

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

'AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007—\$8.50 376 pp., pb.
plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg. ORDER FROM:
LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21; Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145-7667

SINGLE COPY \$3.00

CAUSES AND EFFECTS OF THE FIRST WORLD WAR

Captain Kenneth McWilliam

page 21

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: Psychic Epidemiology, page 1; Soviet Stooges, page 4; Conscience in a Politician, page 5; Evangelical Democracy, page 6; Lingering Uncertainty, page 12; Misbehaving Voters, page 55. **HIT THE SOBS WHERE THEY LIVE!**, by Mark Tully, page 13. **LETTER FROM CAPE CANAVERAL**, page 38.

VOL. 13 — NO. 10

JUNE 1986

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA - Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1984

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$: 3.00
THIRD CLASS - U.S.A. only	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS - U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS - All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL - Europe-South America	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa	\$49.00
Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by
Revalo P. Oliver

PSYCHIC EPIDEMIOLOGY

The periodical *Magill*, said to be the most widely circulated fortnightly in Ireland, in its issue for 16 May 1985, reported a symptomatic incident in Asdee, a hamlet not far from Ballybunion in County Kerry.

Ballybunion is a town on the western coast of Ireland, south of the estuary of the Shannon. Tourists who go to County Kerry usually go to the Lakes of Killarney, and few even hear of Ballybunion, although some aged Americans may remember the town that was the terminus of the Listowel & Ballybunion, a unique railway, the highly picturesque and hopelessly impractical product of some inventor's fantasy. Even Asdee may not be entirely unknown in the United States today, since its late parish priest claimed that the hamlet was the home of the ancestors of Jesse James, whom he made a local hero and for the repose of whose soul he celebrated a requiem mass each year.¹ The hamlet now has a Jesse James Tavern and some hope of attracting American tourists.

1. You should not laugh at Hibernian gullibility. The noted outlaw is the American Robin Hood and the subject of almost incredibly incredible tales that have found numerous believers. They are constantly being improved.

The wily founder of the most notorious gang of Illuminati, Weishaupt, once rubbed his hands joyfully and exclaimed, "O marvellous mind of man! What can you not be made to believe?" The fatal human craving to believe the impossible is not by any means limited to religion and politics. If you want a chastening proof of what the human mind can do, procure a copy of Del Schrader's *Jesse James was One of his Names* (Arcadia, California; Santa Anita Press, 1975), Schrader, who describes himself as "a veteran metropolitan newspaperman" and claims to have drawn his data from the "James family archives," which were opened to him by "Jesse James III," describes in 302 pages "the Greatest Cover Up in History by the Famous Outlaw Who Lived 73 Incredible Lives." I can't begin to tell you about the wonderful historical revelations contained in this book, but readers of *Liberty Bell* may be particularly interested in learning that while

On 14 February, a young Irish girl, Elizabeth Flynn, went into the parish church, St. Mary's, from the adjacent school during her lunch hour to venerate the plaster images of Jesus and the Virgin. She soon emerged to tell her schoolfellows excitedly that she had seen the statues move. I should have speculated about optical illusions produced by the flickering flames of votive candles in the dim interior of the church or wondered whether the girl was suffering from strabismus, had not *Magill* published a full-page color photograph of Elizabeth. Her round face and brown eyes bore an expression of sly and sleek self-satisfaction, and I thought at once of the pair of adolescent girls who convinced poor old Sir Arthur Conan Doyle that they consorted with fairies and gnomes. (See *Is There Intelligent Life on Earth?*, p. 8.)

Of the children whom Elizabeth's sensational news brought into the church that noon, only a boy, it seems, saw the plaster Virgin's antics or, perhaps, was as gallant as Tom Sawyer and said he did; but within a few days thirty-six of Elizabeth's classmates were trying to participate in her glory by seeing the image become animated. And soon, some adults were beholding the same manifestation of God's Grace. Then some dunderhead connected the miracle with a scandal that was then agitating the Irish populace and inspiring Irish journalists, three of whom (including a candidate for the Irish parliament) were spurring their typewriters in a race to be the first to publish a highly spiced book on the Kerry Babies. (A teen-age girl is said to have delivered herself of an illegitimate child in a field one night and then to have wrapped her infant in plastic and thrown it into the sea. That brought to notice other clandestine births, some the result of incest.) Amid such moral depravity, a sure sign of the proximate End of Time, what was more likely than that the Mother of God should give her image a few jerks to reassure the Faithful that she was still on the job?

Naturally, children at Ballydesmond (named in honor of the legendary king), aglow with piety and local patriotism, saw an

Jesse James was being Henry Ford in 1922, he smuggled his close personal friend, Kaiser Wilhelm II of Germany, together with latter's bride, Princess Hermine, out of Holland and brought them to West Virginia, having thoughtfully hired a 'double' to take his friend's place in Doorn, Holland, and thus avoid publicity. I purchased Schrader's book soon after it was published on the recommendation of an acquaintance, a graduate of one of the most highly reputed universities, who was agog over its sensational historical revelations. I was puzzled until I learned that my correspondent was a devout Christian. O marvellous mind of man!

image of the Virgin in their local church emulate her counterpart in Asdee. The latter, not to be outdone, put more zip into her act and even grasped with her plaster hands the hands of a man and then of a woman, and pilgrims hastened to the hamlet to witness more miracles. In such phenomena, it is hard to estimate how much should be attributed to the auto-hypnotic effect of intensely emotional and unreasoning faith and how much to mendacity, vanity, and a pathetic wish to be important for a moment.

The issue of *Magill* that reported these events also stated that the excitement was subsiding, but that was a bad guess. Images of the Virgin began to twitch and shimmy all over southern Ireland, and Irish newspapers had to report every few days that another plaster St. Mary was showing symptoms of St. Vitus's Dance. For some reason, the popularity contest was won by a statue located in a grotto near Ballinspittle, a small village in County Cork, a few miles from the southern shore of Erin. Dr. Kirakowski, a Polish psychologist who teaches at University College in the city of Cork, came to see and, by gum!, he saw the statue move, actually move, and grabbed his rosary in a hurry, but he later said that whether or not the image had in fact jumped for him, he could explain his vision of it psychologically. Other Irish psychologists, who have not seen the statue disport itself, are investigating the psychic perturbations of crowds, but the proprietors of the now prosperous grotto declare that any suggestion that the statue's eccentric behavior is imaginary is "disrespectful to God and his Blessed Mother" and comes from wicked pagans who are trying to frustrate "Mary's plans," although they do not tell us what she has in mind. They quote Pope John Paul II as decreeing that "Science is powerless to prove the existence of God," thus crediting that deity with a distinction that he must share with leprechauns and pixies. It is indubitable that Mary's performances have been a blessing to some of her votaries, especially the innkeepers in Ballinspittle and the energetic fellows who hawk hamburgers, soda pop, and souvenirs to the throngs of simpletons who stand for hours and even days waiting for the image to start jerking, often staring at it through binoculars to be sure of not missing the least tremor.

News of the Hibernian miracles soon reached the United States. On August 15, the *Wall Street Journal* reported on its first page that the True Believers were assembling at the grotto in crowds of fourteen thousand at a time to pray and wait for

Mary's statue to have the spasms by which she shows her favor to the more pious of her votaries. A nasty skeptic in Dublin asks, "What's happening to people's minds when they see a statue move?"

The contagion of psychic epidemics among crowds whose minds have been alienated by inculcated superstitions is a well-known phenomenon in *la psychologie des foules*—pardon the pun—but it is rare that we have an opportunity to trace such an epidemic to its precise and trivial origin. I am sure that Elizabeth Flynn must now look even more sleekly satisfied with herself than she does in the photograph. It is not every little girl who can start an epidemic.

* * *

SOVIET STOOGES

It is a matter of common knowledge that the United States, after using the Nationalist government of China as an ally in the war against Japan, by an act of blackest treachery turned China over to the Communists. The infamous traitor, General George Catlett Marshall, who was one of the Roosevelt fiend's principal coadjutors in contriving the destruction of the American fleet at Pearl Harbor, once boasted that he had delivered China to the Bolsheviks with a single stroke of his mighty pen. By this time, the world knows there is no act so vile that the United States will not commit it as it serves as the principal weapon of the Judaeo-Communist assault on Western civilization. But one may still be astonished that Roosevelt and his masters were able so quickly to efface the moral sense of our race.

The *Chicago Tribune*, 20 December 1985, reports a reunion of the veterans of the Far Eastern service of the old O.S.S., which was known in informed circles in Washington as the Office of Soviet Stooges. Although it enlisted many Americans who did not understand the ends they were serving, it had two principal functions: to provide commissions for Jews and comfortable berths in which they would not risk abrasion of their tender hides while waiting to torture Germans after Germany had been defeated; and to conduct guerrilla warfare abroad, chiefly by organizing and hiring the criminal classes in nations with which the United States was openly or secretly at war. In at least one operation, the American officer in command of a clandestine landing by parachute in enemy territory was

murdered by his Communist subordinates so that the gold and arms destined for a "resistance group" could be delivered directly to openly Bolshevik "partisans." The facts became known and excited indignation in military circles, but the assassins, naturally, were protected by the Revolutionary Tribunal that sits in the building built for the Supreme Court, when we had one.

At the reunion, it was disclosed that the active branch of the O.S.S. in Burma had worked for two months on an attempt to murder General Chiang Kai-shek, who was at that time being lauded fulsomely as America's "noble ally" in a "fight for world peace and freedom." Chiang Kai-shek was so cautious that the assassins were frustrated—or perhaps we should credit the caution to the yellow Jewess who was his wife. The pretext for the attempted assassination was that Chiang was not fighting the Japanese hard enough in 1943, but the obvious purpose, whether or not the commander in the field knew it, was to turn China over to the Communists even before the end of the war. It was the fixed purpose of the government in Washington to install the Communists in China, but it was not until 1971 that the United States shamelessly betrayed the Chinese Republic on Formosa (Taiwan), in preparation for the time when the tax-paying animals in the United States would be put to work to subsidize Communist China, financing for their enemies industrial establishments of all kinds, including munitions-factories, and giving to them the technical knowledge and facilities for manufacturing wholesale nuclear bombs that can eventually be used on Americans, should the stupid creatures become discontented with their enslavement.

The world, as I have said, has long known there is no depth of infamy to which Americans will not descend at the behest of their Yiddish masters, but even so, an attempt to assassinate an ally at the very time at which he was being exhorted to make every sacrifice of himself and his nation for the "common cause" may be thought noteworthy.

* * *

CONSCIENCE IN A POLITICIAN?

The December 1985 issue of *Spearhead* roundly accused the present government of Little Britain of treason. That, of course, was not news to anyone who has, year after year, watched

the government in London gratify its alien masters by betraying its race and even its own people in Rhodesia and elsewhere throughout the world. The immediate occasion for the charge was a treaty with Ireland that had just been signed for the obvious purpose of encouraging the terrorists who are harassing and murdering the Anglo-Saxons and the Scots of Ulster in a campaign to drive them from their country and force them to seek refuge in England, where, needless to say, they will be extremely unwelcome, if, indeed, they are grudgingly admitted by a government that welcomes only niggers and wogs, who can be counted on to help put Englishmen in their place as the 'serfs of all their enemies.

What is a little interesting is that the same number of the magazine transcribes a speech by Enoch Powell, the member of the British parliament who represents the British people. In it, Powell, evidently from his own personal knowledge, assured his listeners that Maggie Thatcher, the *shabbat goyah* who is the British counterpart of our Ronnie, had been averse to the treaty and had opposed it until forced by pressure from the United States (i.e., from the aliens who rule the country that was ours until we gave it away) to accede to a policy that she knows will be disastrous. If the poor woman does have a conscience, we may pity her, but in any case, if Powell is right, we are given an insight into the workings of politics in a "democracy," i.e., ochlocracy. And it is only natural that the government of which Maggie is the nominal head is preparing to mobilize nine thousand British soldiers to shoot the British in Ulster if those lowly Aryans are not content to be the victims of the world's Master Race and the traitors whom it hires in all countries in which our race has not yet been liquidated.

* * *

EVANGELICAL DEMOCRACY

Walking with bare feet over burning coals is currently a popular and lucrative device for extracting money from suckers who can be impressed by a display of seemingly miraculous and supernatural powers. If you have an inclination to make yokels gawk, you will find full instructions for the trick in a pair of articles in the issue of the *Skeptical Inquirer* for Fall 1985. The vogue of firewalking today as a proof of "paranormal" and presumably psychic powers reminded me of one of history's

many ironies.

In the Fifteenth Century, Florence was one of the cultural capitals of the Renaissance, made illustrious by its artists, who are now known as Old Masters, and by its Humanists, one of whom was so eminent that his name was naturalized in English as Politian. The Golden Age of Florence ended in a way I summarized years ago in an article on Politian: "On 8 April 1492, Lorenzo il Magnifico died, presumably of natural causes. The next day, the body of his physician, a noted professor of medicine, was found at the bottom of a well. With such auspices the power of the Medici, a beneficent despotism covered by a now threadbare and tattered mantle of republican forms, passed into the tremulous hands of Politian's former pupil, Piero, a boy of twenty who was heir to responsibilities beyond his capacities and to accumulated hatreds that might have daunted a Caesar."

Piero lasted for only two years. He was driven out of Florence by a revolution incited by the famous Savonarola, an evangelical Dominican of the "moral majority" type, who preached Hell Fire and Damnation so eloquently that he scared two-thirds of the population of Florence out of their wits. He is now venerated by most Protestants, who esteem him as a precursor of Luther and the Reformation, but it is well to recall the judicious characterization of him by the eminent historian, William Roscoe, in the first volume of his *Life and Pontificate of Leo the Tenth*: "Savonarola united in himself those exact proportions of knavery, talents, folly, and learning, which, combined with the insanity of superstition, comprise the character of a fanatic."

The holy demagogue communicated his fanaticism to his followers, who were or professed to be of the "born again" type so sadly common in our time, inflated with their own righteousness as a substitute for intelligence, and so numerous that they, together with the citizens whom they harassed into acquiescence, formed a politically potent majority in elections. They hated, with moralistic venom, the distinguished men who had been Lorenzo's friends, and, as so commonly happens, the Servants of the Lord felt that their plethora of righteousness exempted them from "pagan" morality while doing the Lord's work. In my article I showed, on the basis of the then unpublished chronicle by a neutral contemporary, Piero Parenti, that it was highly probable that the man who poisoned Giovanni Pico, Count della Mirandola, was an agent of Savonarola's faction, and that it was quite possible that the same faction also poisoned Politian, who died "mysteriously" at almost the same time.

From 1494 to 1498, Savonarola was the real ruler of Florence, for although he held no office himself and pretended to be only the godly prior of the local Dominican establishment, no one whom he had not selected could be elected to any office under the constitution that he had himself drafted for the "restored" Florentine Republic. It must be admitted that the friar's nominees showed exemplary courage and resolution in dealing with Charles VIII of France and his army, and that their civil government was, on the whole, honest, just, and efficient.

In ordering the civil affairs of Florence, Savonarola was a practical and prudent man, but the "insanity of superstition" to which Roscoe refers, coupled with the intoxication that comes upon men who find they can sway crowds with oratory, made him believe that Jesus had appointed him to stamp out "worldliness," "paganism," and sin in Florence. He attempted a Puritanic reformation of Florentine society. His devout followers, who were called 'Snivellers' (*Piagnoni*) by men who remained rational or retained the normal human preference for pleasure over austerity, were not a majority at any time, but they had the Christian zeal for chivying everyone less righteous than they, and they imposed on an effective majority of the population. The usual Christian repudiation of intelligence was most conspicuous in bands of febrile youngsters who boasted in their songs that they were inspired by "Christ's holy madness" as they swarmed through Florence, looking for sins to denounce. Savonarola's rule attained its memorable culmination in the Carnival season in which the gaiety and often licentious merriment that normally preceded the gloomy austerities of Lent was replaced by an orgy of piety and the famous "Bonfire of Vanities." Crazy Florentines piled up the books of "lascivious" poets, paintings that were "pagan," and other works of art that were deemed irreligious, while foolish women contributed the dresses and cosmetics they had used while engaged in the mortal sin of appearing attractive to men. A Jew, who was doubtless watching with amusement the frenzied tribute to a god his race had imposed on Aryans, offered twenty thousand florins for a heap of feminine fineries that were to be consumed by the flames, but he could not shake the piety of their infatuated owners.

Savonarola's apologists claim that nothing of great value was destroyed in the holocaust—that there were other copies of all the books and manuscripts that were burned (I am not so sure), and that the paintings had little artistic merit. One cannot, of

course, determine the aesthetic value of paintings that can no longer be seen or even identified. We regret that Fra Bartolommeo, whose extant religious paintings attest his talent, contracted the epidemic delirium and himself cast into the flames all of his canvasses that depicted nude beauty or other sinful incitements to ungodliness. But we have no inventory of what else perished in the huge bonfire, and conjectures about their cultural value will naturally depend on the prejudices of the writer.

I mention the "Bonfire of Vanities" to show how complete was Savonarola's temporary dictatorship over Florence. Like many others, however, he forgot that power attained by exciting irrational enthusiasms in crowds is precarious.

It was one thing to reform Florence, where his mobs of 'Snivellers' would enforce his every command, but quite another thing to reform Rome, where he had no adherents and his oratory could not be heard. Savonarola, inflated with moral indignation, began to denounce the notorious Pope Alexander VI, who, like high-minded politicians today, felt outraged by vilely irresponsible accusations that he could be so base as to do what he was actually doing. The Pope, finding he could neither conciliate nor bribe the impassioned dervish, eventually excommunicated Savonarola and laid Florence under an interdict. Savonarola replied with eloquent fulminations that were futile outside Florence. He did not actually call the Pope an Antichrist, as some of his Protestant admirers like to claim, but he did pronounce Alexander unfit for the office to which God had presumably elevated him, and he called urgently for a Council of the Church to rectify God's blunder.

Many Florentines were understandably confused by the manifest contradictions between what God told Savonarola in the visions that were vouchsafed to him with ever increasing frequency and what God's duly anointed vicar on earth identified as God's will. Although quite a few priests, reflecting that Savonarola was in town and Alexander in Rome, continued to perform the magic rites of the Church, it was inconvenient to have weddings, funerals, and other sacraments available only on a bootleg basis. What was more important, Florentine merchants, who, by one consequence of the interdict, were denied legal protection outside Florence, became convinced that while it is nice to lay up treasures in Heaven, it is more urgent to lay up treasures on earth. They joined the bankers, who had never been reconciled to a régime that denied them the joys of usury, in a covert but powerful resistance to unprofitable

godliness, and some of Savonarola's political appointees secretly became his vigilant enemies.

Persons who know little of history like to believe what they are told by Catholic propagandists, that Europe before the lamentable Reformation was united by the Faith, and the Church was one unanimous army of holy men under the benign command of St. Peter's divinely recognized heir. In all organized religions, however, the proverbial *odium theologorum* is the inevitable result of competition for prestige and emoluments. In the Fifteenth Century, the two principal orders of rabble-raising evangelists, the Dominicans and the Franciscans, hated each other as ardently as they would later join in hating the Jesuits. Savonarola's bitterest enemies in Florence were the local Franciscans, and as soon as they found it was safe to manifest their malice, they hit upon a plan of undermining his authority by challenging him to prove his sanctity by walking barefoot over hot coals. Their challenge was doubtless intended as a bluff, and Savonarola was too prudent to accept it; but one of his enthusiastic coadjutors accepted it for him as an ordeal to test the relative holiness of the two factions, with Savonarola and/or his coadjutor skipping over the burning coals in competition with one or two Franciscans equally confident that the purity of their souls would keep the soles of their feet from the burning.

On the appointed day, the greater part of the population assembled in the wide Piazza della Signoria, facing the white limestone facade of the Palazzo Vecchio, which everyone who has visited Florence remembers. The fortunate denizens of circumjacent houses had the best view and doubtless profited handsomely from renting choice seats to prosperous citizens who wished to witness miracles in comfort. One wonders what would have happened, had the Church prudently preserved for its champions the technique of touching hot objects, which was certainly known to St. Poppo in the Tenth Century when he performed the trick that amazed the King of Denmark and induced him to herd his subjects to Jesus.¹

1. Most historians believe that King Harald was not so credulous, and that Poppo's "miracle" merely gave him a plausible reason for seeking an alliance with Emperor Otto II, a zealous Christian and dangerous neighbor, against the valiant Jarl Hakon of Norway, who was faithful to the religion of his fathers and was perhaps regretting that he had acknowledged the Danish overlordship and helped Harald resist a German incursion in what seems to have been a smouldering border war.

The two factions of holy men assembled and were marshalled into areas that the government had prudently separated by a barrier to avoid prolusory bloodshed, but the sight of the pathway of glowing coals abated the designated champions' confidence in Jesus, and their cold feet cooled their hot heads. There was first a dispute whether the terms of the challenge required Savonarola to accompany the close friend and assistant whose temerity he must have tacitly execrated a hundred times. When Savonarola professed himself willing, provided he could take with him a wafer that had been consecrated by the Eucharist; the assembled holy men began to wrangle over the question whether or not it would be sacrilege if bread that had been magically transmuted into Jesus's flesh were roasted, as it assuredly would be, if a firewalker's sins, so obvious to his opponents, had made him combustible. The theological haggling went on all day and until evening drew in and brought with it a downpour.

That was the end of the Christ's Apostle to the Florentines. The crowd, balked of the promised spectacle, turned on the holy man of whom they had so long stood in awe. The "moral majority" of 'Snivellers' who had put him in power vanished as some ran and others joined the mob that attacked him. He was barely able to reach the convent of San Marco alive. The men on whom he had bestowed political power consulted their own advantage, as politicians invariably do, and ordered his arrest, but the furious mob took matters into its own hands, and although Savonarola's Dominicans had providently stocked the convent with arms and supplies to withstand a siege, the massive building was stormed. After Savonarola had been subjected for almost two months to almost every form of torture that Christian piety has devised to safeguard the True Faith (whatever it happens to be at the moment), the populace was given its spectacle. Christ's Apostle and his two principal assistants were, one after the other, simultaneously hanged and burned, while the crowd was entertained by trying to calculate nicely how much each victim, when his turn came, suffered from the flames before his life was ended by strangulation.

As I have said, the present vogue of firewalking calls to mind an event that illustrates the true nature of what Americans like to call "democracy."

* * *

An article in the *Wall Street Journal*, 13 January 1986, leaves us uncertain which of the two possible explanations of an incident in India that occurred in December 1984 is correct. Given our racial desire for ascertained facts, that is somewhat vexing, although the incident itself was of no great importance.

The Union Carbide Corporation was one of the few remaining American corporations that were at once old, financially as sound as is possible under present conditions, and relatively conservative, but it had yielded to strong pressure from the anti-American government in Washington and become what is called "multinational," an euphemism that partly disguises the ruling power's determination to liquidate the United States.¹ It had constructed a large chemical plant near Bhopal, the capital of a small state of the same name in north central India.² The plant was certainly built for the benefit of India as much as (probably more than) for profit to the corporation, which was still owned by Americans. That was economic folly, such as would have been prohibited by an American government, if we still had one, but the company cannot properly be held responsible for the suicidal mania that has been induced in the Ameri-

1. The policy was officially proclaimed by the late Jackanapes Kennedy, who called it "interdependence" and made dim-witted Americans, their little minds stuffed with Christian drivel, coo with delight that their country was no longer even theoretically independent. (They had, of course, ceased to be an independent *nation* when they made that country a garbage-dump for the world's anthropoid refuse.) Some years ago, the head of one of the corporations that had grown huge and wealthy in the United States, Dow Chemical, as I recall, loftily declared that since it had become "multinational," it could show no favor to the country that had made it great. If Americans ever recapture the territory of the United States, they will, I hope, know better than to show favor or even mercy to such ingrates.

2. Under the present government of India, Bhopal has been absorbed into the administrative district called Madhya Pradesh, but it has its own history as an independent principality. Founded by a military leader from Afghanistan, it became in 1818 a British dependency under its native rulers, who, after 1844, were a line of queens, so that until the state was taken over by the "democratic" government following the independence of India, Bhopal had the distinction of being the only state in India ruled by a woman. It was founded as a Moslem state and still has, I believe, the largest mosque in India, but when India was abandoned by the British, intensive massacres of Moslems and the flight of survivors to Pakistan made the territory predominantly Hindu.

continued on page 39

Liberty Bell

Hit The SOBs Where They Live!

Thoughts on the Genocide Convention

by Mark Tully

NOTHING could prove more convincingly the utter fatality of the American "Right Wing" than the recent (19 February 1986) ratification by the U. S. Senate, by a vote of 83 to 11, of the so-called "Genocide Treaty."

The Treaty, which that august body had refused to ratify for 37 years, despite the importunings and veiled threats on the part of eight Presidents, was sneaked through, largely through the connivance of Majority Leader Sen. Robert Dole (R., Kan.), who is described as "conservative," and who obviously wishes to take over the leading role in productions of the Pennsylvania Avenue Playhouse when the present star's engagement runs out.

But it was the current performer—the pride and hope of American conservatives—whose support was decisive. It was a "conservative" President who finally succeeded in getting the treaty passed into law, where seven "liberal" or "moderate" Presidents had failed.

According to the *Chicago Tribune's* edition of 20 Feb., "President Reagan [succeeded] *partly because of his background as a strong conservative. 'He cut the ground right out from under the right wing,'* said Senator William Proxmire (D., Wis.)" (My emphasis.)

The story of that successful operation is the chronicle of the unrelieved stupidity and gullibility of the American Right. Let a politician season his *spiel* with the cliches and bromides dear to the hearts of Right-wingers; let him affect sincerity amidst rhetorical invocations of the Constitution and the Founding Fathers; let him expatiate on "Godless Communism" vs. "Free Enterprise"; let him project an image of strong leadership wrapped in a genial, "aw-shucks" avuncularity—let him do all this, I say, and the generality of American conservatives will clasp him to their bosoms like a long-lost brother. They are too pure in heart ever to consider what the man has *done*, as a

matter of record, as distinct from what he says. And we all know, of course, that the only people who would question the integrity and motives of conservative "leaders" are (gasp!) "Nazis!" Good Heavens!

The Bayard-in-the-White House before whom conservatives genuflect is the Ronald Reagan who lied through his teeth about having personal knowledge of the "Holocaust," because (1) it didn't happen in the first place, and (2) he could not possibly have been there, at the time he said he was in Europe, to observe the "evidence" anyway. (See *Liberty Bell* for December 1984, p. 1.) This is the Ronald Reagan who nearly tripped over his tongue in a tearful speech at the dedication of the Jews' Holohoax Memorial, endorsing as a fact beyond dispute the most notorious myth and fable of our age—and who, let it be noted, issued a thinly disguised threat against those who dispute publicly the officially sanctioned superstitions. This is the Ronald Reagan who succeeded (as Lyndon Johnson or Jimmy Carter could never have done) in elevating a common street-nigger to the dignity of the greatest of the Founding Fathers. This is the Ronald Reagan who, returning the loyalty of his most dedicated supporters in a manner typical of politicians, promptly spit in their faces as soon as he took office, and revealed by his actions that he never had the slightest intention of fulfilling any of his campaign pledges—showed that those pledges were just so much manure, spread about to enrich the soil out of which votes grow. This is the Ronald Reagan who simpers and grovels almost every time he opens his mouth, the better to prove his licking subservience to our alien masters. This is the Ronald Reagan who by any honest and objective estimate is the biggest fraud, sneak, and liar since Franklin Roosevelt.

But do you suppose even for a moment that the conservatives have learned anything? Of course not! It was the damned "liberal" Congress and the wicked "media" that were responsible for our Fearless Leader's unfortunate lapses. (Since he was in his second term, they could not invoke the excuse that he had his re-election to worry about.)

If the gullibility and sentimentality of Right-wingers were the only problem, that would be bad enough. But underlying the obvious weaknesses that make conservatives the dupes of every cunning rascal who ever made a career out of peddling patriotic witches'-brew, and helpless when faced with the necessity of identifying and weeding out traitors from their own ranks, is a fundamental lack of integrity—a dishonesty that is most

clearly seen in the reactions on the part of conservatives when something happens in Washington, or elsewhere, as reported on the evening news, that they don't like.

Has there been another "desegregation" decision handed down by the Supreme Court? Watch, as they scurry to hide behind the Constitution, terrified of being anathematized as "racists," and in a panic to conceal by any means the real reason for their discontent: *that they don't want the God-damned niggers shoved down their throats*. Their round-collars, after all, have drummed into their heads the notion that it is "un-Christian" not to love every creeping thing that crawleth upon the earth, and taught them to be ashamed of whatever racial feelings they may still have. The Blacks themselves are too intelligent not to recognize, and feel contempt for, such hypocrisy and moral cowardice.

Has there been another eruption of garbage from the sewers of Hollywood or one of the best-seller mills in New Jerusalem? Another paean to the joys of cocaine-addiction, perhaps, or another celebration of the thrill of inter-racial sex? The lions of the Right will Stand Tall for "traditional American values," never daring to mention that those values were never held, or respected, by our racial enemies. And should, perchance, there be a *Jew* or two involved, you will have to learn of that fact from some other source. "We must judge people as individuals," don't-you-know, "and not as members of the groups they belong to ..." etc., etc., and all the rest of the gush you will hear until you and your gorge have parted company.

And what about the present case? Suppose Jimmy Carter, and not Ronald Reagan, had been the one not merely to promote the Genocide Convention (as Carter, of course, did) but actually to use all the weight of his office to muscle it through the Senate; suppose Carter had been the one to sign the legislation making the birthday of Martin Luther King a national holiday. What would the conservatives have done then?

Why, they would have been "up in arms," as they like to say when they are displeased. They would have been God-damning the President and his advisers as a bunch of "left-wing bastards" from one end of the country to the other. And, if they were especially incensed at the treason, they might have maintained their indignation for as long as a week.

Instead, there was hardly a whimper of protest from the Right when Reagan, and not Carter, threw away the last scrap of American national sovereignty. Treason and outrage, the

Right-wingers apparently feel, are not treason and outrage, so long as they are perpetrated by Our Shining Champion.

Even the *John Birch Society Bulletin*, a publication primarily intended to mollify patriotic Americans and divert their money and energies from organizations where they might do some good, came uncommonly close to the truth when it stated, in its issue for March, 1986: "The American people have been deceived once again. This deception, which has been practiced over and over again by this Administration and most of its predecessors in this century, must be recognized soon by far greater numbers of our fellow citizens lest, at some time in the not-too-distant future, it becomes unnecessary for our enemies to continue the deception and useless for us to resist...If Walter Mondale were in the White House instead of Ronald Reagan, he could not have mustered the 'conservative' support necessary for ratification."

So what may we expect now?

The sycophants of the Right (James J. Kilpatrick is the most notorious example that comes to mind at the moment) who condoned and even praised Ronald Reagan for doing what they would have blasted any previous President for doing are probably right in contending that the Genocide Treaty, as implemented by legislation and interpreted by American and international courts, will not be enforced immediately. Nor will its enforcement be widespread. A judicial reign of terror, imposed on the general population, does not seem likely just yet.

Instead, the Treaty will be applied selectively, its victims being those most conspicuously involved in the struggle to make known the truth about the National Socialist and World War II eras. Organizations, publications, and individual writers known to be hostile to the official mythology, and to have disputed it publicly, may be harassed and intimidated as never before. Some will face actual prosecution, under judges as corrupt, and as subservient to the aliens, as any we have seen. At the same time, they may be subjected to criminal violence of the sort experienced by the Institute for Historical Review, in July of 1984, with ever greater frequency, and with no hope of protection or redress from courts or law-enforcement agencies. We may expect that Jewish or Jew-hired thugs, arsonists, and professional killers will be granted open-season on "fascists" and other approbated targets. Any who still imagine that the "protection of law" exists for the enemies of our enemies will be disabused in short order.

A few victims not disposed of in such manner may be sent,

by court order, to the American Lubyanka in Springfield, Missouri, for "political re-education." (The precedent for that was established with the kidnapping and incarceration of Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker 25 years ago.) The lucky ones will merely have their brains beaten out with an iron pipe, a very select few—the most determined and articulate of the organized opposition—may look forward to the benefits of scientifically administered beatings, combined with insulin and/or electric shock, laser lobotomies, and other "therapy" as recommended by Lavrenti Beria and succeeding generations of "mental health" experts, applied with such skill and precision that the victims will not die. The reduction of the intellectual leadership of White America to a herd of shuffling zombies will be the most notable achievement of "Soviet science"—which is to say, Jew-"science." That is a point with which you may console yourself when you see an acute, perceptive, and well-spoken friend, who may even inadvertently have expressed himself against our traitors and enemy aliens, return to your community a glassy-eyed, drooling catatonic, placidly drawing random lines with a crayon and trying to eat mashed potatoes with his fingers.

That, after all, was the only real purpose of the shrill and incessant campaign against "genocide," as any reasonably alert and intelligent person could have anticipated at any time during the 37 years that the Treaty was before the Senate, and as became unmistakable once the promotion of the "Holocaust"-myth shifted into high gear, after the publication of Professor Arthur Butz's careful and detailed study of the hoax a decade ago. The Genocide Treaty has never had, or meant to have, any relation whatever to *genocide*, any more than "civil rights" laws have anything to do with civil rights. The Treaty will never be, and was never intended to be, anything but an instrumentality for promoting the ends and consolidating the power of international Jewry. It was never intended to apply to the Jews' massacres and deportations of Palestinian Arabs from the lands our government helped the Jews steal from them, even though those acts clearly fall within the meaning of "genocide" as ostensibly defined in the Treaty.

One need not *love* Palestinians, by the way, to recognize that a grievous injustice has been done to them, of which the real moral opprobrium falls more on the British and Americans—who are *supposed* to be civilized, and who invited the Jews to spit on their honor as law-abiding nations—than on the Jews

themselves, who merely acted in the manner that is normal and customary for their race. It is an amusing observation of naïveté to watch some fair-minded and well-meaning person, genuinely concerned for, say, the victims of the Sabra and Shatila massacres in the fall of 1982, suggest, in casual conversation, on television, or even in Congress, the application of the term "genocide" in *that* connection, only to turn around, in blinking incomprehension, as he wonders why Jews are laughing at him.

And there have been other advantages accruing to our enemies from decades of Aryan stupidity. The elaborately staged judicial murders of men like Eichmann, and the shameless torment of men like Walus and Demjanjuk, have established as an unquestioned principle that agents of "Israel" may ignore at their pleasure the customary restraints on our own domestic law-enforcement agencies. The files of intelligence and investigative agencies are made available to the MOSSAD as a matter of routine, in cases over which jurisdiction is claimed by the international Jewish secret police. Loyal and decent Americans, naturalized citizens who have given decades of their lives in hard work, who have sincerely and consciously tried to assimilate the American culture and ethos (and compare that with the filthy black-and-brown *merdae* now flooding across our borders at the invitation of our politicians, Jesus-pushers, and other race-traitors, and demanding "bilingual education" and other benefits at the expense of the White beasts of burden), and who have never been guilty of so much as a parking ticket, are deprived of their citizenship, made into public exhibits, and sent off to suffer Talmudic vengeance in Occupied Palestine, on the "testimony" of Jews who are such clumsy and flagrant liars, or who are so far gone in senility, that they cannot give an account of their alleged experiences that in a sane world would not be laughed out of consideration, and which could not possibly be admitted in an American court under the established rules of evidence. But no obstacle—certainly no notion so old-fashioned and discredited as that of simple honesty, fair play, and justice—can be tolerated when God's Chosen People are in pursuit of "war criminals." The present writer, now in middle age, can recall when he and his contemporaries, in childhood, used to pledge allegiance to their Flag, in the foolish notion that they had a country that was really their own. Nowadays, the Land of the Free and Home of the Brave has become a garbage can crawling with maggots, and it is our race's own doing.

For more than thirty years, Americans have tried, through a

"Bricker Amendment," a "Connally Reservation," or some similar device or measure, tried pathetically and futilely, to preserve or restore some shred, some fragment of their national sovereignty and the personal liberties supposedly guaranteed by their Constitution. With the ratification of the Genocide Treaty, the Constitution is now a dead letter, and White Americans stand at the mercy of any nigger who hasn't been made to feel "equal" enough, and of any Jew who is told that he looks too healthy to have been gassed and cremated. Honest scholarship in the field of recent history will become as hazardous an occupation as was heterodox theology in the age of Savonarola.

It should be remembered that a long series of decisions in the federal courts has affirmed the principle that treaty law supercedes domestic law *and even the Constitution itself*. And while some Senators thought to restrict the more menacing implications of the Treaty (so far as our national sovereignty was concerned) by attaching a series of reservations under the rubric of a "sovereignty package," most Constitutional experts have acknowledged that the reservations are not legally binding. They are, in effect, merely an expression of the "sense of the Senate" which the courts will not recognize. They are just as useless, as far as the interests of Americans are concerned, as was the feeble excuse by which conservatives sought to exonerate Reagan for signing the bill that proclaimed Nigger Day a national holiday. Though signing the legislation, they said, Reagan had "registered his reluctance"—unmindful, apparently, of the fact that it is the President's *signature* that has the force of law; that his *obiter dicta* and *obiter scripta* do not. The result—the "bottom line," as they say—is that the "rule of law," about which the boy-sopranos of the American Right warble endlessly over their teacups, and which was betrayed by a "conservative" President, has passed from this unhappy land.

Deny "Anne Frank's Diary" in 1986, and you might as well be denying the Virgin Birth in 1521. And it will be the best minds in our universities, mindful of the Jews' self-serving mendacity but fearful of losing their tenure or of provoking from the *kosher* gangsters the reprisals which they understand only too well, who will lend the weight and stature of their own academic standing to perpetuating the Big Lie. And before you criticize too severely their temerity or opportunism, remember that for a man with a career and a family to worry about, challenging the power of the Jewish Inquisition is not a course of action to be considered lightly. And again, if by some

fantastically improbable chance there is a scholar, journalist, or statesman of such unbending integrity that he is willing to risk everything he has to expose the Lie, the great majority of his countrymen, totally occupied with sucking up their daily slop-ration and therefore not affected by the punitive legislation that will follow, sooner or later, in the Treaty's wake, will look at his crucifixion and not even care.

There is something to be learned from all this—besides the obvious conclusion that the only difference between “liberal” politicians and ideologues and their “conservative” counterparts is that the latter hang their panties in the right wing of the whore-house. It is, I think, a point too little emphasized.

Lament all you will the cowardice, stupidity, gullibility, sentimentality, and racial rootlessness of the average White American. Damn the Jews (whom, in simple honesty, you should really admire and envy) all you will for their racial solidarity, their cunning, their tenacity, and their consistent promotion of their own ends by their own methods. There is a key to their success, an indispensable factor in their nearly complete domination of the world, that we would do well to ponder—and ponder with shame.

The Jews succeeded in getting their Genocide Treaty passed, just as they got their “homeland” in Palestine, simply because they never give up. Was there a Senator, in the 1950s or 1960s, whom they could not buy or intimidate? They had only to wait for him to retire or die—even without their assistance—and then extend their various tentacles of power and money so as to assure that his successor was someone who *could* be “approached.” Did they lack, a decade or two ago, a degree of control over the news and entertainment media so extensive as automatically to guarantee their ability to generate a childish, superstitious belief in any fable, no matter how preposterous? They had only to pursue a little further their strategy of gradual penetration and capture, promote here and there a network executive in their keep, “influence” a few corporations with large advertising budgets, relying all the while on the weaknesses endemic to Aryans, and the job was done.

Once the Jews decide on an objective, and a course of action to achieve it, there is no imaginable defeat, disappointment, or frustration that can diminish in the least their determination to get back into the fight and struggle on, whatever the sacrifices necessary, until they have won. They also know, from long observa-

continued on page 41

CAUSES AND EFFECTS OF THE FIRST WORLD WAR

The 1914-18 conflict was not brought on by nationalist rivalries, as popularly supposed, but by sinister revolutionary forces operating behind the scenes.

by

Captain Kenneth McWilliam

Reprinted with permission from *Spearhead*, Jan./Feb. 1986
A Publication of the British National Party
Box 446, London SE23 2LS England

PART I

In 1833 The League of the Twelve Just Men of the ‘Illuminati’ secret society organised a fraternity, the B’nai B’rith, to bring about the fulfillment of the ‘Covenant,’ the supposed messianic rule of the Jews over all other people. The leader was Lionel de Rothschild, who founded the Rothschild’s bank in London. Father Nathaniel was himself the son of Meyer Am-schel Rothschild, the founder of the Rothschild banking clan and one who worked with Adam Weishaupt to establish the Order of the Illuminati on May 1st (May Day) 1776.

In 1848, Karl Marx (real name Mordecai Levi) was commissioned by the League of the Twelve Just Men to write the *Communist Manifesto*, based on the Babylonian Talmud and the writings of Weishaupt. In the same year, revolutions broke out all over Europe and beyond against the established order.

The Jew Blumenthal, editor of *Judisk Tidskrift* in Sweden, wrote in issue no. 75 of that paper in 1929: “. . . Only recently our race has given the world a new prophet, but he has two faces and bears two names: on the one side his name is Rothschild, leader of all the capitalists, and on the other side Karl Marx, the apostle of those who want to destroy the others.”

Rene Gros wrote in *Le Nouveau Mercurie* (Paris, 1927): “The two internationals of Finance and Revolution work with ardour; they are the two fronts of the Jewish International . . . There is a Jewish conspiracy against all nations.”

Benjamin Disraeli wrote in *The Life of Lord George Bentinck*
June 1986

(1852): "The influence of the Jews may be traced in the last outbreak of the destructive principle in Europe . . . the abrogation of property is proclaimed by the secret societies, which form the provisional governments of Europe, and men of Jewish race are found at the head of every one of them. The people of God co-operate with atheists, the most skilful accumulators of property ally themselves with Communists, the peculiar and chosen people touch the hand of all the scum of Europe, and all because they wish to destroy that ungrateful Christendom which owes to them even its name, and whose tyranny they can no longer endure."

In 1840 the Jewish poet Heine wrote: "Communism, though little discussed now and loitering in hidden garrets on miserable straw pallets, is the dark hero destined for a great if temporary role in the modern tragedy—it would be war, the ghastliest war of destruction; the second act is the European and world revolution, the grand duel between the destitute and the aristocracy of wealth; and in that there will be no mention of either nationality or religion; there will be only one fatherland, the globe, and only one faith, that is happiness on earth. How could the dream end? I do not know; but I think that eventually the great sea serpent (Great Britain) will have its head crushed and the skin of the northern bear (Russia) will be pulled over its ears. There may be only one flock and one shepherd with an iron staff (the Jews)—one free shepherd with an iron staff and shorn-alike, bleating-alike human herd (of mixed breeds): the future smells of Russian leather, blood, godlessness, and many whippings. I would advise our grandchildren to be born with very thick skins on their backs."

Disraeli wrote: "Governments do not govern, but merely control the machinery of government being themselves controlled by *the Hidden Hand*." The Paris magazine *Peuple Juive* (January 9th, 1919) said: "The world revolution which we will experience will be exclusively our affair and will rest in our hands. This revolution will tighten the Jewish domination over all other people."

THE FORMATION OF THE SECRET SOCIETIES

The controlling masters, through the Illuminati, organised secret societies to get the ordinary folk interested in their plans. Among these was the *Narodna Odbrana* Masonic Lodge, founded in 1911. The Pan-Slav movement which aimed to get self-

government for the Slav nations of the Austro-Hungarian Empire was organised by Dr. Karl Kramarsch. The *Narodna Odbrana* Lodge, on instructions from a higher authority, planned the assassination of the Austrian Archduke, Franz Ferdinand. This was rather odd, since the Archduke was in favour of self-government for the Serbs. The Austrian Archduke had been 'condemned to death' two years previously, for it was printed in *La Revue internationale des sociétés secrètes* on September 15th, 1912: "Possibly some day we will understand the remark made by the Swiss about the Archduke, the heir presumptive to the Austrian throne: 'He will die on the steps of the throne.'"

The assassination, contrary to popular believe, was carried out, not by a Serb national, but a Jew, Gabriel Princip, who had been armed by the freemasons. The *Kölnische Volkszeitung* of November 1914 clearly stated at the time of the trial of Princip, which lasted until the Spring of 1916, that the assassination was planned by the *Narodna Odbrana* Masonic Lodge. The *Badische Beobachter* of June 1917 stated that the international lodges must take the full responsibility for political propaganda and for the murder of the Archduke.

WORLD WAR BREAKS OUT

After the assassination of the Archduke, Austria sent in troops to discipline the Serbs. Russia, fearing an outbreak of revolutions in the Balkans, came to the assistance of Serbia. The Austrian Emperor wanted peace but the Khazar Jew financiers wanted war and the agents of the latter were in all the chancelleries of Europe and were set to carry out their policy.

Austria threatened Serbia. Russia threatened Austria. France had a pact with Russia. Germany had a pact with Austria. The Rothschilds and their affiliates were not interested in how much blood might be shed nor in how much property might be destroyed as long as their plans were carried out.

In 1833, the independence of Belgium had been accepted and Britain had signed an agreement to defend this independence. When German troops marched through Belgium to get at France, the 'British' press put out lying stories about Belgian babies on the points of German bajonets—just to raise the heat of British 'public opinion,' and, as a consequence, Britain sent in troops to protect Belgium. Right up to the outbreak of war, although Britain and Germany had been engaged in trade

rivalry, their governments were at peace—a peace which had seemed to be secure for all time.

The British Ambassador to Germany at the outbreak of war was Sir Edward Goschen, a member of the Jewish family which conducted the London international banking house of Goschen and Cunliffe. Sir Edward was descended from Georg Goschen of Hamburg. Information was leaked out through the international banking system, just as is done today. The Khazar Jews knew all the secrets and formulated their policy accordingly.

This terrible war caused the slaughter of millions of the best men of Europe, but on the first Christmas Day of the war on the Western Front the spirit of the occasion was seen with British and German soldiers openly fraternising on the battlefield. This had to be stopped, and the false propaganda was put out about German 'atrocities,' leading to further fraternisation being forbidden. In a stupid frontal attack on the Somme by incompetent generals the flower of British manhood was sent to slaughter—a 'holocaust' of which, of course, we seldom hear.

ZIONIST WAR AIMS

The plans of the Illuminati for this war were: to gain the gold in the Russian imperial banks for the international bankers; to gain Palestine as a national state for the Jews; and to establish a Communist state in Russia, with the idea that this would merely be the first of such states.

Rabbi Reichorn wrote in *Le Contemporain* (July 1st 1860): "We shall drive the Christians into a war by exploiting their national vanity and stupidity. They will then massacre each other thus giving place to our people."

The periodical *Jewish World* of London frankly published, in its issue of the 16th January 1919: "The international Judaism forced Europe to war (1914-18) not only to seize a large part of the gold (in the imperial Russian banks) but also to start a new Jewish world by the help of that gold."

The Russian Jews (Khazars) had forced the issue of Palestine as a state for the Jews at the first Zionist conference in Basle on the 29th, 30th, and 31st August 1897, and it was agreed at that conference to work for Palestine as a future Zionist state. Asher Ginsberg, writing in *Ahad Ha'am*, proclaimed that the Jews not only formed a nation but must have a Jewish state in Palestine. Max Nordau stated in 1903: "Let me tell you the following words as if I were showing you the rungs of a ladder

leading upwards and upwards: Herzl—the Zionist Congress—The English Uganda Proposition—the future world war—the peace conference; there, with the help of England, a free Jewish Palestine will be created." Nordau was an initiate and an Illuminatus who knew the plans of those at the centre of things.

JERUSALEM 'WORLD CAPITAL'

Dr. Nahum Sokoloff stated: "Jerusalem will one day become the capital of world peace." Chaim Weizmann, a Jew from Russia, came to Britain and within a few years he had a succession of British Governments carrying out his plans for setting up a Jewish state in Palestine—such was the powerful influence in this country even this far back.

Marcus Eli Ravage, a Rumanian Jew, wrote in *The Century Magazine* (January 1929): "You have not begun to appreciate the depth of our guilt. We are intruders. We are subverters. We have taken your natural world, your ideas, your destiny, and played havoc with them. We have been at the bottom, not merely of the latest Great War (1914-18), but of nearly all your wars, and not only of the Russian Revolution but of every other revolution in your history."

The *American Hebrew*, printed on September 10th, 1920, said: "The Bolshevik Revolution in Russia was the work of Jewish brains, of Jewish dissatisfaction, of Jewish planning, whose goal was to create a new order in the world. What was performed in so excellent a way in Russia, thanks to Jewish brains and because of Jewish dissatisfaction and by Jewish planning, shall also, through the same Jewish mental and physical forces, become a reality in the world."

PART II

DR. ELLIS POWELL, editor of *London Financial News*, was in 1917 a persistent agitator for a full investigation of the 'hidden hand' which seemed to be at work against the national interest in the middle of the Great War. As editor of one of Britain's most influential financial newspapers, he said in the course of an address to a meeting held in the Queen's Hall in London on most influential financial newspapers, he said in the course of an address to a meeting held in the Queen's Hall in London on March 4th, 1914: "In this supreme crisis in our history an Englishman is not permitted to speak to fellow countrymen and women without ever-present risk of naturalised Germans presenting writs. Lawyers employed by a dozen wealthy pro-

Germans will scan every word I utter to see if by some technicality, some legal trickery, they can either shut my mouth while trickery is consummated or at least crush me by the aid of pro-German influence in eminent legal circles."

Dr. Powell did not know then, as we know now, that those people to whom he referred were not Germans at all; they were German-speaking Khazar Jews. Andre Sheradine wrote in *Le Mystification des Peuple Allies*: "For some years a group of financiers whose families are for the most part of German-Jewish origin has assumed control of political powers and exert a predominant influence over Lloyd George (the British Prime Minister): The Monds, Rufus Isaacs, Sassoons, those known as the representatives of international banking interests, dominates old England, owns its newspapers, and controls elections."

The international banking houses of the Rockefellers and the Rothschilds had joined together and now the whole world was their oyster. They had delayed setting up their First World War until they had secured control of the United States monetary system by means of the Federal Reserve Act, passed in 1913, and they began issuing the dollar as an interest-bearing debt to themselves. Their purpose having here been accomplished, their planned war broke out in Europe within a year—all the blame for this being placed on the German Kaiser, who had no knowledge of their plan.

DETERMINATION TO GET PALESTINE

Before October 1916, the Khazar Jews were pro-German. The German emancipation edict of 1822 had guaranteed the Jews all available rights in Germany while in other countries Jews were controlled by quotas according to their numbers in the community. In Germany, the Jewish Bleichroeder Bank in Berlin was the Kaiser's personal bank. The Kaiser had provided the World Zionist Organisation with a handsome headquarters in Berlin and had assisted Theodore Herzl, the founder of Zionism. The Warburgs in Hamburg were the largest merchant bankers. The head of the world's largest enterprise, the German General Electric Company, was a Jew, as were the heads of the two great steamship companies, the Hamburg-America Line and the German Lloyd Line. The Zionists had promised victory to Germany. They had hoped for a German victory which would give them Palestine—that country was then under Turkish rule and the Turks were the allies of the Germans. The Zionists

moved their headquarters from Berlin to London and began to press for the war to be extended to Turkish territories. At the time, Colonel T. E. Lawrence was stirring up the Arabs to revolt against the Turks and had promised them self-government when the war was over.

Proposals were made to the British Prime Minister, Herbert Asquith, by a Jewish cabinet minister, Herbert Samuel, for the establishment of a Jewish state in Palestine in which it was intended to settle about 3-4 million European Jews. Asquith was not in favour, having accepted the opinion of Lord Kitchener and his military advisers that the war could only be won (if at all) on the main battlefield of Europe. He was therefore against opening up a second front in Palestine.

In June 1916, Asquith sent Kitchener in the cruiser *Hampshire* to meet and confer with the Russian Imperial High Command. Kitchener was the hero of the British public at the time and was the one man who might have sustained Russia in the war. An anti-Zionist, he was a formidable obstacle both to world revolution and to the Zionist enterprise for Palestine. The *Hampshire* disappeared at sea along with Kitchener. The official report stated that the ship had struck a mine. The Naval Attaché at the German Embassy in August 1916 stated that there were no German mines in the area at the time. Was a bomb placed on board the *Hampshire* before she left port? The only people who would have gained by Kitchener's death were the Zionists, for support for Russia in the war would have interfered with their plans for world revolution and for Palestine.

THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION

During the first three years of the war, the German General Ludendorff kept more than half his troops on the Russian Front. In July 1915, the Russian Army had lost 3,800,000 men out of 7,000,000. They had been starved of arms and ammunition. The British Government had arranged with Vickers Maxim for supplies of these much needed items to be sent off to Russia but none had arrived at the front. The founder of the Vickers armament combine was Sir Ernest Cassell, a business associate of Jacob Schiff of Kuhn Loeb & Co. of New York. Vickers had been linked up with Maxim Nordenfelt in 1897. During the war, another Jew, Sir Basil Zaharoff, who came from a family of Odessa Jews, played an important part in the international armaments industry. The failure to supply the Russian Army

with the promised weapons and ammunition was one of the causes of that army's collapse.

There was a revolution in Russia in February 1917, and Kerensky (real name Aaron Kirbiz) headed the provisional government that emerged. On March 5th, 1917, the Czar abdicated. The German Imperial General Staff demanded the withdrawal of Russian troops from the Eastern Front and this was brought about with the co-operation of one Alexander Israel Lazarevitch, alias Helphand, alias Parvus, working with the international banker Max Warburg. Lenin was sent across Germany in a sealed train with finance supplied by the Warburgs and with the banker's representative Olaf Aschberg. Jacob Schiff of the banking firm of Kuhn Loeb financed Leon Trotsky (real name Bronstein) and Trotsky, with 275 Jewish thugs, traveled from New York with American passports and supplies of gold to join up with Lenin and bring about the Russian Revolution of October 1917.

This revolution took Russia out of the war and enabled Ludendorff to move his divisions to the Western Front. This left Britain and France fighting Germany alone and they were worried that they would lose the war. The Zionists, as mentioned earlier, had moved their headquarters to London and increased their pressure on Britain to get Palestine as their homeland.

GERMANY OFFERS PEACE

Britain was on the verge of defeat and Germany offered honourable surrender terms. Her peace offer asked for neither indemnity nor reparations. Germany offered to restore the territorial status and political independence of every country as they had existed in 1914. This offer was on the table before the British Cabinet and only needed Britain's signature. The Cabinet seriously considered accepting it and was on the point of doing so when the Zionists realised what was happening and offered to railroad the United States into the war as Britain's ally—on the condition that Britain undertake to hand Palestine over to the Jews after the war.

THE OCTOBER 1916 AGREEMENT

In October 1916, an agreement was concluded in London between the British Government and the World Zionist Organisation. The defeat of Germany and her ally, Turkey, would

enable Palestine to be handed over to the Zionists. This agreement transformed 'pro-German' Jews into 'pro-British' Jews. Britain placed at the disposal of the Zionists in London its secret codes and cable facilities whereby the Zionists could inform their people throughout the world about the British pledge to turn Palestine over to the Jews as compensation for bringing America into the war. This information was sent by Zionists in the British War Office to their counterparts in Washington, and Britain began training Jews in the use of codes and international diplomatic cable facilities.

The reality of the October 1916 agreement was known to the Germans shortly after it was concluded. Germany therefore exercised great care not to commit any act which could undermine international law and give the United States cause to declare war against her. The Germans regarded the activities of the Zionists as a stab in the back in view of the 1822 edict.

Lloyd George, now British Prime Minister, had been fooled by the Zionists. The Jews in America did not believe that Britain would honour the agreement and so, under pressure from Zionists in London, Lloyd George sent Josiah Wedgewood to the United States with documentary evidence of the October 1916 Agreement. Wedgewood was met by Colonel Mandel House, who was connected with the Rothschilds, and he was able to convince a meeting of 51 Zionist leaders of the veracity of the agreement that Britain would turn over Palestine to the Jews at the end of the war.

REVOLUTIONARIES QUARREL OVER POLICY

The Jews had set up the revolutionary movement to unseat what they termed 'imperial governments,' but imperial governments, reasoned Theodore Herzl, had the power to assign Palestine to the Jews. The Zionist bargaining offer to the imperial governments was to rid Eastern Europe of Jewish revolutionaries and to provide a friendly outpost in the strategic location of Palestine. A faction headed by Chaim Weizmann, however, was opposed to this plan and believed in swamping Palestine with Jews until their number there was strong enough to be invulnerable. Jews were very active in revolutionary affairs in the lands in which they lived. Hundreds of thousands of Jewish Socialists rejected Zionism. They were engaged in world revolution to destroy the established order and they realised that if they abandoned what they called the 'working class

struggle' on their home grounds they would lose all influence on the class-conscious workers who were anti-Zionist. In the end, all sections of the Jewish movement accepted the alliance with the British following the Balfour Declaration, which promised Palestine to the Jews.

AMERICA INVEIGLED INTO WAR

Long before 1917, Jacob Schiff had it all planned to bring the United States into the war. When Woodrow Wilson was campaigning for re-election as President in 1916, he promised the voters that if elected he would keep America out of war in Europe, but he had, in fact, secretly given his word to the very opposite effect.

In the United States, as in Britain, Zionists either own the mass media directly or control it by indirect means such as advertising pressures. Today, they have their fingers in all the areas of mass information: newspapers, magazines, radio, television, book publishing, the film industry, and other forms of popular entertainment. Even in the second decade of this century their influence in these fields in America was already extremely strong—apart, of course, from television, which had then not yet been invented. To get the United States into war, it was necessary for them to use all this influence in order to build up a hatred of Germany. This they did by portraying the Germans at every opportunity as uncivilised monsters.

In 1915, the armed merchantman *Lusitania* was torpedoed in the Irish Sea by a German U-boat. The *Lusitania* had been registered as an auxiliary cruiser and was carrying arms and explosives for the Allied war effort. The German High Command had warned by advertisements in American newspapers that the *Lusitania* was serving in this capacity and that, being in consequence a legitimate war target for German vessels, she was an unsafe ship for Americans to travel on, so that if the latter did travel on the *Lusitania* they would do so at their own risk. Notwithstanding this, the American authorities allowed the *Lusitania* to sail from the United States to Europe, carrying American passengers. When she was sunk, American lives were lost. Winston Churchill, the First Lord of the Admiralty, had in fact withdrawn the two cruisers accompanying the *Lusitania* without informing its captain—giving rise to the suggestion that the sinking of the ship had been regarded as a desirable event for the effect that it would have on public opinion. It was later

OCEAN STEAMSHIP
CUNARD



EUROPE VIA LIVERPOOL
LUSITANIA

Fastest and Largest Steamer
now in Atlantic Service Sails
SATURDAY, MAY 1, 10 A. M.
Transylvania, Fri., May 7, 5 P. M.
Orduna, - - Tues, May 18, 10 A. M.
Tuscania, - - Fri., May 21, 5 P. M.
LUSITANIA, Sat., May 29, 10 A. M.
Transylvania, Fri., June 4, 5 P. M.
Gibraltar—Genoa—Naples—Piraeus
& S. Carpathia, Thur., May 13, Noon

NOTICE!

TRAVELLERS intending to embark on the Atlantic voyage are reminded that a state of war exists between Germany and her allies and Great Britain and her allies; that the zone of war includes the waters adjacent to the British Isles; that in accordance with formal notice given by the Imperial German Government, vessels flying the flag of Great Britain, or of any of her allies, are liable to destruction in those waters and that travellers sailing in the war zone on ships of Great Britain or her allies do so at their own risk.

IMPERIAL GERMAN EMBASSY
WASHINGTON, D. C., APRIL 27, 1915.

Reduced photograph showing placement of advertisement sent, prepaid, to fifty newspapers for insertion during the week before the *Lusitania* sailed on 1 May 1915.

established that the *Lusitania* was carrying explosives (see *The Times* of August 6th, 1982).¹

Upon the sinking of the *Lusitania*, headlines immediately appeared in American papers reporting the event and accompanied by articles and editorials that vehemently denounced the supposed “barbarity” and “inhumanity” of submarine warfare.² The British government’s hopes that the sinking would

1. [When the wreck was first examined by divers, it was obvious that the hull of the *Lusitania* had been blown open by a terrific internal explosion that had been detonated by the first torpedo from the German submarine. See Colin Simpson, *The Lusitania* (New York, 1972), pp. 142f. of the Ballentine reprint (1974). The fact that the *Lusitania* was carrying a large cargo of munitions was probably known to Lord Mersey, the High Commissioner in charge of the official inquiry into the sinking; under extreme pressure from the British Government, he rendered a false decision and, disgusted with what he privately termed “a damned dirty business,” he immediately resigned his office, informing the Prime Minister, “henceforth I must be excused from administering His Majesty’s justice.”—*Editor*.]

2. [The lead was taken by the *New York Times*, which had been purchased in 1896 by a wealthy Jew, Adolph S. Ochs, who, with the support of his fellow tribesmen, made it into the foremost newspaper in the United States, distinguished for both comprehensive and accurate reporting, except, of course, in matters that directly affected Jewish interests. The American press, on the whole, howled in harmony, although there were honorable exceptions in the editorials of some newspapers that were still owned and controlled by Americans. The German government had been informed by its espionage service that the *Lusitania* carried a large cargo

NOTICE!

TRAVELLERS intending to embark on the Atlantic voyage are reminded that a state of war exists between Germany and her allies and Great Britain and her allies; that the zone of war includes the waters adjacent to the British Isles; that, in accordance with formal notice given by the Imperial German Government, vessels flying the flag of Great Britain, or of any of her allies, are liable to destruction in those waters and that travellers sailing in the war zone on ships of Great Britain or her allies do so at their own risk.

IMPERIAL GERMAN EMBASSY

WASHINGTON, D. C., APRIL 22, 1915.

The text of the advertisement.

From Colin Simpson's *The Lusitania*

of high explosives and other munitions of war, but its official allegations after the sinking were either suppressed or discounted in the press. It was not possible, however, to conceal entirely the fact that for a week before the *Lusitania* sailed advertisements, warning Americans of the danger of traveling on British ships that were running the German blockade of the British Isles, appeared in many of the fifty newspapers to which the prepaid advertisements had been sent. There was much agitation about the supposed "barbarity" and "ruthlessness" of torpedoing enemy liners without warning. It is true that by a convention established when naval

procure an American declaration of war against Germany were, however, disappointed.

Almost a year later, headlines in the American press reported that the S.S. *Sussex* had been torpedoed and sunk while crossing the English Channel on March 24, 1916; and that twenty-eight American passengers on the ship, whose names and addresses were given, had perished. This was a deliberate lie—the *Sussex* was found by the Royal Navy to be safely tied up at her berth in the Thames.

BLACKMAIL

In the meantime, President Wilson had been blackmailed by Samuel Untermyer, a Zionist New York attorney of the firm Untermyer, Guggenheim and Marshall. Samuel Untermyer was a multi-millionaire. Woodrow Wilson, while President of Princeton University, had indulged himself in an adulterous

operations were conducted entirely by surface craft, passengers and crew of merchant ships that attempted to run a blockade were given an opportunity to leave the ships in boats before the ships were seized and/or sunk. German submarines followed this procedure until shortly before the sinking of the *Lusitania*. On 28 March 1915, the small British liner *Falaba* was stopped by a German submarine and given ten minutes in which to disembark passengers and crew. The captain of the ship obtained extensions of the allotted time while summoning assistance by wireless, and it was only when a British gunboat appeared that the commander of the submarine torpedoed the ship, which was carrying thirteen tons of high explosives and blew up, killing an American passenger. So far as the *Lusitania* was concerned, the commander of the German submarine knew from his copy of the authoritative British publication, *Jane's Fighting Ships*, that the *Lusitania* had been fitted as an auxiliary cruiser with concealed six-inch guns, and rightly judged that it would be suicidal for him to surface his submarine and give warning of his intention to torpedo it. After the sinking of the *Lusitania*, the legal department of the U.S. Government reported that, in terms of international law, "Germany had every right to sink the *Lusitania*" (the full text of the report is given by Colin Simpson, *op. cit.*, p. 182), but the report was suppressed by Robert Lansing, an agent of international banking interests, who had been appointed Undersecretary of State. He evidently kept the report from the knowledge of his superior, the Secretary of State, William Jennings Bryan, who, nevertheless, resisted pressures for war against Germany until he was forced to resign on June 8, 1915, shortly after a witness, who swore that he had seen the concealed cannons on the *Lusitania*, was kidnapped by the American Secret Service and imprisoned *incommunicado* on false charges until he could be secretly deported to Switzerland. — Editor.]

June 1986

liaison with Mrs. Mary Allen Peck and had written her letters in which, it is said, he promised to marry her when both were free. When Wilson's first wife died on August 6, 1914, he evidently reconsidered his promise to Mrs. Peck, and she seems to have taken no action until she needed desperately to raise \$30,000 for her son. She placed her claim in the hands of Samuel Untermeyer, who, seeing the opportunity for blackmail, demanded from Wilson a very large sum of money,³ threatening he would otherwise file suit on behalf of Mrs. Peck for breach of promise and thus publish the letters, which would ruin Wilson's political career. Wilson had no money but Untermeyer said he would pay the money if Wilson would appoint his nominee to the first vacancy on the United States Supreme Court. By this method the Zionist Louis Brandeis became a member of the Supreme Court.

Justice Brandeis was the most politically influential of all the Zionists in the United States. He gave it as his opinion to Woodrow Wilson that the sinking of the *Sussex* by a German U-boat, with the loss of United States citizens on board, justified a declaration of war by America against Germany.

On the 2nd April 1917, President Wilson, fully aware that the story of the sinking of the ship was a cruel hoax, addressed both

3. Untermeyer increased Mrs. Peck's demand from \$30,000 to \$250,000 to make it impossible for Wilson to pay the blackmail, according to Colonel Curtis B. Dall's *F. D. R.* (2d edition, Washington, D.C., 1970), pp. 140 f. Colonel Dall's many years of intimacy with both Jewish financial circles in New York City and the occupants of the White House make his evidence authoritative. He was able to quote the substance of the conversation between the blackmailer and the President of the United States, in which Wilson thought he might be able to raise \$100,000, a sum which Untermeyer rejected as inadequate before he was struck with the "happy idea" that his friends would pay the \$250,000, if Wilson appointed Brandeis to the Supreme Court. In those days, when Americans still had real money, \$250,000 was a very large sum indeed, the equivalent in gold of \$5,600,000 at the present depressed price of gold, and of many times that amount in purchasing power. It is not known what Mrs. Peck received for the compromising letters; she may have been given her \$30,000, if she was lucky. Untermeyer's typically Jewish operation succeeded not only because Wilson was so weak as to submit to the blackmail, but also because Wilson was well aware that he owed his election to the Presidency to the Jews, who trained him for the office and procured his election by preying upon the vanity of Theodore Roosevelt and inciting him to organize a Third Party to split the Republican vote. Colonel Dall reports that the Jews boasted that they had led Wilson around "like a poodle on a string" while teaching him what he must do when elected to the Presidency. — Editor.]

Senate and Congress. He pleaded with them to declare war on Germany. He informed Congress that a German submarine had sunk the *S.S. Sussex* in the English channel!⁴ The United States declared war on Germany on the 6th of April 1917.

This hoax was exposed after General Pershing's troops were already fighting in Europe. Arthur Ponsonby later wrote in his book *Falsehood in Wartime*: "There must have been more lying in the world from 1914 to 1918 than at any other period." The Rt. Hon. Francis Nielson wrote on pages 149-150 of his book *Makers of War*: "In America Woodrow Wilson, desperate to find a pretext to enter the war, found it at last in the sinking of the *Sussex* in mid-channel. Someone invented the yarn that American lives had been lost. With this excuse he went to Congress for a declaration of war. Afterwards the Royal Navy found that the *Sussex* had not been sunk and that no lives had been lost." Nielson was later hounded and forced to resign his seat in Parliament and eventually to flee the country.

Winston Churchill referred to the declaration of war by the U.S. against Germany stating: "America should have minded her own business and stayed out of World War One. If you hadn't entered the war, the Allies would have made peace with Germany in the Spring of 1917. Had we made peace, there would have been no collapse of Russia followed by Communism, no breakdown in Italy followed by Fascism, and Germany would not have signed the Versailles Treaty which has enthroned Nazism in Germany. If A

4. [After the faked report of the sinking of the *Sussex*, Wilson, egged on by his masters, addressed to Germany an ultimatum demanding the cessation of submarine warfare. It was, of course, an act of unprecedented effrontery for the executive of an ostensibly neutral nation to dictate to a belligerent nation how it should conduct a war for its own survival, but self-righteous little prigs, inflated by messianic hallucinations, have no sense of decency. Germany submitted to the outrageous threat and recalled all of her small fleet of submarines, but actual starvation in Germany as a result of the British blockade prompted the German government to rescind its agreement to discontinue the use of submarines and to inform the United States. That gave the pretext for Wilson's oratory about the supposed sinking of the *Sussex* when he demanded that the Congress declare war on Germany as a holy Crusade for "mankind" on April 6, 1917, almost exactly two years after Dr. Bernhard Dernberg, at a meeting in New York, had prophetically declared, "The American people cannot visualize the spectacle of a hundred thousand, even a million German children starving by slow degrees as a result of the British blockade, but they can visualize the pitiful face of a little child drowning amidst the wreckage caused by a German torpedo." The American people, now under Jewish rule, are paying the penalty for their folly. — Editor.]

Nazism in Germany. If America had stayed out of the war, all these 'isms would not be sweeping the Continent of Europe and breaking down parliamentary government, and if England had made peace early in 1917, it would have saved over 1 million British, French, American, and other lives."

THE TREATY OF VERSAILLES

The Treaty of Versailles rearranged the map of Europe in such a way as to ensure that another war would be inevitable. The international bankers and financiers demanded that their newly established Communist state, the Soviet Union, should be recognised. Lloyd George, enlightened too late in the day, as was Churchill after World War II, wrote of these events: "The international bankers and financiers swept statesmen, politicians, journalists, and jurists all to one side and issued their orders with the imperiousness of absolute monarchs."

RESULTS OF THE WAR

Because the Zionists had manipulated the American people to get the United States into the war, the British implemented the Balfour Declaration. Britain, by this time, was under the control of the Jews. The Balfour Declaration established that Palestine would become a home for the Jews, despite the promises made to the Arabs by T. E. Lawrence (Lawrence of Arabia) that they would have self-government after the war for their help in defeating the Turks. Lawrence was discredited and embittered. He was killed in very suspicious circumstances— which suggest that he was regarded as knowing too much.

The secrets of all the belligerent parties were known to the bankers, for the Warburgs international bank had members of their family both in Germany and the United States and were in touch with the Rothschilds throughout the war. In this war the Anglo-Saxons and Celts and their racial cousins, the Germans, slaughtered each other for the benefit of their common enemies. Millions of the finest men of both sides lost their lives needlessly.

Clausewitz, a student of war, wrote: "Modern wars are the pursuit of policy by other means: that is to say, wars are prime fights between the populations of A and B for the benefit of C."


In consequence of World War I:—

- (1) Germany lost the war and came under the control of the Jew-controlled Weimar Republic.
- (2) Russia was destroyed. Its intelligentsia and farming communities were slaughtered, and she became the base for future world revolution.
- (3) The gold contained in the Imperial Russian banks was shipped off to New York to Kuhn Loeb & Co. by Lenin and Trotsky.
- (4) Palestine was handed over to the Jews.
- (5) Britain ended the war in enormous debt—to be increased on a vastly greater scale as a result of World War II. Largely under the pressure of her international creditors, she began her abdication from empire. □

Addtl. copies of *Causes and Effects of the First World War* are available at: 2/\$1.50; 10/\$6.00; 50/\$25.00; 100/\$40.00; 500/\$150.00; 1000/\$250.00. Please include \$1.00 minimum—for orders under \$10.00—or 10% of order total—for orders over \$10.00— for postage and handling. WV residents must include 5% Consumer Sales Tax.

To be well informed, you must read *Liberty Bell*, published monthly since 1973. Annual subscription \$25.00. Sample copy, several reprints of revealing articles, as well as copy of our comprehensive book list, \$3.00. Order from: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 26270 USA


Survival manual for the White race



William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effects of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *WHICH WAY WESTERN MAN?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *WHICH WAY WESTERN MAN?* send \$16.50 for the deluxe, clothbound edition or \$11.50 for the softback edition (these prices include \$1.50 for shipping) to: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy, WV 26270 USA

Apropos of the recent comment in *Liberty Bell* on the destruction of the "Shuttle," we have been sent a column from *Private Eye* (London), 7 February 1986. It is reproduced photographically below.

Letter from Cape Canaveral



from Our Own Correspondent

HERE in the seedy bars of Cocoa Beach, where human relics in the 1950s Mercury space programme can still be bought a drink, they wonder now when next a shuttle spaceship will shoot off the pad at the nearby Kennedy Space Centre. Next month, next year — ever?

The especially tragic element of the January 28 explosion — the presence on board of teacher Christa McAuliffe — highlights an uncomfortable truth about the Shuttle Programme and NASA: The show-biz element has

come badly unstuck.

Why was a mother of two young children recruited to sit on top of half a million gallons of liquid hydrogen and oxygen that exploded with the force of a tactical nuclear weapon? Because it was dangerous, and therefore entertaining as a TV spectacle.

For all the hypocritical noises of how tragic it was that the accident should have been seen by millions on TV, including Mrs McAuliffe's own children, in reality the patient investment of millions by the TV networks was massively repaid.

President Ronald Reagan tells Americans that TV coverage enabled Mrs McAuliffe to share with her pupils, and all American school-children, the exciting experience of going into space. That is fine for public consumption, but it won't play here in Cocoa Beach.

From the moment they stopped firing dogs and monkeys into space, and started carrying human beings, NASA has strived to maintain 'human' interest — and tax dollars — by running their space programme on circus lines.

When interest began to wane in the early male astronauts and their everyday problems with women, booze and God, they shot Sally Ride into space in 1983 with feminists like Jane Fonda waving from the grandstands and crying, "Ride, Sally, Ride!"

Sally was followed by a black, a Hispanic and an Arabian royal prince. Story lines were arranged for the hacks who assemble here to be spoon-fed NASA data. There was the 'Space Repairman Mission' in which a shuttle crew patched up a broken satellite. This was followed by 'The Space Builder' show in which astronauts assembled a structure in space. There were various 'space walks'.

On each occasion, NASA helpfully pinpointed the danger moments of the flight. Thus, in preliminary 'stand-ups' from here, grim-faced network TV men would hype up the audience for a potential disaster, usually related

to walking in space.

Oddly, they never once mentioned a possibility that must have occurred to everyone else, that the whole shooting match might blow to Kingdom Come before it got into orbit. That the destruction of Challenger, and the seven human beings aboard, came as such an apparent shock to Americans illustrates a failure of imagination, to say the least, in a nation that seems to have lost a capacity for common sense. Carrying human beings by rocket certainly makes a space mission more interesting. The technology used to communicate their voyage to earth via TV and radio is almost as complex as the combination of fire and mathematics which puts them there.

In America it is probably necessary to run a space agency like Bertram Mills' circus in order to maintain interest. And after 24 accident-free departures, NASA could no longer depend on the blast-off spectacle alone to make it on the network evening news.

That's where Christa McAuliffe came in. She was the winner of a highly-publicised nationwide search to find the perfect civilian passenger.

The professional NASA groupies who like to gather in the bar of the Dixie Motel here in Cocoa Beach have good cause for reflection. First on the part they have played in the doomed NASA circus. Second on the fact that NASA's next stunt was to send a hack into space.



To bear witness to the truth

LIBERTY BELL
NETWORK

NOTHING BUT HARD FACTS!
NO GAMES — NO FICTION!

COMPUTER
INFORMATION
NETWORK AND
DATABASE

The Hot-Line

304-927-1773

300/1200
BAUD SERVICE
ON-LINE
24 HOURS

HIT THE SONS OF BITCHES WHERE THEY LIVE!

continued from page 20

tion and experience—as Aryans seem *never* to learn—that between a mindless mob and a disciplined army there is no contest.

From the time Theodor Herzl launched the Zionist movement with the publication of *Der Judenstaat* in 1896, through the Great Depression, through two World Wars they instigated and the loss of hundreds of thousands of their own in those wars, they have never faltered, never hesitated. For a race accustomed to thinking in terms of hundreds and even thousands of years, a race that in its ancient festival of *Purim* ritualizes its loathing and contempt for Aryans while celebrating its own biological unity and superiority, a mere 37 years is but an instant.

Compare that with the typical conservative "response."

Conservative politicians and publicists, having lost their long struggle to block ratification of the Treaty in the Senate, have now taken the position that what they fought so many years, and dissipated so much of their time and energy, to defeat, doesn't mean anything, and won't have any significant effect on our national life anyway. One wonders, if that were true, why they went to all the bother in the first place. Were the Right-wing crusaders of the Fifties, Sixties, Seventies, and early-to-mid Eighties just victims of foolish, obsessive anxiety? Were all their dire predictions of national calamity, under the Genocide Treaty, valid and legitimate on the 18th of February, and then suddenly moonshine on the 19th, when the treaty became a *fait accompli*? Or is this not, in fact, a paradigmatic manifestation of the mentality of Right-wingers, who perennially imagine such contests to be, not a grim and deadly serious struggle for national and racial survival, but a *game*, to be played by punctilious adherence to rules made by the "Conference," which is to say, the Establishment, which is to say, *the enemy*? Is it not a revelation of parlor-blues so fastidious and impeccable in their addiction to superstition that they cannot bear to contemplate the cost of survival, so *Christian* that, for them, defeat has become a moral imperative?

Does that tell you something about why the Jews have all but won the war for world mastery, and why we have nearly lost the contest for our own survival? Does it also suggest the terrible price that we shall have to pay, to reverse trends that now seem inexorable, as the only alternative to extinction?

The coming years promise to be a perilous time for Aryan racialists, but a time also of unprecedented opportunity. The

alien *hostis*, in its unbounded arrogance, may at last have made a fatal blunder. For when it has at last suppressed all opposition, and made itself the unchallenged master of our hapless nation, against whom will the Holy Race incite the normally iconoclastic and anti-Establishmentarian impulses of White American youth? Jews have an uncommon talent for destructive criticism, for eroding the institutions of the various populations among whom they plant their colonies, but they have never, at any time in history, established a viable society that was not founded on racial parasitism. In a society of tape-worms that have at last drawn the life from their host, one wonders, *who sucks whom?*

In the coming years there will be young Americans, at a stage in life in which they are not yet burdened with the responsibilities they will have to assume later, who will be intelligent and curious. It is really expecting too much to suppose that they will have much appetite for the same old quackery and patent-medicine that Right-wingers have been peddling for the greater part of this century. They will ask questions. And they will not be satisfied with double-talk presented to them in the guise of honest answers.

They will want to know, among other things, why this country needs a "genocide treaty"—or a National Nigger. They will want to know why it was necessary for Americans to submit to revolutionary changes in their legal and governmental system just to "make a statement to the world" that they disapprove of masskilling. And being curious, they will soon detect the stench of hypocrisy that permeates the whole accepted and official version of recent history. They will ask how it was possible for the British and American governments to cremate hundreds of thousands of defenseless civilians in places like Dresden and Hamburg, and then have the unmitigated impudence to charge the *Germans* with "war crimes."

They, these few whose brains have not yet been rotted by television, rock "music," and other drugs will look at the Holocaust-question with a degree of detachment and objectivity hardly possible for their counterparts in this generation. They will say: Suppose it's all true. Suppose the "Holocaust" actually happened, just as the TV oracles, court historians, and other self-proclaimed custodians of the public conscience maintain. Even so, it is hardly the central event in all history, however-much the Holocausters would have it so. It is hardly an event more important or more worthy to be remembered than the

Battle of Thermopylae, the accession of Charlemagne, or the discovery of America. They, even though young, will have the maturity, the judgment, the simple *sanity* to insist on some concession to common sense, even from their teachers. And anyway, they will ask, *where is the evidence?*

They will understand that when a promoter of the "Holocaust," whether Jew or *goyischer* hireling, forsakes ordinary civility and emulates the manners of a common thug; when, in his holy ardor, he resorts to threats and calumny, representing dissenting viewpoints as "obscene" or "blasphemous;" when for him the very suggestion that the "Holocaust" should *not* have happened is "unthinkable;" when he characterizes those who hold such views as despicable, or dangerous, or demented, or in some way unfit for the protection of law; when he wails that un-hechshered history is "racist" or "Nazi" and must be banned or suppressed, and taken off the shelves of university libraries and bookstores—as *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century* was kept off the shelves in Northwestern University's Norris Center Bookstore ten years ago, even though that work's author, Professor Arthur Butz, was a member of the Northwestern faculty, and supposedly entitled to the perquisites and immunities of scholarship and academic freedom—when the partisans of Jewish sanctity abandon reasoned argument altogether, for indulgence in tribal orgies of shrieking and spitting; when that happens, then the intelligent young men and women of our race will know that the Holocausters are not offering proof of their contentions and asking for intellectual assent: rather, like their Sixteenth-century counterparts who burned honest men for declaring the truth as they understood it, *they are demanding an act of faith and obedience.*

When that point is reached, those youth will begin wondering what happened to their elders: how could their parents, teachers, and others whom they respected, have been captivated by a vulgar fable? How could they roll over and play dead, pretending to believe what they damned well know is a lie? *How else*, they will ask, except by having been intoxicated by a religion that leads men, starting in earliest childhood, in the habits of uncritical acceptance of whatever they are told by self-proclaimed and self-justifying "authorities"—"holy" men, "holy" books, and the like; a religion that has so poisoned the intellectual conscience of our race that even highly educated persons, who laugh at the tall tales of the Bible and who consider themselves atheists, are still, fundamentally, Christians at heart? "What the

hell!" these youth will exclaim, when at last they grasp the connection between the charnel superstitions of the Jewish Export Religion and contemporary problems, when they see what happens when good men throw common sense overboard in favor of the ravings of lunatics: "If one Jew can rise from the dead," they will wonder, "why *not* six million of them?"

Above all, they will want to know why it is necessary for White Americans to submit to *Jews* as their moral preceptors—to take instruction in morality from a people whose racial epic, if even a fraction of it is true, is a record of genocidal slaughter unequalled in world literature; a race that hypostasized its insane lusts by inventing Yahweh, a being that can be appeased only by the stench of blood and burning flesh, a fiend who enjoins every moral outrage from fraud to the massacre of infants, a blood-drenched and gore-splattered horror of the imagination beside whom all the tyrants, sadists, and mass-murderers known to history would seem puny. And from such as these *shall we meekly submit to snivelling about genocide?* You don't believe it? Read your Bible, friends, as the TV evangelists tell you so often. Read your Bible.

The few intelligent White youth who have not yet been thoroughly hoodwinked and bamboozled by their teachers, clergymen, television commentators, and other unwitting or deliberate sources of disinformation, will have minds open to the "underground" literature of historical revisionism (that term being merely a convenient designation for *honest* history, history that is conceived and written without reference to irrational taboos, and with no concession to the interests or desires of our biological enemies.) That vital body of literature is growing every day, and it *cannot* be suppressed entirely, though the enemy have ears in every newspaper office, every corporate boardroom, and every classroom from kindergarten to graduate school.

The young men and women whose main concerns in life are something other than coke-snorting and copulating will, with the best help we can give them, find their way to that literature.

They will circulate surreptitious and forbidden copies of *The Dispossessed Majority* and *The Turner Diaries*, of *America's Decline* and *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, of *Which Way, Western Man?* and *Mein Kampf* and *White Power*, until they are worn out—and then they will come looking for more.

It will be our task to provide it.

Though we must expect from the enemy an unprecedented

level of criminal violence, combined with public and official indifference, the technique used by the Jews in Torrance, California two years ago is already obsolete. The advent of electronic wordprocessors, combined with high-resolution laser printers, has made possible publishing of the highest quality at very modest cost. No longer will revisionist writers have to rely on heavy, bulky printing presses and typesetting equipment—machinery that is immovable and therefore vulnerable to attack—to get their works into print. No longer will they have to purchase services from businesses that are unsympathetic, that charge high rates, and that may be unwilling to invite Jewish reprisals even if they are themselves halfway receptive to unorthodox opinions.

With the computer revolution, revisionists can operate with an investment of a few thousand dollars, instead of hundreds of thousands or millions. The equipment is portable, will fit into the trunk of the average automobile, and can be set up on any desk or table where there is electricity. The better software, available for at most a few hundred dollars, has sophisticated text-processing and graphics capabilities that rival traditional printing. With competent editing and layout, an attractive and quite arresting publication, especially a periodical, can be produced with minimal effort and expense. With networking, via modem, an article written by a man who lives in Oregon can be edited in Pennsylvania, formatted for publication in Texas, and printed by a desktop laser printer in Michigan—all within 24 hours. And should our people obtain advance intelligence of a raid, *a la* Torrance, the equipment can be moved and hidden within minutes.

The genius of Gutenberg gave the world movable type; now we have movable *publishing*, and, as the traditional avenues of publicity are more tightly closed against us, we should take every possible advantage of this valuable resource. The Rightwing broadsides of the 1950s—undocumented, hysterical alarms in the form of sloppy, badly edited, and barely readable copy cranked out on spirit duplicators in somebody's basement—are a *thing of the past*.

The enemy, as I have suggested above, may have made a fatal blunder in their determination to incite masochistic guilt in Aryans through their promotion of the whole "genocide" racket. Even persons who are not well educated, or if educated, not well-read politically, are beginning to express their indignation and sheer boredom at the endless parade of books and

“specials” and “documentaries” that demonstrate, supposedly, how six million of God’s Supreme Achievements were exterminated by Adolf Hitler and his sanitation crew. A person who approached the question with perfect objectivity, *de novo*—an intelligent observer from Jupiter, let us say—would have his suspicions aroused by the sheer frenzy of it all; by the mad determination to *make people believe, and to suppress and punish unbelief*, in a manner that would make Torquemada himself sit up and blink.

But the Jews are now irrevocably committed to their holy hoax, whether they like it or not. They have taken a position from which they cannot retreat without calling into question, and ultimately bringing to total repudiation and ruin, the whole of their elaborate mythology. Once suspicious of the “Holocaust,” White Americans, at least the more literate and inquisitive among them, may raise an eyebrow at the unquestioned and cherished assumptions of “democracy,” “equality,” “human rights,” and the rest of the gibberings that form the basis of the established ethos. They will discover that 400,000 Americans died, four decades ago, to make the world a place far worse than it had been before. They will learn that they have been *had*, and by whom.

In that event, individual Jews might survive the inevitable reaction against their race, but their war against *our* race and civilization would be lost forever. Exposure of the Big Lie will have the result that proper Right-wingers, who wouldn’t sully their minds with thoughts about our real problems, could not achieve in thirty years of effort, even with all their revelations about treason in government and Communist penetration of all the major institutions of American society. The “Holocaust,” for all it has gained them in sympathy, in money, in support for “Israel,” and in many other advantages, is the Jews’ one fatal weakness—a point of vulnerability aptly characterized by one writer as “the valve in the heel of their Talos.” To invoke a metaphor a bit less elegant: discrediting the Holohoax, which the “Genocide” Treaty was intended to enshrine as holy and inviolable social dogma, will have upon the whole Jewish incubus the effect of a well-aimed kick in the family gemmary.

White Man, are you sick of Jewish lies?
Of Auschwitz, phony guilt, and all the rest?
Of thirty centuries’ uninvited guest:
The “persecuted” kike in base disguise?

Erwache, Kinsman, in deadly wrath arise,
The Leader’s Spirit steel you for the test:
Let’s *hoch* the *Fahne*, crush the loathsome pest
And give God’s “chosen” scum a real surprise.

For men deserve the evils they permit,
When, sated lumps, their brains become a sieve
To pass unwelcome Truth. So let’s commit
To rightful Victory all we have to give.

Addtl. copies of *Hit The SOB's Where They Live!* available at 2/\$1.50; 10/\$6.00; 50/\$25.00; 100/\$40.00; 500/\$150.00; 1000/\$250.00, plus postage. Order from LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.



**THE HOAX
OF THE
TWENTIETH
CENTURY**
by A. R. BUTZ

Please help us expose
THE BIGGEST JEWISH LIE!

Please help us spread
THE TRUTH!

Order extra copies of
**THE HOAX OF THE 20th
CENTURY**
at these prices:
1/\$7.00—3/\$18.00—10/\$55.00
100/\$450.00—1000/\$4,000.00

THE “HOLOCAUST” — FACT OR FICTION?
Were six million Jews really gassed—or has a colossal hoax
been perpetrated on the world?

Professor Arthur Butz has carefully investigated the alleged extermination of 6,000,000 Jews during World War II and has written a book which thoroughly documents his startling findings. His book strips away the cover of fraud and deceit from this emotion-charged topic and lays bare the full and complete truth.
THE HOAX OF THE 20th CENTURY, pb., 315 pp. \$7.00
Order No. 8012 plus \$1.00 for post. & handling

ORDER FROM:
LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

THE 'HOLOCAUST' 120 QUESTIONS and ANSWERS

Charles E. Weber

INSTITUTE FOR HISTORICAL RESEARCH

HERE IS A BOOK in lucid question/answer format that tackles virtually all the myths and distortions propagated by the "Holocaust" Establishment—a book for young and old alike.

- Presents ideas and information not found in other books in this field.

- Short, well-organized and up-to-date on the latest ideas and research.

- Suitable for the classroom as a counterbalance to "Holocaust" studies.

- Gives a historical background of the Jewish problem in Europe, examines the motivations of various groups with regard to the Extermination thesis, and introduces the reader to the more detailed literature on the subject.

amines the motivations of various groups with regard to the Extermination thesis, and introduces the reader to the more detailed literature on the subject.

- Written by a former professor with a Ph.D. in an historical discipline whose training as a linguist gave him access to literature in various languages, and whose U.S. military intelligence experience in WWII included his residence in Europe during 1945-48 with assignments involving him in preparations for the Nuremberg Trials.

In the classroom; in debates; for the novice revisionist, the inquisitive and skeptical—nothing could be as useful as Dr. Weber's *The "Holocaust"—120 Questions and Answers*. 120 questions that rouse thought. 120 fully-referenced answers that blow the lid off the blackout. A book of this scope and format has been needed for a long time. Here you have it: easy-to-read, written and priced for wide distribution—and an answer to today's obsession with Holocaustiana.

THE "HOLOCAUST"—120 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

by Dr. Charles E. Weber

60 pp., pb., bibliography & indices

ORDER No.: 8014

ORDER No. 8114

Single copy: \$4.00

3 copies \$11.00

FOR POSTAGE & HANDLING

on DOMESTIC ORDERS, please include \$1.00 for orders under \$10.00—10% for orders over \$10.00; on FOREIGN ORDERS, please include \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00—15% for orders over \$10.00—50% for AIR MAIL delivery. West Virginia residents must include 5% for State Sales Tax.

For a sample copy of our monthly magazine, *The Liberty Bell*, several reprints of some eye-opening articles, and a comprehensive book list, send \$3.00 to:

Liberty Bell Publications

P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA

LINGERING UNCERTAINTY, *continued from page 12*

can boobs.

In December 1984 a great cloud of the deadly fumes of methyl isocyanate from the Union Carbide's plant in Bhopal inflicted a somewhat painful death on some two thousand low-grade Hindus who dwelt in typically Indian squalor in a district adjacent to the plant, and caused illness and distress to an uncertain number of other inhabitants of the overcrowded city. The number of casualties was, of course, wildly exaggerated by the reporters for the press, who instinctively magnify events that they have not invented, even when they are not under orders to create excitement for politically subversive purposes. The *New York Times* and the many other enemy publications tried to make the incident a sensational event, and, as everyone knows, our flocks of "intellectuals," like chickens in a chickenyard, are always ready to set up a clamorous squawking to call attention to themselves.³

What had happened in Bhopal was obvious to anyone who had some knowledge of organic chemistry. The lethal fumes spouted from the escape valve of a storage tank that contained liquid methyl isocyanate, which is used in the manufacture of insecticides and herbicides.⁴ The heavy gas was ejected upward from the tank by a very high pressure within it. To see at once what must have happened, one had only to write the formula:



It was certain that a fairly large quantity of water had been

3. I must not be thought to intend a complete analogy. Chickens are entitled to our gratitude every time we eat breakfast and at most of our other meals, whereas the "intellectuals" programmed in our diploma-factories are an unmitigated nuisance.

4. What happened in Bhopal has nothing whatever to do with the question of the advisability of using insecticides and herbicides and their known or supposed effect on human beings. The "progressive" government of India zealously promotes the use of such chemicals in agriculture, hoping thus to increase the total production of foodstuffs, and was eager to have established chemical plants to produce them in India. India is, of course, terribly overpopulated and becoming more so every day. Russia under the Czars persistently hoped to establish a land-bridge to India through Afghanistan—most of my readers will remember Kipling's brilliant story, "The Man Who Was," an unforgettable story that we now read with a nostalgic regret for a lost civilization. One wonders what will happen, now that the Soviets are about to succeed in doing what the Czars never dared to attempt.

introduced into the tank, producing methyl amine and carbon dioxide with an intensely exothermic reaction which made the rest of the methyl isocyanate boil and become vapor that was expelled from the safety valve with which the tank was necessarily equipped as a precaution against a disastrous explosion in the event of a malfunction.

At first sight, there were two—and only two—ways in which the water could have been introduced into the tank, videlicet:

1. Sabotage.

2. Negligence by Hindus whom the company had been forced to employ in more than janitorial capacities. This was the solution favored by Americans who had spent years in India, trying to teach the natives the elements of our technology. No one doubts but that, thanks to the rigid caste system that has prevailed in India for centuries, there are highly intelligent Hindus, usually identified by their fair or comparatively light complexion, who normally hold secure social positions, and some of whom have evinced scientific ability worthy of their Aryan ancestors; but Americans who have tried to instruct the “common man” in India, that is to say, the great majority of the mongrel population, agree that the average Hindu is slothful and indolent, not exactly lazy, if that word is limited to avoidance of physical exertion, but feckless and intent on reducing mental exertion to a bare minimum. Such beings can be trained to perform fairly exacting tasks and will work in the way they have been taught so long as they are strictly supervised, but will immediately revert to their natural indolence as soon as they are no longer watched, and will thoughtlessly do whatever seems easiest to them. It was therefore an *a priori* possibility that some native had turned the wrong valve from sheer fecklessness.

It was soon seen that the latter alternative was excluded by the design of the tank and the magnitude of the chemical reaction, thus leaving an act of sabotage as the only reasonable explanation. And for all practical purposes, the rule of *cui bono?* left us again with only a choice between simple alternatives.

1. From the standpoint of Indian politics, the incident was perfectly timed. Indira Gandhi had just died. That astute female, although not related to the wizened crackpot whom the British culpably permitted to become a pestilential focus of sedition, had exploited the name and attained such political power that she was able to work, within the limits of what was

feasible, to carry out by easy stages her father Nehru’s plan to destroy the cultural bonds that have restrained the teeming and frighteningly prolific masses of India and thus to loose on the world a filthy and verminous horde of the kind that is so well described in Jean Raspail’s *Camp of the Saints*. Her son, Rajiv, evidently with the sanction of the sinister power that dominates the world today, grabbed the office his mother had vacated—grabbed it in open defiance of the Constitution of “republican” India. Constitutions in India mean no more than they do in the United States, but the normal procedure is to have the provisions of such a document nullified by courts, a procedure that always contents boobs, but even in the United States today the populace might become discontented, if Reagan died and a son took his place without preliminary approval from the Revolutionary Tribunal in Washington, thereby kicking many ambitious scoundrels in the nose. There could have been quite serious trouble in India, if the incident in Bhopal had not provided an ideal opportunity to distract and unite the populace by exercising its passionate hatred of Western civilization and of the United States, which the ignorant majority in India think a bulwark of the culture and race they detest. If the young Gandhi and his gang did not arrange the sabotage at the Union Carbide’s plant, they were certainly served and perhaps saved by a fantastic coincidence.

2. There was, however, an alternative to be considered. Encouraged by the squawking of “intellectuals,” India sued Union Carbide in American courts for absurdly enormous sums as compensation for the deaths of the Hindus who were killed or harmed by the lethal fumes. The suits were obviously fraudulent, for the most or all of the victims belonged to the dregs of the populace, and their squalid heirs would never have thought of going to law, but would have been delighted with a payment of a few rupees and have considered themselves fortunate. The wildly inflated claims for damages were a threat to and attack on the American corporation, but, so far as was generally known when the incident took place, there was no specific reason for such an attack at that particular time. It was known, of course, that Union Carbide was greatly disliked by our rulers because it was a conservative corporation, was not hopelessly in debt, and so paid inadequate tribute to the international usurers who batten on our people, a population that has become so slavish and imbecile that it is very hard to retain a hope that Americans may have a future. At the time, therefore, although

this second alternative could not be categorically excluded, the first seemed by far the more probable.

One had to revise his estimate when he learned that Union Carbide's naturally depressed stock was being purchased on the market by a financial gang that planned to take over the corporation and, of course, loot it, as is normal in the American economy today. That is the piracy that is described in detail in the *Wall Street Journal*. The raid failed because the directors of the Union Carbide, excluding the management from their councils, were able to avert, by heroic and drastic expedients, the attempted seizure and thus to protect the stockholders' investment and, of course, their own. They neutralized the effect of the incident in Bhopal and achieved what the *Journal* calls a "landmark victory," unprecedented in American finance, but at the cost of reducing Union Carbide to "a smaller, weaker company," stripped off some of its most profitable operations and perhaps less able to resist the pirates of international finance in the future.

From the *Journal's* article, it is clear that the sabotage in Bhopal was almost as perfectly timed for the pirates' attack on the corporation as it was for the usurpation of the late Indira Gandhi's power by her son. We are thus confronted by alternatives between which the choice is not certain.

In the meantime, the event in December 1984 has been fully elucidated. The Union Carbide's plant in Bhopal was managed and staffed entirely by Hindus, and is operated by an Indian company that is a subsidiary of the American corporation, which holds only slightly more than half of the stock, so that legally, in terms of Anglo-Saxon law, the American corporation is not liable for any damage for which the Indian company may be responsible. Humanitarian rather than legal considerations, therefore, prompted the American corporation's offer of \$300,000,000 in compensation immediately after the incident—an offer which India refused, hoping to extract much more from the corporation with the complicity of American courts.

There was evidence of slipshod procedures and negligence by the Hindus, both workmen and management, since the latter must be deemed responsible for strict supervision of the former. But no conceivable negligence could have caused the disaster. For an explanation of what happened, see *Chemical and Engineering News* for the week of 2 December 1985, pp.18-32.⁵

5. For a continuing account of the aftermath of the incident in Bhopal, see the issues of this periodical for 10 December and 24 December 1984 and

The storage tank contained almost forty tons of methyl isocyanate, supposedly protected by refrigeration, a blanket of nitrogen, and an elaborate device to neutralize any emission that might come through the escape valves, it being naturally assumed that such emissions would be small, since no malfunction could produce any very high pressure within the tank, and a failure of the refrigeration, which seems to have occurred, could not possibly raise the temperature within the tank to a critical level. The boiling point of methyl isocyanate is 102.4° F. The intensely exothermic reaction with water, shown by the formula transcribed above, produced a temperature of about 400° F, and the furiously boiling methyl isocyanate produced vapor at such high pressure that it not only blew out the safety valve and the device for controlling emissions from it, but so distorted the steel tank that it cracked the concrete casing around it. That reaction can have been produced only by the intentional injection of about 240 gallons of water into the tank, i.e., by an act of deliberate sabotage designed to produce the results which did occur and perhaps also an explosion of the tank itself.

Honest Hindu scientists admit that only a deliberate act of sabotage could have caused the disaster, but lackeys of the government are trying to invent fantastic explanations that would place the blame on the American corporation and so enable Rajiv Gandhi's government to squeeze large sums of money out of Union Carbide. Since the vapor of methyl isocyanate is a gas much heavier than air, most or all of the deaths, which cannot have numbered more than 2000 and may not have exceeded 1800, occurred in the area near the plant. Several thousand persons, including some in the better parts of the city, suffered some ill effects, but, naturally, the appetite for unearned income has produced an enormous number of "victims" in addition to those inevitably caused by psychosomatic reactions. As one Indian official cynically admitted, "These days, everyone is a gas victim." And the word 'isocyanate' made many persons ignorant of chemistry think of the cyanides, especially potassium cyanide and sodium cyanide, the poisons so deservedly popular with the authors of detective fiction. So, by psychosomatic reaction or malingering, there are thousands in Bhopal who claim to be suffering from poisoning by cyanide, which is chemically impossible, except insofar as some ingenious chemists

21 January, 28 January, 25 March, 1 April, 27 May, and 22 July 1985.

in both India and the United States have devised formulae by which hydrogen cyanide could be produced *within* the body of an individual who had inhaled methyl isocyanate, by combination and reaction with activated haemoglobin under certain conditions. (See p. 29 of the article cited above.) But, as a courageous Hindu physician says, "The question of cyanide poisoning is more sociopolitical than medical." And what is most interesting is that some investigators for the Indian government claim to have found cyanide at the base of the tank; if they did, it was obviously planted.

If the sabotage was not arranged to facilitate Rajiv Gandhi's seizure of power, it would have been only natural for his government not only to exploit politically what must have seemed a god-sent coincidence, but also to indulge both greed and hatred of Americans by trying to capitalize on it. That does not prove guilt, but when Warren M. Anderson, Chairman of the Board of Union Carbide, accompanied by a team of chemical, engineering, and medical experts, flew to India to give all assistance within the corporation's power, Gandhi's government arrested them as they landed and imprisoned them until they were able to obtain their release by posting enormous bonds. A desire to exacerbate the population's hatred of Americans is not an adequate explanation of the government's actions, which can have been motivated only by a determination to prevent Union Carbide from ascertaining what had actually happened at the plant of its Indian subsidiary in Bhopal. Even the *New York Times*, which has worked so hard to excite indignation and animosity against the American corporation, had to admit, in a dispatch from New Delhi on 28 January 1986, that "the Indian authorities have denied corporate representatives [of Union Carbide] access to some documents, equipment, and personnel."

The strenuous efforts of Gandhi's government to conceal the facts very strongly inclines the balance of probability to the view that it was that government that contrived the sabotage to cover his usurpation of power, but we still cannot be quite certain which of the two beneficiaries, Gandhi's gang and the pirates of international finance, took advantage of an opportunity created by the other. Historically, therefore, the event has not yet been definitively explained. One wonders, of course, whether it ever will be, given the irredeemable corruption of government in both India and the United States.

MISBEHAVING VOTERS

The American Republic was doomed when the rather stringent limitations on the suffrage, taken for granted in the Constitution, were first relaxed and then abolished, permitting what is called "majority rule," which means, of course, rule by the confidence men who prey on that majority. In this country, as in Britain, government is a theatrical performance that provides entertainment for the populace and conceals the reality of the rulers' power.

Americans, however, take a particular pride in their "two-party system," which, every two years, gives them a chance to play guessing games. First, in primaries for state offices, and then in national elections, they are given an opportunity to guess which of two evils is the lesser. That seems to amuse and content them, particularly since the advertising experts in the press show real skill as they create the impression that there is some significant difference between the two, and that it really matters which is chosen—matters, that is, to anyone except the performers, who naturally each covet the top billing in the show, and to persons who have placed bets on one or the other.

Very rarely, however, it happens that the performances are marred by embarrassing slips in the action. Something happens on the stage that was not in the script, and the audience reacts in an undesired way. That is most disconcerting to the directors of the show.

In 1984, for example, there was *one* interesting election—in the 15th Congressional District of Michigan.¹ There Gerald R. Carlson, who brazenly asserted that White men had rights, won the Republican nomination by a quite comfortable majority. That so dismayed the producers of the biennial comedy that they gave the show away.

The public is not only told that there is some real difference between the "Republican" and the "Democratic" gangs, but it

1. The Presidential election in 1984 was without interest; despite the efforts of press and boob-tubes to make it appear that there was a contest, it was apparent that the Jews had picked old Ronnie as their *shabbat goy*. There was no clear indication whether or not it was intended that he live out his full term in office. The Jews, with very little attempt at concealment, spent millions to defeat incumbents in the House and Senate just to emphasize the lesson that American curs must not whimper in the presence of their owners, but that was scarcely so novel as to be interesting. What made Carlson's campaign interesting was that the Americans had a chance to vote for a candidate on their side and even to elect him to Congress.

his standard procedure to cozen discontented individuals by talking about "party loyalty" and a hope of a lesser sell-out the next time. But the bosses of the "Republican" gang were so dismayed that they did not stop to reflect that if Mr. Carlson were elected as a representative of the Americans, he could not accomplish anything of real importance as a lone man in the great den of thieves, embezzlers, counterfeiters, and traitors who, together with their Jewish masters, form what is called the Congress of the United States.² He would, at most, have been a minor annoyance until the machinery for ruining him was put into operation. They had doubtless ascertained that he was so odious a reactionary that he could not be bought, but, since I do not know Mr. Carlson personally, I am not sure that if they had accepted him, as the much publicized principles of the great American farce obliged them to do, they could not have neutralized him, at least partly, with the old hokum about "party loyalty" and a plea, "let's get behind old Ronnie and push," supported by "inside information" that at heart the old hooper really favored the Americans, although it was not politically expedient for him to admit it.

Instead of behaving with ordinary political prudence, however, the "Republican" bosses in Michigan, perhaps frightened by frowns of their Yiddish lords, went into a tantrum and begged the stalwarts of the party to defeat their own party's candidate and get votes for the candidate of the ostensibly opposing party, fat-faced Gerald Ford, who pontificated that it was "un-American" for Americans to think that they were

2. Financial analysts report that \$1000 invested in 1940 in what were once eminently safe investments, such as bonds, now has a value of only \$40 to \$45 in terms of the dollars of 1940; thus the Congress has stolen \$960 of 1940 from such investors, to say nothing of the corresponding theft of a comparable part of the interest on their investment. As everyone knows, the Congress devised an actuarial scheme called "Social Security" and soon embezzled the premiums that had been collected by force from the coerced participants. The Congress is also the accomplice of the counterfeiting ring called the Federal Reserve, forcing Americans to accept worthless paper in place of money. And of many acts of overt treason, it will suffice to mention that Americans were stripped of their Panama Canal to provide another link in the Communist encirclement of the United States, and that the Senate recently enacted the "Genocide Treaty" in preparation for rule of the American serfs by open terrorism, as in the Soviet Union, although it is not yet clear whether the Jews plan to impose the Reign of Terror gradually or suddenly, perhaps using a war, such as their Ronnie is now trying to provoke, as a suitable occasion for putting the Americans in the place the fools made for themselves.

entitled to be represented in the Congress of the United States, thus giving away the rest of the "two-party" fraud.

Although Carlson had only a tiny budget and was denied access to the normal channels of publicity, having to rely almost entirely on handbills and personal appearances, while Ford was lavishly financed by both of the supposedly opposing parties and the prostitutes of the press brewed their best venom, the result of the election shocked and alarmed professional con men throughout the country. Carlson received 40% of the votes, and some observers in Michigan even report that Ford's success was made possible only by frantically mobilizing 90% of the niggers to vote against the White man who thought that Americans should be more than tax-paying animals.

Nothing is more amusing and instructive than what happens when the people actually try to select for themselves the candidate who is to be "the people's choice," and it need not be over an issue of racial survival, as it was in Michigan. When the serfs do not behave as they were scheduled to do, the big show becomes a riot, and the stage-managers have conniption fits.

In Illinois the other day, two candidates sponsored by Lyndon LaRouche's organization won the "Democratic" nomination for Lieutenant Governor and Secretary of State, because the tax-paying animals had the temerity to think they had a right to chose candidates in a primary election. The "Democratic" boss-men and their Jewish supervisors behaved like a crowd at a circus when all the tigers have escaped from their cages before feeding time.

It must not be thought that I intend to say anything good about Lyndon LaRouche, whose strange career and stranger financial sponsors would call for very extensive discussion, and whom I remember for books that were manufactured to prove that all of the world's distress comes, not from Yahweh's piratical brood, but from the wicked Anglo-Saxons in England, who not only operate the trade in narcotic drugs everywhere on the globe, but are engaged in a frightful conspiracy to destroy civilization.³ And I express no opinion concerning his candidates, who, although given the silent treatment by the alien-owned and controlled press, were able to make personal,

3. The cleverest of these books is impressive at first sight, *The New Dark Ages Conspiracy, Britain's Plot to Destroy Civilization*, by Mr./Mrs./Miss Carol White, complete with fulsome encomia of the great genius of our age, Lyndon LaRouche, and published by his Benjamin Franklin Press in 1980.

privately sponsored appearances before small audiences, especially in the part of the state that lies outside the stinking wasteland of Chicago and in which native Americans still form a majority, although they are not supposed to behave as though they knew it. I am here interested only in the consequences of the astonishing behavior of voters in the "Democratic" primary who dared to chose candidates they were supposed to ignore.

LaRouche's candidates, persons utterly unknown to the public, clearly won the nominations because they dared to speak about forbidden topics: the imminent danger of the ever growing epidemic of Immunity Deficiency, the continuous robbery of Americans by the great thieves of cosmopolitan finance, and the progressive liquidation of American farmers.

The "Democratic" candidate for governor was Adlai Stevenson, the son of old "Auntie" Adlai, who is remembered for one of the cleverest publicity stunts in American political shows. (He was photographed with a foot raised to exhibit a hole in the sole of his shoe.) Young Adlai, having himself photographed with a visage that would have suited a lush who had just been cleaned out by the roulette-wheels on the Strip in Las Vegas, announced that he could not endure association with fellow candidates so "hate-filled" they thought the tax-paying animals had a right to work for themselves instead of their international lords, and vowed that he would form a Third Party. He should have waited for his cosmeticians and "public relations" experts. His daddy would have been prudent enough to do so.

The enemy press vomited all over its dirty paper, regurgitating swill about the Ku Klux Klan and the wicked Germans who didn't worship God's Masterpieces. And "Democratic" straw-bosses beat their chests as they confessed to having been "caught off guard," thus permitting the damned American voters to chose for themselves, as they should never be allowed to do.

The most ludicrous performance of all was staged on the boob-tube by the Jews' Defamation League. The Yids, perhaps indiscreetly, wailed that "international financiers" means Jews," and they intimated that the nomination of the two candidates foreshadowed a dire future in which the Americans would start stuffing millions and millions of Yahweh's Precious Darlings into gas chambers and ovens. That seemed odd, since one of the successful candidates is a Jewess⁴ and the other is

4. So it is said. According to a report which I have no means of verifying, her father was a Jew, but her mother was not a Jewess; according to the strict Jewish definition, therefore, she is not a Jewess.

suspected by some (perhaps his enemies) of being partly a Jew.

Some optimists hope that these local *contrietemps* are an indication that some Americans are becoming weary of the biennial stage-show that held them enthralled for so long, and may even be beginning to think for themselves. The professionals of politics⁵ doubtless reflect that things are managed much better in the perfected "democracy" of the Soviet Union. And there are cynics who surmise that all the hurly-burly is just part of the show, an episode introduced to make it less boring to the audience and to ensure the reelection of a governor who is zealously importing talented Orientals⁶ and has recently boasted of his success in inducing some financiers in a great and wealthy industrial nation, Communist China, to establish branches in primitive Illinois and thus provide menial jobs for impoverished peasants, kulaks who are being driven from the farms they once owned, and for some of the other ignorant Aryan natives, who are too shiftless and technologically backward to have industry of their own.

5. Nothing more clearly illustrates the workings of what Americans call "democracy" than the degradation of this word. It was around 1940, as I remember, that the publishers of a British dictionary of international biography had to explain to an American that they had not used 'politician' in a derogatory sense and had intended no libel of persons so described. The primary meaning of 'politics' is that given in the dictionaries, "The art and science of government," i.e., political philosophy. An educated Attorney General was wont to play on the dual meaning of the word today when he advised his acquaintances, "Never talk about politics to a politician: he couldn't understand and wouldn't be interested anyway." And a friend of mine insists that a careful writer of English will never use the phrase "a corrupt politician," since that is an offensive tautology, like "wet water" or "cold ice."

6. Business men say that in some departments of the State government, especially the ones concerned with highways, the engineering staffs are composed entirely of Hindus, imported, no doubt, because the natives of the state, Aryans—or are they Ainus?—do not have minds that can cope with such complicated work.—Schools in Illinois must now teach in some twenty-two foreign languages, including Spanish (for the mongrels swarming in from Mexico) and "French" (for niggers from Haiti); Arabic (doubtless of several dialects) and Syriac from the Near East; Hindustani, Urdu, Gujarati, and Bengali from India; Cambodian, Laotian, and Vietnamese from Indo-China; Chinese, Cantonese, Korean, and Japanese from the Far East. The great problem in Illinois is that of finding instructors in all those tongues; there is no problem about finances, of course: just suck more blood from the dumb brutes who pay taxes. Needless to say, it would be much less expensive to ship the alien scum back home, but what would Jesus say? Only a vile "racist" would imagine that Americans could have a right to a country of their own!


PATHOLOGY A LA MODE

An Associated-Press despatch, published in many newspapers on 21 March, reports that Dr. William D. Edwards, a pathologist on the staff of the famous Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota, has published in the prestigious *Journal of the American Medical Association* a "new medical analysis based on historical [sic] records" which shows that "Jesus Christ's execution on the cross was 'gruesome and disgraceful' with extreme suffering."

I do not usually read the American Medical Association's solemn trade-journal, but I shall now watch eagerly for the article in which the great pathologist will give us a medical analysis of the suffering of Odin when he was lashed to the world-tree, or of Zagreus when he was dismembered and devoured by the Titans (before he was resurrected), for both of which events he has equally good historical sources. □

GRUESOME HARVEST

The Costly Attempt To Exterminate The People of Germany



ORDER No.: 7012 — \$4.00

ORDER No.: 7009 — \$3.00

For postage and handling, please include \$1. for orders under \$10., 10% for orders over \$10.

Liberty Bell Publications

P.O. BOX 21 • REEDY WV 25270 • USA

The Book that Hitler Fears

GERMANY MUST PERISH!

Thatcher

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

DO YOUR PART TODAY — HELP FREE OUR WHITE

RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!