

AMERICA'S DECLINE

THE EDUCATION OF
A CONSERVATIVE



REVILLO P. OLIVER

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007—\$8.50

plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg.

376 pp., pb.

ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY

Centerfold

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revillo P. Oliver: RELENTLESS REBECCA, page 1; A TRAINING MANUAL FOR 'RACISTS', page 5; WOMEN IN THE CHRIST BUSINESS, page 10. BOOK REVIEW: *Ver-gessene Zeitgeschichte* (Forgotten Recent History), by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 15. THE NORDIC PRESS: THE LOGICAL THING TO DO, page 41; GOOD NEWS, page 43. LETTERS TO THE EDITOR, page 45.

VOL. 15 - NO. 11

JULY 1988

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA — Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS — U.S.A. only	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS — U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS — All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL — Europe-South America	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa	\$49.00
Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by
Revilo P. Oliver

RELENTLESS REBECCA

The New Meaning of Treason, which I cited in the foregoing article [see *Liberty Bell*, June 1988], is a peculiar book. It poses a psychological problem of some interest.

Cicily Fairfield, later Mrs. Henry Maxwell Andrews, chose to call herself "Rebecca West," taking the name of the heroine of one of Ibsen's tedious "problem plays" about restless women, although she presumably did not intend to dominate a man, ruin his marriage and his life, and finally force him to leap with her into a mill-stream. Under that name she wrote a number of novels. I read two of them decades ago and they so little impressed me that I cannot recall the titles. I also read some literary criticism of the kind in which the critic tells us much more about himself than about the subject of his essay.

In 1946 she published serially in an American magazine for the "smart set," *The New Yorker*, articles that were published as a book, *The Meaning of Treason*, in the following year.

This consisted principally of a disgraceful attempt to denigrate and vilify William Joyce, the brilliant young man, probably an American citizen in strict legality, whom the British hanged for having tried to save Britain from the morass in which she is now slowly perishing.

Rebecca West's shameful defamation of Joyce in 1946 could be forgiven as the outcry of a woman made hysterical by the hardships and terror the population of England had undergone in the war by which they destroyed their Empire and even their own nation to please the secret enemies who were their masters. In 1946, of course, events had not yet proved that Joyce had been absolutely right when he predicted England's future shortly before his execution; and it was still hoped that the book he wrote before his death, *Twilight Over England*, could be kept from the Jews' Aryan victims. (It was eventually published in this country and is available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$5.00 + postage.)

In 1946, Britons were still under the spell of the rhetorical eloquence and histrionic oratory of Winston Churchill, and, of course, they did not know that the vicious War Criminal had inflicted suffering, death, and ruin on them to gratify his gigantically bloated ego and the Jews who paid him. The English did not even know that after he had forced the Germans to begin the bombing of British cities, the old coward had scuttled to a place of safety before each bombing raid, since his Intelligence service read the German signals and orders and told him in advance of the time and target of each raid. They did not know that when they listened to his oratory over the radio, they were often listening to an actor who had been trained to imitate the voice of the drunken old bum who, at that moment, was lying in an alcoholic stupor at home; they may even have been listening to a text that had been made coherent by the ghost-writer whom he employed for his quasi-historical essays. All this, although partly known to observers who had access to officially concealed information, was first disclosed to the public by the courage and impeccable research of David Irving in the first volume of his *Churchill's War*.

In 1946, the British imagined they had won the war and that they still had left something of an empire and could become again a great nation. They did not suspect that Churchill had been the dupe and patsy of the great American War Criminal, who had from the first planned to destroy Britain as zealously as he plotted the destruction of Germany. Although a courageous clergyman, Peter H. Nicoll, tried to warn them, his privately printed booklet, *Britain's Blunder*, was kept from the public, and Englishmen did not yet know they had helped destroy their only real friend in Europe to deliver the continent to their implacable enemies.

After 1946, however, the British gradually came to the realization that they had lost their empire and bankrupted themselves; that they had become a second-rate or even third-rate nation that had to dance at the command of its financial and political masters in Washington; and that they had indeed precipitated and participated in the Suicide of Europe, which perhaps had been also the suicide of their race and of all Western civilization. And despite the Jews' great hoaxes, intelligent men came gradually to see ever more clearly that they had been deceived, victimized, and ruined by cunning liars.

In 1946, as I have said, an English woman, who must herself have suffered some measure of hardship and privation, and who

had doubtless had to mourn many relatives and friends, could be forgiven for her understandably hysterical hatred of William Joyce and her urge to avenge her own sorrows by shamelessly defaming him.

By 1964, however, she, if capable of observation and reflection, must have attained some perspective and even equanimity. Eighteen years deaden even the most violent grief and make past hardship something that one may even be glad to *have* had. It is extremely odd, therefore, that in 1964 Rebecca West republished her scream of eighteen years before as the first part of the present book.

We all make mistakes in judgement of contemporary events, and it is honorable to let the written record stand as part of the historical record, but it is quite another thing perversely to reaffirm errors that have become not only untenable, but absurd. In 1964, even the most brazen professional liar would have wanted to disavow such nonsense as the assertion that if Germany had not been destroyed, "few characteristically British people would have survived... They would have died in British versions of Buchenwald and Belsen."¹

1. This preposterous statement was, of course, inspired by one of Churchill's cleverest propaganda tricks, his ostentatious organization of an "underground resistance" in England to continue the war by guerrilla tactics, if the island was occupied by the Germans. David Lampe's *The Last Ditch* (New York, Putnam, 1968), gives the details of the underground "resistance" that Churchill elaborately and even blatantly organized throughout the island. (The publisher's blurb calls it one of the "best kept secrets," which was true only in the sense that the exact location of huge stores of arms and ammunition for use after the German occupation, the location of secret radio transmitters for the underground's communications, and the like were kept secret, while all available men and many women were enlisted and rehearsed in "battalions" for the vividly imagined "last ditch" fight. "We shall fight...on the beaches... We shall fight in the fields and in the streets, we shall fight in the hills; we shall never surrender," the dire War Monger had declaimed.) Lampe's description of the preparations for the "underground" are probably accurate, but his book is a propaganda job, doubtless of Judaic inspiration. He tries to justify the elaborate ploy, with its great waste of military equipment, resources, and human energy, by repeating the propaganda that accompanied it, about all the horrible things the wicked Germans would do to the British population when they occupied the island—propaganda that is absurd, given Hitler's well-known esteem and liking for the English, and it becomes simply silly when one remembers

The second part of the book, first published in 1964, deals with Soviet espionage in Britain, and is of some value. It is well-written and contains much useful information about the careers of the Soviet agents in Britain, although it conceals the fact that they were almost all Jews. (Even a Morris Cohen is unblushingly described as "of Russian origin.") I first learned from the book, for example, that the infamous spy, Dr. Klaus Fuchs, who betrayed to the Soviet so many secrets of atomic warfare, had a father (a Jew, of course), who spent the war quite comfortably in Germany, constantly conspiring, under the guise of Christianity, to sabotage and defeat that nation, and that the wicked Germans were so negligent that they did not include him among the millions or billions of God's darlings whom they gassed or incinerated or parboiled or vaporized; he thus survived to publish a crackpot book in which he boasted that old Jesus had kept watch over him to preserve his holy hide.

Although Rebecca West portrays the spies as sympathetically as possible, she does disclose the highly significant fact that *before* they were recruited into their "sensitive" positions, almost all of them had known records which, even assuming that they were as innocent as lambs of evil intentions, should have precluded their employment in *any* capacity in a governmental agency; but she pretends to be so naive as to believe that it was merely bureaucratic confusion and incompetence that put them in highly confidential positions and thus made possible their treachery.

She also refrains from noticing that although poor Joyce and some other "Fascists" were hanged, the Soviet secret agents, whether Jewish spies or British traitors, either escaped or were, at most, given moderate terms of imprisonment, when they were not simply freed and "exchanged" in deals with the Soviets.

This raises some questions about Cicily Fairfield. When I read the part of the present book she wrote in 1946, I assumed, as I have said, she was just a temperamental and overwrought Englishwoman, and when I met, around 1956, her illegitimate son by H.G. Wells, he seemed to my unpracticed eye a typical Briton. It was only after reading the present book that I began to wonder whether she might not be, at least partly, a Jewess. It may be

the chivalrous generosity with which he made it possible for the British Army to escape at Dunkirk.

that a very little research would confirm or disprove my suspicion, but I cannot now take the time for it.

The New Meaning of Treason was reprinted in 1985 in a new edition which, by the way, provides a good illustration of the present plight of what was once a great nation. It is published in the "very British" Penguin Series from its headquarters in Middlesex, but it had to be printed in Singapore.

A TRAINING MANUAL FOR 'RACISTS'

The Nationalist Party of Canada has adopted, as a training manual for recruits to our racial cause, an odd book, which, I suspect, libels its officers. In so doing, they evinced, I think, a sound sense of tactics.

The book of 397 pages is entitled *Is God a Racist? The Right-Wing in Canada*. Its author is Stanley R. Barrett, who is identified as Professor of Sociology and Anthropology [*sic!*] in the University of Guelph, one of the many 'quickie' universities that Canada has been jerry-building in imitation of the American folly of scattering "universities" over the landscape to dilute yet further academic standards, provide jobs for "educational" parasites, demoralize young persons who are thus kept from useful employment, and burden the bovine taxpayers. It is true that some of the mushrooms have already become superior to the 'old-line' institutions, but that is merely an index of the intellectual dilapidation of the latter.

The academic institution that Professor Barrett adorns was established in 1964 and already has a body-count of about 11,000. It is located in Guelph, an industrial town sixty-four miles west by south of Toronto.¹ His book was published by the University of Toronto, thus disillusioning those who, remembering that university's Victoria College, hoped that the older Canadian institutions were less rotted than their counterparts south of the border.

1. The town was founded in 1827 by John Galt and named in honor of the family of George IV, King of Great Britain and Hanover, a descendant of Count Welf I of Altorf in Swabia. (Guelph is the Italian spelling of the German name.) Galt, of whose many novels *The Entail* and *Lawrie Todd* will be remembered by the few who have made an intensive study of English fiction, spent much of his small fortune in an effort to promote the settlement of what was then a wilderness by English and Scots of the best racial stock, and if he were alive today, Barrett would soon flee from the town Galt founded.

I know nothing of Professor Barrett's antecedents. He says he was born in a small village, "heavily Protestant," so it is likely that in his childhood his brain was coagulated with Jesus-juice. The picture of him that I imaginatively derive from his book is that of a man of about thirty who enrolled in one of my graduate classes decades ago. He was a well-meaning and somewhat diffident Aryan, who would have been called a 'wimp' in England today. He was industrious and read everything suggested to him, but without the slightest discrimination; he collected information zealously, but did not know, and could not learn, what to do with it. And I particularly recall that during the semester in which he was in my class, he once asked, with shocked incredulity, "Do you mean that there really *are* people who don't believe in God?"

Professor Barrett spent five years, industriously gathering for his book information he could not understand, but he evidently reported his factual data without much distortion, for the officers of the Nationalist Party describe his book as "an attempt accurately to portray both the political and human aspects of the 20-year old history of White Nationalism in Canada," and as "one of the best reference/historical works on White Nationalism published anywhere."

Professor Barrett claims that he tried to be 'scientific' and objective, and I believe that he did try, although he might as well have tried to carry his automobile home on his shoulders. He claims to have undertaken his five years of painful toil from a sense of duty, not, as is usual in "exposés" of the right-wing, for baksheesh from unprincipled publishers. His book, certainly, is not comparable to the *Architects of Fear* that I described in *Liberty Bell*, February 1985, a filthy spit-job by a hack who sells his mucus for a buck.

His honesty in admitting his own limitations—which also reminds me of the would-be student I mentioned above—is what makes his book so valuable to every moderately alert reader. He quite candidly begins with a confession that "racism is by definition [*sic*] pernicious," and he admits that in dealing with the evil racists he used the guile and perfidy that is permissible to the righteous: "For each of the interviews I conducted, I tried to play a role that I thought would be appropriate for the individual." And as the sapient anthropologist, turned nark, went sneaking about and practicing his wiles to gain the confidence of men he intended to betray, he doubtless warmed his puny soul with contempt for the obsolete species of Aryans called 'gentlemen,' who

were so reactionary that they had self-respect and a code of honor that prevented them from practicing proletarian knavery²

Flower-souled Stanley frankly gloats over the hardships, especially poverty, so condignly suffered by evil-thinkers, who do not adore Kikes or cuddle niggers. And he rejoices that "Canada's most infamous Fascist, Adrien Arcand" was, in violation of law, thrown into a concentration camp by a terrorist despotism. Concentration camps are just fine, so long as they are filled with Aryans and do not inconvenience God's Own. And it was so nice that a horrid Klansman named Jacklin "was beaten and stabbed by blacks while serving his sentence in a U.S. prison." Social justice is sweet to tender-hearted humanitarians. Barrett rejoices over the persecution of that nasty man, Keegstra, who dared to doubt the Jews' Holofoax, which is so necessary to enable the poor persecuted people, who have made "Israel a bastion of democracy and capitalism," to exploit and swindle stupid Aryans. He claims to have read the infamous writings of Professors Burt, Jensen, and Coon, whose incompetence or malice has been conclusively exposed by really great scientists, such as Ashley Montagu—but, despite his attempt at objectivity, he fails to tell his reader that Montagu is a Sheeny disguised under an old Anglo-Saxon and an honored Norman name.

I suppose that his reports of his raw data are accurate. One of his principal targets, for example, is Paul Fromm, now the head of Canadians for Foreign Aid Reform, who perversely thinks that Canada should not be made into a great and glorious dunghill of anthropoid refuse, and who lacks the lofty idealism of

2. This, of course, is the reason why, in military intelligence agencies, before the collapse of our civilization that began with the First World War, commissioned officers could never be sent "into the field," i.e. to serve abroad as spies, since spies have to resort to dishonorable means of procuring information, and officers were gentlemen. (So far as I know, the Congress of the United States still officially identifies all graduates of West Point and Annapolis as gentlemen; if the assembled congressmen titter as they vote, that is not recorded in the minutes.) Spies, therefore, had to be non-commissioned officers or civilians, i.e., persons who were not bound by the code of honor. This, of course, had nothing to do with the use of civilians in military intelligence in positions in which they might need to overstep or disregard military etiquette and the "chain of command." Needless to say, the reactionary and hampering concept of personal honor has now been eliminated from a Judaized world.

the Yid whom Canadians have made their Minister of the Interior, who, a few months ago, when another cargo of Tamil-speaking simians from Ceylon was approaching Canada, announced that, regardless of Canadian law, they would be admitted to help the vile Anglo-Saxons "atone for the sin of racism." By a neat coincidence, only a few days before, the Tamil-speaking Dravidians in India had helped the Hindus atone for their sins by dynamiting a bridge in front of an express train and killing or maiming more than a hundred passengers. Such zealous practitioners of democracy are obviously needed to purify Canada and make it holy.

Now I am sure we may believe Professor Barrett when he tells us that in 1968, Paul Fromm, then a student in St. Michael's College of the University of Toronto, was elected by a great majority of his fellow students to represent the College in the University's Student Administrative Council. But, typically, the erudition of the macrocephalic Professor of Anthropology makes it impossible for him to believe that the students could have been so depraved as to approve of Fromm's dastardly opinions, so he offers us a choice of the only two possible explanations of the election: either the students elected Fromm "because he was a curiosity" or the election was "the undergraduates' notion of a bad joke." This one typical example will adequately attest the rigorous objectivity of the sociological "scientist."

I do not mean to be unkind to Professor Barrett, who underwent a kind of martyrdom for his ideals. He tells us that through the long five years of tracking down evil-thinkers in a great research project that was financed by the Government of Canada, the University of Guelph, and the Social Science Federation of Canada, he was "emotionally exhausted" after each interview, because "never in my life had I talked to people who were committed racists," and he yearned every day to go out into the streets and apologize to niggers and Kikes for having talked to the awful racists, even though he was magnanimously tricking and deceiving them to ascertain their satanic thoughts. What was more, he was under constant surveillance by Canada's Thought-Police, who suspected he might be what he pretended to be when he was cozening the racists, and once he was hauled in on suspicion and "put through the hoops" by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. How diligently the cringing Anglo-Saxons of Canada preserve their precious freedoms!

Poor Professor Barrett spent the five years of his martyrdom in a state of acute psychic depression, and he was "chilled" with horror when he encountered a "highly educated man" who actually believed in "the nobility of the white race." Confronted by so terrible an ogre, tender-souled Stanley did not faint—he may have prudently brought a vial of smelling-salts in his pocket—but exposure to such vileness so sapped his "will-power" that he found it "increasingly difficult to play the roles necessary to conduct interviews with white supremacists" and he became so awkward in his scurvy hypocrisy that some of them suspected he was what he was, a dirty little spy. Although high-minded Stan was sustained by his glowing sense of his own supernal righteousness, he was barely able to complete his godly work. Contact with "racism," you see, is not only "debilitating," but actually "soul-destroying" and the sneaking little martyr barely escaped a permanent neurosis.

One must pity long-suffering Professor Barrett, just as every humane man must feel for a neurotic female who, having ordered her life on the faith that old Jesus will give her a hug when her discarnate soul floats up to his Nephelococcygia in the welkin, is forced to listen to an atheist, an ordeal that exposes her to the risk of thinking thoughts that would consign her ghost to eternal perdition. Fortunately, however, although Stanley's epicene spirit must often have been on the verge of hysteria, it survived, unblemished, the "soul-destroying" contact with real men.

The Nationalist Party of Canada is right in seeing the educational efficacy of a training manual inadvertently supplied by the University of Toronto. It is educational—like picking up a stone and watching what was under it. And we should sincerely thank Professor Barrett for having so frankly and transparently shown us what goes on under the skulls of "Liberal intellectuals." From his book I cannot determine whether or not he believes all the tales about Jesus ben Yahweh, but, unmistakably, he belongs to the great Christian tradition which teaches Aryans to love everybody so much that they want to rip the guts out of everybody who doesn't love everybody as much as they do.³

3. Lest I be misunderstood, I must add that I do not mean that Stanley would do any of the ripping himself. I feel confident that his psyche is so sensitive that he abhors violence in which he would have to participate personally, and I am sure he is so kind that he would not venture to slap a child, who might fight back. Great-souled humanitarians always want to see dangerous work done for them.

Finally, we must thank the University of Toronto for having shown us, however unintentionally, what disgustingly righteous little twerps now squawk and squeal about "racism."

WOMEN IN THE CHRIST-BUSINESS

If you prefer to read gospels and rhapsodies about Jesus and his dad rather than better-written and coherent stories of the supernatural by Robert Howard, Clark Ashton Smith, and Tolkien, you will be pleased to learn that a fresh bundle of your favorite fiction has just become available in English.

In 1098 a German family in the lesser nobility had a daughter and gave her the fine name, Hildegarde, which means 'patroness in battle.' They suffered, however, from the Christian disease, so they took the little girl, who would have become fit to inspire warriors and bring forth fighting men to expand their nation's territory, and shut her up in a convent, when she was only eight, to please their dread Jew-god by what amounts to a form of race-suicide.

The unfortunate girl grew up in that unnatural and tainted atmosphere, and seems to have begun to have hallucinations about the time of puberty. (You will find an interesting description of this not uncommon phenomenon in a realistic story by Daphne du Maurier, "The Pool," which is included in most collections of her short stories.) She eventually developed a physiological disorder and disease of the nervous system that induced in her, as in many other women, such as Jeanne d'Arc, religious hallucinations, and Hildegarde is cited as an example of the effects of migraine¹ in Barry L. Beyerstein's excellent article, "Neuropathology and the Legacy of Spiritual Possession," in the Spring 1988 issue of the *Skeptical Inquirer*.

Hildegarde had visions, formed in her consciousness by her imagination, which had been charged by the mythology she had been taught from childhood, and, having the delusion that she was divinely inspired, began to prophesy. She acquired a great reputation for sanctity in her Benedictine order and soon became Abbess of her convent. She eventually established a new and

1. I need not remark that the colloquial use of 'migraine' to designate a severe headache, such as may be caused by indigestion or some other temporary indisposition, is to be eschewed. The word properly designates only a chronic pathological condition, comparable to epilepsy; see any respectable dictionary.

elaborate convent of her own near Bingen on the Rhine, and therefore is now generally known as Hildegarde of Bingen. She also acquired great prestige in the world outside the convents, where it was believed that she was personally acquainted with Yahweh & Son and on intimate terms with them. At least two Popes and two Holy Roman Emperors consulted her for information about what the boss up in the clouds really wanted, and at least one leading theologian submitted to her soteriological problems that she, as an habituée of Heaven, could solve from personal observation.

Hildegarde dictated detailed reports of her visions to a monk named Godefridus, who was her close friend and may have been her paramour. The first and most important collection was entitled *Scivias* [sic], *seu visionum et revelationum libri III*. The odd title is probably to be understood as the very rare but regularly formed present singular imperative of *scire* + *vias* (i.e., 'Know the ways [to Heaven]'). Decades ago, I read considerable parts of this and some other writings of Hildegarde in Volume 197 of the Latin section of Migne's *Patrologia*.

English translations of the *Scivias* and other works by Hildegarde have now been published in a series of five paperback volumes by Bear & Co., P.O. Drawer 2860, Santa Fé, New Mexico. I have not seen these books and, needless to say, cannot comment on the accuracy of the translations. They are, of course, addressed to readers who dote on "mystical illumination."

Migraine (like epilepsy) is an affliction that may accompany a high degree of intelligence when the disease is in temporary remission. Hildegarde is reputed to have made observations of the properties of herbs and other plants that show a capacity for scientific thought. I have not read the work in which she recorded these observations, but extracts from it are evidently translated in a paperback entitled *Hildegarde of Bingen's Medicine*. This is said by the publisher to be of great value today, when the therapeutic value of herbal remedies is being recognized, to the distress of the manufacturers of very expensive and often dangerous "miracle drugs."

I have given here this brief notice of Hildegarde because she is a good example of what Spengler calls "pseudo-morphosis," a phenomenon that does occur in many cultures. If you seek the source of Hildegarde's influence, you will find it, not in Biblical (i.e., Jewish) Christianity, but in the Aryan religions from which was taken the Western veneer that made the Christian cult ac-

ceptable to our race. The real precedent for Hildegard and her visions is the *Völuspá*, the revelations of the *völva* ('prophetess, sibyl'). Hildegard was possible only because Christianity in her time had been altered by the old Aryan respect for women, which had always extended into religion and is seen in innumerable manifestations, such, for example, as the cults of three *Matres* that were prevalent in Germany and commemorated, after the Roman occupation, in hundreds of extant inscriptions, or earlier in the Classical Sibyls.²

Early Christianity inherited, of course, the Jewish contempt for women, especially as formulated by the Essenes and most obvious in the gospel attributed to a "Marcus" in the "New Testament." The early Fathers of the Church naturally were inclined to believe that women had no souls, but, probably because the Fathers also knew how much property was in the hands of widows who could be sold spiritual nostrums, some of the Fathers devised the doctrine that if women were pious and adequately en-

2. It is true, of course, that goddesses appear in many Oriental religions and were even included in the Jews' pantheon in the fifth century B.C., before the Jews became henotheists and the Jew-Book was compiled in its present form, but in most of these non-Aryan religions goddesses are represented by male priests (or in some cults by eunuchs), and we seldom or never hear of priestesses or sibyls, although temples equipped with female prostitutes are common enough. Goddesses do not necessarily bestow dignity upon mortals of their sex—not even in societies in which queens are not politically impossible. A good example of Aryan influence is the soteric cult of Isis, which survived the determined opposition of the Roman aristocracy during the Republic and spread widely in Roman territory under the Principate, eventually supplying elements and seasoning that the Christians incorporated in their religious emulation (e.g., the prayer, "give us this day our daily bread," was taken from a prayer to Isis in which it makes sense, since she had been identified with Demeter, and the prayer means "do not permit a failure of the grain crops and a consequent famine"). The worship of Isis was conducted by priests, imported from Egypt, but when the cult had Graeco-Roman votaries, we begin to hear of priestesses, who often attained such rank and prestige that, for example, Plutarch dedicated two of his treatises to a priestess of Isis who bore the Greek name Clea and may have been the historical Flavia Clea. It is significant that the Christians did not copy the Isaic music, sung by choirs composed of both men and women; nasty females were excluded from the Christian choirs, and that made necessary the sexual mutilation of impuberate boys to provide the soprano voices of *castrati*.

dowed the Church, Jesus would, when they died, replace their sexual organs with male equipment, thus qualifying them for admission to a Heaven of which the doors bore the warning, "Men Only." As late as A.D. 585 a Catholic Synod heatedly debated the question whether women were human beings or animals, and the authentically Christian view of females persisted in the orthodox Mediaeval definitions of a woman as a "pestilential beast," a "destroyer of men," a "bar to salvation," etc.—the full text runs to about fifteen lines of Latin invective. And this opinion, by the way, was endorsed by the blood-thirsty social reformers of the French Revolution, who, although they thought themselves anti-Christian, were really applying the essentials of the old Judaeo-Christian doctrine in all that they did. Burke in his *Reflections* summarized their view of the lovely sex as: "Woman is but an animal, and an animal not of the highest order."

That is sound Christian doctrine, but it was intolerable to our racial instinct to esteem, cherish, and love women for their femininity. (A point that has escaped the brawling harridans of the "Liberation Movement" today.)³ So Christian doctrine was necessarily modified by a persistence of our old "pagan" regard for women, which gave us, for example, the Valkyries, and retained Aphrodite as a goddess in men's hearts, worshipped by a litany far older and nobler than any salvation-cult's dreary rites. That gave us our whole tradition of chivalry and even the gynaeolatry of the Provençal poets' *domnei en cor gentil*, which reappears in Dante's Beatrice. That gave us all the romantic tradition without which modern literature would be barren and jejune. But you won't find a word to authorize it in the Jew-Book.⁴

3. An excellent and politically neutral study of this fantastically irrational agitation is Nicholas Davidson's *The Failure of Feminism* (Buffalo, Prometheus Books, 1988), to which I intend to devote due attention in some future issue of this periodical.

4. This has relatively little to do with the current fracas in many Christian sects over the ordination of women as full-time salvation-hucksters. There is really no religious question involved. The churches that refuse to ordain women take Christianity seriously and refuse openly to condemn and repudiate its basic doctrines. In the other churches, there is really no reason why ambitious females should not be admitted to the lucrative business of peddling spiritual hokum to the ignorant and credulous.

Hildegarde thought she was a Christian, but she was really an authentically Germanic and Nordic *völk*, and she is an excellent illustration of the cultural process known as pseudo-morphosis. She is a memorable symbol in the history of our race's reaction to a thinly-disguised alien superstition of which we are now experiencing the deadly narcosis. □



THE HOLOCAUST FACT OR FICTION?

Were six million Jews really gassed....or has a colossal hoax been perpetrated on the world?

Professor Butz has carefully investigated the alleged extermination of 6 million Jews during WW II and has written a book which thoroughly documents his startling findings. His book strips away the cover of fraud and deceit from this emotion charged topic and lays bare the full and complete truth.

THE HOAX OF THE 20th CENTURY
Order No.: 8012—\$7.00 + \$1.00 for post.
HELP US SPREAD THE TRUTH!

Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

THE BOOK THAT MADE THE JEWS SO MAD THEY HAD TO INVENT THE MOVIE HOLOCAUST!

AUSCHWITZ: An Eye-Witness Report

by Thies Christophersen & Foreword by Manfred Roeder

Order No: 1017 single copy \$2.50 + \$1.00 for postage

5 copies \$10.00 + \$1.00 for postage.

Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

BOOK REVIEW

Alfred Schickel, *Vergessene Zeitgeschichte* (Forgotten Recent History), Frankfurt am Main: Verlag Ullstein, December 1985. Ullstein Buch Nr. 33047. Published by the Zeitgeschichtliche Forschungsstelle in the series *Herbig Materialien zur Zeitgeschichte*. Paperback, 121 pages plus ten sets of documents totaling 57 pages, including pictures. Price: 12.80 DM.

by

Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

Dr. Schickel, whom my wife and I had the pleasure of visiting in 1986, is head of the Zeitgeschichtliche Forschungsstelle (Research Office for Recent History) in Ingolstadt (Schillerstrasse 37). He has directed the publication of a number of studies on questions pertaining to the Second World War which have needed further research and clarification. In fact, the subtitle of *Vergessene Zeitgeschichte* is *Ergänzungen und Korrekturen zur deutschen, polnischen und amerikanischen Zeitgeschichte* (Addenda and Corrigenda on Recent German, Polish and American History). The book contains ten segments on a variety of aspects in this area.

The first segment (pp. 9-44) discusses the relationship of Germany and Poland during 1937-1939 as reflected in American embassy reports. These reports reveal a great deal about the American machinations which contributed to the outbreak of the war, machinations which are documented and which would astonish many an American reader.

During the several years before the war, American interest in Poland was demonstrated by the visits of Governor George H. Earle of Pennsylvania, Senator Guffy, Congressman Lambeth, and William C. Bullitt, American Ambassador to France and friend of the American Ambassador to Poland, Drexel Biddle. Although Bullitt's visit to Poland in November 1937 was claimed to have been of a "strictly private character," it resulted in his reports of meetings with Polish Foreign Minister Beck.

Just as both Germany and Poland had an interest in obtaining parts of Czechoslovakia which contained minorities of their people, they also had the common aim of inducing as many Jews as possible to emigrate. We read with some astonishment in an American memoran-

dum that Polish Foreign Minister Beck "agreed with Ambassador Bullitt's observation, that a wide-spread dispersement of Jews was best. In other words, it was better not to send a large number of Jews to any one nation, for anti-Semitism usually grew out of a condition wherein the percentage of Jews was in high ratio to the total population, such as in Poland." (p. 12)

In spite of all the tensions which had arisen between Germany and Poland since 1918, especially as a result of the mistreatment of the German minority in Poland (p. 31) and the Corridor problem, as of 1 July 1938 Germany and Poland were on sufficiently good terms that they even came to an agreement that their school textbooks were not to contain hostile references to each other. Another concern common to Germany and Poland was Communist influence in Czechoslovakia.

The Munich agreement awarding the Sudetenland to Germany did not meet Roosevelt's approval. On 30 September 1938 Roosevelt characterized the agreement as a surrender of the democratic nations (p. 19). Germany now turned its attention to the solution of the Corridor problem and the status of Danzig. Germany proposed the return of Danzig to the Reich with the retention of certain harbor rights by Poland. Germany further proposed that it be granted the right to highway and rail connections to East Prussia, which had been isolated from Germany after 1918 by the Corridor. The German occupation of Bohemia and Moravia on 15 March 1939 was calmly approved by Poland, which even extended its diplomatic recognition to the newly autonomous Slovakia on the following day. Nevertheless, the Poles showed no readiness to compromise on the question of Danzig and the Corridor, which had cast its shadow over the German nation since 1919.

Conditions for the Germans in Poland had been so bad, in fact, that between 1919 and 1926 alone, according to an American embassy telegram of 6 April 1939, a total of 990,000 ethnic Germans had left Poland to move to Germany. According to the telegram, furthermore, only 741,000 ethnic Germans remained in Poland, largely farmers (pp. 31-32). This total did not include the population of Danzig, which was almost purely German. Schickel notes that the lack of Polish readiness to compromise was no doubt reinforced by repeated assurances of American support from Bullitt (p. 28). On 31 March 1939 Prime Minister Chamberlain also gave assurances of British support to Poland.

Poland intensified its military preparations by a variety of measures. On 17 June 1939 Joseph Goebbels, the German minister of propaganda, gave a speech in Danzig in which he noted the Polish ambition to annex East Prussia and Silesia. This ambition was also noted

by the British diplomatic observers Strang and Webb (pp. 35 and 64 ff.). Marshall Rydz-Smigly even declared resolutely that Poland would fight if Germany were to annex Danzig (pp. 40-41). Germany reacted to the situation by the non-aggression pact with the Soviet Union. An American embassy telegram of 24 August 1939 reveals that the Americans knew within a few hours of the signing of the pact that a secret supplementary agreement had been made providing for the division of Poland into spheres of influence.

After Germany had invaded western parts of Poland (i.e., its former territory for the most part) on 1 September 1939 there were terrible massacres of ethnic Germans. These massacres had been feared and predicted (pp. 40, 59 and 65). Schickel places the number of dead at more than 5,000 (p. 60), but on page 103 Schickel states that 7,000 ethnic Germans were murdered by Poles in Bromberg alone. Soon after the war in Poland the German foreign office published a large illustrated book, *Die polnischen Greuelthaten an den Volksdeutschen in Polen* (Polish Atrocities Against the Ethnic Germans in Poland). This book, which Schickel does not mention [and of which we have a single copy in our archives — *Editor, Liberty Bell*] states that as of 1 February 1940 the number of identified corpses of ethnic Germans was placed at 12,857, while an additional 45,000 ethnic Germans were missing and presumed dead. Schickel observes that these massacres put a protracted burden on German-Polish relations and served as an excuse for the severity of the German occupation policies in Poland from 1939 to 1944 (p. 60). I would observe that the size of these massacres could very well be taken as evidence of the great confidence which the Poles had in a decisive, imminent victory over Germany, which, of course, would have prevented punishment of the massacres, at least by the Germans.

The second segment (pp. 45-57) deals with various points which constitute "forgotten history." Some of the examples which Schickel cites are the following: The Corridor problem was not exclusively a concern of the National Socialists, but was also a lively concern of their predecessors in the Weimar Republic, including Gustav Stresemann, the German foreign minister from 1923 until his death in 1929. The Munich agreement of September 1938 was not simply a solution of the Sudeten question undertaken by the major powers without Czechoslovakian participation, but one which resulted from secret preliminary negotiations involving President Beneš, who recognized that a plebiscite in the Sudetenland would turn out overwhelmingly in favor of Germany. The Soviet Union, which declared Poland to be no longer extant after 17 September 1939, liquidated large numbers of captured

Polish officers in the massacres carried out at Katyn and other places. By way of contrast, the Germans treated Polish officers in accordance with their status as prisoners of war, affording them opportunities to further their education while in prisoner of war camps. The number of persons sent to Dachau concentration camp was not "more than 200,000." Rather, the entry records now kept in the National Archives in Washington reveal that the last entry number was 147,536.

The third segment deals with "prophesies that were fulfilled" (pp. 58-63). In November 1938 Ambassador Bullitt predicted that it would take a war to stop Hitler's expansion and that such a war would last six years and end with the destruction of Europe, with Communists dominating all countries. Bullitt further predicted the participation of the United States in such a war. Two British diplomats, Strang and Jebb, expressed their fears in May 1939 that massacres of ethnic Germans would be difficult to prevent if war were to break out between Germany and Poland (p. 59). There were also other predictions of Communist domination of Europe after a war.

The fourth segment deals with observations made in Poland in 1939 by two British diplomats, Sir William Strang and Hubert Miles Gladwyn Jebb (pp. 64-69). The report of their observations was submitted by them to their foreign ministry on 9 June 1939. They reported that British assurances of assistance to Poland in the event of a war made the Poles confident of victory and gave them hopes of taking further German territory, notably East Prussia. In particular, the Polish peasants had an appetite for more German farms. Marshall Rydz-Smigly even ordered a painting showing himself on a horse under the Brandenburg Gate in Berlin.

The fifth segment (pp. 70-75) deals with the knowledge of the secret clauses in the German-Soviet non-aggression pact of 23 August 1939 which the Americans obtained within hours after the pact was signed, probably from a German source. This is documented by a secret telegram from the U.S. embassy in Moscow dated 24 August 1939, 11:15 o'clock. Roosevelt, however, never exploited his knowledge of the secret clauses in his dealings with Stalin.

The sixth segment (pp. 76-78) tells about an encounter between Poles and Germans "without ideology and politics." This encounter was in the form of unofficial mutual care of graves of officers who were buried on enemy territory during the war.

The seventh segment (pp. 79-97) deals with the experiences of captured Polish officers in German prisoner of war camps ("Oflags") during 1939-1945 and is headed by the quotation, "Gott sei Dank

continued on page 39

RELIGION and the RACIAL CONTROVERSY

by

H.B. ISHERWOOD

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY

THE FALSE PROPAGANDA OF THE CHURCH

by H.B. Isherwood

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The False Propaganda of the Church	3
The Myth of Racial Equality	4
Races Not All of One Blood	5
Racial Integrity and National Unity	7
Marriages between Races Forbidden by God	8
God Approves Repatriation	9
The Old Testament and Apartheid	9
Separate Racial Development and Progress	10
The United Kingdom and Multiracialism	12

First Impression 1970

Additional copies available from
LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Printed and Published by The Racial Preservation Society,
Chapel Ascote, Ladbroke, Southam, Warwickshire

Printed and Published by The Racial Preservation Society,
Chapel Ascote, Ladbroke, Southam, Warwickshire

RELIGION and the RACIAL CONTROVERSY

THE FALSE PROPAGANDA OF THE CHURCH

" In the world and according to the flesh, there is a great difference and inequality of persons; and the same must be diligently observed. "

A Commentary on St. Paul's Epistle to the Galatians.
Martin Luther (1520)

" After this I beheld, and, lo, a great multitude, which no man could number, of all nations, and kindreds, and people, and tongues, stood before the Lamb, clothed with white robes, and palms in their hands. "

Revelation 7:9

It must be distressing to many of those brought up as heirs to the Christian tradition, whether Catholic or Protestant, to see the disturbing influence of the partisanship displayed by the hierarchy of the national Church of England and other Christian denominations in connexion with racial problems arising when fundamentally different kinds of people migrate indiscriminately from one nation to another, and the question is now being asked whether institutional religion can usefully make any constructive contribution to a matter more essentially secular than spiritual in character.

Broadly it may be said that the Church bases its teaching on the premise that all members of the human family, irrespective of the race or nation to which they belong, are born equal or could be made equal under a common culture, and that mixing the races and dissolving the nations have Scriptural approval.

Whether humanity as a whole would benefit from the application of such an egalitarian belief is open to doubt, as will be appreciated by the earnest student who reflects with an open and unprejudiced mind on what is outlined in this essay.

THE MYTH OF RACIAL EQUALITY

In the world of reality men are not born equal, nor is each mind at birth an undifferentiated *tabula rasa*, uniform with every other mind, as was once supposed. All human beings are distinguishable by innate diversity. Some are born more sensitive and with brighter minds than others; some are extroverts, others introverts; some by nature are generous, others mean, all such inner qualities predetermining the tone of behaviour in the individual and the character of his race. As a condition imposed by natural law one man differs from another in inherited constitution, disposition and temperament, in reasoning powers, imagination, genius and aptitudes. And all such fundamental differences can be viewed and compared on a racial basis.

Moral equality there could be, but for its validity such a concept does not require any assumption of natural equality. Nor does uniformity of treatment under law confer equality of being.

The human and racial equality as professed by the Church is no more than a device of political expediency invented to enable men of all races to be treated and ruled as pawns of equal value. In the Church this socially disruptive doctrine of egalitarianism, which in its modern Marxist form springs from the insurrectionary impulses that gave rise to the French Revolution and later the Bolshevik Revolution, has now assumed the character of dogma.

The concept of equal opportunity, useful as a formula for freedom implying the moral right to discriminate, has the effect in practice of proving that one man is superior to another. This also has racial significance.

Under the natural order of Creation human qualities and potentialities vary considerably from man to man and from race to race, and there is no way of eliminating or altering such intrinsic variety by upbringing or education. It will thus be realized that the teaching of equality between persons and between races unrelated to reality inevitably tends to inculcate envy, discontent and rebelliousness. Frustration results in loss of respect for traditional values, tried conventions and authority. Were the emphasis placed on quality rather than equality how much more civilised humanity would become.

The Church rarely if ever preaches the need for racial or national self-respect or the patriotism that stands on loyalty to one's own family and kinsmen under natural and divine law. On the contrary, the Church, as only too plainly revealed by the utterances of bishops of the Church of England acting in their temporal capacity in the House of Lords, has shown a pronounced bias towards communal licence and latitude.

"We are all children of God, all created after His image, and are therefore all alike and equal" is perhaps the most common of the fallacies now bedevilling the thinking of the Church when for purposes more political than religious the claim is made that men of all races are born equal and that inherited racial differences do not exist except in prejudiced minds. How successful the Church has been in propagating this Communist concept may be illustrated by quoting a letter from Malcom Muggeridge, published without rebuttal in *The Times* of July 19, 1970, in which this well-known publicist states in connexion with immigration and racial integration: "As children of God, one human family, there cannot be any intrinsic difference between man and man. To suppose otherwise is the vilest blasphemy."

Not all theologians, however, have been ready to endorse a theory which offends commonsense and which is at variance with the truths of nature as revealed by science. For instance, Ralph Inge, who was for a time professor of divinity at Cambridge and who later became famous as Dean of

St. Pauls, observed: "The ridiculous dogma that men are born equal is dead if not buried." And in explaining what is meant by Divine Judgement did not a former Archbishop of Canterbury assert: "In the sight of God all men are not equal"? And it may be interesting to recall that the orthodox Christian and devout scholar, Dr. Samuel Johnson, shrewdly remarked: "...mankind are happier in a state of inequality and subordination. Were they to be in this pretty state of equality, they would degenerate into brutes — their tails would grow." The learned Doctor was of course inveighing against the false revolutionary doctrine of human equality which was gaining currency in his day and which has now become an integral part of Church and Marxist teaching.

RACES NOT ALL OF ONE BLOOD

One "heavenly" father of all there may be, as written in *Malachi 11:10*, but not one "earthly" father. All interbreeding humanity could not have descended from one pair of parents — an incestuous notion. On this the Church still seems to attach too much literal credence to Biblical imagery.

Many of the Church hierarchy like to believe that the "solidarity of mankind" or the "essential unity of humanity" or the "universal brotherhood of man", not to mention "human dignity", "mutual esteem" and "brotherly love", must necessarily stand on the postulate of some kind of inborn equality or natural uniformity as imposed by the "blood relationship" of a common descent. In reality there is no such universal consanguinity. Nor can sanction for such an idea be found in the Bible.

The first part only of *Acts 17:26*, "And hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth", is quoted *ad nauseam* by oecumenical clerics, interpreting the metaphor "blood" too literally, as authority for their assumption of an indwelling equality between the races. What is clearly meant, of course, is that mankind as a whole could be regarded as belonging to a single distinctive species. The various races into which God has divergently divided mankind, however, can be identified and assessed separately on the basis of their respective genes, the organic units of heredity determining breed and brain, the seat of all the disparate subtleties of native intelligence. And a knowledge of differences in blood is indispensable in medical practice, as clerics should know who have had blood transfusions. Colour of skin is a genetic inheritance, but it is by no means the most important racial feature, although made to appear so by Churchmen and politicians. By far and away the most important racial traits are the inborn factors reflecting psychological differences, including intellectual capabilities. As reported in *The Times* of September 18, 1970, "our genes establish our character as well as our mental standards and our physical

characteristics". Such a conclusion, now accepted by most scientists of repute, is completely rejected by the Church, except Calvinism, since it is suspected that such "determinism" may invalidate concepts of free-will and moral responsibility. Such is not the case, however.

Degrees of intelligence depend more on genes than on environment. From the environment new knowledge may be gained with perhaps more understanding, and new habits may be formed out of instincts, but such acquisitions, though to a certain extent modifying character and personality during one lifetime, cannot permanently change the genetic structure in the way Lamarckian scientists once thought possible and which is still part of Church and Communist ideology. "Like father, like son", it is often said, but, contrary to Church (and Communist) belief, nothing acquired by education or exercise in one generation is ever passed down in the flesh to the next generation.

The second part of *Acts 17:26*, "...and hath determined the times before appointed and the bounds of their habitation", is not so frequently mentioned by those who seek to dissolve the human racial divisions (as is being attempted in Communist Russia, and now also in America and Great Britain) since in this we have evidence of the divine will that the races should be kept apart in their own lands.

According to their natural inclinations and social needs "...every nation made gods of their own", as we read in *11 Kings 17:29*, or as put by the scientific historian, Professor C.D. Darlington of Oxford, "Each religion had its own racial origin. Nowhere better than in the divergent expressions of a common religion do we see the divergent expressions of different races." St. Paul was well aware of the intrinsic variations within the body of mankind as exhibited in diverse national cultures and religions, for in *1 Corinthians 12* reference is made to "diversities of gifts....differences of administration.... diversities of operations....for the body (of mankind) is not one, but many." And the inclusion of the two sexes in *Galatians 3:28* amply proves that St. Paul did not have in mind any physical (biological) equivalence in human relationships. In his commentary on St. Paul's *Epistle to the Galatians* Martin Luther had this to say: "In the world and according to the flesh, there is a great difference and inequality of persons; and the same must be diligently observed." As between persons, so between peoples, natural racial distinctions must be heeded if mutual understanding with tolerance is to be achieved.

As St. Paul pointed out in *1 Corinthians 15:44*, "There is a natural body, and there is a spiritual body." In racial matters we are largely concerned with the "natural body" and the human fitness to survive progressively on earth. St. Paul was a missionary divinely inspired, not a Socialist politician as are some bishops today, and the essence of his teaching

is that all mankind, without altering race or nationality, social status or sex, could merit spiritual salvation by following Christ's example and teaching.

That some nations and races are elected by God to be different from others seems obvious from *1 Peter 2:9*, "But ye are a chosen generation (race)....a peculiar people....that ye should shew forth the praises of him who hath called you out of darkness into his marvellous light."

RACIAL INTEGRITY AND NATIONAL UNITY

In ancient Biblical times nations and tribes of races of different kinds of people, racially conscious from the very beginning of their existence, struggled for supremacy in the same territories (as indeed they are doing today), and many passages in the Old Testament give warning of the dangers of communal discord and strife inherent in what is now called racial integration or race mixing in multiracial communities.

God, not man, fashioned the pattern of the races and placed them "in their lands; every one after his tongue, and after their families, in their own nations", as we read in *Genesis 10:5*. Further confirmation of the Almighty's intention to establish the nations on the natural foundation of their creation is to be found in *Genesis 10:32*, "...and by these were the nations divided in the earth....", again emphasized in *Deuteronomy 32:8*, "When the Most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when He separated the sons of Adam, He set the bounds of the people...."

That God furthermore decreed that one race shall be placed before another is unequivocally confirmed in *Deuteronomy 7:6*, "...the Lord thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth." Again in *Numbers 14:12* it is written that God "...will make thee a greater and mightier nation than they." From this we are bound to accept that in their God-given natural endowments, their reasoning faculties, their instinctive aspirations and all that goes into the building of character, some races and subraces are more favoured than others, which inescapably brings us to the conclusion that some races must be superior to others, the truth of which is endorsed by science, however displeasing this may be to certain ecclesiastics and subversive politicians.

Under the Almighty's design and dispensation, purposive as those who believe in the Deity must suppose, each race was created with its own peculiar capacity for development, a fact of nature scientifically demonstrable and proven by racial histories, so it is unreasonable to expect all races to reach the same heights of civilisation or indeed to survive at all. Neither education, speaking the same language, nor living in a common environment with a common faith can ever bridge the "differential" gap so deeply engraved by

the hand of God.

Any synthetic levelling process of racial intermixing, whether this involves interbreeding or not, which breaks down a nation's natural cohesion cannot possibly succeed in lifting up the whole of humanity to a higher uniform level. Without the ties and restraints of family life and kinship with racial affinity national societies become unstable, as we know from Biblical history. Such societies are invariably disfigured by shoddy habits and moral laxity. Already racial promiscuousness is becoming as rife as sexual promiscuousness.

It should not be concluded from this, however, that the superior races have no moral obligation to help the more backward races if these races are in need of help.

MARRIAGES BETWEEN RACES FORBIDDEN BY GOD

In *Deuteronomy 7:3*, under the heading of "Communion with the nations forbidden", appears the stern injunction against marriages between members of different races: "Neither shalt thou make marriages with them; thy daughter shalt thou not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son." This divine command is made doubly clear in *Ezra 9:12*: "Now therefore give not your daughters unto their sons, neither take their daughters unto your sons, nor seek their peace or their wealth for ever: that ye may be strong, and eat the good of the land, and leave it for an inheritance to your children for ever." Such an insistence on racial purity and integrity is again stressed in *Ezra 10:11*, "Now therefore make confession unto the Lord God of your fathers, and do his pleasure and separate yourselves from the people of the land and from the strange wives." And no one can misunderstand what is meant by the Almighty's order in *Leviticus 19:19*, "Ye shall keep my statutes. Thou shalt not let thy cattle gender with a diverse kind; thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed; neither shall a garment mingled of linen and woollen come upon thee."

We know from *Numbers 12* what acute distress Moses caused his own people when he married a black woman not of his race.

The Hittites were not of the Jewish race or faith and great grief was brought to Isaac and Rebekah when their son, Esau, married two foreign Hittite women, as described in *Genesis 26:34:35*.

Similar anguish is being suffered today by parents when their children, against wise advice, marry into another race, a procedure the Church specifically blesses even though it is contrary to Biblical law and can be seen to be disruptive of family life and social harmony — and national unity.

Authorities have suggested that the offspring of Esau and his alien Hittite wives were the ancestors of the racially mixed Edomite people, later

identified as Idumeans, who were such a thorn in the side of the Jews for hundreds of years, indeed, right down to the coming of the Christ when they disappeared from the pages of history. The lesson to be learnt from this needs no elaborating: It is not meet for the races as evolved by God to interbreed.

Let us pray while there is yet time that America and Great Britain, both now standing in imminent peril, take heed of this clear Scriptural warning of the dangers ever present to a nation in multiracialism in which germinate the seeds of dissension, degeneracy and decay.

REPATRIATION APPROVED BY GOD

Although cultural contributions may have come in from outside all civilizations practically without exception have been built on the social stability afforded by racial homogeneity. This is how the nations, as cognate gregarious entities, have emerged under the beneficent care of the Almighty. Cultures reflecting the quality of the people vary between nations thus racially formed, and varying correspondingly are religions reflecting spiritual sensibility.

It is clearly not in accordance with Scriptural advice for national populations, sharing a common evolution and with the same ancestral history from which has come their corporate sense of nationhood, to destroy their organic unity by bringing within their fold incompatible racial elements and trying to absorb them.

With divine blessing we are expected as patriots to value our own birthright and heritage and be loyal to our own families, our kinsmen, our race and nation.

In *Isaiah 13:14* we read: "And it shall be as the chased roe, and the sheep that no man taketh up; they shall every man turn to his own people, and flee everyone into his own land." In this the nations have divine sanction for the repatriation of unassimilable people of alien races to the more racially congenial society of their national homelands.

THE BIBLE OF THE JEWS APPROVES APARTHEID

The Old Testament is the Bible of the Jews, the backbone of whose nationalist faith in Jehovah is essentially apartheid. One can only marvel at the way the Jews, ever intensely racially conscious, have untiringly persisted at the cost of much suffering in preserving themselves as a "nation within a nation" down through the ages as a racial and religious entity. So should it be with other nations if they value God's Providence and have pride in themselves and their forbears and are dutifully concerned to see that their children

have a worthwhile future on earth amongst their own kind.

It is often said that a Jew is only a Jew by virtue of his religion and that Jews are no more than a socio-religious group. This is entirely erroneous. Benjamin Disraeli, for example, was a Jew by race, of the Sephardim, a fact of which he was supremely proud, but he was a Christian by faith and an Imperialist. Karl Marx was a Jew by race, of the Ashkenazim, but he was baptised a Christian, though later in life he became an Atheist.

Obedying the Lord and resolutely refusing to be assimilated and absorbed by another race of people, which they were inspired to see would lead to their extinction as a special race, the Hebrews under Moses took their people out of Egypt. For precisely the same reason a similar Exodus is now being attempted from Socialist Soviet Russia and the adjoining Communist lands.

In passing it may be said that the Jews, mostly of the Ashkenazim, now invading the Holy Land and the lands of the Arabs in the Middle East are heavily charged with the genes of non-Semitic Mongoloid (Khazar) ancestry. Many of them are not Judaists at all, a fact deplored by the rabbinate, but atheists or rationalists who belong to the same racial group that did so much to bring about the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia.

APARTHEID OR SEPARATE RACIAL DEVELOPMENT AND PROGRESS

The independent Negro (Bantu and other) nations in Africa, now under "one man, one vote, one party" despotic regimes, are determined by a process of what is called Africanization to retain their racial identities, but for some reason the Church has raised no objection to such apartheid practices, even though these highly race-conscious nations are now tending to renounce Christianity, which they feel is a cult more suited to European than African races. Many Negro politicians, indeed, are coming to believe that Christianity is little more than an instrument of imperial colonialism.

We have seen how certain God-fearing Christian elements in the Congo, in Nigeria and in Zambia have been murderously suppressed, how the Moslem Arabs in Zanzibar were massacred, how Indians (Hindus and Sikhs) and Pakistanis (Moslems) were being thrown out of Kenya (a nation now famous in history as the scene of the Mau Mau terrorist atrocities) and Uganda. But such racial political operations have evoked little criticism by Churchmen, who seem to be purblind to their real significance.

Recognising the need to protect for posterity the qualities and traditional cultures of the different races and subraces in their domains,

which include South West Africa, the Republic of South Africa are setting aside vast territories for the exclusive habitation and the use of the Bantu and other distinct peoples to enable them to realize their true natures.

An enlightened procedure of a somewhat similar kind is also being applied in the Republic of Rhodesia. Because of this these great independent nations, the only remaining trustworthy Christian bulwarks in the whole of Africa against the pressures and demoralizing tactics of Communism and Paganism, are being mercilessly attacked by the Church. Even Portugal, a nation under Roman Catholic dispensation, has not escaped the odium of the Church, despite the fact that in her African provinces racial distinctions are not officially recognised and miscegenation (racial interbreeding) is not discouraged — as it ought to be according to the Bible.

As long ago as 1964 the British Council of Churches declared its readiness to support, with the Communists, a warlike blockade of South Africa to force the government there to change its constitution. Later this body saw fit to inform all Christians who contemplated migrating to South Africa that if they accepted the social conditions prevailing there they would be committing a "blasphemy against the Holy Spirit."

In spite of the awful spectacle of the Congo before their eyes, the World Conference on Church and Society recommended (in Geneva, 1966) that the constitutional issue of Rhodesia be handed over to the United Nations who would be required to use mercenary troops to compel the Rhodesians to submit to pagan alien rule. And what is one to think of the political prejudices of the Most Reverend Archbishop Lord Fisher of Lambeth who in December 1965 expressed the theocratic view that the "only responsible bodies able to speak for all Rhodesians" on political issues were the Churches, not the legally-elected representatives of the people? This former Archbishop of Canterbury later suggested that "Smith, the Prime Minister (of Rhodesia), could have done one of two honourable things — he could have resigned or he could have led Rhodesia to secede from the Commonwealth." Because Ian Smith did neither of these things Lord Fisher, speaking for the Church, vilified him as "dishonourable" and "stupid", saying that "Smith is not the first fanatic to let what he supposed was his honesty lead him into disastrous moral conflicts."

Gratifying no doubt to the Church, as it was to Communism, the then Prime Minister of Great Britain, Harold Wilson, who is Vice President of the Socialist International, but not of course Prime Minister of Rhodesia, without consulting either the British people or their Queen, had Rhodesia, self-governing since 1923, handed over to the United Nations, an amoral and irreligious body hostile to British interests, to sanction a mandatory international blockade to force the Rhodesians to overthrow their government by unconstitutional means.

In the event, as history records, Ian Smith, by the grace of God and with the support of Rhodesians of all races, stood firm, and saved his nation from barbarism.

In September, 1970, the World Council of Churches unanimously voted a grant of two hundred thousand dollars to Negro terrorists (called guerillas or freedom fighters) to enable them to buy arms to destroy by assassination the constitutional governments of South Africa, Rhodesia, Mozambique, Angola and Guinea. This outrageous proposal apparently met with the approval of the British Council of Churches, one of whose spokesmen had the wicked effrontery to state (September 1970) that the "organisations practising terror are the white authorities."

A Socialist prelate, the Bishop of Southwark, has said "apartheid is inherently evil an affront to the basic dignity of man." Thus does a man of the cloth repudiate the decrees of the Almighty as set forth in Holy Writ. Even the pulpit of St. Pauls has been desecrated by Communist-aligned spokesmen, sponsored by the Church, who advocate the use of violence to bring about world racial assimilation contrary to God's revealed will. As a demonstration of their dislike of the way South Africa was being governed, Church dignitaries, including bishops, even went to the absurd lengths of placing themselves at the head of irresponsible street mobs to deter English people from watching South Africans play cricket.

In realistic opposition to this agitation the Bishop of Exeter declared in November 1969 that the African republics "must be allowed to develop along their own lines according to their own racial characteristics", which is the policy of the South African and Rhodesian Governments.

Perhaps the most notorious and relentless Church critic of the South African policy of establishing separate nations of different races to give them scope to evolve along civilized lines of ethnic self-determination on a natural footing (as approved by the League of Nations and the United Nations — and the Bible) is a Socialist, the Rev. Trevor Huddleston, now Bishop of Stepney, but according to an interview published in *The Times* of April 29, 1970, he may be changing his mind, for he was moved to say about Indian, Pakistani and Negro groups of settlers in England; "Immigrant communities do need the opportunities of finding their own culture and being able to discover themselves", which in principle accords with what the South African and Rhodesian authorities are trying in a practical way to make possible.

THE UNITED KINGDOM AND MULTIRACIALISM

With the tacit blessing of the Oecumenical Church there has been an unwelcome wave of immigration into Great Britain over the past twenty

years of the overflow and unwanted populations of independent nations of non-British coloured races. No similar influx of such completely alien people into the British Isles from lands outside Europe has occurred before. Nor has immigration on such a vast scale been necessary or justified, seeing that Great Britain is already dangerously overpopulated with hundreds of thousands of her own people unable to find regular employment, many even without homes.

"Thou hast multiplied the nation, and not increased the joy", as we read in *Isaiah 9:3*, and much distress has needlessly been caused to Britons, the English, Scots, Welsh and Irish, whose instincts and reason are telling them that their future as a nation united by a common ancestry and history has been compromised.

Innocently using the argument often advanced in defence of slavery in the Americas, the Socialist politicians of the Church, more prejudiced than informed, like to claim that the indigenous British people themselves have materially benefited by the use of immigrant labour. It can be shown, however, that on balance such an economic argument is without much foundation. Up to twenty years ago few, if any, coloured people were employed in hospitals or on transport. Nor would these services break down if coloured staff were not employed now. It is true, of course, that the immigrants themselves have profited by the social imbalance, but at the expense of the native British people.

In the spiritual field, it is doubtful whether in the long run British society, founded on Christian traditions with a Christian constitution (see the Book of Common Prayer), will derive any benefit from the massive intrusion into their midst of alien cults such as Mohammedanism, Hinduism, Sikhism, Judaism and Freethinkers, all antipathetic to Christianity.

Once upon a time there was a British Empire within which all races could perhaps in the end have been entitled to regard themselves as Imperial subjects and citizens with the constitutional right to move freely and settle down anywhere in Imperial territories. But mainly at the instance of the coloured non-British nations the Empire no longer exists. In its place is a hotchpotch commonwealth of independent nations all racially conscious, mostly without allegiance to the British Crown and often antagonistic to Great Britain. The United Kingdom, however, is still the focal point of this unstable and disintegrating multiracial structure, but in no way does this require Great Britain herself to become a nondescript multiracial community of people with irreconcilably divided natures, religions and loyalties.

As a result of the ill-conceived *British Nationality Act (1948)* Great Britain, in a "moment of national aberration", has saddled herself with a problem which America is finding insoluble, even though legislation has been enacted outlawing freedom of social selection (the basic right to pick and

enjoy one's own company) and racial segregation. The *Civil Rights Acts* in America, under which the teaching of Christian morals in state-aided schools is forbidden, have already had the effect of engendering "permissiveness" and exacerbating racial tensions. Similar measures, the *Race Relations Acts*, outlawing the traditional right to discriminate, are doing little to promote racial harmony in the United Kingdom where the various racial groups cannot by their very God-given natures, diverse in breed and creed, ever be welded together or integrated to "combine into a single congruous whole."

Under these Acts "race relations" has developed into a monstrous parasitical industry and tax-wasting bureaucracies have proliferated. One of them, the Community Relations Commission, with a title presumably designed to mislead, had for its first chairman the Archbishop of Canterbury. Later his place was taken by a superannuated Socialist trade union agitator, assisted by numerous coloured aliens. Another racist organization is the Race Relations Board, equally multiracial, whose chairman, copying the sneak or police informer procedure of a Communist state, publicly invited immigrants, as a way of inciting racial feelings, to complain if they felt they were not being accorded the hospitality they were led to expect from their reluctant British hosts. This Board even went to the extreme lengths of trying to prevent the truth about the science of race being propagated, again imitating the Communist technique. Fortunately an impartial judgement on this at the Lewes Assizes of March, 1968, went decisively against the Board and their Socialist and cosmopolitan overlords.

In their effect the *Race Relations Acts*, which forbid any open recognition in practice of racial distinctions, gravely hampering criminal detection, even making it unlawful for an Englishman to show preference for Englishmen in England or patriotically to proclaim in public that he is proud of his great race, can be seen to be subversive of good social order. Yet this discriminatory legislation, in implementation often resulting in absurdities, which has brought so much discredit to English jurisprudence, meets with the unqualified approbation of the Church of England. In his support of it, the Bishop of Chichester, speaking in the House of Lords in June 1967, denounced any rational opposition to it as "this evil thing in our midst."

By denying there is any value in the morale associated with national integrity founded by God on the brotherhood of racial kinship, the leaders of the Church in Great Britain are placing themselves in the ranks of the renegades condemned in *Micah 7:6*, where it is written "a man's enemies are the men of his own house (nation or race)."

Apparently imagining that to be uniform in language and education is to be united in kind and that to be racially conscious is to be racially prejudiced, the Church reveals its failure to understand the true significance

of the racial ferment in the world.

Religion without realism is no more than superstition, but in pursuance of a narrow and outmoded ideology, sanctioned neither by the sciences nor by the Scriptures, the Church seems to be incapable of making itself aware of the reason for its loss of influence and the cause of its decline.

Their heritage and birthright now being thrown away, the people of British stock who made Britain a great nation and established a great empire are now being admonished by Church and State to resign themselves to becoming a nation no longer British but multiracial, a nation without a soul, a self-destructive cosmopolitan fraternity of mixed races and mixed religions, disunited and bereft of any disciplined sense of destiny, a people fully meriting the condemnation in *Deuteronomy 32:28*, "For they are a nation void of counsel, neither is there any understanding in them."

Race mixing against God's will is the surest way of destroying a nation.

"Great empires may fall as victims to circumstances beyond their control — forced out of the arena of history by the superior might of external enemies or the inexorable pressures in the evolution of human civilization. Nations do not decay that way: they decline through inner corrosion — through a surrender of the energy or will to live. Empires, like families, may be disrupted by the intrusion of others: nations, like individuals, fade away because of enemies within."

Dr. Immanuel Jakobovits, the Chief Rabbi, in *The Times* of January 16, 1970

Man's Racial Nature, Racial Kinship, Religion & Racial Controversy and Race & Politics are available from R.P.S.. Send S.A.E. for full list of publications on race and allied topics — to:
R.P.S., Chapel Ascote, Ladbroke, Southam, Warwickshire, England

BOOKS FOR PATRIOTS FROM LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

ISSN: 0145 — 7667

PHONE: 304-927-4486

P.O. BOX 21, REEDY WV 25270 USA

BOOK LIST NO. 788 — EFFECTIVE 1 JULY 1988

Prices are subject to change without notice.

All previous price lists are obsolete.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

FOR 12 MONTHLY, HARD-HITTING, FACT-PACKED ISSUES
OF LIBERTY BELL — NOW IN ITS 16th YEAR!

10 FIRST CLASS: U.S.A.	\$45.00
11 FIRST CLASS: All other countries	\$50.00
20 AIR MAIL: Europe, South America	\$60.00
21 AIR MAIL: Middle East, Far East, Africa.....	\$65.00
22 AIR MAIL: Sample Copy	\$ 5.00
30 THIRD CLASS (Bulk Rate): U.S.A. only	\$35.00
33 THIRD CLASS: Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

PLEASE NOTE: We do not accept COD orders. Please include cheque, money order or cash (by certified mail) with your order, or charge to your Master or VISA Card and add 3% service charge to order total. **ORDERS FROM OVERSEAS:** Payment must be made in U.S. funds by cheque with domestic computer numbers imprinted and drawn on U.S. bank, international postal money order, or cash by certified mail. Contributions in support of our work will be gratefully accepted.

FOR POSTAGE AND HANDLING:—ON DOMESTIC ORDERS: please include \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% for orders over \$10.00, for UNINSURED shipment by Fourth Class Mail. No replacements will be made for orders lost in the mails unless you include an additional \$1.00 for INSURANCE. West Virginia residents must include 6% for Consumer Sales Tax.

—ON FOREIGN ORDERS: please include \$2.00 for orders under \$10.00, 20% for orders over \$10.00, 80% for AIR MAIL delivery (overpayment in A/M charges will be credited). Overseas shipments are made strictly at the risk of the purchaser. No replacements will be made for orders lost in the mails and/or confiscated by postal/customs authorities. For INSURANCE (to countries where this service is available) please add \$5.00.

THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE OVER THOSE
WHO CANNOT READ!

BOOKS FOR PATRIOTS FROM LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

PHONE: 304-927-4486

P.O. BOX 21, REEDY WV 25270 USA

BOOK LIST NO. 788 - EFFECTIVE 1 JULY 1988

Prices are subject to change without notice.

All previous price lists are obsolete.

NAME: DATE:

ADDRESS: PHONE:

CITY/STATE/ZIP:

QTY	TITLE #	TITLE	EACH	TOTAL

No COD orders accepted. Please send check, money order, or cash (by certified mail) with order or provide VISA or MASTER CARD info below and add 3% to invoice total. Payment for foreign orders must be made in U.S. currency, by check with domestic computer numbers imprinted and drawn on U.S. bank, or international money order.

SUB TOTAL	
Post. & Hdlg.	
5% WV Sales Tax	
3% M/C Surcharge	
TOTAL AMOUNT	

CARD #: _____
 SIGNATURE: _____
 VISA M/C EXPIRATION: _____

BOOK REVIEW, by Charles E. Weber, continued from page 18

kamen wir nicht nach Katyn..." (Thank God, we did not end up in Katyn...) These captured Polish officers in German hands numbered some 18,000, but their experiences during the war had been neglected by German historians. Generally, the Polish officers in German captivity were treated in accordance with the Geneva Convention. All twelve ("Oflags" [Offizierslager—officers POW camps]) had libraries with thousands of books, and courses were available to those officers who wished to extend their education. The documentation for this segment consists of extensive quotations from a publication of the Polish defence ministry dated 1970, correspondence from a former Polish officer now living in Dayton, Ohio who was in German captivity from 1939 to 1945, and other sources.

The eighth segment (pp. 98-106) is perhaps the most important one in the book as far as revision of the propaganda myths of World War II is concerned. It deals with the decline of the Polish population during 1939-1945 under the German *and* Soviet occupations. This decline was greatly exaggerated to absurd totals. The American magazine *Time*, for example, even claimed that 12,000,000 Poles and Jews died in German concentration camps. An official report of the Polish War Reparations Office prepared by two students in 1947 put the decline of the Polish population at 6,028,000. This report was passed on by the United Nations and henceforth found its way into many publications. However, the author demonstrates by the use of the Polish statistical annual of 1956 and previous census data that the decline of the ethnically Polish population was only a very modest fraction of this number. Much of the confusion and statistical juggling was made possible by the radical changes in the Polish borders between 1939 and 1945, the fact that there were massive movements of populations (notably the expulsion of millions of Germans from their own lands taken over by Poland), and the highly heterogeneous populations within the Polish borders as they existed in 1939, in contrast to the present, nearly homogeneous population with the Polish borders established after the war. It should be noted that this important topic was also discussed in an article by Dr. Otward Müller in a publication of the Zeitgeschichtliche Forschungsstelle dated 1984.

The ninth segment (pp. 107-113) examines the contents of the briefing books prepared for the American delegation at the Potsdam Conference (17 July to 2 August 1945). These briefing books were dated 30 June and 4 July 1945. They show that the Americans planned to cede far less German territory to the U.S.S.R. and Poland than was

actually ceded and that the American delegation was provided with more detailed information than had been assumed.

The tenth and final segment of the main text (pp. 114-121 examines the question as to whether the Second World War could have been avoided. Actually, the contents of this segment would have been more accurately captioned with the question as to whether a continuation of the war after the German victory in Poland could have been avoided. This victory left England and France without any plausible war aim, as David Irving has also pointed out. In this situation, Hitler undertook a peace initiative and let it be known through Norwegian and thence American channels that he might be ready to make large concessions with regards to the conditional reinstatement of Czechoslovakian and Polish autonomy. This peace initiative, which had the potential for avoiding so much suffering and destruction (including that of the British Empire, as David Irving also points out), was frustrated by British and French confidence that Germany could be easily defeated within a year or so by the effects of the blockade in particular. Furthermore, the peace initiative was met by indifference on the part of Roosevelt, to whom the Germans had looked for an intermediary role in the negotiations.

The reader of this book would be well advised to leaf through the ten sets of documentation following page 121 even before he commences to read the main text. This extensive documentation, most of which is in English, furnishes important support for the main text. It included such areas as the shooting of the guards at Dachau who had already surrendered, pictures of the apparently adequately nourished inmates after their liberation, the final page of the Dachau registry records, correspondence from a former Polish prisoner of war, a table showing the populations of Polish provinces in various years, and the text of the "Atlantic Charter" dated 14 August 1941.

A commendable feature of the main text of the book is the frequent quoting of original texts in English when the original language was English. The author is obviously well aware of the shortcomings and basis for confusion of even the most painstaking translations. If this book were to be translated into English, this feature would save the translator many a headache. An index would have enhanced the value of this already valuable book.

We may readily classify this book as revisionistic in the best sense on the basis of the wealth of factual material and documentation which the author has presented, even if its revisionism seems restrained. The American reader must bear in mind that any historian who hopes to have his work published in Germany must contend with the rigorous

editorship exercised by the Bonn government on the basis of various laws, notably laws pertaining to printed materials which could corrupt youthful minds, "jugendgefährdend," an elastically applied term by no means exclusively aimed against pornography.

This significant book deserves a translation into English and to be set in somewhat larger type. □

THE NORDIC PRESS

The Logical Thing To Do?

In a recent primary election the Californians again defeated a measure which would have required all who carry the AIDS virus to be identified and tracked by public health officials. To many, this would seem the logical thing to do. After all, AIDS kills. There is no cure, those who have it will die. Reason would seem to dictate the control of those who can contaminate others. Reason, fortunately, did not prevail. The contaminated drug users and queers may still infect at will. The voters have said the precious "civil rights" of these contaminators may not be curtailed.

To have expected reason to prevail was wrong. In this instance, though, we can only hope the contamination continues at its ever accelerating pace, for there is no evidence of the AIDS virus crossing over into the general population and AIDS remains, as it has always been, a killer of the misfits (197 the IV drug users and the sodomites). The sooner nature eliminates them from society the better for us all.

At the end of May, the Centers for Disease Control in Atlanta listed a total of 63,726 AIDS cases in the United States. This was a cumulative figure dating back to their first accounting in 1979. Also listed was a total of 35,798 deaths, or 56.2 percent of those who have acquired AIDS are dead. The actual numbers of both dead and active carriers is higher as the medical profession tends to protect the AIDS carriers and does not accurately report all cases.

When one looks at the government's statistics on the categories of persons with the disease, the view is clouded. The statistics attempt to generalize and, in effect, to conceal. We find, as an example, 58.6 of the AIDS cases are "white, not Hispanic," 25.9 are "black, not Hispanic," and "Hispanic" is 14.5 percent. We might ask, and rightly so, what is "white?" Included in white is everyone not falling into a .7 percent listed as "other," or the broader categories just mentioned. So, this means the CDC's "white" population includes Jews and other

Semitics, the mixed populations of Mediterranean origin, Asians, and anyone who can pass as not being a black or a Mexican. We look in vain to determine the number of Aryans who are infected with AIDS. Adding their totals, we find of all identified AIDS patients that 41.1 percent are non-white, or nearly one-half. So, if we could subtract the contaminated Aryans, we would find our well-loved, pampered, and tax subsidized minorities provide the overwhelming numbers for the AIDS count while the black/Hispanic group still constitutes a minority of the U.S. population.

Now don't mistake my extracting the Aryan AIDS carriers. They should be at the top of the extermination list for they are traitors to our race, it is that they actually are a minor element of our Aryan stock and of the AIDS totals. Further sorting through the statistics shows that 87.8 percent of those with AIDS are queers, IV drug users or a combination of these two wonderful traits. The remaining 12 percent of the AIDS victims are the innocents, those who were given contaminated blood or blood products, children contaminated by their AIDS infected mother or the .1 percent listed as having acquired the disease in an "unknown" manner. Some 5,476 of the total number of AIDS cases were female with nearly half of them listed as IV drug users, this group is nearly 100 percent black.

Our concern is not for the dregs of our society who are killing themselves. Our concern is for the high cost of caring for these misfits who have elected, through their own degenerate habits, to remove themselves from this life. Once the IV drug users on the west coast become as infected as are those of New York City we will see a decrease in the crime rate and, perhaps, those living in the Los Angeles cultural/racial sink hole may again walk their streets in relative safety. The cost for seeing the doomed to their graves will be staggering.

New drugs have recently shown the life of an AIDS victim can be prolonged for an additional two to three years, not for a cure, but for prolonged medical care, at, of course, tax payer's expense. As these astronomical costs begin to fall upon the limited health care resources, those who have the more legitimate claim to those services will be forced away without care. The hospice will not be available for the elderly, the Social Security pot will be empty. Since Reagan and his crew of massive spenders have already placed several generations of unborn Americans in hock, how will the tab be paid?

The bill will not be paid. It is a total debt which is now in the trillions of dollars, a figure which the human mind cannot comprehend, it is so enormous it simply becomes a word. The AIDS costs will be the final blow to the tottering U.S. economy and it will collapse. With that

collapse will come a social revolution. What will emerge is unknown. Aryans can hope for some sort of an Aryan victory, but, looking at the level of racial awareness amongst today's Aryan population, that eventuality is in doubt, The more likely outcome will be a breakdown of all order and a stronger people will move in to bring order from the chaos.

If our Aryan population is to emerge victorious from the chaos which looms ahead, then it will be achieved by our young, those who have realized the worth of their Aryan genetic inheritance. It will be these Aryan Warriors, men and women, who will unite in Aryan enclaves to defeat those who have brought this once great nation to ruin. From that defeat, the Aryan can, once again, start the long, upward path to evolutionary victory, for the birth of the "superman" with the genetic strength and wisdom to meet the challenges of that New Order. Tomorrow belongs to them!

* * * * *

GOOD NEWS

The times are a-changin'! Who in our Aryan family would have thought the court battle in Fort Smith, Arkansas would have resulted in a victory for honor and for justice? Few, if any. We have grown accustomed to the Zionist Occupation Government's despicable tactics of deceit and dishonor to the point of despairing for any justice in our Jew-dominated judicial system, and then, a bolt out of the blue, or perhaps a blow from the Hammer of Thor—an Aryan victory!

A jury of twelve, all honorable Aryans, returned a verdict of "Not Guilty!" to the connived charges of sedition leveled against thirteen of our Aryan Warriors. The twelve jurors saw fit to defy ZOG and to say, "we will not accept your trumped-up charges, your tainted evidence, and your lying witnesses." ZOG's forces have now retreated to lick their wounds. It was an Aryan victory only in the tactical sense, a battle was won, not the war.

From France, more good news; the Aryans there too have scored a victory. The French National Front recently won 14.4 percent of the national vote in a presidential election. Jean-Marie Le Pen, an ex-paratrooper and long-time champion of Aryan rights and values, has raised the banner of freedom in France, and those of Aryan blood are rallying to his cause—his campaign's rallying cry was for the deportation of the alien scum which has invaded their country from Africa. Though Le Pen is not yet in the French government, the re-elected

President, François Mitterand, will be forced to bargain with the National Front to form a government under their parliamentary system.

The Le Pen victory has struck terror into the hearts of the Hebrew seum, now sucking the life blood from France. An article in *The Jerusalem Post* of 7 May 1988, reprinted in *The Thunderbolt* issue #326, tells of the terror the "French Jews" now have because of the Le Pen victory. (There is, of course, no such thing as a "French Jew;" there are Jews in France who have French nationality.) Various of the howling Hebe rabbis have predicted a return to the days of National Socialism, when those horrible Aryans of Germany wrested control of their country from the Jew parasites.

One of the most fascinating comments reported in that news article was this: "Feelings in the Jewish quarter are mixed. 'When Le Pen reaches 20%, that will be the signal to leave,' said one."

David Duke of the National Association for the Advancement of White People, just about hit that 20 percent figure despite a complete news blackout! Duke, currently seeking a platform to advance his presidential candidacy, was denied access to the general public by a nearly complete and total blackout of his candidacy by the Jew-view networks in this nation. Despite the censorship of his views and of his very existence, in some Louisiana parishes he polled as high as 17 percent of the vote in the recent Democratic "Super Tuesday" primaries. We can only speculate what the totals would have been if Duke had been permitted to place his views before the electorate. His percentage of the votes received in an open election would certainly have given ZOG a blow equal to that handed them by the jurors in Fort Smith.

Our Aryan Warriors are not asleep, not gone from this world; they still exist. Each Aryan family lives in fear of the system, for the system of ZOG has taken from them their schools and their churches, their right of free association, and the very land which their ancestors carved from the wilderness.

How can the Aryan voice be heard? How can our Aryan Warriors defeat the forces of ZOG and regain control of their lives, their destinies, and their government? Follow the French example!

There is no chance in the immediate future of making a beach head in the cesspool of our national government, nor should we try. While the combined anti-Aryan forces on a national level are powerful, they are not invulnerable. Our Aryan power resides in the Aryan populations of small towns, rural America, and when that base is consolidated the Aryan Warriors can surge forward to state and national victories. The Aryan Warriors must first become active and vocal in

their home communities. We can not permit the isolated men and women of courage to be cut down as has occurred in Arizona. Governor Evan Mecham, an Aryan of the Christian faith, had the audacity to cancel a state holiday for Martin Luther King which had been declared by the previous governor. Quick to detect any point of Aryan resistance or strength, the Hebes, feasting upon the carcass of Arizona, rallied their left-wing supporters and forced an honorable man from office.

Mecham would still be in office if he had the vocal and moral support of 20 percent of the Aryans living in Arizona. Who could have combined to defeat the Aryans there? Certainly not the Indians, called "Hispanics," nor the cactus Kikes who are now waving their scalping knives in a final effort to silence an honorable man. Mecham was brought down by a lack of support from his own race. Where would the Kikes and their lickspittle blacks have been if 20 percent of the Aryans in Arizona had stood firm? They would be packing their bags and moving to another rotting government, for the Jew cannot survive where honorable people live.

Is it too much to hope that 20 percent of our Aryan folk can become Aryan Warriors and drive the Jews from our land? No! We can achieve that goal, and once having attained it, we shall surpass it. The Aryan today hungers and thirsts for a leader. Aryan values of honor, honesty, and trust have all but vanished from this continent. In their place we have the Jew doing unto others just as quickly and completely as is possible. We cannot now place David Duke's name on our local ballots, but you can place any number of Aryan Warriors on your local ballots for the next election. We must enter the political arena. We live in a Jew-induced dream world if we believe the system is going to collapse and an Aryan knight, bright and shining in a suit of moral armor, will then come forth to salvage the pieces. If we permit the Jew to destroy this once Aryan land, there will be nothing left to salvage. The Jew will have picked the carcass clean and the bones will be added to the great Jew bone pile of their previous victims. □

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Dear Comrades:

A friend of mine wants to subscribe to the *Liberty Bell*, but he is scared to have it sent to his address, (the lack of courage in our people

never ceases to amaze me). Please send an additional copy with my subscription.

Heil Hitler!
A.W.H., Florida

* * * * *

Dear George:

....*The March Up Country* is exceptional and so is the author, obviously. I've read *The Turner Diaries*, but this book deals with reality, the here and now, although I grant you the *Turner Diaries* could happen. Except for *America's Decline* by Professor Revilo Oliver I don't believe any other book has left such an impression; rarely does truth and reality come in such a large slice in such a small book. Back in college we had a saying, "Reality is for those who can't handle drugs." I imagine your readership, like myself, thinks the opposite. Hopefully they can handle this book and act on it!...

From this book I've gotten a little more info on the *Spotlight* puzzle. Reading a *Spotlight* article is like reading a book with no ending. They get hot on an idea but nothing develops eventually; it fades into obscurity only to be buried as another loss...

Respectfully,
V.G., Michigan

* * * * *

Mr. Dietz,

Enclosed find a check for \$25 to renew my subscription.

Please continue with more excellent articles by David McCalden! I also enjoyed J.W. Travis's article, "So You Still Opt For Christianity?" It is so refreshing to read a patriot's magazine that also exposes the "Spook-In-The Sky Swindle" as Ben Klassen so aptly describes it.

Sincerely,
R.N., California

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Enclosed is another order. I have just finished *The March Up Country* and it has to be one of the best books I have ever read on the contemporary scene of the movement. In fact, could you supply me with Mr. Covington's address so that may write him a letter. Thank you very much for the additional Rockwell tape that I ordered. He was a magnificent speaker, and I had never heard his voice until now.

As always, thank you!

P.H.

* * * * *

Dear Friend George:

We enjoy reading Revilo P. Oliver's articles; they are brilliant. Also Jim Taylor's monthly articles, super, super. Of course, every article published only in *Liberty Bell* are highly informative...

We thank you and your family and members of *Liberty Bell* for all the fine articles and information, which help the White People know who their enemies are.

Best wishes, and best of health,

Patriotically yours,
P.C., Mass.

* * * * *

Dear George:

Received the three LB's I ordered...

I wish to add to R.P.O.'s discussion about the background of Stalin, but in regards to Lenin.

I have the severe misfortune of having to share my workspace with a Russian-speaking Jew who with his entire family emigrated to the Pacific Northwest.

This obnoxious animal (and he typifies the Eastern European Jew) works as a government subsidized senior aide. His function is janitor, food stamp and medicaid recipient. He served with the Russian Army, supposedly as an Officer, but he can't read a map. He was one of those detestable Horde that raped and pillaged Berlin. He is also talkative. He states emphatically that all or most of the Bolsheviks were Jews (with an air of c). He even stated that Lenin's mother was a Jewess (maiden name Blank) and that this information is available in a museum in or around Leningrad.

As regards Stalin, I recall reading somewhere that the name comes from an island (Dhuga) in the middle of the River Oder or Volga and that this island was almost a Jewish ghetto. My problem is that I can't imagine Jews living anywhere where they are completely isolated from hosts that they must feed off to survive. I have to agree that ole Uncle Joe was a Jew else he would not have risen to high office in the first place. The USSR, like the USA, is not a sovereign country, but an economic enterprise of Joint Trusts, a corporation in which there is a socialized and non-socialized sector. I suspect that the incorporating papers, etc., are filed in a safety deposit box called Sweden and that is why the USSR tests their security with incursions

of their submarines. A textbook on Soviet civil law states that only the non-socialized sector can deal in foreign trade. For what it is worth, amongst my papers is a study I performed on international banking and trade.

The true owners of Russia, I am sure, would only entrust their corporation to reliable tribal members or a shabbaz goy who knew that he was a puppet. Incidentally, the problem with Noriega is that the fool actually thought he was a sovereign head of a sovereign country; in reality, the Bank of Panama is an Edge Act branch of Citibank and Noriega is an ex-officio employee of the bank, and Panama is mere chattel as is all of Central and South America.

Most sincerely, mein Kamerad,
F.W., Washington

* * * * *

Dear George:

...Keep the extra [money, above the cost of the order] for general operating expenses. Things are looking brighter; with any luck I may be able to help you out on a regular basis. Let's just hope some faggot doesn't cough on my food in some restaurant and give me AIDS and ruin it all. Now that's a terrible thought!

I watched a pro-AIDS commercial the other day with some doctor saying "AIDS is bad enough, so let's not shun these poor boys" (a couple of fags were next to him). Then the old story that you can't get it bY casual contact. Well, maybe yes and maybe no, but I have NO intention of going around and hugging fags just to show what a good sport I am, what lunacy!!!

Anyway, keep up the good work; we all appreciate it immensely.

Sincerely,
V.G., Michigan

* * * * *

Dear George:

Liberty Bell has got to be the best of the magazines I get. I subscribe to the Institute for Historical Review and to the *Spotlight*, but *Liberty Bell* hits the target a lot better, or at least better than the others do. So thanks again.

E.F.P., Washington

* * * * *

Dear Landsmann:

With greatest respect for Mr. Taylor, whose articles I have found very interesting, I have a few negative comments to make about his latest LB article, "Our Silly Spies." First, the United States installed Castro in Cuba and it is the US that keeps him there. Any official action the US takes against him is deliberately meant to fail. The same thing goes for Nicaragua. During the recent nonsense where the US sent some troops to Honduras, the Liberal Congress was busy passing laws against US troop use within 12 hours and the Red mobs were on the streets demonstrating within 24. If the US ever takes any action against Nicaragua it will not be to oppose Communism, of which the US is the world's #1 supporter, but because the Red government there is deficient in Jew worship. Even so, the Red regime will not be overthrown.

The airfield on Grenada has nothing to do with tourism; it is a military base and, as such, has nothing to do with making money. Except for the airfield, the hole is of no use to the US whatsoever and I thank God the welfare system has not yet been extended to support these niggers in Grenada. As for Haiti, can Mr. Taylor really be blaming the US for the state of the animals polluting the island? This is a racist nigger hole that began killing every White on the island and has gone downhill ever since. They are being ruled in the only way niggers can ever be ruled—by a despot. Or they were till the US overthrew Duvalier last year and plunged the dump into chaos. Just now the State Department held an election where all the opposition was systematically excluded from the ballot by US order. They took violent aversion to this and broke up the joke elections, which caused the US to cut off the \$100 million in welfare payments that keeps the animals alive. Now the niggers are looking back with longing on the days of Duvalier. Instead of one national tyrant, they have 50 local ones.

As for AIDS, this disease was ravaging Africa, where it infected 30 million plus niggers, long before it hit the US. The reason it is known as the "American disease" is because of the greater coverage here. The disease is epidemic on Haiti, where it may solve the nigger problem and allow the area to be returned to human occupation....

Finally, the recent anniversary of the "Anschluß" was interesting. Anybody who saw the photos of the Germans marching in to the acclimation of the people [of Austria] and the Jews humiliated must realize whose rule Hitler was overthrowing! What bothers me is that the Jews suffered only petty harassment. What is that compared to what they have done! Every Jew should have been taken to the nearest phone pole and hanged! How could Hitler have let them live to continue to curse humanity? Never again! What fools Whites are to show

mercy to these devils. Next time give them what they deserve! I groan when I see the Palestinians fighting the Jews with rocks. What a shame to Americans who could put an end to the Jews tomorrow if we weren't cowards and slaves. We richly deserve the contempt of the Jews and the horrors soon to come.

A last note; the mideast war was originally scheduled for over the New Year holiday but was delayed till now. The Iranian offensive near Kiruk may be the start of it. Every hot spot in the world has been suddenly stirred up and the markets set up for a new collapse.

S.R., New York State

* * * * *

Dear George:

Please send five copies of *The March Up Country* to the addresses below. You would be proud of this bunch, George. We are all Americans by birth, but we are German by blood and our blood runs true. We are all young and we realize that in this world of s.t., our German blood is a treasure that we are most fortunate to possess.

Best of the good things to you, George; keep up the good fight.

Sinn Fein!

T.F., Wisconsin

PS: I don't care much for the Irish and their minority mentality, but "Ourselves Alone" is appropriate.

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Enclosed is my latest order. Thanks to your excellent service I am finally obtaining the library of my dreams.

The Cause is not a hopeless one. Far from it. As a matter of fact, I've reached the conclusion a few Right-wing Death Squads NORTH of the border would straighten a few crooked things out considerably. I'm not advocating violence, mind you, just making a few observations...

I salute our brothers in Arkansas.

Respectfully,

K.H., New York

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

The good news about the acquittal of the Aryan patriots on April 7 has been met with the predictable wailing and gnashing of teeth on the part of ZOG and its kept media. The best the shocked mediocrats

could do was to moan over and over that an "all-White" Jury acquitted the Aryans, but they could not blunt the psychological impact of this great victory.

The good news from Arkansas was somewhat offset, of course, by the predictable news from Tel Aviv that the bloodthirsty Sanhedrin had condemned John Demjanjuk to death during their "Holocaust" month. With the Palestinian uprising shaking them to their very foundation, the Devil's children are desperate indeed because they know their remaining time is short, but we can console ourselves that when Jews murder an Aryan, they only kill his physical body and cannot touch the Aryan spirit. But they themselves possess no spirit, as Alfred Rosenberg wrote in *The Myth of the Twentieth Century*, and as their "state" crumbles about them, they will have little opportunity to seek out more martyrs like Karl Linnas and John Demjanjuk. It will all avail the Jews naught.

Here on the American political scene, the emergence of the Mar-rano Michael Dukakis is indicative of the desire of Jewry to have on of their own in the White House at this critical juncture. Dukakis's mother is a Greek Jewess from Salonika and his wife is a Russian Khazar. This is more preferable than an aristocratic Aryan traitor like George Bush, for, after all, even Benedict Arnold finally expressed remorse, and the way things stand, Jewry can take no chances. But if Jesse Jackson becomes Vice-President, it will be like a shot of adrenaline to the Aryan Separatist movement, so things are, all in all, looking up!

Sincerely,

M.B., California

* * * * *

Dear George:

The *Liberty Bell* is like fine wine. It gets better with age. It seems that more and more of working-class Whites realize that the Republicrats and Democans are both controlled by Zionists and fat cat, super greedy white capitalists. They are reading my copy of *Liberty Bell* and are getting ready to mete out Aryan Justice when the time is right.

Hail victory!

D.M., Missouri

* * * * *

Dear George:

Thanks for the speedy service on my order. Enclosed is \$25 for a subscription to *Liberty Bell*. Harold Covington's *The March Up Country* is probably the best How-to book for White revolutionists and is MUST-READ material. Keep up the great work and let's get everybody off their asses and get them to stand up for their own people.

T.T., North Carolina

* * * * *

Dear George:

Many thanks for getting the box of "An Open Letter to the Gentiles" to us—we had a very good and informative meeting!!!

The week before, I testified in Ft. Smith, Arkansas—was able to hit them hard on "giving the private Federal Reserve Bank to the International Jewish Bankers."

That trial lasted seven weeks and everyone was acquitted!!! The FEDS and the ADL aren't too happy! The general public is beginning to wise up to the Jew! (The "Open Letter" was discussed in Court).

Again, many thanks.
Col. F., Pennsylvania

* * * * *

Dear George:

What can be done, with the help of your publication, to urge German people, and people of German descent, to stop their support for Public Television? How can one reach German organizations? I am doing everything I can in my area, but I feel it is less than a drop in the bucket. It cannot go on like this. Under the name of "education," Public T.V. is sometimes showing anti-German and holocaust movies back-to-back.

The series "World at War," which is always shown on Public TV, is unbelievably anti-German. Especially the segment Russia 1941-1943. In that segment they make the German soldier appear as nothing less than an out-and-out murderer. They do not single out the SS or the Gestapo, it is the ordinary German soldier who is made the world's most vile and despicable beast. The shows are narrated by the English actor Lawrence Olivier who is just reeking with hatred, and who shows everything German in a most derogatory way.

In the above mentioned episode Olivier states in precise words that the German soldier's activities in between battles consisted of murdering Russian civilians. Mountains of dead civilians are shown while Olivier makes his insults. This is much worse than any holocaust

movie, and more damaging to the German people. Then, the swine goes on to praise to heaven the Communist war effort, and the Russian leaders and soldiers are shown as absolute heroes.

"The World at War" series is a THAMES production, and I think it comes from England. England seems to be sparing no efforts to create anti-German sentiments throughout the whole world. The English anti-German shows are dripping with poison. Much worse than anything made in Hollywood. These are out-and-out attacks on the German people, the people in general.

It would be the height of all shame to know that German people financially support this outrage. And I am sure that many of them do, out of stupidity.

We can fight back, perhaps in a significant way. We must stop all financial support for Public TV from German people. your publication can be of tremendous help. Supporting Public TV is like spitting into the faces of our dead soldiers, our fathers, brothers, and sons.

Please print this letter.

Thanks,
J.A., New York State

* * * * *

Dear George:

Enclosed is check for a first class subscription as a birthday present for a friend. Your magazine does such a fine job of unraveling the mysteries of why life in the Western world seems to be sliding downhill at an ever increasing rate—and just who is back there pushing us!

Sincerely,
M.B., California

* * * * *

Dear George:

Enclosed is a small part of what—in toto—is our main deficiency—money, with which the enemy is loaded.

Imagine, we have all the money we need: I'll name just two it ems, and I'd be satisfied: A national TV network, and two, *The Liberty Bell Times* replacing the *New York Times*, with you as owner and editor.

The only idiots running around this country would be those that are deaf, dumb, and blind: all the others would soon experience a feeling most don't even remember—seeing the world as it really is—hearing truth, today, tomorrow, and always. Then, may God have mercy on all traitors.

Sincerely yours,
H.B., New York

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

A friend of mine, Mr. G.S., gave me some of your publications. I must say I am very surprized Happy to see that there are still people around here with guts to say the truth and to write about the real history, not about the "official versions" or lies of those certain circles.

I am very interested in receiving the *Liberty Bell* on a regular basis; I am enclosing a check for my subscription.

Please keep up the good work; I wish you and your whole family all the best.

Sincerely yours,
S.G., Florida

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz,

Sorry to hear about your, your son's, and your printing press troubles. About those medical problems, they just seem to be unavoidable, cropping up when they are least anticipated, especially accidents. Hope your son is healing rapidly and regaining use of his arm. We may not write or call every day, but we do think about you. And, of course, look forward to finding *Liberty Bell* in the Post, and then sitting down to devour it. Know that you have our trust and confidence and are behind you, willing to help you in any way we can. Especially in time of need. Find a small donation enclosed.

May 2 to 4 Hosted Bradley Smith of the I.H.R. here in Columbus. Smith had been invited to appear on a local morning Talk Show, as well as speak to interested listeners at a room he reserved at the Student Union building at Ohio State University (on the topic of Holocaust historical revisionism).

He was not to be given much opportunity to present his ideas: the TV station (WSYX), local ABC affiliate, initially cut Smith's appearance time from the whole half-hour to 14 minutes. A later "revision" to the schedule for IHR's media director came the morning of the show: a rebutting person would now share the show with Smith (or, actually two persons). One was regional director of the Anti-Defamation League (headquartered in Columbus), a Mr. Kachen, and a local Holocaust "survivor," The latter two, However, refused to appear on the air with Smith, but rather after Smith's presentation. This condition cut Bradley Smith's air time, finally, to about seven minutes.

The program was introduced by those famous U.S. (or was it British?) Army films made at Bergen-Belsen, or wherever.

Meanwhile, the energetic Mr. Kachen had been at work at Ohio State. Bradley Smith found himself addressing a crowd of students bearing placards with names of "survivors" He also was informed by O.S.U. police that phoned death threats had been received against him! At conclusion of the somewhat desultory event Smith got a police escort back to his hotel. The next day it was on to Indianapolis for another Talk-Show appearance, and a tentative engagement in Bloomington. No details on those appearances yet. A final note: Smith received a total print and electronic media black-out, Including the O.S.U. student newspaper!

As you probably suspect, I am of German descent; actually Austrian, second generation. Why do I bring this up? For some time I've thought about a sabbatical for myself—say to Austria or South Africa, or possibly Germany. Actually, Germany's status as an occupied country (and by that moist ugly entity, the U.S. Government and Army) makes me favor Austria over Germany. South Africa is pretty much an unknown quantity for me—although I know it is no "Heimat" for right-wing racialists.

I have actually made inquiries to the South African Consulate concerning immigration and, outside of acquiring immigrants with specific, narrow expertise, they do not seem to be interested in allowing otherwise healthy, educated Whites to immigrate to S.A. I guess they are having a little love affair with their 14 or so million niggers. That fact, too, gives me a little disquiet—how long before S.A. completely explodes, or just becomes unlivable for Whites.

I would appreciate your comments on my plan to emigrate, or at least take a sabbatical. I already have international experience, read German, etc., etc. One thing—the U.S.—grates on my nerves every single day—the beer, sports, and drugs escapism, the unquestioning acceptance of the Jew press, and entertainment/rock-and-roll culture. Yes, I know that I'll find elements of this same disease elsewhere, but maybe, just maybe (perhaps because I would be living in a foreign country), a little bit of the frustration and desperation would be canceled. I don't consider myself an escapist, but sometimes one has to get a little breathing room, or a little fresh air. Sometimes one just gets "stuck." I'm already regarded, by my father, my brother, and my cousins and in-laws, as a pariah—I really have nothing to stay for. And I'm not looking for some Shangri-La, or even a place where I can be openly politically active, but rather a place where White people follow healthier instincts than we do here.

Any advice you could give me concerning this plan, or these countries, or the names of persons who might help and guide me in realizing this plan, I would be most grateful for. Meanwhile, I remain your loyal supporter and admirer of your good and courageous works.

With utmost respect,
K.B., Ohio

[We'd appreciate hearing from fellow Aryans who may be of help to Mr. K.B. —Editor]

* * * * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

I was shocked at the speed with which you sent me the information I requested. I guess we really are an efficient race.

As I mentioned in my first letter, New York is the last place for a white man to get in touch with his identity. We are bombarded nightly with footage of Jew scum homosexuals, Ed Koch and his gang, and fat troublesome gorillas like Al Sharpton monopolizing our news. Couple that with the fact that if I or any one of my friends merely mentions the idea of White rights and White unity, we are relegated to the class of racist, Nazi-scum. I could think of a lot worse things that I could be called. Your literature quenched a deep seated thirst within my very soul.

Enclosed is my check for a first class subscription to your gutsy monthly *Liberty Bell*, the rest is for books and other material which I intend to distribute among many of my friends who have leanings towards our kind of thinking (and even to some who don't, I'd love to shake them up).

I don't know what it's like in West Virginia, but if it's one-thousandth as bad as it is here in New York, my hat goes off to you. May God grant you the courage and strength to help to perpetuate the dissemination of the insight that He has already given you.

Would you also please send me an additional 10-12 catalogs.

Heil Hitler!
Very truly yours,
W.E., New York

**Those Who *WILL NOT* Read Have
No Advantage Over Those Who
CANNOT Read!**

For a Sample Copy of our hard-hitting, fact-pact *Liberty Bell* send \$4.00 to Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

KEEP THE *LIBERTY BELL* RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY -- HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**