prepare for the Polish conquest, as proclaimed already in 1929 by Mlodavis: "Danzig, too, is a Polish town and is going to be a Polish town again."

Straznia Baltycva wrote in 1929, "It is our duty to conquer Danzig, this small piece of Polish land which waits impatiently."

But what was accomplished was not enough for Poland. The Polish General Baginski demanded in a book, republished in 1937, "There will never be peace in Europe until the name Prussia (the name of a nation which does not exist anymore) is removed from the maps of Europe and until the Germans transfer its capital Berlin further to the West.

The All-Polish Youth Council proclaimed in 1929, "In 1410, the Germans were beaten at Tannenberg. Now we are going to beat them at Berlin. Danzig, East Prussia and Silesia are the minimum demanded. After this tremendous victory, Poland will rule over Europe."

Toyza wrote in 1929, "The hour of freedom for the historically Polish countries will come. The trembling Europe will be substituted by the Pax Polonica. Fate gives Poland the historical task to form the center of Europe."

The *League of Great Powers* wrote in 1930, "The struggle between Poland and Germany is unavoidable. We have to prepare for it systematically. Our goal is a new Grunewald (Grunewald is the Polish name for Tannenberg), but this time Grunewald will be in the suburbs of Berlin. The defeat of the Germans must be carried by Polish troops into the center of the territory, to hit Germany in its heart. Our ideal is a Poland in the West with the Oder and Neisse as the boundary. Prussia has to be reconquered, and we mean the Prussia at the [river] Spree. In a war with Germany, there will be no prisoners and there will be no room for human emotions or cultural considerations. The world will tremble when this Polish-German war will come. The ranks of our soldiers have to carry superhuman devotion, the spirit of relentless vengeance and cruelty. From this day on each edition of this paper will be devoted to the coming

continued on page 39

Liberty Bell

GERMANY AFTER WORLD WAR ONE

BEN KRIEGH

1983
LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

GERMANY AFTER WORLD WAR ONE

by Ben Kriegh

The history of Europe during the period prior to World War I is a muddle of wars, changing boundaries, and displaced peoples. By 1871, Bismarck had unified the Germanic Kingdoms and Duchys into a single state, called the Kingdom of Prussia. Some of the territory Bismarck incorporated into his Kingdom had long been in dispute, such as Alsace and Lorraine with France, Poznan (or Posen) and parts of Silesia with Poland, and portions of the Baltic coastal regions with Russia.

With th

With the signing of the Treaty of Versailles, Germany was compelled to cede Alsace and Lorraine to France, most of Poznan (except the city of Danzig) and West Prussia along with parts of Silesia to Poland, and portions of Lower Silesia and Saxony to Czechoslovakia. In the Baltic area, territory was given to Lithuania. Altogether, Germany lost about 25,000 square miles of territory and about 6,000,000 inhabitants. More crippling to Germany was the loss of natural resources included in those regions: iron ore, coal, zinc, lead, and potash.

The final blow to Germany was the imposition of over \$25 billion in war reparations along with the stigma of responsibility for the war. (It has since been established that World War I was primarily the result of a comedy of errors, and that Germany was least responsible for the initiation of hostilities.)

Crippled by huge war reparations, the loss of their gold as backing for money, severe trade restrictions and the loss of much of their natural resources, the German people lived in economic hardship and became demoralized. Under the impetus of their despair, and with the stimulus provided by pornographers and sex merchants, most often Jews, life "was becoming a hothouse of sexual imagery and stimulation, threatening to engulf the whole culture in the suffocation perfume of modern eroticism . . ." By 1923, Berlin came to be known as the great Babylonian whore.

"What would be called permissiveness burst out on a scale . . . never known before. Pimps and prostitutes paraded day and night, proclaiming the eruption of the underworld to the surface. Male prostitutes fathered with transvestites and homosexuals of every shade and variety. The spread and open display of homosexuality seemed to many another alarming symptom of degeneracy of the times. Hawkers elbowed each other offering dope, pornography, women, heroin and cocaine."

"Virginity had lost its value and became a joke. Wife swapping was . . . prevalent in high society, and the spread of lesbianism was acknowledged as a contributing cause of the skyrocketing divorce

Copyright 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Additional copies available from:
LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy, W.Va. 25270 USA

Printed in the United States of America

statistics. Women, for the first time, were demanding the 'right to one's own body,' and the number of abortions soared.¹

With the hyperinflation of 1923, conditions continued to deteriorate economically. In the country, thousands of farmers lost their farms to the moneylenders. People in the cities had to rummage through garbage cans to find something to eat. The problem grew worse as the displaced farmers went into the cities looking for work.

The growing degenerate life in Germany was the result of economic stagnation and apparent hopelessness of the future outlook. While millions of native Germans went hungry, the beneficiaries of foreclosures lived in relative luxury. Most of the moneylenders were Jews, and thus it was that many Germans built up a resentment toward them. Rather than help the people solve their problems, Jewish pornographers and moneylenders simply took advantage of the situation.

Meanwhile on the political front, the Communists, fresh from their victory in Russia, were making strong inroads on the minds of the German people. The government of the Weimar Republic, supposedly a democratic government, could do little to stem the economic decline, which culminated in the great depression. When the Communists could not win over the minds of the people with their rhetoric, they resorted to terror tactics. They literally used brute force on anyone who dared to oppose them.

Terrorism was the trademark of the Communists in Russia. During the period when they seized power and afterward, they murdered over 15 million people, mostly the educated White Russians of Germanic stock, and millions of German peasants in the Ukraine. (Catherine the Great had opened up vast areas of the Ukraine, north of the Caspian Sea, to settlement to Germans, in an effort to develop an agricultural base for Russia. In return for their energetic development of agriculture, Catherine gave the German settlers permanent title to the lands they settled. The Communists, of course, took the land away by force.)

When the Communists succeeded in Russia, they began to work on other countries. In Hungary, Bela Kun (Cohen) led a Communist attempt at a coup, but was killed. In Germany, Rosa Luxemburg (also Jewish) led extensive Communist uprisings and terrorist activities. As the economic crisis deepened, the Communists began to gain ground. Many Germans were alarmed that they might actually succeed in taking over the government. The better educated Germans and those in leadership positions naturally feared what might happen to themselves, having seen their counterparts murdered in Russia.

In the midst of the turmoil, one man emerged as having the ability to beat the Communists at their own game—Adolf Hitler. To combat their terrorist tactics, Hitler had to organize a defensive force—his "brownshirts" who became known as his "Stormtroops." There were

1. From *PUTSCHI* by Richard Hanser, Pyramid Books, New York, 1970

frequent battles in the streets between the two opposing forces, and, not infrequently, there was loss of life.

We in America have never had to contend with such activities. Hence we might look down our noses at Hitler's techniques in combatting terrorism. Yet, what alternative was there?

THE DEPRESSION

The effects of the depression, which began in earnest in 1931, was felt in every country of the civilized world. In England and the United States, the Depression was used as an excuse to impose socialist "solutions" for economic and social problems, but these so-called "solutions" did not really work. Unemployment remained high in Britain, France and the United States all through the 1930's. In 1932, in the United States, unemployment was about 20 million. By 1939, it still hovered around the 17 million mark, in spite of President Roosevelt's WPA, PWA, and NRA (the NRA was later declared unconstitutional).

In the United States, several socialist measures had been put firmly into place, such as social security, unemployment insurance, and the graduated income tax, a planck in the Communist Manifesto. The means for making the United States into a welfare state had been put into place.

On the other hand, in Germany, strange to say, the picture was entirely different. Although Hitler was able to capture his audiences with his oratory, many Germans felt that he was too much of "hooligan" to be a leader of their country. Yet, he was the only person who showed enough strength to stop Communism. It was this fact that finally led to his becoming Chancellor of Germany in January of 1933. Without Hitler, Germany would have been taken over by the Communists within the year.

When Hitler came to power, he immediately undertook to establish the economic program that had been worked out by an engineer named Gottfried Feder. The issuance of money was taken away from the international banking fraternity and put into the hands of the government, where it belonged in the first place. Although Germany had no gold to serve as "backing" for paper money, they developed a stable currency based on the productive capacity of the German people. By 1935, this action had given Germany a thriving economy. Unemployment was reduced from 8 million in 1933 to 2 million by 1935 and to less than 400,000 by 1938.

It was this miraculous economic recovery, based on debt-free money, that alarmed the international banking clique. As early as July, 1933, an International Jewish Boycott Conference (*New York Times*, 7 August 1933) was held in Amsterdam to devise ways and means to bring Germany down. Samuel Untermyer presided over the conference, and was elected President of the World Jewish Economic Federation. He described the planned move against Germany as "a holy war . . ." From that moment on, war—both, economic and military—was planned against Germany!

It began openly with a violent anti-German propaganda campaign.

PROPAGANDA

Under Hitler, Germany had broken the bonds of Jewish financial and economic tyranny. Germany's example was a cause for great concern and alarm among the international financiers because other countries might well follow Germany's example and cast the moneychangers from the temples.

To explain Germany's great economic recovery, the people of the United States were bombarded by stories of Germany's growing military might. Her economic recovery was due to Germany building up a gigantic war machine with which she intended to conquer the world. *This was the first Great Lie!*

In 1959, Harvard University Press published a book by Professor Burton Klein under the title of *Germany's Economic Preparations for War*. There, Professor Klein concluded that "the general picture of the German war economy emerging from this study is not that of a nation geared to . . . war" (page 235). On page 15, Professor Klein writes, "Thus, inspection of Germany's pattern of investment shows that there was no pronounced concentration of investment in those activities associated with economic preparations for war."

Even then, the rearmament program adopted by Germany, which began in the summer of 1936, was "influenced by German intelligence reports which placed the strength of the Russian army at nearly four million. Such 'Bolshevik' superiority was greatly feared." (*op. cit.*, page 18).

Again, on page 18 of his book, Professor Klein quotes from one of Hitler's memoranda: "It will be Germany's task to defend Europe against Bolshevism. . . . the world is drifting with ever increasing speed into a new conflict, whose most extreme solution is called Bolshevism. One has to compare the Red Army as it really is today with the assumptions of the military ten or fifteen years ago to gauge the dangerous extent of this development. Germany will have to be considered as the focal point of the Occidental world against Bolshevik attack."

From another source, Carroll Quigley's *Tragedy and Hope*, (Macmillan, 1966; page 622), we find that "From 1936 to the outbreak of war in 1939, German aircraft production was not raised, but averaged 425 planes a month of all types (including commercial). Its tank production was low, and even in 1939 was less than Britain's." "Britain produced . . . about 8000 [military planes] in 1939 compared to 4733 [produced in Germany]" (page 623).

Thus, it becomes clear that Germany was *not* a nation gearing up for war. And, whatever war preparations were made, were made out of concern over the growing Bolshevik menace in the east.

In fact, the lie is even more ominous than has been revealed thus far. Secretary of Defense James Forrestal recorded in his *The Forrestal Diaries* (Viking Press, New York, 1951; pp. 121-122), that Prime Minister

Chamberlain "stated that American and the World Jews had forced England into the War."

AUSTRIA

We have always been told that Hitler Germany planned, and attempted to carry out, world conquest by means of war. As we have seen, this was another *Great Lie*. In case you still have doubts, read what the leading British historian of his day, A. J. P. Taylor wrote in *The Origins of the Second World War* (Fawcett Books, 1961; available from Liberty Bell Publications). Professor Harry Elmer Barnes, one of the leading historians in the United States, wrote an analysis of Professor Taylor's book entitled *Blasting the Historical Blackout* (available from Liberty Bell Publications). Professor Barnes sums up his analysis this way: "The vital core of this volume [*The Origins of the Second World War*] is the contention that Hitler did not wish a war, either local, European, or world, from March 1933, right down into September, 1939. His only fundamental aim in foreign policy was to revise the unfair and unjust Treaty of Versailles, and to do this by peaceful methods."

Then, how come Hitler took over Austria? We have been brainwashed into believing that Austria was taken over by force, another of the *Great Lies!* What are the facts?

A National Socialist movement had developed in Austria, and by 1938, most Austrians wanted to be part of Germany. They were therefore actively agitating for an *Anschluss*. Of course, there was some resistance to this movement, led by the Chancellor of Austria, Schuschnigg, who did not have the popular support of the people.

In *The Origins of the Second World War*, Professor Taylor writes, "The crisis of March, 1938, was provoked by Schuschnigg, not by Hitler. There had been no German preparations, military or diplomatic. . . . He [Hitler] had planned to absorb Austria imperceptibly, so that no one could tell when it ceased to be independent. . . . he would use democratic methods. . . ."

To sum up what had happened, Schuschnigg had suddenly called for a plebiscite to ask the Austrian people if they wished to remain independent. It was put in such a way as to anger Austrian National Socialists. It was represented as a choice between German nationalism and Austrian independence. Schuschnigg lost the support of everyone involved, including the British. He resigned even before the date of the scheduled plebiscite. Confusion reigned supreme in the Austrian government. Dr. Seyss-Inquart took over as Chancellor and sent a telegram to Hitler asking for troops to maintain order. Hitler was caught by surprise. There was no preparation for an "invasion" of Austria. But, he ordered the troops stationed along the border to enter Austria to maintain order.

The entire spectacle was more like a carnival. The German troops marched in and were cheered on enthusiastically by the Austrian population. But the German forces were not prepared for any kind of

action, and 70% of their vehicles broke down on the road to Vienna. Hitler, too, entered Austria with his troops, addressing the excited crowds along the way. The plebiscite, scheduled for March 12, was turned into a vote for *Anschluss* with Germany. On 10 April, 99.08% of the people voted in favor of a union with Germany.

And we were told Germany took over Austria by force—another *Great Lie!*

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Czechoslovakia, a state of diverse nationalities, was made up of Czechs, Slovaks, Hungarians, Ruthenians, and Germans. It was created by the infamous Treaty of Versailles. The three million Germans, living mostly in the Sudetenlands, had been part of the Austro-Hungarian Empire before World War I. Many of them wished to join the *German Reich*, and after the Austrian *Anschluss*, they began a national movement for the purpose of achieving reunification with Germany. "Hitler had not created this movement. It was waiting for him . . .", wrote A. J. P. Taylor on page 147 of his book.

There was, in fact, little danger of Germany attempting to take Czechoslovakia by force at that time because the Czechs were better armed than Germany.

"At the time of the Munich crisis in 1938 (September 29), Germany had 35 infantry divisions, and 4 motorized divisions, none of them fully manned or equipped. At that time Czechoslovakia could mobilize at least 33 divisions. Moreover, the Czech army was better trained, had far better equipment, and had better morale and better fortifications. At that time, Germany's tanks were all below 10 tons and were armed with machine guns except for a handful of 18 ton tanks armed with a 37mm gun. The Czechs had hundreds of 38 ton tanks armed with 75mm cannon" (*Tragedy and Hope*, page 622).

While there is little doubt that Hitler wanted to unify the Germanic peoples, he had not even developed a plan for incorporating the Sudeten Germans into the *Reich*. A. J. P. Taylor, on page 156 of his book, observes that "Thus, at the end of April, 1938, the problem of the Germans within Czechoslovakia ceased to be a dispute between the Sudeten Germans and the Czechoslovakian government; it even ceased to be, or rather never became a dispute between Czechoslovakia and Germany." It was the British and French governments "who came forward as principals; and their object, however disguised, was to exact concessions from the Czechs." Was this part of a plan, as Professor David Hoggan suggests in *The Myth of the New History* (pp. 4-5), to set Germany up as a scapegoat for World War II?

After much maneuvering and delay, President Benes of Czechoslovakia finally agreed to cede the Sudetenlands to Germany. While the news media played up the Munich conference, at which the agreement was formalized,

as a sell-out to Hitler and a policy of appeasement (another *Great Lie*, because Hitler had demanded nothing from Czechoslovakia), the conference was actually a triumph of British diplomacy, for it was not Hitler who had created the Sudeten crisis but it was the Sudeten Germans themselves. That the British resolved the crisis without civil war in Czechoslovakia was to their credit, according to Professor A. J. P. Taylor.

When the problems arising with Czechoslovakia had been resolved through British diplomacy, European leaders breathed a sigh of relief. On the surface, at least, it appeared there would be no further cause for anxiety, except perhaps in Poland. Neville Chamberlain said, as a result of the negotiations at the Munich Conference, "I believe that it is peace for our time." Hitler declared, "I have no territorial demands to make in Europe." Although Hitler lived up to his pledge, the American press used this statement against him in their propaganda campaign against Germany.

However, subsequent events provided the warmongers in the United States and Britain fuel for the fire they seemed determined to start. We have always been told that Hitler invaded Czechoslovakia and took it over by force. That is another of the *Great Lies*, we have been fed. Here is what really happened.

After the Sudetenland was ceded to Germany, the internal political stability of Czechoslovakia was drastically weakened. Hungary was making threatening gestures toward taking over those portions of Slovakia which had once been part of Hungary. The Slovaks, on the other hand, had never been accepted as equals in the Czechoslovakian government. A nationalistic movement was developing among them to set up their own independent state. Yet, if they made this move, Hungary would take them over by force. It was only the Czechoslovakian military power that kept Hungary at bay. In addition, the Czechs were prepared to move in militarily if the Slovaks tried to declare their independence.

In order to forestall military action by either the Czechs or the Hungarians, Hitler was forced to make a move he did not wish to make. With an appeal by the Slovaks for protection, Hitler recognized Slovakian independence and accepted them as a protectorate, a move which was designed to forestall military action by the Czechs and the Hungarians.

Hitler had not provoked the movement for Slovakian autonomy. "Once again, Hitler was taken by surprise. The new crisis caught him unawares" (A. J. P. Taylor, *The Origins of the Second World War*, pp. 195-201). Thus, Hitler's actions in this crisis were taken to preserve the peace.

After the Munich Conference, Benes had resigned as president of Czechoslovakia, and a lawyer, Hacha, who was not a politician, became president. With the establishment of Slovakia as an independent state, Hacha was bewildered and uncertain as to what course of action he should take. Finally he asked for a conference with Hitler, and asked that Bohemia, the Czech part of Czechoslovakia, be set up as a protectorate of the *German Reich*. This was accomplished on March 15, 1939, and the German army was moved in to maintain order.

Thus, Czechoslovakia was not taken over by force or invaded: it was taken over, at the invitation of its leaders, as a protectorate.

Although Hitler occupied Prague only when events left him no other choice, the world was led to believe that the disintegration of Czechoslovakia was accomplished by design. Politically, Hitler gained little. In fact, in the United States, the Czechoslovakian situation only gave new fuel to the propagandistic fires against him.

However, militarily, Germany gained a great deal. She acquired over 450 superior tanks, 1500 military aircraft, hundreds of vehicles, and thousands of guns. Remember that the Czechoslovakian army was much better equipped at that time than was the German army. Again, the propagandists had a field day about Germany's growing military might.

POLAND

By the early part of 1939, relations between Germany and Poland had become so strained that neither side wished to negotiate with the other. The bone of contention, as in Austria and the Sudetenland, was created by the Germans living in Danzig and substantial portions of the Polish Corridor that had once been part of Germany.

By 1939; the people of Danzig had formed their own National Socialist party and, politically, were in firm control of the city. They wished to again become part of the *German Reich*, as did many of the German farmers living in the corridor regions. The Poles repeatedly used brutal and ruthless methods against these people to suppress them. Many were driven off their land and murdered in cold blood.

In an effort to relieve the tension, Hitler sought to have Danzig returned to Germany and to have the Poles grant a railroad and highway across the corridor to link Prussia with the rest of Germany. These requests were actually much more moderate than the demands made upon Poland by the leaders of the Weimar Republic. In return, Hitler offered to guarantee the borders of Poland who had become greatly concerned about Soviet Russia's intentions.

Joseph Beck, the Polish Foreign Minister, his back stiffened by British and French promises of support in the event of a German attack, was very obstinate and refused to negotiate with Germany on the Danzig and the Corridor problem. For several months the British acted as a go-between in an alleged effort to establish negotiations between the two countries to resolve the issues without going to war over them. As a result of the publicity given by the press to the efforts to reach an understanding, Neville Henderson, the British diplomat acting as the go-between, was moved to write, "History will judge the press generally to have been the cause of the war . . . Of all Germans, believe it or not, Hitler is the most moderate so far as Danzig and the Corridor are concerned."

A. J. P. Taylor and other historians have made it clear that Hitler did not want a war with Poland, rather, he wished a permanent and peaceful settlement. Remember, the crisis was caused, not by demands made by

Hitler, but by the people involved. ved.

Studies made by several historians since World War II have revealed that while Hitler was attempting to negotiate in good faith, the English were trying to bait him through Joseph Beck, the Polish Foreign Minister. Professor David Hoggan writes in *The Myth of the New History* (pp. 4-5), that "Halifax [the British Foreign Secretary] conducted a single-minded campaign to plunge Germany into war, and in such a way as to make Germany appear to be the guilty party. . . . Poland was the pawn in the game . . . It was for . . . British prestige, and not because of any military threat to Britain, that millions were destined to die in Europe and throughout the world. The documentary record has long since revealed that in 1939 it was Hitler's utmost desire to enjoy peaceful and friendly relations, and if possible even alliances, with both Great Britain and Poland."

On page 25 of Professor Barnes' *Blasting the Historical Blackout* we read, "Both Chamberlain and Halifax falsified their reports of Hitler's favorable reaction to a proposed international conference on September 2nd which still might have stopped the German-Polish war and prevented the European war." Professor Barnes also states on page 20 that "President Roosevelt apparently desired to have the European war break out as soon as possible, pressed Chamberlain to go ahead, and encouraged Polish arrogance and stubbornness."

In spite of the provocations and intrigue, it was not necessary that Hitler invaded Poland on September 1, 1939. He apparently felt that he had been maneuvered into a position from which he could not extricate himself in any other way. The German population of Danzig and the Corridor were being mistreated by the Poles. Russian intrigue in the east were a definite cause for concern. Had Hitler been sufficiently patient, he might have avoided actual conflict with the Poles, although their stubbornness may not have changed. Even after the invasion Hitler agreed to meet on 3 September to put an end to the fighting—but the Poles refused.

Even so, the German-Polish conflict need not have led to a wider conflict, which was ultimately brought about by Britain, with the constant urging of President Roosevelt.

Lord Halifax, in Britain, was perhaps the main instigator of British hostility. He was a member of The Round Table, the British counterpart of the Council on Foreign Relations in the United States, both of which represented the international financial interests. President Roosevelt himself was surrounded by CFR members such as Averell Harriman, Felix Frankfurter, Owen Lattimore, Henry Morgenthau, and Bernard Baruch.

Thus, it was these "advisors," in both countries representing the three militant forces, international finance, Zionism, and Communism, who were responsible for the outbreak of a full fledged world war. Hitler merely provided a convenient excuse for initiating the war.

RUSSIA

We have always been told that Germany wanted to "conquer the world." In view of the facts about German rearmament available to us, this contention is absurd. Why, then, did Hitler choose to risk a two-front war and attack Russia at dawn on June 22, 1941?

Unreported in the American press were the following facts.

1. In June, 1940, the Soviet Union violated their non-aggression pact with Germany by seizing the Bukovina province and part of Moldavia province in northern Roumania, which were beyond the line of demarcation for Soviet claims accepted by the Germans at Moscow. These seizures gave Russia a direct line of access to Roumanian oil fields which were the source of fuel for the German armies.

2. In March, 1941, Communists, supported by the Soviet Union, overthrew the legal government of Yugoslavia.

3. In 1940, while Germany was fighting France and England, the Soviet Union began a massive build-up of troops and tanks along their borders nearest Germany. 4. There were renewed Soviet threats against Finland after their victory over Finland in March, 1940. This was a

threat to Germany's supply of iron ore from Sweden.

5. The Soviet Union made proposals to establish their control throughout the Balkans and in Turkey.

6. On May 5, 1941, Stalin made two speeches at a Kremlin banquet for a large graduating class of staff officers. The party eventually evolved into a wild drunken orgy at which some very indiscreet remarks were made by Stalin. The information was passed on to the Germans by agents in the Kremlin, and were later confirmed during the interrogation of two Russian generals and a major captured by the Germans.

The substance of Stalin's remarks was that the non-aggression pact he had made with von Ribbentrop in August, 1939, was "just camouflage." Now that Russia had acquired all the territory possible by diplomatic means (the eastern half of Poland, Finno-Karelia, Bessarabia, Ruthenia, Bukovina, Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania), it was time to get the Russian people ready for war. Only by war could more territory be gained. Russian armament was so satisfactory that a war against Germany could begin any time within the next two months.

This news alarmed Hitler. He had no desire to fight a war on two fronts. There is reason to believe that Rudolf Hess's flight to Britain on 10 May 1941 was motivated by this news and that he was seeking an alliance between Germany and Great Britain to protect Europe from conquest by Russia. Unfortunately, his mission failed—and Rudolf Hess, Adolf Hitler's deputy, to this day remains incarcerated in Spandau prison.

With the failure of the Hess mission to Britain, Germany was left to face Russia alone. The outlook was, indeed, grim for Germany, because of Russia's overwhelming superiority in numbers. German intelligence had

revealed that the Russians had been building up massive forces along their border. By 21 June 1941, the Soviets had over 4.7 million men in 230 divisions, and more than 10,000 military aircraft at the front. They had about 40,000 tanks, including large numbers of the excellent T-34 ("Stalin") tank, poised for attack.

Germany had to build up her forces rapidly as a protective measure, and had 3 million men in 152 divisions supported by 1,500 war planes and about 10,000 tanks.

Based on German intelligence reports, it was clear that Russia was planning an invasion of Germany. Hitler felt that, under the circumstances, the only chance Germany had to withstand the onslaught was to attack first, hoping to catch the Soviets by surprise. The German high command felt that an attack was a great gamble, but that there was little choice in the matter.

Hitler did not order preparation for *Operation Barbarossa* until after a fateful November 1940 visit by Soviet Foreign Minister Molotov to Berlin carrying an ultimatum from Stalin. In his ultimatum, Stalin demanded that Germany

1. remain neutral while the Soviet army invaded Roumania,
2. abandon Finland and accept its incorporation into the USSR,
3. accept Soviet occupation of Bulgaria,
4. and approve Soviet military bases in the Dardanelles, thereby bringing Turkey under Soviet control.

With these facts in mind, ask yourself why, if we had considered the Communists as the real threat to world peace, did Britain, France, and finally the United States go to war against Germany? There can be only one reason—to save the Communist Empire, and to restore the international bankers to financial control in Europe.

The question is, whose interests have really been served by our involvement in World War II, and in all of the subsequent wars? The answer is clear when one has the facts before him. Our military might, and our sons have been used to further the aims of the international financial interests in establishing a One-world government under control of the two branches of the international finance mafia: Jewish Communism and Jewish Capitalism. The world headquarters for this government will eventually be in Israel.

If you don't understand these matters now, then you can expect to see your children living in a Soviet-type controlled society, stripped of their freedoms, and working for their Jewish slave masters.

THE BIG LIE

Even before the war started, we Americans were deluged with propaganda about how Hitler was going to conquer the world. We were often told then, and we are still reminded, that Hitler employed the art of the "Big Lie" in his own speeches. Today, the average uninformed American believes that Hitler was the originator of the "Big Lie"

technique of propaganda.

WE have been the victims of some "Big Lies," but those lies did not originate with the Germans. They originated within our own boundaries, through our own Jew-controlled press and radio and, more recently, through the television media. That Hitler originated the "Big Lie" concept is *another Big Lie!*

What this particular lie illustrates is the basic technique of those who seek to control our minds. That technique is to attribute to someone else, who exposes the truth, the very method that the manipulator, the "psycho-warrior," is using. Hitler has been credited with the "Big Lie" because he exposed the Jewish use of the same in *Mein Kampf*. Read for yourself:

"But it remained for the Jews, with their unqualified capacity for falsehood, and their fighting comrades, the Marxists, to impute responsibility for the downfall precisely to the man who alone had shown a superhuman will and energy in his effort to prevent the catastrophe which he had foreseen . . . By placing responsibility for the loss of the World War on the shoulders of Ludendorff they took away the weapon of moral right from the only adversary dangerous enough to be likely to succeed in bringing the betrayers of the Fatherland to justice. All this was inspired by the principle—which is quite true in itself—that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility, because the broad masses of a nation, in the primitive simplicity of their minds, more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods. It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously."

"From time immemorial, however, the Jews have known better than any others how falsehood and calumny can be exploited. . . . One of the great thinkers that mankind has produced has branded Jews for all time with a statement which is profoundly and exactly true. He [Schopenhauer] called the Jew 'The Great Master of Lies.'"

There you have it! If the facts revealed in this article do not confirm the truth of these observations, so that even the most charitable and naive cannot see them, then, dear friends, we shall deserve the horrible fate which awaits our blindness. From this year, 1983, we do not have more than twenty years left. What are your children going to think? □

To be continued

THE 'HOLOCAUST' 120 QUESTIONS and ANSWERS

Charles E. Weber

INSTITUTE FOR HISTORICAL REVIEW

HERE IS A BOOK in lucid question/answer format that tackles virtually all the myths and distortions propagated by the "Holocaust" Establishment—a book for young and old alike.

- Presents ideas and information not found in other books in this field.

- Short, well-organized and up-to-date on the latest ideas and research.

- Suitable for the classroom as a counterbalance to "Holocaust" studies.

- Gives a historical background of the Jewish problem in Europe, examines the motivations of various groups with regard to the Extermination thesis, and introduces the reader to the more detailed literature on the subject.

- Written by a former professor with a Ph.D. in an historical discipline whose training as a linguist gave him access to literature in various languages, and whose U.S. military intelligence experience in WWII included his residence in Europe during 1945-48 with assignments involving him in preparations for the Nuremberg Trials.

In the classroom; in debates; for the novice revisionist, the inquisitive and skeptical—nothing could be as useful as Dr. Weber's *The "Holocaust"—120 Questions and Answers*. 120 questions that rouse thought. 120 fully-referenced answers that blow the lid off the blackout. A book of this scope and format has been needed for a long time. Here you have it: easy-to-read, written and priced for wide distribution—and an answer to today's obsession with Holocaustiana.

THE "HOLOCAUST"—120 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

by Dr. Charles E. Weber

60 pp., pb., bibliography & indices

ORDER No.: 8014

ORDER No. 8114

Single copy: \$4.00

3 copies \$10.00


FOR POSTAGE & HANDLING

on DOMESTIC ORDERS, please include \$1.00 for orders under \$10.00—10% for orders over \$10.00; on FOREIGN ORDERS, please include \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00—15% for orders over \$10.00—50% for AIR MAIL delivery. West Virginia residents must include 5% for State Sales Tax.

For a sample copy of our monthly magazine, *The Liberty Bell*, several reprints of some eye-opening articles, and a comprehensive book list, send \$2.00 to:

Liberty Bell Publications

P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA



THE "HOLOCAUST" — FACT OR FICTION?

Were six million Jews really gassed ... or has a colossal hoax been perpetrated on the world?

Professor Butz has carefully investigated the alleged extermination of 6 million Jews during WW II and has written a book which thoroughly documents his startling findings. His book strips away the cover of fraud and deceit from this emotion-charged topic and lays bare the full and complete truth.

THE HOAX OF THE 20th CENTURY
ORDER No.: 8012 \$6.00

HELP US SPREAD THE TRUTH!



ORDER No.: 7012 — \$4.00

ORDER No.: 7009 — \$3.00

FOR POSTAGE & HANDLING

on DOMESTIC ORDERS, please include \$1.00 for orders under \$10.00—10% for orders over \$10.00; on FOREIGN ORDERS, please include \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00—15% for orders over \$10.00—50% for AIR MAIL delivery. West Virginia residents must include 5% for State Sales Tax.

Liberty Bell Publications

P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA



A Lie — and the Truth, continued from page 222

Grunewald in Berlin."

These were no lone voices in the wilderness, but quite a number of public voices carried into the army and government of Poland. The German Foreign Office and the German Army were afraid a Polish attack would already occur in 1930, which the 100,000 men of the German Reichswehr would have been unable to counter. The world economic crisis put obstacles in the path of those ambitious plans of the Poles, later it was German strength. In 1934, Adolf Hitler concluded the Non-Agression Pact with Poland, something none of the governments of the Weimar Republic would have done because even the Social Democrats wanted a revision of the Eastern borders. It was Hitler's goal to go with Poland against Communist Russia, united. When further left-over obstacles from the Versailles Treaty were removed, he demanded in 1938/39 only the return of Danzig to the Reich and a Freeway (Autobahn) from the Reich to Eastern Prussia, under German administration.

Poland, on her own, would not have opposed such a reasonable and measured proposal. But London and New York became active as snipers. Count Szembeck, Under Secretary of State in the Foreign Service Department of Poland, noted on 12 January 1939 in his diary, "This campaign against Germany is being pushed by a variety of Jewish intellectuals: Baruch, Lehman (the governor of New York), the new judge of the Supreme Court, Felix Frankfurter, Morgenthau, and many others who are friends of Franklin D. Roosevelt."

And on 18 February 1939 Count Szembeck noted in his diary a conversation he had with Spanish Ex-King Alfons in Rome, "The King is pessimistic about the international situation. The 'Internationale' is pushing us into a war." (Szembeck, *Journal*, pp.421,457). On 6 July 1939, Szembeck heard from the Polish Envoy to Washington, Count Potocki, "There are quite a number of elements in the West pushing openly for war: Jews, High Finance, arms dealers. All of them have splendid business prospects because they have found a spot which can be set aflame—Danzig, and a nation which is ready to fight: Poland." The Polish marshal and freemason Edward Rydz-Smigley mentioned in August of 1939, "Poland wants the war with Germany, and Germany will not be able to prevent it, even if it wanted too."

Confronted with these facts, there is no reason to approach the Poles as penitents. The former East German territories were always a breadbasket producing agricultural products in excess of its own demands. In spite of the fact that less people live there now than before the war, they are unable to feed and to take care of themselves. It is not our task to bolster the bankrupt Polish economy with huge loans; it is not our task to provide a rear guard for the Poles against the Soviets. Our only task is to reestablish the German Reich within the boundaries established over centuries.

— Don't ever forget this when talking about the Pope and to Poles!

October 1983

FOR MY LEGIONARIES



Salut. pe cei ce merg
spre marea izbăvire a
Legionarilor. Corneliu Z. Codreanu
1924

The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, — perhaps the oldest anti-communist movement in the world, still alive — was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$8.00), Codreanu's stirring work is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WW II. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that the rapidly deteriorating political conditions will preclude a second edition, and *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the

'missing pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza; the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.)

THE ANTI-HUMANS by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb., \$7.00), describes what was done to the young men whom Codreanu inspired, when, seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian 'experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. ("A sequel to Orwell's 1984"—R.S.H.; "A searing expose of red bestiality!"—Dr. A.J. App.)

FOR MY LEGIONARIES

ORDER No. 6003

No Anti-Communist library should be without these two companion books! Order your copies from: Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy, WV 25270 today. Please include 10% for postage and handling.

THE ANTI-HUMANS

ORDER No. 1013

THE TALMUD

containing the *Midrashim*, the *Cabbala*, the *Rabbinical-ana*, *Proverbial Sayings and Traditions*. 395 pages, softcover, \$20. plus \$2. for post. & hndlg. Order from: Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy, W.Va. 25270



ORDER No. 19001

We shall be Masters of Our Manifest Destiny.
continued from pg. 20

mistake. Instead of smashing the enemy once and for all, their commander "talked." The men grumbled that after winning the greatest victory in the whole campaign, they would have to fight the battle all over again. They were so right.

On to the Halls of Montezuma. The "armistice" lasted two weeks. By now Scott had fewer than 8000 effectives and the enemy in home territory was well entrenched with 18,000. On September 8 the Americans again attacked to storm Chapultepec Castle and finally drive into the heart of the city itself. After a week of heavy fighting and severe losses on both sides the Mexicans ran up the white flag and asked to negotiate. This time Scott was not fooled. He intended to have the city and his troops marched to the Grand Plaza and ran up the American flag on the National Palace. General Scott arrived in full battle dress and the cheers of the American troops could be heard for blocks.

Victory Complete. Scott appointed General Quitman as Military governor and the campaign was over. One of Scott's men, Capt. Rowell S. Ripley later blamed the two week armistice as needlessly costing the Americans 1652 men and officers killed and wounded.

* * * * *

Eternal Lesson. There is another episode in this war that should be a lesson and a warning to all race traitors of today.

American Traitors. When General Taylor's army faced the city of Matamoros across the Rio Grande in 1846, Sargent John Riley of Co. K swam across the river and never came back. He joined the Mexican Army. In the months that followed, hundreds of others, moved by boredom, drink, or Mexican blandishments of free land, followed Riley's example. Many were moved by Mexican propaganda that the Protestant Yankees were trying to destroy the Catholic church. Since over 20% of some regiments were Irish Catholic immigrants, this propaganda especially appealed to this group. They formed the San Patricio Battalion and fought against the Americans, and quite effectively so, since they were in most cases more technically adept than the Mexicans.

Court Martial. At least 65 San Patricios were taken at Churubusco, tried by court martial and sentenced to hang.

Hanged. As the U.S. troops charged the castle of Chapultepec, 30 of these deserters stood on mule carts, beneath a scaffold, with nooses around their necks, watching the flagpole at the top of the castle turret. The raising of the Stars and Stripes would be the signal for the executioner to motion the cart drivers forward and leave the traitors hanging.

General Scott had reviewed the cases of 65 deserters. Those that were not hanged were given 50 lashes, the letter D (for deserters) branded on

their cheeks and left the grim job of digging the graves of those hanged.

Warning to All Traitors. We of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR take an even tougher stand. We contend that being a traitor to your race is even a more heinous crime than treason to country or army. We, too, shall have a day of reckoning with all those traitors who today believe they can betray the White Race with impunity. We, too, shall render good old-fashioned frontier justice to race traitors.

* * * * *

Meanwhile, in California. While Generals Taylor and Scott were waging the fight south of the Rio Grande, another contest was being waged in the far west for a rich prize—California, an area also claimed by Mexico, but almost independent of any control from Mexico City. Nevertheless, for several centuries it had been settled by a Spanish-Mexican population whose allegiance was still towards their kinsmen to the south. But White settlers were beginning to drift in, in ever increasing numbers.

Capture Rich Empire. The invasion of California by American officialdom really began surreptitiously in 1845 with a small “exploratory” expedition headed by John C. Fremont who was to make a “survey” of a pass through the Sierras to California. Before it was over, with other adventurers and military men such as Brig. General Stephen Kearny, Commodore John Sloat, Commodore Robert Stockton, they had captured a rich empire for the United States. This they were able to accomplish with relatively few forces, a few small pitched battles and only a small loss of lives. It makes fascinating reading, but we do not have the space to go into the details here.

* * * * *

Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo. Suffice it to say here that after General Winfield Scott’s total victory and occupation of Mexico City on September 14, 1847, the Mexicans, hostile as they were, were forced to come to terms. One of the main problems was to find a responsible group that could be recognized as a government, since Santa Ana’s government had been overthrown and he had again fled the country. Finally such government was shaped under Manuel Pena y Pena. After much obstructionism from the U.S. government itself, negotiator Nicholas Trist, a stubborn man, concluded the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, which the Pena government signed February 2, 1848. After much bitter debate it was ratified by the U.S. Senate on March 10, 1848

White Race Wins Half of Mexico. Despite all the obstacles Polk had imposed on his negotiator, (Polk withdrew his peace proposals and instructed him to return home, orders Trist refused), much to Polk’s surprise, in the Treaty Trist had gotten him just about everything Polk had optimistically set out to obtain before the war ever started. Under the Treaty the southern boundary of the United States was established,

running along the Rio Grande to the New Mexican border and from there reaching westward to the Pacific Ocean to a point below San Diego. In turn the U.S. would pay Mexico 15 million dollars and also assume the 3.25 million dollar claims of American citizens against the Mexican government. In short, Mexico ceded more than half of its territory, including California, Arizona, Texas, New Mexico and any claims it had to any of the other western land.

Oregon Claims Settled. Great Britain’s claim to Oregon had been settled by peaceful compromise in June of 1846, giving the U.S. the Pacific Northwest to the 49th parallel.

Polk one of the Greatest. We, the White Race, should therefore proudly give our due credit and honour to President James Polk, who did more in two years to expand the territory for the expansion of the White Race than any other president in history. This despite the fact that practically all other politicians of his decade were stupidly enmeshed in a mad hysteria about slavery, to the point where practically all opportunity for positive action was next to impossible. Our school children have not been told the full story of this glorious chapter in the history of the White Race. Probably for that very reason, because it was the most constructive and productive war in American history, the Jewish writers have downgraded Polk and the Mexican War as an insignificant part of our history.

Mexican War a Glorious Victory. Instead, the Jews keep rehashing the Civil War, the “nostalgia” of it all, the “colorful” generals, and so on, ad nauseum. The fact that the Mexican War was a most constructive and productive war and the Civil War a terrible ghastly blunder, perpetrated by the Jews themselves, is never pointed out. When they refer to the Mexican War at all, they portray it as one of a big bully (the U.S.) taking advantage of a weaker neighbor.

Mexican Resources Surpassed U.S. This, too, is a blatant lie. In 1846 Mexico had a population at least equal to the U.S. It had as large a territory under its domain. Its capital of Mexico City had a population of 200,000 more than four times the population of Washington, D.C. Mexico’s history dated back to 1519, a good three hundred years before the Anglos of the North even got started on their road to Manifest Destiny. Furthermore, at great disadvantage, the Americans had to fight this war on enemy territory far from home with greatly over-extended supply lines at a time and place where there were still no railroads, no telegraph lines, and when transportation and communications were still extremely slow and difficult. In every engagement, in every encounter, in every battle, the Americans were out-numbered in manpower and out-gunned in numbers of cannon and artillery. Any battle they would have lost on Mexican territory would undoubtedly have meant the total slaughter of the American forces. So it was hardly a war of unequals, as the distorted Jewish history books would have us believe.

Superiority of White Race over Mongrels. Yet in every battle the

Americans triumphed over the Mexicans, even when they were outnumbered five to one, as they often were. Why did they triumph? The answer is in our genes, in the superior intelligence and fighting abilities of the White Race over the mud races: the mestizos, the mix-breeds and the Indians. Let us never forget this. There are many other lessons we can learn from this glorious chapter of our history, if we want to learn from history.

What We Can Learn from the Mexican War.

1. The most obvious and important lesson we must learn is that America, as every other country, empire, or civilization, was built by conquest. It was by force of battle, by spilling blood, by conquering the enemy that the White Man forcibly took the land from the Indians, or the Mexicans, and even won their independence from the British.

2. We of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR regard the wars against the Indians and the Mexicans as of far greater significance than the war of the American Revolution against England. After all, Canada has prospered equally well, although it never formally broke away from England. Its smaller population is solely due to the fact that only its southern fringes lie in a hospitable climate.

3. If the Mexican War of pushing back the mud races and settling it with White people was so productive in the past and was the "American way," why scrap a winning formula? Why not apply the same successful program to the rest of the land areas of the world, not just for the U.S., but for the White Race as a whole? We of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR propose that this is exactly what we not only can do, but must do, if we are to survive. We have the intelligence, we have the power, and Nature has given us the natural right to do so. In its credo the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR also furnishes us not only with the moral right to do so, but also the moral obligation to do so.

4. For those insipid bleeding hearts (whose minds have been perverted by Jewish propaganda) we throw down this challenge: If you really believe that the White Man was wrong in conquering America, if you don't believe in the American way, why don't you set an example of demonstrating your convictions by deeds? Give your property back to a Mexican or an Indian, renounce your American citizenship and crawl into a hole and die. That's the bottom line of your idiotic conclusions, whether you know it or not.

5. We of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR take the contrary position. We take a position that both Nature and history have taught from time immemorial, and that is: Land and Race are Everything. Land and territory are worth fighting for and dying for. Land is essential to the life and the preservation of our race. The survival, expansion and advancement of race is the most vital goal in our existence. We of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR believe not only in expanding American territory, as did our glorious ancestors of 1846-48, but of

following the same great "American way" of expanding the living area of the White Race all over the world, until the White Race inhabits every hospitable square mile on the face of the earth.

What we can Learn from the Mexican War about Dealing with our enemies.

1. The war, first of all, between the Texans and the Mexicans, and ten years later between the United States and the Mexicans, was, first and foremost, a Racial War. It was a war of racial hatred between the inferior, mongrel Mexicans and the healthy expansion of the White Race.

2. The Mexicans hated the White Race because they felt inferior and because they actually were (and are) inferior. The White Man at that time still had a healthy racial pride and instinct and did not want the inferior Mexican mixed-breeds to breed and proliferate in his territory.

3. The Mexicans still hate us with the same fierce intensity as they did a hundred or two hundred years ago, and they always will. The reason is the same as to why the niggers and all the other mud races of the world hate us: they feel (a) inferior, and (b) threatened, and both for good reasons.

4. When Santa Ana won a military victory at the Alamo by means of sheer numbers, their hatred and cruelty knew no bounds. Playing the ominous "Deguello" they not only massacred every soldier in the Alamo, but even then their fury knew no bounds. They hacked, stabbed and mutilated the dead bodies for an hour afterwards and even went so berserk as to shoot a number of their own men, though not a single American was still alive. Again, when Santa Ana defeated Colonel Fannin's 400 men at Goliad, those that were taken prisoner were then mercilessly shot in the back to the last man (except those that managed to escape). With Texas wide open Santa Ana then systematically set about killing every White settler in their path, until Santa Ana was trapped and defeated by Sam Houston at San Jacinto.

The Ominous Lesson is this: if and when the Mexicans, or the niggers, or any other mud race, has the power over us to do so, they will mercilessly slaughter the White Race. The hatred they have for the White Race, aggravated by world-wide Jewish propaganda, is irreconcilable, just as the issue between the pioneer mother and the rattlesnake.

5. Never Give Your Enemy a Second Chance. If Sam Houston after defeating Santa Ana at San Jacinto in 1836, had not parleyed with Santa Ana, had not negotiated, had not trusted Santa Ana to keep his bargain, but had hanged him instead (he had plenty of moral grounds for doing so after the Alamo and Goliad) then he would have saved the Americans and the White Race many, many lives. Santa Ana would not have been around to rally the Mexican forces and kill thousands of Americans ten years later.

Similarly, if General Taylor had utterly destroyed the Mexican

forces at Palo Alto and at Resaca de Palma when he defeated them, he would not have had to fight some of the same survivors at Monterrey and Buena Vista again.

Similarly, if General Winfield Scott, who was otherwise a brilliant military strategist, would not have stopped to parley and "negotiate peace" with Santa Ana when he had defeated the Mexican army at the entrance to Mexico City, he would not have had to fight Santa Ana all over again two weeks later at a tremendous cost in lives.

Totally Destroy the Enemy. The conclusion we should learn from all these experiences (and thousands of other battles the White Man has fought) is this: **when you have your enemy at bay, utterly destroy him while you have the opportunity so that he will never be able to come back and fight again. Don't trust him, don't negotiate, don't be charitable, don't be chivalrous, don't be magnanimous or big-hearted. Just utterly destroy him.**

* * * * *

Heroic Group. In the war against the Mexicans, there is one group of heroic men in the epic of the Southwest that deserves our particular mention. Because of their tenacity, their bravery and especially their dedication to the survival and expansion of the White Race, we CREATORS point with pride to that gallant cadre of White Men, the **Texas Rangers**. They were tough, relentless and untiring in waging war, pushing back and tracking down the Mexican and Indian marauders that tried to kill and drive out the early Texas settlers.

Tough Spearhead. Whereas we do not have the space here to give even a cursory history of this elite band of lawmen, I want to give them their just due in making it possible for the White Men and their families to first of all settle, and secondly, maintain their tenuous toehold on the precious soil they had won. When the Mexican War finally came to put the mongrelized Mexicans in their place, it was the hard core of former Texas Rangers that were the shock troops. In practically every major battle and every heroic victory, all the way from Matamoros to the Halls of Montezuma, the relatively small forces of six companies of Texas Rangers made a huge difference.

Strickly No Nonsense. When the war was over, the frontiers of Texas still remained under savage assault from the recalcitrant Mexican mongrels and their ancestral kinsmen, the savage Indians. Singly and in collaboration with each other, both the Mexicans and the Plains Indians continued their murderous raids on the Texas settlers for decades after the Mexican War was over. Especially tenacious among the Indian tribes were the fierce Comanches and the Cherokees. It was the job of the Texas Rangers to drive these enemies of the White Race out of Texas and make sure they stayed out. With such unstinting dedication and relentless energy did the Rangers do their jobs that both the Mexicans and the Indians soon learned their lesson the hard way—to come up against the

Texas Rangers was to pay in blood—to leave their dead carcasses on the plains of Texas.

Texas Devils. So formidable a reputation did the Rangers earn for themselves that when General Winfield Scott's troops entered Mexico City in triumph in 1847, it was the Texas Rangers that the Mexicans feared the most. They referred to them as "Los Diables Tejanos"—the Texas Devils. It was a reputation that stayed with them long after the Mexican War and a name both the Mexicans and the Indians learned to hate and to fear throughout the Winning of the West.

Federal government aggravated Indian problem. There is one other footnote in the history of Texas that is highly noteworthy to our struggle against the mud races. When Texas joined the union during the last days of 1845, the Federal government in Washington pre-empted the Indian problem. Whereas the Texans and the Rangers had taken a hard stand of expulsion towards the Indian predators, the Federal government reversed this policy and set up Indian reservations on Texas soil, made a number of meaningless treaties with them, and actually protected, fed them, and in various ways, subsidized these unwanted savages. Confusion and frustration ensued. The Indian problem was not solved, but on the contrary, aggravated, to the chagrin of the Texans.

Final Solution by Texans. The White people of Texas began to wonder just whose side the Federal government was on. For 14 years they tolerated this stupid nonsense. Finally in 1859 they took matters into their own hands—abolished every reservation, and drove the Indians out of their territory, a task ably performed by the Rangers. After that any Indian found in Texas was there at his own risk.

Credit and Discredit. It is to the eternal credit of the Rangers and the early Texas settlers that the Indian problem was liquidated once and for all, and no reservations or wet-nursing compounds for inferior redskins remained in Texas. Not to the credit of their offspring is the toleration and proliferation of the vast and growing Mexican element, which is now smothering the White Race in Texas and the Southwestern states, and, in fact, the Mexican disease is spreading to the rest of America.

Eternal Heroes. Among those of the Texas Rangers we CREATORS especially point with pride as having contributed greatly to the building of the frontier for the White Race are such famous names as Lieutenant Jim Rice, Colonel Henry W. Harnes, and especially those great heroes of the Mexican War, Captains Ben McCulloch and Sam Walker, who led many of the major attacks from Matamoros to Mexico City. Probably the greatest of them all is Colonel Jack C. Hays of the Ranger's San Antonio Company. Their exploits and those of countless other Rangers which we unfortunately cannot list, read like heroic fiction in the annals of the White Man's War against the treacherous Indians and their mongrelized half brothers, the perfidious Mexicans.

Our Goals those of Texas Rangers. In today's continuing War

against the Mexicans it is our intention to revive the spirit and the goals of the Nineteenth Century Texas Rangers. Their goal was—as is ours today—to drive every Mexican and every Indian from the territory of the White Man. What neither the Mexicans nor the Indians could win in the 19th century by force of arms, our treacherous Jewish government has aided them to achieve in the 20th century through sheer treachery: by means of immigration; by means of welfare; by means of subsidization; by allowing illegal Mexican aliens to invade our borders by the millions. We now have an intolerable situation where the mongrelized Mexican Indians are taking over not only the Southwestern states that the White Man won in the War of 1846-48, but are disseminating all over the United States into cities like Chicago and many others. By invasion and sheer breeding (the “Hispanics” are even more prolific than the niggers) they are crowding out the White Man in his own territory, surreptitiously swindling the White Man out of coming to grips by means of any war of confrontation.

Growing “Hispanic” Menace. To show how serious the Mexican problem is, let us look at some figures as of the year 1980. The population of Mexico itself is now 70 million miserable clawing creatures. By the end of the 20th century Mexico City itself is projected to have a population of 32 million, probably out-distancing even Tokyo as the world’s most populous city. The “Hispanic” groups in the United States are now stridently organizing for political muscle. They include in their ranks Mexicans, Cubans, Puerto Ricans and Phillipinos, and claim to number 20 million. Not included in this group are another 10 million illegal Mexican aliens, who are invading our borders with impunity by the thousands every day. If we add up the 70 million in Mexico itself, the 20 million “Hispanics”, and the 10 million illegal aliens, this adds up to a formidable 100 million hostile, rapidly breeding mongrels who hate the White Man with a passion and are waiting for the day when they can wreak vengeance on the hated gringo whom they both envy and despise.

Projection into the Future. Now, as do rats and flies, these Hispanics, when fed and subsidized by the White Man, can double their numbers rapidly. The Mexicans are now in fact doubling their numbers every 20 years. This means that the way we are now going, that in 20 years there will be 200 million of these mongrels on our back. In 40 years there will be 400 million and in 60 years, 800 million fierce, clawing, vengeance-seeking hostiles in our midst. Sixty years is not that far away, but long before that time, unless we alter course, the White Race in America (and the world) will long have been wiped out by the rapidly breeding, clawing, hostile mud races of the world.

The War Continues. We of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR therefore want to urge and inspire every red blooded White Man to action—to take up again the war on the hostile mongrels where our heroic forefathers of the Mexican War left off. We again call the White Man to arms to revive again the spirit of the Texas Rangers whose motto

was “the only good Indian was a dead Indian” and vowed to drive the mongrelized Mexicans and Indians forever from the soil and territory of Texas. Like the Texans of the Alamo we of the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR declare war on the mongrels. Like the Texas Rangers, our goals must be to cleanse our land of the savages and the mongrels. The early Texans realized that in their vast territory there was an irreconcilable conflict of three races—the Mexican, the Indian and the White Race. They could never live together in peace and the White Man could only survive by either driving out or destroying the other two. As Texas Governor M. Bounaparte Lamar (1838-40) succinctly stated “The White Man and the red man cannot live in harmony together. Nature forbids it.” He realized the United States policy of moderation and forbearance towards the Indians had been a total failure and the proper policy was one of total expulsion.

Our Eternal Legacy. With this policy we agree, not only towards Indians, but also toward their racial kinsmen the Mexicans, as all other mud races. It is not our policy to make the world safe for “democracy,” a Jewish idea and swindle at best, but on the contrary to make the world safe for the White Race, not only in our time, but for our children and their future progeny, eternally and forever.

* * * * *

The War with Mexico is Unfinished.

Exploding Birthrate. The birthrate of Mexicans today (and their Latino neighbors to the south) is the highest in the world, even exceeding that of the well-fed and subsidized niggers in the United States. They, the niggers and the other mud races of the world are a bigger threat to us than the hydrogen bomb. If we don’t soon face the wild and unchecked invasion of mud races into the White Man’s territory, we will soon be swamped into a cesspool of chaos.

Unchecked Invasion becoming a Stampede. It is hard to tell at this point in history whether the niggers, or the Mexicans, or the Cubans, or the Haitians are the biggest threat to the White Race in America, but let’s not waste time haggling about that question. The point is they all are, as are the tremendous influx of Chinese, Jamaicans, Vietnamese and millions of other mud races who are starving in their own countries and want to get on the backs of the White Man’s generous subsidy. They bring with them nothing but bad news—disease, poverty and mongrelization.

800 Million Mexicans in 60 years. Of them all the Mexican invasion is the most rapidly accelerating threat. This is partly due to our 2000 mile long common border. The population of Mexico today (1980) is seventy million, and as I have already pointed out, it is doubling every twenty years. In 60 years, as I have also pointed out (if the Hispanic element is left unchecked) the North American continent will be infested with 800 million Mexican-Hispanic mongrels. Sixty years is not a long time. Need we go further? For centuries Mexico hasn’t been able to feed her people..

As a result they swarm across the border into the United States by the millions each year to get on welfare, to feed and to breed. In the last decade or so they have made alarming inroads in the population of California, Arizona, New Mexico and other southwestern states. With the one-sided help of the Jewish anti-White Federal government, they have also gained tremendous political power. The Mexicans make no bones about their racial hatred and their social and political goals. On the schoolboards, on the municipal level, they openly brag that they will outbreed and drive the gringo out of these territories that once belonged to Mexico. At this point neither the White Man nor the United States government is lifting a finger to stop this outrage. On the contrary—collaboration and betrayal are the order of the day.

Thinking Gone Berserk. Let us remember therefore, that the Mexicans are succeeding **only because the White Man is letting them**, and the White Man is stupidly letting them because his racial creed is all fouled up by Jewish-Christian propaganda, coupled with Jewish betrayal. It is up to the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR to correct this horrible tragedy and clear the White Man's brain.

At the heading of this chapter we called the Mexican War **An Unfinished War**. We couldn't be more serious. We have a war of major proportions on our hands, a war in which **the present government (as usual) is betraying the White Race and is on the side of our enemies**. Whether we know it or not, it is a war we are losing (at present) but can easily reverse if we get our bearings straight. So let us clear away the mental cobwebs that shackle our thinking and adopt an aggressive, meaningful program. **We have no time to lose.**

What We Must Do.

1. **The first thing we must do is seal our borders from any further invasion of the Mexican hordes.** After all, they are violating our immigration laws and therefore are criminal invaders. Since they are swarming in by the millions, what is the difference if they are doing so as a military army or as civilian invaders? The results are the same—they are taking over our land and living space. Therefore I propose we get tough and enforce the laws we now have. **Set up machine guns and mow them down mercilessly as they try to invade us.** This will definitely put a stop to this outrage.

2. **Change the immigration laws so that the immigration quota from Mexico is an absolute zero.**

3. **The next step is to aggressively comb the Mexican population for illegal aliens in our midst.** Their very presence, too, is an outrage against our sovereign territory and all we need to do is **strictly enforce the law as it now stands.** Every illegal then must be booted back into Mexico and told in no uncertain terms that if they ever again cross the border or are found on U.S. soil they will be shot on sight.

4. Once we have accomplished that much, the next step is to **forcibly**

ship back to Mexico all Mexicans of that racial origin, whether they are citizens or not, whether they were born here or not. Severe? Remember what Santa Ana did to the Texans when they had the power.

5. The next step is to adopt the same program as our great President Polk and courageous forefathers did in 1836 and again in 1846-48, and that is **push the White Man's boundaries farther southward.**

We Now Have a Racial Policy. There will be one difference at this stage of history. Whereas the White Man's instincts in 1846 were basically sound, he did not have a clearly formulated racial creed or policy. **Now we do.** When we now expand our territory, we must do it in planned steps, and every step we take must be cleansed of the mud races and settled and assimilated by the White Race before the next step is taken. These steps we must take in rapid succession **until we again reach and regain the Panama Canal** that the Jews in collaboration with White traitors have gratuitously turned over to the enemy.

If some of these steps may seem harsh to some of our still befuddled White Racial Comrades let us look at it this way:

1. **We have no choice.** In the relentless struggle for survival that Nature has imposed on all her creatures the conclusion is obvious; either the Mexicans will take over, (with our help) and eventually massacre a diminishing White population as did Santa Ana in Texas, or we push the Mexicans ever southward into oblivion.

2. **This is not a new program, but a program initiated by our courageous forefathers who built America. It is in fact 350 years old and is the bedrock on which America was built.** We CREATORS call it the "American Way." Do you want to argue against it and repudiate 350 years of American history?

3. Let us look at it from the **moral point of view of the Christian Bible.** This book tells us that God is perfect and we poor dumb sinners are supposed to try to become more Godlike. Alright, let's pursue that approach. What did God do when he didn't like the people he created? **He killed them all,** he drowned them like a bunch of miserable rats in the "Great Flood," except for Noah's family. Then we can cite dozens of passages of the Old Testament where **the Jewish God goaded the Jews on to take over the lands of the Canaanites, Philistines, etc.** and encouraged them to "kill everything that breathes". Then also we can cite today's Chosen People **driving the Arabs out of Palestine** to the cheers of the rest of the "civilized" world. Shall I go on? So if that's the Godlike approach, the moral and Christian way of dealing with a whole mass of people, why should we be condemned for taking a similar, but much milder approach to the mud races invading our territory and threatening our very survival?

4. Now let us look at it **from the point of view of the Eternal Laws of Nature,** the position that the CHURCH OF THE CREATOR takes on all issues. The overriding Law of Nature is the **survival of the species at all costs.** Nature does not ask any questions as to what your methods are or

what (temporary) moral code you espouse, or anything else. It plainly commends to each creature to care for their own and harshly punishes those that are too lax, or too laggard, or too stupid, with the ultimate punishment—extinction. This is the bottom line as far as we are concerned. Our religious creed is founded on the **Eternal Laws of Nature**, and our basic goal is the resultant conclusion of such laws: **the Survival, Expansion and Advancement of the White Race.**

The Winning of the West: Our Blueprint for the Winning of the World.

Our Blueprint. Since the **Winning of the West** was the finest and greatest achievement in the history of the **White Race**, we want to weave this theme into our religious program. We want to remind and reemphasize to our **White Racial Comrades of the spirit of work, hardship, fighting and colonization of the 19th century that produced the greatness of America.** We want to remind our people that **conquest and colonization is the American Way** and to have some insipid bleeding hearts roadblock every action the **White Race** takes for its own survival will not only be condemned as being un-American, but will also be considered as **treason against the White Race itself.** We again want to remind any traitors of how General Scott handled the traitors at Churubusco.

The Western Heritage our Trade Mark. Therefore, instead of adopting say the Nazi style of military dress and format (which we also admire) we prefer the Western style of dress, in the manner of the cowboy; or the U.S. cavalry, or the Texas Rangers. We also will stress cowboy and country music, folk music, square dancing and other customs that reflect our early heritage. We will also encourage a revival of the architecture of the western frontier towns for our religious buildings. Why not? That is our real heritage and we want to emphasize that the foundations of the **CHURCH OF THE CREATOR** are not only grounded in the history and heritage of the **White Race** itself but those foundations are also **rooted in early America.** They are not a foreign import but **thoroughly American** in every way. Our proud slogan must be: **The Winning of the West is the blueprint for the Winning of the World.**

Reprints available at the following prices: Order No. 32048
We Shall Be Masters of Our Own Manifest Destiny; Winning of the West: Prototype for Winning the World; The War with Mexico 1846-48: An Unfinished War: single copy \$1.25 — 10 for \$10.00 — 100 for \$90.00 — 500 for \$400.00 plus postage.

For a sample copy of our monthly magazine, *The Liberty Bell*, several reprints of some eye-opening articles, and a comprehensive book list, send \$2.00 to:

Liberty Bell Publications

P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA

Letters to the Editor, continued from page 8

Dear Mr. Dietz:

8 July 1983

I thoroughly enjoyed the complimentary May issue of "Liberty Bell." Your magazine is one of a very few I've come across in the U.S. since my arrival from Britain a year ago that gets to the very core of White man's predicament. I am enclosing my check for a subscription; keep up the jolly good work.

Yours truly,

N.H., Michigan

Dear George:

8 July 1983

Enclosed check for 10 additional copies of Dr. Oliver's "The Yellow Peril" if and when they are ready. Another great essay in the collection and one that gives me a peculiar lift since one of the sources he quotes from (Bakony) is my translation from the Spanish edition that I did for James Warner a few years ago.

One of these days, so help me, I'm going to find my way that far east to thank you personally for the tremendous contribution you are making with the stuff you've been publishing the past few years, especially, of course, the magisterial essays RPO has been turning out.

Yours,

T.K., California

12 July 1983

Dear George:

Hope all is well with you and yours. At least they haven't gotten you yet.

Nearly missed seeing your subscription renewal notice—don't ever shut it off but remind me again if you have to—ok? For now, find a check for the mag and for another copy of "Mein Kampf."

To comment on "Mein Kampf": Sorry I never came across a copy before that could be said was authentic. The way this one reads, I'm sure it must be. I've learned more than just what's in the book, as I could never before resolve in my mind the fact that Hitler appeared to have more popular support than just about any other political figure in history. If he was as bad as has been indicated, how did he do it? His Germany was a reaction to the Jew/Communists and other politicians. I also see the vilification of Germany to this day as a means to prevent people in other countries from understanding what happened and why.

The few I meet who WILL READ, I suggest the "Protocols" first and then "Mein Kampf."

Sincerely,

E.H., Florida

Dear George:

16 July 1983

A few days ago I brought out the TV after the several months it had

October 1983

been gathering dust and plugged it in. I don't know why I did that, but it's back in the closet now. The first thing I saw when I turned it on was a couple of homosexual movie critics calling a movie "anti-semitism masquerading as anti-racism" because it portrayed the Ku Klux Klan as a group of bungling idiots rather than bloodthirsty killers. If moderate defamation of the Klan is now "anti-Semitism," where does that leave people who have no opinion?

Next an ad for "Time" magazine promised to make me "understand" if only I would subscribe, and showed various scenes of idiotic "Time" readers, their faces glowing with expressions of unutterable joy. How an "understanding" that the world is turning into a giant cesspool could evoke such a reaction is, to me, a mystery.

At length, my innate "anti-stupidic" tendencies took over, silencing the obnoxious device once more. I think TV sets should come with a printed warning similar to the one on packages of cigarettes. Perhaps with a Star of David on the side.

Sincerely,
S.D., California

* * * * *

Dear Sir:

20 July 1983

Went to Australia, but I am back now and I can't be without "Liberty Bell," the best magazine published in the entire U.S!

Thank you,
H.C.H., Washington

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

20 July 1983

Enclosed check for subscription renewal and a few copies of "Communism is Irish!" I've been wondering about that one for years and my curiosity won out: I'm Scotch-Irish myself, and if "Communism is Irish," I'll kiss your you-know-what at the next World's Fair.

Affectionately,
T.T., North Carolina

* * * * *

Gentlemen:

22 July 1983

Enclosed you will find my check for one hundred copies of your March 1983 reprint of "An Open Letter to the Gentiles" by Lt. Col. F.P. Farrell. It gives a good resume of what I have learned in uncovering and combatting the REAL enemies of our Constitutional Republic and Christian Morality since 1932.

Yours for God & Country,
H.D., Texas

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

26 July 1983

Here is my subscription for the "Liberty Bell. I have been reading my father's books for the last two years, and I feel you put out a SUPER publication. Your articles on money are usually very good, and your attacks on the Christian religion should make every Christian who has at

Liberty Bell

least a little bit of ability to think for himself left in him to stand back and see what a bunch of garbage it all is.

I wish I could convince other people of the problems we are facing today: what the CAUSE of the problems is. Most people think they have the answer: They KNOW that what the news media tells them is the TRUTH. They KNOW what the college professors tell them is TRUTH. I find most people refuse to even open their minds and search for another possible answer to what's going on. Almost instinctively (an instinct that has been TAUGHT to them) they turn away from anything we have to offer.

I hope for everyone's sake that we wake up and realize what's going on, or I'm afraid we're doomed.

Yours truly,
J.W., California

* * * * *

Dear George:

22 July 1983

As a G.I., I arrived in France in September 1944 and went into Germany about 15 February 1945, and not once did I hear anything about the Germans "gassing" or mistreating prisoners or Jews.

Since I was with Gen. George S. Patton's 3rd Army, 12th Armored Div., shortly after the Battle of the Bulge we were shot at every morning about breakfast time by the German Air Force, and I admired the skill of those pilots.

My first impression of Germany was admiration for the cleanliness and beauty of the place. No beer cans on the streets, no trash, broken glass, not anything to mar the beauty of the place. During the period between February and September 1945, I visited Dachau in Germany and Mauthausen work camp in Austria, and talked to a lot of inmates and not one word did I hear about Jews or any inmates being killed. There were British, French, and even American prisoners set free by the 3rd Army, which also had control of Bavaria, and the only complaint I heard was that they had too many potatoes to eat.

My first impression of the German people came in a small village west of Baumholder, when we stopped to eat 'C' rations for lunch and some ladies came out of their houses to offer us eggs and bread. As 1st Sgt. of the unit, I tried to turn the offer down, but they insisted. From that time on I never thought of using my weapon and half the time never wore the 45 cal pistol. The lies of today that claim Germans are savages are not true and the only reason for those lies is because the Jews in the USA are trying to cover some of their own crimes, such as murdering Americans with poisons in food, cancer, influenza, pneumonia, heart disease, TB, etc. ONE IS WHAT ONE EATS AND DRINKS, and since Jews own the flour mills, liquor industry, meat packing industry, sugar refineries, etc., it is only natural that they should poison Christians and then wait for them at the hospital or drug store to get their money. This is what Hitler did not want in Germany.

The 'Swine Flu' vaccination of a few years ago is a good example of the

Jew/Communist secret weapon. When Nikita Krushchev came to the U.N. in 1958 and said, "We will bury you," he was talking about biological warfare.

That 'Swine Flu' program cost the taxpayers \$135,000,000, killed some on the spot and left others paralyzed for life. The cure for flu is to get the Jews out of flour mills, sugar refineries, etc. A real leader in the White House would be one who comes out against the Jews and biological warfare.

I returned to Germany and had the microwave terminal at Koenigsstuhl, Heidelberg, and worked every day with some of the guys who were in the German Army and Air Force, and travelled all over the country. I hated to leave in 1945 and hated departing in 1959 and 1973. Not once did I feel threatened, and the only muggings I heard of were of American GI's robbing taxi drivers. As a Tech-Rep in Germany (1971-1973), I traveled throughout the land and lived in a small village with a German family, and again hated to return to the USA. Having lived in Japan, the Philippines, Okinawa, Hawaii, France, England, Austria, and Korea, I must say the German people are the finest, and the government of the USA is the most corrupt I have seen. If I could afford a second home, it would be in Germany.

The reason for showing the Holocaust lie after all these years is because the Jews have been exposed for what they are, a bunch of sadists, crooks, and swindling shysters.

Every president since the death of JFK has been bribed to brainwash the American people. My regret is that we didn't have Hitler as President of the United States from 1933 until 1945.

The reason for WWII was due to the 25-point program of the Third Reich, which carried with it the death penalty for ALL corrupt officials, usury, etc. That program smacked Roosevelt and the International Jews right between the eyes.

Well, that's enough for now.

Best regards,
S.C., 1st/Sgt.-US Army ret.
New Jersey

Greetings, Racial Kinsmen:

12 July 1983

I need your help in my efforts to continue my hopes of spreading the word of our cause and endeavors through corresponding with other prisoners and organizations of our movement. I am also writing articles for various movement publications. All this takes many postage stamps, but I do not care to ask for charity. However, I am declared a political prisoner here and effectively I am denied any access to programs through which I might earn some money. I have found an opportunity to earn a small commission through selling greeting cards, one of which cards is enclosed as a sample. These cards are designed by prisoners and are available in sets of 15 per box. They are in various colors, and are for all occasions. Please

instruct anyone ordering the cards not to send any money or orders to me but rather to the address printed on the back of each card [ESJ, P.O. Box 1864, West Monroe, LA 71291]. They cost \$7 per box and the shipping cost is included. Ask those ordering to please include my name so I will be sure to receive the commission.

Hoping you can and will help me in this, I wish you and yours the very best of health and continued success.

For God and Race,

Heil Hitler!

Robert E. Paul No. 90760

C.C.R. U-C-15, Angola LA 70712

Dear Mr. Dietz:

20 July 1983

Only recently I became acquainted with someone who subscribes to your monthly publication "The Liberty Bell": I borrowed a few copies and was very impressed. I thus enclose a bankdraft for \$25 as a subscription.

I was particularly impressed by your recognition of the danger of Christianity and its weakening effect upon the White man's values. I was pleased to see someone stand up and criticize Christianity for being the kosherized threat it is. There are too few "right wingers" who are prepared to do this.

As I see it, two of the main weaknesses of the Christian "right wingers" are that, firstly, they tend to get diverted away from the real enemy towards what they see as satanically inspired entities such as the plausibly dangerous "Trilateral Commission," down to the ridiculous "666" and U.F.O. conspiracies.

The second problem with Christian "right wingers" is that the promotion and protection of the White man and White values above all other inhabitants is in direct conflict with the fundamental principle of Christianity that "all men are equal." Consequently, in the final analysis, one cannot help but be doubtful as to the reliability and loyalty of these Christians to the cause of the White man.

It has been suggested that all "right wing" groups should stop all this fighting amongst themselves and get on with the fight against the real enemy. I have no doubt that you have been accused of further dividing the "right wing" by alienating the Christians with your articles that hammer Christianity for what it really is. I have always believed that the various organizations promoting the interests of the White Race, not only in the U.S., but world-wide, will only achieve significant steps forward when they bury their differences and present a united front to the enemy. Even though I recognise the need for the greatest possible co-operation and agreement between the pro-White groups, to include these fanatical Christian groups will surely be more of a burden than an asset to the pro-White movement. I don't mean so much those organizations who talk of protecting "White Christian Civilization" (such as the NSRP or the Klan), but rather those cranky Christian groups who run around quoting

from the Bible (the Word of Yahweh) and warning that we must believe, etc. This brand of "right winger" with his alien beliefs is in fact a danger to the White movement and definitely ought to be excluded.

In conclusion then, I must once again congratulate you on exposing Christianity for being the alien belief that it is and for highlighting the threat it poses to the defense of the White Race. It has proved itself an extremely subversive tool in the promotion of race mixing and degeneracy and the time is ripe to prevent it from doing further damage.

Yours for the White Race,
L.J., Cape Town, South Africa

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

31 July 1983

Two letters from a convict, Roosevelt Williams, appeared in your March issue. They called for books and support for a white solidarity library in N.Y. state prisons. I responded. He now tells me that he has served 12 years of a 20 year sentence for armed bank robbery and police assault (claiming the bank was Jewish), and he wants \$50 to pay a lawyer to free him. But he doesn't want me to go into the details of his case when soliciting funds. I mentioned this to a right-wing prisoner who told me (1) he has never heard of him, (2) many convicts are con-men who try any angle and (3) almost all people named Roosevelt are coons. Do you know anything about this person? [No.-Ed.] Have you ever physically seen him? [No.-Ed.] How can the liberals in N.Y. tolerate white books in their system? Many of us would like to help true political prisoners, but we cannot allow frauds in. Since you carried his letters, you should check this out. A good proof would be newspaper clippings showing his face. Why not write him a letter asking for details and proof of his case? We can compare what he writes to you with what he has written to me.

Your magazine is interesting. I am a member of the Euro-American Alliance and subscribe to their magazine.

Godspeed,
J.T., Illinois

* * * * *

Dear George:

2 August 1983

I just received my order for cassette tapes. I would like to comment on the JDL tape. First, I did not realize such nauseating drivel could emanate from the mouths of sub-humans; that they are sub-humans is evident from quality and quantity of drivel. According to Bonnie L. Fischer's "Tracking Down the Food Killer," the word Hebrew means descendants of the Ass; their braying, as evidenced on the tape, validates that hypothesis. That we Goyim could let ourselves be herded together for fleecing and slaughter does not speak highly of us. . .

I am almost completely disparaged of attempting to alert or educate my "fellow citizens." A friend of mine, an activist conservative Republican, just cannot deal with the facts; truth sets up in most a cognitive dissonance which is almost impossible to overcome. This typically foolish conservative prefers to think that the war is a philosophical and ideological one and he

studies, with a fixation, free market economics, failing to understand that Karl Marx was a free-market economist and that Hayek, von Mises, and Ayn Rand were all Jews.

Best wishes ever,
W.F., Washington

Herr Dietz:

2 August 1983

I have written this letter on behalf of my fellow comrades-in-arms in order to pay homage to a man who has given so much of himself, not only to better himself, but to help educate the masses of our mutual cause: the return of the White man to power.

The man we are all talking about is Melvin Luther Cox from Chattanooga, TN [No. 15416—Unit 8, PO Box 14, Boise, ID 83707]. Melvin has been a prisoner of war for more years than anyone would care to think about, in both State and Federal prisons; but through it all, he has remained a rock of support for many, many less fortunate. To fellow kinsmen all over the United States and elsewhere, he is in constant touch through correspondence, sending stamps, subscriptions, literature and support, doing without more often than not. Words fail to adequately express our feelings of a man whom we have had the honor of knowing personally. A member of Aryan Nations and a true Teutonic Knight in every sense of the word.

We hope you will print this to at least let him know that we are honored to have had the privilege to know him. A place has been reserved for him in Valhalla.

MELVIN — WE SALUTE YOU!

Steve Bendle, Michael Rieny, W.D. Arendall
* * * * *

Dear Sir:

3 August 1983

Henry Kissinger is an arch enemy of the United States; the well-known traitor from the Vietnam War, where the US lost for the first time in her history, through clever subversion from within. He is lurking behind the scenes to get back into the Federal Government and, sure enough, Ronald Reagan, a true vassal of US Jewry, promised him a post to head a new national commission as his adviser on Central American policy. And so he will be allowed to spread Jewish interests in Central America, i.e., Jewish Communism. President Reagan clearly showed his completely alien attitude and must be branded too as a traitor to American ideals and pursuits.

It must be very clear to grass-roots citizens that he must be defeated in 1984 despite that all of the Jewish directed press will promote him as a good president, worthy to be reelected. But he is not good at all, as he works together with the Establishment on a "One World Government," which will mean Communism in the U.S., with dictatorial Jewish rule, just as it is now in the U.S.S.R.

O.V.D., Oregon

* * * * *

Dear George:

3 August 1983

I really liked the latest "Liberty Bell." Dr. Oliver's article was superb.

October 1983

Allan Callahan was also very good. His approach was so unenamored with the usual higher criticism. It sounds so simple and innocent. Almost like our ancient ancestors when they first encountered Christianity. The lack of sophistry seemed fresh and appealing to me.

As for Dr. Oliver, whose book "America's Decline" I have only recently completed, it was for me many things rolled into one. The brevity of the chapters made it easy to break off reading at each closing. And it wasn't necessary to read straight through from start to finish. But the titles and subjects would entice me to read one—then another. I was, quite frankly, amazed at Dr. Oliver's erudition and scholarly acumen. His versatility and interdisciplinary vocabulary in treating subjects and facts was phenomenal. And while describing and elucidating facts, events, and happenings, I was amazed at the writer's style, use of idioms, metaphors, and his way of saying things. Surely his life has been one of constant, uninterrupted scholarship. His breadth of vision, lack of dogmatics, implicit and singular use of reason and fact, make his book charming and delightful reading, but also awakens in the reader the scope, problems, and questions which the racist often has latent in his mind but seldom consciously thinks about. I highly recommend the book to all your readers.

88!

R.H., Indiana

* * * * *

Dear Liberty Bell:

4 August 1983

I enjoy the long, informative letter to the editor, the ones by L. Lee Layton especially. I am a hard, hard Conservative and have no use for the ruling Jews. I like Germany and Hitler and I have been to Dachau and have seen nothing of gas ovens.

Keep right on!

L.C. (77 years young), Arizona

* * * * *

Dear George:

10 August 1983

Thank you for considering me in your small circle of well-wishers and supporters. My influence is counted in ones and twos, and not too wide spread at that.

It's hard to get through to people; the conditioning is so vast and the ramifications are almost impossible to break down in any hurry. Just planting seeds seems not to be enough. But, considering how long things took to get through my thick skull, maybe there is hope yet.

I am a transportation buff and student, and every time I look at what the Jews did to our railroad system and how the degradation is barely noticed by the public, I get mad. Now the newspapers are screaming about the infrastructure breakdown; so, where were they twenty and thirty years ago? Simple; putting propaganda out on just how great the highways and airline subsidies were. Odin knows, Hitler had a far better national policy than the Jews who run our "System." . . .

Your work is excellent; just keep the faith, because we are in for a long hard row!

Sincerely,

B.H., New York

Liberty Bell

KEEP THE *LIBERTY BELL* RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY — HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**