

**ABOUT THE AUTHOR:** Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

### SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

**On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition):** "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

**On Race:** "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

### *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

Order No. 1007-\$8.50  
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.  
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

## THE U.S. HOLOCAUST MUSEUM

by Ernst Zündel  
page 34

### ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

#### POSTSCRIPTS by Professor R.P. Oliver:

OBJECT LESSON, page 1  
Oberlin College, page 3  
Slavery, page 5  
The Apostates, page 13  
Honorable Christians, page 20.

MEMORIES  
by J.B. Campbell, page 25  
THE GOOD GUYS WORE BLACK  
by J.B. Campbell, page 41

FOLKISH OBSERVATIONS  
from N.S. Kindred, page 53

VOL. 20 - NO. 12

AUGUST 1993

## Voice Of Thinking Americans

## LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts can not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1991  
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

### ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY .....	\$ 5.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE-USA only .....	\$40.00
FIRST CLASS-USA .....	\$50.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries .....	\$60.00
AIR MAIL-Europe, South America .....	\$70.00
Middle East, Far East, South Africa .....	\$75.00
Sample Copy .....	\$ 6.50

### BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies .....	\$ 22.00
50 copies .....	\$ 90.00
100 copies .....	\$150.00
500 copies .....	\$600.00
1000 copies .....	\$900.00

### FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

## POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

### OBJECT LESSON



C-T/BruceP.Bishop

DWIGHT HOLLINS, assistant director of admissions at Oberlin College, poses at monument dedicated to the Underground Railroad on the college campus. Hollins says he has been denied promotions and pay raises because he is black.

Inspect the photograph above. Contemplate the visage of the being portrayed in it. And decide how you would feel if you encountered it on a lonely road and did not have a Colt .45 or equivalent in your pocket.

According to the *Chronicle-Telegraph* (Elyria, Ohio), 19 March 1993, the scowling and sinister countenance belongs to one Dwight Hollins, who is Assistant Director of Admissions at Oberlin College!

He is featured because he is the most noisy of three niggers<sup>1</sup> in the Office of Admissions who are yelping because they have not been given the promotions and emoluments they want. Their claims have been investigated by two faculty committees and pronounced moonshine, but they allege that they have been victims of discrimination, no doubt correctly, if we are here using the English language. Discrimination, although much decried by boobs who have been told that it is "un-American," is the faculty that enables an observer to distinguish between chocolate candy and excrement, between reality and illusion, between logic and unreason, between competence and ineptitude, between intelligence and stupidity, between civilized men and disguised savages.

Although the responsible administrators of the college have twice rejected the complaints and ignored the threats of the three niggers (and were so bold as to question Hollins' expense accounts!), doubtless with the approbation of all responsible members of the faculty, the trouble-makers' claims to what they want are endorsed by a Professor of English, who is probably a stellar member of the Modern Languages Association, of which the present status is described in *Liberty Bell*, March 1993, pp. 35-40.

It is not clear whether the howling of the niggers contributed to the resignation of Frederick Starr as President of Oberlin, but one notes with satisfaction that the Vice-President, Thomas C. Hayden, is not cowering before the spoiled pets of our Jewish government.

1. 'Nigger,' as I have pointed out before, is an etymologically correct word and convenient because it includes both Congoids and mulattos. It is not clear from the photograph whether or not Hollins is a mulatto. If he has a beard, that is evidence of some infusion of White (Aryan or Semitic) blood.

The obvious lesson to be drawn from the trouble at Oberlin is that it is sheer folly to admit niggers to positions above the janitorial in academic institutions. It is probable, however, that most of the savants on the faculty of Oberlin are too venal or stupid to perceive the obvious and demand that the administration clean house.

### Oberlin College

Fifty years ago, Oberlin enjoyed a certain distinction. It may have been the last American college of any consequence of which the President was a learned man and eminent scholar, instead of a professional promoter and bureaucratic chameleon. Its President, until his retirement in 1946, was Dr. Ernest H. Wilkins, a true scholar, whose definition of Humanism I have often quoted,<sup>2</sup> and author of a long series of learned and eminently judicious articles and books on the early Renaissance and especially Petrarch. We may be quite sure that the rot began after 1946.

Oberlin has a checkered history. Founded as an Institute in 1833, it soon attracted notice by admitting female students to its classes, but it was really moulded by the Reverend Charles Grandison Finney, who became Professor of Theology (his own revision of Calvinism, generally known as the "Oberlin Theology") in 1837, the year in which the first class of both males and females was graduated. He dominated the college, although he did not take the office of President to himself until 1851. He was notorious as an Abolitionist,<sup>3</sup> and at least connived at the criminal activity of the "Underground Railroad," on which Oberlin became a principal station and transfer-point.

2. "Humanism is a scholarly and initially reactive enthusiasm for Classic culture, accompanied by creative writing in Latin on Classic lines." I copy this definition from his excellent article on the Renaissance in *Italica*, XXVII (1950), p. 67.

3. I have read only a few excerpts from his voluminous output of theological drivel, so I cannot tell you whether he had the effrontery to claim that Abolitionism was Christian. I suspect, however, that he did.

The belligerent nigger shown in the photograph at the head of this article is posed beside a "monument" to the "Underground Railroad," which was a gang of amateur thieves engaged in smuggling stolen property through the United States to Canada.

It must be remembered that every piece of livestock stolen from a Southern owner by this gang of lawless marauders represented a capital investment of at least \$100,000 and often of \$600,000 in terms of the currency now in use. One or two such losses sufficed to ruin small proprietors and perhaps to reduce them to indigence, and were serious losses to even the largest planters, whose economy was strained by the social security they provided for their slaves, which made it necessary to support them when they became old. The gangsters carried out such malicious depredations in defiance of American law to assuage their festering envy of the supposed prosperity of all Southerners and their venomous hatred of the far superior culture of the upper classes. Of course, as such malefactors usually do, they talked of a "higher law" and "social justice" as a pretext to mask their crimes.

Oberlin College today has a faculty of 348 and about 2,900 persons are classified as students. I have no information about its academic standards or its student body, but I note that a certain Jacob Weisberg, one of the editors of the *New Republic*, wailed that the disgustingly polyphyletic students are not compelled to cuddle one another.

The Yid is quoted by the egregious Arthur Schlesinger in his *Disuniting of America* (p. 104) as having written: "Increasingly, Oberlin students think, act, study, and live apart. Asians [!] live in Asia House, Jews in 'J' House, Latinos [i.e., mestizos] in Spanish House, blacks in African-Heritage [!] House, foreign [sic] students in Third World House. Even the Lesbian, Gay [i.e., pervert], and Bisexual [!] Union has broken up into racial and gender factions. The result is separate worlds." From this it appears that Oberlin has become an anthropological zoo. There are,

no doubt, Americans at Oberlin, too, but we are not told whether those despised creatures, who were not worth mentioning, have a place to which they may retire to lick their wounds. What is interesting is that the other races are not so degenerate as our own and have retained their racial instincts, as is done by all viable species of mammalian life.

I learned from the *Chronicle-Telegraph* that the college is disfigured by a department of "black studies." This carbuncle is probably the usual focus of crypto-Communist agitation, designed to excite niggers to contempt for, and violence against, our race, and to inject into the minds of gullible White students a deadly feeling of inferiority and guilt. Such hokum is usually compounded of outright lies invented for the occasion (e.g., that the Ancient Egyptians were niggers) and cunning misrepresentation. Commonly, for example, ignorant students are assured that Othello was a nigger. (He was a Moor, i.e., a Semite or possibly a Semite with an admixture of Berber or similar blood.) One common trick takes advantage of the standard English idiom, "black man," which is said to designate a nigger. A "black man" in the standard English idiom is a White man with black hair and, sometimes, a somewhat "olive" complexion. In a Nordic population, black hair (usually evidence of a Mediterranean admixture, as in the blue-eyed, black-haired Irish) is most unusual and therefore a remarkable characteristic. The English idiom was used correctly until quite recently. It is, for example, used correctly in detective stories, a very popular form of fiction, necessarily exempt from literary affectation and archaism, e.g., Josephine Tey's *The Singing Sands* (1952) and Agatha Christie's *The Mirror Crack'd* (1962).

### Slavery

As everyone who has more than the feeblest smattering of anthropology well knows, slavery was a great and decisive advance in the evolution of mankind. It was comparable to, but far more fundamental than, the much-touted Industrial Revolution. It made it possible for individuals and tribes to produce more food and artefacts than they could have

obtained by their own unaided labor. The resulting surplus made it possible to trade with others for part of their different surplus, and that was the beginning of commerce.

It is impossible to determine or even conjecture when a victorious tribe first had the inspiration to enslave the vanquished rather than massacre them. The Cro-Magnon people, the first species that can properly be called human, evidently found Europe populated with Neanderthaler and exterminated them. There is no indication that they enslaved any of the sub-human creatures, who, it is possible, were too brutish to be enslaved as our race later enslaved the horses on whom it rode to victory and conquest. There is some evidence, however, that in the region of Palestine there was some interbreeding with Neanderthals, and intensive investigations in that area, now carried out largely under the direction of Jews, has produced dubiously dated and confusing evidence that the two species there coexisted for some time.<sup>4</sup> The most logical interpretation of those data, if they are valid, is that the higher species had enslaved the lower. That is possible, but, in the present state of the evidence, must be merely conjectural.

We can be certain of no more than that slavery was a well established institution in the earliest societies that attained a barbaric stability, and that it made possible the consequent emergence of civilization. It is therefore an institution that deserves respect and of which the propriety was never seriously questioned anywhere in the world before the Nineteenth Century in Europe.<sup>5</sup>

4. Summarized and perhaps distorted in an article by Ofer bar Yosef and Bernard Vandermeersch in the *Scientific American*, CCLXVIII, #4 (April 1933), pp. 94-100. A less tendentious account by Bruce Bower appeared in *Science News*, 8 June 1991, pp. 360-363. A very concise statement of the basic problem appeared in the same periodical, 22 May 1993, p. 326; from this you will see how exiguous and fragile are the available data.

5. Except for limitations on racial grounds. The Aryans' ancient laws of Manu in India flatly provided that (a) no Aryan could be a slave, and (b) no Aryan could engender offspring by a slave woman of another race (by sexual intercourse, he automatically emancipated her). Quite a few en-

Slavery, as I have said, was a prerequisite for civilization, and not necessarily an evil, even in modern terms. The slavery which is best known to us is that of Graeco-Roman Antiquity, in which certain fundamental distinctions are to be observed. The generic words for slaves were δοῦλος/δούλη, *servus/serva*. (There were many special words that denoted the way in which a slave had been obtained, e.g., ἀνδράποδον, δμῶς/δμωή, *mancipium*, *verna*.)

There were, however, two distinct classes of slaves. Domestic slaves, for which the generic terms were οἰκέτης/οἰκέτις, *famulus/ancilla*, generally had quite comfortable lives, and were only rarely exposed to abuse or misfortune. Normally, they enjoyed the social security for which most Americans are still eager to surrender what is left of the freedom which their forefathers won by great sacrifice. The *famuli* and *ancillae* were reckoned as part of the *familia* and in many households were part of the family in the meaning of the English word. Their marriages (*contubernia*) were respected. *Ancillae* who conceived by their masters and *famuli* who impregnated a mistress who did not abort the foetus were almost always emancipated so

lightened Greeks often argued that no Greek should ever have a Greek slave, but their rational rule was never enacted legally, although observed by some cultivated individuals. Roman law provided that no Roman could be a slave in Roman territory, but there were ways of evading that law. It is generally true that in Antiquity there was a fatal failure to consider the racial and national origins of slaves. The collapse and fall of the Roman Empire was largely the result of idiotic adherence to a formula that had been reasonable in the time of the kings, when slaves were captives of the same race taken in war and, on emancipation, became part of their owner's family, taking the family name and becoming Roman citizens. When Rome became prosperous and mighty, and was flooded with Oriental (chiefly Semitic) slaves, the maintenance of the old custom had disastrous consequences and hastened the extinction of the Romans as a nation. In the later Republic, it also became a device by which prosperous Jews could buy Roman citizenship. The slave trade then, as in later Europe, was almost entirely in the hands of Jews, who were glad fraudulently to claim that ambitious fellow Jews were slaves and sell them to a dishonest Roman, who, for a fee, emancipated them and thus made them Roman citizens as well as members of his disgraced family.

that the child would be born free. Slaves were permitted to earn small sums and have property of their own; many were eventually able to purchase their freedom.<sup>6</sup> Some became quite prosperous while they were slaves.<sup>7</sup> Humane owners so commonly emancipated their slaves by will that Augustus found it necessary to set limits to that custom. Slaves who had any professional competence or were given real responsibility were almost invariably emancipated by their owners and became *liberti/libertae*. The freedmen became members of their former owners' families, usually taking the owner's praenomen, always taking his gentile name, and adding a cognomen which was commonly their servile name (e.g., Cicero's secretary, Marcus Tullius Chrestus). And, of course, they and their descendants were Roman citizens.<sup>8</sup>

Domestic slaves were indispensable. In comparatively small households, they freed their owners for education, culture, social activity (including politics), and business, and even today the lack of domestic service is a great hardship to persons with

6. An interesting but far from unique example is provided by the inscription (*Corpus inscriptionum Latinarum*, Vol. XI, #5400) on the tomb of the Greek physician, Publius Decimius Eros Merula (the double cognomen may mean that he passed through the hands of two owners, one of whom renamed him, or, more probably, that he was a slave in a household in which more than one slave bore the extremely common name Eros; how he became a slave is not stated, nor is it stated how he acquired his professional skill). He bought his freedom for 50,000 sesterces and practiced as a clinical physician (i.e., one who made house calls), surgeon, and ophthalmologist. He bought for himself the municipal dignity of *Sevir*, contributed generously to public works (adorning the temple of Hercules with statues and paving the streets), and left to his heir the sum of 800,000 sesterces.

7. A slave could, for example, own a slave or even slaves of his own. There are quite a few examples. A slave could even make his own slave his *vicarius* and set him to performing the tasks set by his own owner!

8. A *libertus* or *liberta* legally had certain obligations to the owner who had liberated him or her, and the owner in turn had obligations to the slave he had liberated. This protected the freedman or freedwoman from the consequences of a sudden transition to a novel and radically different status. The children of a *libertus* were legally indistinguishable from other Roman citizens, and so were the children of a *liberta*, unless their father was a slave (that raised legal questions that exercised lawyers, but need not concern us.)

such interests. In large households, the numerous slaves permitted indulgence in great and even fabulous luxury, and they were often unreasonably multiplied for the ostentation that gratifies vanity, especially that of *parvenus*, such as Trimalchio.

In great contrast to domestic slaves were the slaves used in the mines (e.g., the publicly owned silver mines of Laurium, whence came much of the wealth of Athens), the quarries, the great agricultural plantations, and some similar quasi-industrial establishments. They often had to endure extreme hardships, and, barring some unlikely event, could look forward to release only by death. We may deplore their suffering, but we should remember that they made possible the progress and preservation of civilized nations.

It is true that slavery has serious disadvantages. In the Europe that emerged from the fall of the Roman Empire, slavery was largely replaced by serfdom, by which a peasant or herdsman was made a part of the land he cultivated or on which he pastured his owner's herds, so that virtual ownership of him passed with the title to those lands. What may also have had an effect was an evidently racial reluctance in Germanic peoples to own outright a human being, especially one of a race not markedly inferior, but it is hard to estimate the precise effect of that racial peculiarity, which is found in no other race. It was more congenial to employ free labor, but on the assumption that the employee's work belonged entirely to his employer.<sup>9</sup>

In European households domestic service was performed by free employees, who normally became family retainers. Slaves were rare and almost curiosities.<sup>10</sup> But especially after the colonization of the New World, hard labor was often performed by persons who were "indentured servants," free

9. "Liberals" who read John Locke usually yelp when they encounter Locke's natural assumption that everything that is made or harvested by servants belongs by natural right to their employers. If they ever read Locke's proposed constitution for an American colony (South Carolina), they probably swoon.

10. If you have read what is, with but one exception, the most famous of Dumas' many novels, you will remember the sensation that was created when the Count of Monte Christo brought into Nineteenth-Century

men and women by a legal technicality, but virtually enslaved for crime or debt for a definite (often large) number of years. They were commonly treated harshly, often cruelly, exploited to the limit of their strength, and not infrequently cheated of the freedom and remuneration that was promised them at the expiration of their term of servitude. Many were actually not indentured, but had been kidnapped in the British Isles by thugs and sold to slave-dealers. The appalling and sometimes heart-breaking record is summarized by Michael A. Hoffman II in an excellent booklet, *They Were White and They Were Slaves* (Dresden, New York; Wiswell Ruffin House, 1991).<sup>11</sup>

One shocking fact that you will learn from Mr. Hoffman is that the White "slaves" commonly fared worse than Black slaves. With the colonization of the Western Hemisphere and the consequent demand for labor, shrewd entrepreneurs, most commonly Jews, began to buy from African chieftains Congoid slaves for shipment to the New World for sale at a profit. The first shipment of such slaves was landed at Jamestown by Jews from Holland in 1619. The cost of maintaining "factories" in Africa and of shipping the merchandise made Negroes expensive. Such slaves, representing a very considerable capital investment, were anxiously cared for by all but the most feckless planters, some of whom perceived that it was much less costly to exploit their "indentured servants" to the limit, since the unfortunate Whites had been bought cheaply and would become worthless when their term of servitude expired. One deplors the

France a number of slaves, including the beautiful Haidee, whom he raised and educated as a daughter until, after the consummation of his vengeance, she became the mistress with whom he retired to a life of tranquil happiness somewhere in the East. — A few slaves, mostly Orientals, Congoids, or Indians, were brought into England before 1833, but they were curiosities. The Portuguese were the first and almost the only Europeans to make extensive use of slaves from Africa in Europe; the result was a mongrelization that rotted the nation.

11. The booklet does contain a little rhetorical exaggeration here and there. It must be remembered that many of the "indentured servants" were, as Wellington said of the soldiery with which he conquered Europe, "the scum of the earth."

absence of racial sense in the White masters of White slaves, which may even foreshadow the present imbecility of the many Americans who deplore "racism." When slavery was eventually confined to Negroes, that was a great and noteworthy progress, but seems to have owed little to Aryan conscience.

In Nineteenth-Century England the greedy capitalists of the Industrial Revolution were well aware that slavery was economically disadvantageous. They profited enormously by employing workmen who would be discharged when age or other infirmity made them less efficient in performing their appointed tasks. The capitalist thus escaped the slave owner's responsibility to provide for his slaves when they became old or infirm, to nurse them when they were sick, and to provide for their children while they were too young for serious work. The capitalists, who probably also resented the superior culture that has an agrarian basis, were therefore content with the agitation against slavery and sometimes, from vanity, subsidized it.<sup>12</sup>

The damning disadvantage of slavery is obvious when the slaves are of an inferior race.<sup>13</sup> Miscegenation, however

12. Some capitalists, such as Robert Owen, were shrewd enough to perceive that it would be profitable to win the loyalty of workmen by caring for them and their families, thus introducing what can be described as a kind of feudalism adapted to an industrial society, (How much of Owen's success at New Lanark was owed to his perception of the superiority of American "sea island" cotton is uncertain, but the prime factor must have been his policy of employment. His subsequent career as a crackpot social reformer and subsidizer of utopian colonies in the United States indicates that he was not intelligent enough to learn from his success at New Lanark.) Some British companies followed the precedent set by Owen, notably the Great Western Railway, which is best known for the engineering triumphs of Isambard Kingdom Brunel, but was a model corporation in other ways. See the nostalgic article by a former employee (a 'platelayer,' the equivalent of an American 'section hand') of the Great Western in *Spearhead*, December 1992, pp. 7-9.

13. When a child, I read in a treatise on slavery a chapter by a Protestant clergyman who argued that it was much better that young men should assuage their sexual urges on females of an obviously inferior and despicable species, rather than with prostitutes of the same race, who might make them cynical about women of their own class, or courtesans, with whom

deplored, is inevitable and, if unchecked, eventually catastrophic.<sup>14</sup>

Slavery, except for household service, was made economically and industrially disadvantageous by the introduction of machinery by the middle of the Nineteenth Century, and, of course, would be ruinous today. It remained the most efficient form of agricultural work in warm climates until after the attack on, and conquest of, the South, when field hands were replaced by "freed" niggers who were quickly reduced to virtual slavery by being encouraged, usually by Sheenies, to buy gewgaws and trinkets and thus contract debts they could never pay, while the landlords no longer had any responsibility for their welfare. In certain kinds of agriculture even today, mechanization is incomplete and inefficient.

Technology has drastically reduced the number of workmen required or even useful in modern society. That

inexperienced young men might even fall in love. I no longer recall correctly the title of the treatise and so am unable to cite it. The advice was obviously predicated on the assumption that the offspring of such bestial copulation would be slaves and never affect the stability and integrity of society. — So far as I know, the number of mulattos produced before the end of slavery has never been estimated. Undoubtedly, the incidence of miscegenation greatly increased thereafter. According to reliable estimates, the niggers now in the United States, considered as a whole, contain some 40% of White blood. Individuals, of course, differ widely, ranging from some pure-blooded Congoids to mongrels of various degrees. Mulattos, strictly speaking, are the result of miscegenation between a Congoid and a White, and our vocabulary goes no farther than 'quadroon' and 'octaroon.' One of the early issues of *Mankind Quarterly* dealt with the elaborate vocabulary in Spanish for designating other admixtures precisely (e.g., the offspring of mulatto by a Congoid, and in the next generation, that creature's offspring by a Congoid, a true mulatto, or a White). The resulting vocabulary is too cumbersome for use, and, in most cases, the true lineage would not be ascertainable anyway.

14. One can only marvel at the obtuseness of the Egyptian king (Sesostris III, i.q. Khakaure, c. 1887-1849 B.C.), who, in a memorable inscription, dilates on the racial inferiority of the Nubians whom he conquered and whose survivors he categorically excluded from Egypt, but also boasts that he carried off Nubian women to be slaves in Egyptian households.

fact is, ironically, being used to hasten the American people's headlong rush to the social security of serfdom and virtual slavery.

### The Apostates

The gang of Abolitionists, who eventually destroyed the American Republic, repudiated its Constitution, and genetically impoverished the nation, made no rational objection to slavery. On the contrary, they ranted pseudo-humanitarian bilge with consummate hypocrisy and mendacity.

No historian, so far as I know, has estimated the extent to which the Abolitionists were incited, financed, and impelled by Jews. What was our country was lousy with Sheenies from the very first. They had probably infiltrated the colonies even before a passel of them landed at New Amsterdam in 1654 and celebrated their arrival by trying to swindle the officers of the ship that had brought them. (The governor, Peter Stuyvesant, perceived the need to expel the noxious aliens, but he was overruled by the directors of the Dutch West Indies Company, into which wealthy Jews in Holland had bought heavily.) Once established in a new Canaan, the Yids faced their usual problem: "How can we set the stupid *goyim* to killing one another, so that we may loot their country and then destroy it?" No one can believe that the *hostes generis humani* overlooked so promising a means of ruining Americans as contrived agitation about the negroes who were abundant in one half of the country and rare in the other. But we need a systematic study of their activity.

The Abolitionists had, of course, a numerically large following of gullible and ignorant, illiterate or semi-literate, persons who knew no better, but their leaders fell into two distinct classes.

Some Abolitionists, belated spawn of the French Revolution, had a contempt for Christianity which they dissembled only to avoid alienating dupes. Noteworthy examples are William Lloyd Garrison, who founded the Anti-Slavery Society in 1833, a malevolent misfit and

professional agitator, animated by envy of his betters; Theodore Parker, the egomaniac conspirator, who professed the vapid deism of the Reverend William Ellery Channing, called "Unitarianism" and hypocritically disguised as not anti-Christian;<sup>15</sup> and John Brown, self-appointed President of the United States, a notorious murderer,<sup>16</sup> inspired by homicidal mania and an insatiable blood-thirst.

Even more repulsive were the rabble-rousing clergymen, necessarily men of some education at that time, who maliciously lied and claimed that slavery conflicted with the tenets of Christianity. As a matter of fact, Protestant Christianity, of which the doctrine is based on its scriptures (*sola Scriptura!*), necessarily sanctions and authorizes slavery. Of this, there is no possible doubt. Yet some of them were so brazen that they called a nigger "a man and a brother for whom Jesus died"!

The famous Jesus, the protagonist of the "New Testament," evinced no interest in any race but his own. All authority for the extension of his Jewish heresy to *goyim* is based on the collection of letters that were attributed to a Jew named Saul, alias Paul. And this Paul very emphatically laid down the law that slaves must obey their masters in all things. That is said explicitly in three passages in the letters, viz., the epistles (a) addressed to the Colossians, 3,22; (b) to the Ephesians, 6,5; and (c) to a certain Peter, I,2,15. In the King James version all three passages are dishonestly translated with the word 'servants,' thus misleading persons who do not refer to the original text. Why the translators deliberately deceived English readers with a mistranslation or, at best, a studied ambiguity is

15. On the Unitarians, see *Liberty Bell*, April 1985, pp. 15-20; November 1985, pp. 3-12.

16. For his bloody career, see the excellent work by Otto J. Scott, *The Secret Six* (New York, Times Books, 1979; reprinted by the Foundation for American Education, Columbia, South Carolina). The criminal conspiracy of which John Brown was the murderous agent was first described by J. C. Furnas in *The Road to Harpers Ferry* (New York, Sloane, 1959).

uncertain.<sup>17</sup> One possible reason is a wish to retain the erroneous translation in the earlier English versions, beginning with Wyclif (c. 1382). That merely raises the question why Wyclif was dishonest.<sup>18</sup> The Greek text is explicit and the meaning is indubitable. (a) and (b) agree in reading δοῦλοι, ὑπακούετε...τοῖς κυρίοις, and (b) even adds that the slaves should obey their lord as they would obey the Christ (ὡς τῷ Χριστῷ)! (c) has οἰκέται, probably because the author of that letter knew that only household slaves would receive commands directly from the master; slaves in mines or on large plantations would be given their orders by an overseer, who was usually also a slave.

Now δοῦλος means 'slave' and cannot mean anything else.<sup>19</sup> And the translators of the King James version knew it. In at least four places in the letters<sup>20</sup> they translated the word correctly as 'bond,' a noun not yet archaic in their time, which obviously meant 'slave' since it is contrasted to 'free.' Why they did not use 'slave' in these passages is not clear; by their time the word had certainly lost a racial connotation (it was derived from *Sclavus*, which designated a Slav, but by the end of the Sixteenth Century the word was being freely used for slaves of any race). The specific English word 'thrall' (Anglo-Saxon *þræl*, rarely *ðræl*; Norse *þræll*) may have seemed slightly archaic in the time of King James, but is the word that Wyclif could and should have used, had he not intended to deceive or mislead.

17. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, April 1985, p. 19, note 5.

18. Wyclif (also Wycliffe) translated from the Vulgate, which, however, correctly has in all three passages *servi*, which means 'slaves' and cannot mean anything else.

19. The word, of course, may be used metaphorically, as we say that a man is slave of his appetite or was enthralled by a woman who has fascinated him.

20. *Epp. ad Galat.*, 3,28; *ad Colos.*, 3,11; *ad Corin.*, I,12,13; *ad Ephes.*,

It is quite obvious, despite the dishonesty<sup>21</sup> of all early English translations of the "New Testament," including the one authorized by King James I, and of almost all later translations,<sup>22</sup> the authors of the letters attributed to Paul emphatically approved of slavery, since they enjoin upon all slaves a Christian duty to obey their owners in all things. But what if we jettison Paul and, with him, the entire foundation of Protestantism?

According to the stories collected in the "New Testament," the famous Jesus never explicitly commended slavery, but he took it for granted and never evinced the slightest disapproval of it. In both of the tales ascribed to Matthew and to a certain Marcus, Jesus drew a pointed contrast between the status of a slave (δούλος) and that of a hired workman (διάκονος), and throughout the stories he frequently refers to slaves, regarding their condition as only normal and unexceptionable. Consult any concordance to the "New Testament," s.v. δούλος.

For example, Jesus says (*Luc.*, 12,43) that fortunate is the slave (μακάριος ὁ δούλος) who has pleased his owner. As I have mentioned before, slaves in large households were often entrusted with great responsibility.<sup>23</sup> That was the basis of the parable in which Jesus tells us (*Matth.*, 25.15) that a master summoned three of the slaves who were his trusted assistants (τοὺς ἰδίους δούλους) and entrusted each of them

21. That the mistranslation was deliberate is shown by the *Oxford English Dictionary*, which could find no precedent for the incorrect use of that word; look s.v. 'servant,' §3.a. That educated men understood the trick is shown by the fact (§3.b) that 'servant' was used as an euphemism for 'slave' in the American colonies. Usage may have been affected by the "White slaves" so well described by Hoffman, *op. cit.* 'Servant' was gradually supplanted by 'negro,' the Spanish derivative of *niger*, which became a standard term for a Congoid slave.

22. If you wish to make a rapid check of the integrity of any translation, look up the passages I have cited above.

23. Roman law made it advisable to free slaves and employ them as freedmen before entrusting them with financial responsibility, but that was not true of Greek law generally or of the law in force in Palestine under any régime.

with a large sum of money to invest during his absence, evidently by making loans at a probably high rate of interest (τόκος). The two slaves who were not afraid to take risks and realized a profit of 100% were rewarded, not with emancipation, but with promotion to positions in which they would be the overseers of large bodies of slaves, probably on large plantations or mines that were the property of their owner.

In the first half of the Nineteenth Century, every graduate of a reputable college had learned to read Greek. There were, therefore, many educated men who, if they were interested in the question of slavery, could have consulted the text of the "New Testament" and immediately detected the translators' *supercherie*. So could the comparatively few women who were given an education generally reserved for males. What is more important, every clergyman in a respected denomination had *necessarily* read the text in Greek while he was in his cult's seminary and before his ordination. He, therefore, *must* have known the truth. It follows that all the holy men who whooped it up for the revolution knew that they were liars and scoundrels, consciously and malevolently imposing on the ignorance of their congregations. So much for the Protestants.

The Catholic Church recognized the authority of the Scriptures, relying on the Vulgate, rather than the Greek, but the low-grade Latin is equally explicit and yields the same indubitable meaning.<sup>24</sup> The Church, however, relies also on a tradition formed by the Fathers of the Church before the dissolution of the Roman Empire in 476. This is a far more intricate subject and one on which I cannot speak with authority.

I verily believe that no living man would have the truly incredible stamina and fortitude to read through the 387 thick volumes of Migne's *Patrologia*. I have looked into it, but I doubt that I have read as many as three hundred of the closely printed pages. I have read in critical editions most of

24. E.g., In *Ep. ad Colos.*, 3,22, the Vulgate reads *Servi, oboedite per omnia dominis*, and *Ep. ad Ephes.*, 6.5, *Servi, oboedite dominis...sicut Christo*.

the letters of Jerome, the major works of Tertullian, Augustine, Eusebius, Orosius, and Origin and parts of other Fathers, and I have read with particular attention the Christian polemicists who attacked the less absurd religions called (by a typical propagandist trick) paganism: Minucius Felix, Arnobius, Firmicius Maternus, et al. On this basis I can say that, to the best of my recollection, those writers always accepted slavery as normal and never gave the slightest hint of disapproval. With some exceptions, they mildly encourage a Christian owner to convert his own slaves, but they strongly deprecate any effort to convert to the new religion slaves owned by pagans: that might create social difficulties. They provide that no slave should be baptized unless he presents a signed permission from his owner. They never suggest that Christian slaves should be freed. They anxiously discuss whether or not a Christian owner should disturb the "idols" owned by his pagan slaves and kept in the house. And they are unanimous in repeating Paul's command that slaves diligently obey every wish of their masters. They occasionally urge owners not to treat their slaves harshly, but that is all. As a matter of fact, the Christian writers, representing a religion that is a revolt against nature, show less disapproval than pagan philosophers or even pagan lawyers, who noted that slavery is unnatural.<sup>25</sup>

For a concise conspectus of the attitude of the Church toward slavery in the time of the Fathers, see Robin Lane Fox, *Pagans and Christians* (London, Viking, 1986), pp. 295-300. I owe to him a reference to an article by Gustav Sergius that contains a datum which perfectly epitomizes the Christian attitude toward slavery in Roman times. The date is the beginning of the Fifth Century and therefore long after the orthodox Fathers had got their hands on the Imperial government and used it to persecute their Christian competitors, the "pagan" religions, and rational men.

25. E.g., *Digest*, I.5,4,1: *Servitus est constitutio iuris gentium qua quis dominio alieno contra naturam subicitur.*

On the island of Sardinia, notorious for its unhealthy climate, the life of slaves in the silver- and iron-mines must have been sheer Hell, equaling, if not surpassing, Dante's most lurid imagination. To prevent the wretches from successfully escaping, metal collars were riveted about their necks. Recent excavations on the island have uncovered a number of such collars, some of which are inscribed with the Christian Cross and a statement that the miserable creature wearing the collar was the property of Felix the Archdeacon.

So much for the authentic Christian attitude toward slavery.<sup>26</sup> The religion, both Catholic and Protestant, must be acquitted of complicity in the crimes of the Abolitionists.<sup>27</sup>

The many clergymen who were active Abolitionists were, as we have seen, ordained liars and swindlers, who preyed upon the confidence of their gullible congregations, but their motives were doubtless as varied as those of the miserable little shysters who in their pulpits today peddle the "social gospel" of the Marxian Reformation and bamboozle their unthinking parishoners with propaganda designed to destroy our race and civilization. Some probably express malice and a gnawing sense of their own inferiority; many grasp an opportunity to gain ascendancy over weak or feckless minds; others know what kind of drivel will win them largesse from

26. I should perhaps add that it is to the credit of the Catholic Church that very few of its members were deceived by the Abolitionists.

27. Except, perhaps, quite indirectly. The Pauline doctrine of "neither Jew nor gentile," the interpretation given to the passage that some forger tacked onto the gospel of Mark in the Seventh Century, and the denial of nature by pretending a fictitious "spiritual" equality may have contributed to the impairment and etiolation of our race's instincts. (That may have contributed also to the odd emphasis on territorial sovereignty in the modern languages. In English, King James was King of England, but in Latin he was *Rex Anglorum*.) To this must be added the eventual effect, which did not become calamitous until the Eighteenth Century, of a religion that exalted the poor, boorish, and ignorant and damned the prosperous, cultured, and learned—a fantastic subversion of civilization and an hallucinatory denial of nature, that reaches its acme in the poisonous promise that the "last shall be first." For the effect of that lure on niggers, see *Liberty Bell*, June 1993, pp. 10 ff.

our open or disguised enemies. They are a contemptible lot, as were their predecessors in revolutionary agitation in the first part of the Nineteenth Century.

The dishonest clergymen who served the Abolitionists were not Christians. They were, as the Reverend Lewis F. de Boer observed, "apostates from Christianity."<sup>28</sup>

### Honorable Christians

There were, of course, many honest clergymen who told the truth, and they deserve to be remembered to the honor of their religion. Some of them published books in an effort to arrest the baleful revolution, and I have some of their books at hand. To survey them, however, would add inordinately to the length of this article, and I reserve the subject for adequate treatment in the future, when I can outline each writer's career and indicate the extent to which he supplemented theology with economic and philosophic considerations.

There are honest clergymen even today. *Christian News*, a weekly newspaper that I have often commended in these pages, published, 19 October 1992, a long article by Al Benson, Jr., refuting rant by a man named Jim Stafford in a propaganda sheet called *Christian History*.<sup>29</sup>

Mr. Benson's fine article emphasizes four principal facts, viz.:

(1) "No matter what you may think of slavery, you cannot make a case for its being a sin from the Scriptures." If challenged, he would, of course, adduce the proof that I have given above.

(2) While there were "misguided [i.e., ignorant] Christians" among the Abolitionists, the leaders of the gang

28. See *Liberty Bell*, April 1985, pp. 15-20.

29. Stafford was up to the old trick of using sophistry and rhetoric to benumb American minds with a spurious sense of guilt for "the terrible sin" of negro slavery.

were not Christians, but belated offshoots of the French Revolution.

(3) Herbert Aptheker, the Sheeny who was one of the cleverest as well as most vicious of our domestic enemies, wrote a book, *Abolitionism—a Revolutionary Movement* (New York, Macmillan, 1987), in which he praised the vicious creatures as proto-Communists. As Benson remarks, "It takes one to know one."<sup>30</sup>

(4) Mr. Benson particularly calls attention to a verbal trick, comparable to the use of "Civil War" to describe the flagrant invasion and looting of the Southern States after the American Constitution was repudiated and annulled by the ignorant or cowed majority in the Northern States. After their bloody victory, the Abolitionist gang was called "radical Republicans," to conceal the fact that the criminal agitators became criminal governors.

This is a crucial point that your course in American History concealed from you. The "Republicans" who destroyed the Republic were the old Abolitionists under a new name. They were a motley lot, but they acted in unison. There were many hate-crazed fanatics, like Julia Ward Howe,<sup>31</sup> but the effective members of the conspiracy were misshapen degenerates like Thaddeus Stevens,<sup>32</sup> vicious opportunists, like Edwin Stanton (who managed the assassination of Lincoln), and oily scoundrels, like the sanctimonious Salmon P. Chase.

30. You will remember that it was Aptheker who, in a moment of candor, disclosed the basic Judaeo-Communist technique for destroying a nation: find large groups (e.g., laborers in a particular occupation, women, niggers, perverts) that can be made to believe that they are victims of society and can profit by subversive and illegal agitation to extort special "rights" from that society. In this way a nation can be shivered into many reciprocally antagonistic groups and easily enslaved by its "liberators."

31. On whom, see John Synon in *Liberty Bell*, January 1985, pp. 53-55.

32. Some facts about this depraved creature are given by Allan Callahan in *Liberty Bell*, August 1986, pp. 27-31.

Lincoln was a politician, which, in a democracy, means that he was dishonest.<sup>33</sup> He knew that he had been "bought and sold six times" at the convention at which he was nominated, and when he went to Washington, he knew that his job was to get the war and killing started and then orate about saving the Union he had just destroyed.<sup>34</sup> He undoubtedly participated in what may have been the first plan for starting the war, the scheme of perfidious deception which, when it could not be kept secret, virtually forced the citizens of Charleston to reduce Fort Sumter before it became a base for a treacherous bombardment and attack on their city.

Lincoln, however, was not entirely without principle. It seems certain that he had a Jeffersonian perception that there was no place for niggers in an American country and hoped to see them exported to Africa. He began this work by having several thousand transported to Haiti, since shipment to Africa was too expensive while his war against the South was in progress, and he had a provision for repatriation of niggers incorporated in his (illegal) "Emancipation Proclamation." His taskmasters doubtless indulged him in this project, sure that they could eliminate him as soon as he had done the work for which they had selected him.

After they had eliminated their stooge, they controlled the government in Washington and looted it while appeasing their organic hatred of civilization by afflicting further the civilized population of the vanquished Southern states.

Needless to say, they repudiated and tore up the Constitution that had been adopted by the federated states in 1789. That was obvious in 1865, but, oddly enough, the first Justice of the Supreme Court to state the truth publicly was

33. For a succinct appraisal of Lincoln as a politician, see the excellent article by Sam G. Dickson, "Shattering the Icon of Abraham Lincoln," in the *Journal of Historical Review*, Fall 1986, pp. 319-344.

34. Destruction of the Constitutional union was an objective of the Abolitionists from the very first, when they agitated for secession of the Northern states.

a nigger named Marshall. It is true that pieces of the old Constitution were pasted together and the boobs were told that it was still in effect. And the utter absurdity of what is called "democracy" is demonstrated by the fact that the boobs believed it, even after the "Republican" gang had demonstrated its character as a lawless dictatorship by a brazen and blatant fraud, called the "Fourteenth Amendment" and accepted by the boobs as such, although it had never been ratified according to the provisions of the Constitution which, they were told, was still in effect. The "Fourteenth Amendment" was ratified only by bayonets, although a member of the gang, a scoundrel named William Seward, tried to cover up that fact with an outrageous lie. For the details, see the most important article ever published in the old *U.S. News and World Report*, David Lawrence's "The Worst Scandal in Our History," in the issue for 26 January 1970. The boobs, as you would expect, paid no attention, but the article deserves to be reprinted today.<sup>35</sup>

35. It is worth noting, by the way, that the "Sixteenth Amendment" (the White Slave Act) was never legally ratified. That was shown by the diligent research of Bill Benson and M. J. Beckman, reported in the two small-quarto volumes of their book, *The Law that Never Was: the Fraud of the 16th Amendment and the Personal Income Tax* (South Holland, Illinois; Constitutional Research Association, 1985-1986), a massive work on which I hope to comment soon. Mr. Benson examined the original records in Washington and in the capital of every state, and very often found evidence that the iniquitous legislation had been imposed on the unsuspecting public instead of being legally ratified. This means, of course, that when you surrender part of your income to the slave-masters of Infernal Revenue, your act is legally indistinguishable from surrendering your billfold to a thug at the point of a gun, although the Jews' courts will pretend otherwise and punish you (as they punished Mr. Benson) for noticing the illegality of the exactions. The imposition of the fraudulent "amendment" in 1913 (in preparation for the suicidal conflict in Europe called the First World War, which was scheduled to begin in the following year) was the first step on the road to total slavery, when, as inaugurated and exemplified by the recent and atrocious massacre in Waco, Texas, by the two small armies of professional terrorists and murderers that are maintained at the expense of the enslaved tax-payers, our rulers will at last discard all pretense and rule, as they did for so long in Russia, by sheer terror.

It would require a small volume to enumerate the depredations of the criminals in Washington and their dupes and allies throughout the country. Using the spurious drivel about "preserving the Union" and "Christian duty," they acquired control of the public schools, and generations of American children were mentally crippled by being made to believe that it was a grand and glorious thing to get a million Aryans killed for the comfort of niggers.

If any one crime deserves special mention here, it is the preparation for the Federal Reserve swindle that has so greatly contributed to the liquidation of the American nation. The looters, headed by the slimy Salmon P. Chase, who defaced our coinage with the derisive "In God We Trust," did more than burden the nation with an enormous debt on which the boobs who fought to free niggers had to work, in partial slavery, to pay interest to the Rothschilds and their accomplices. The "Republicans" sabotaged the nation's economy by getting control of the banking system ("national banks") and introducing the economic absurdity of a currency based on debt.<sup>36</sup>

In the felonious Abolitionist scum of the 1830s we may see the inception of the lethal disease that has brought us to our doom, so that we can now look forward only to the proximate future, when the territory that our Aryan ancestors won by blood and toil will be occupied by a half a billion—or a billion—diseased and mindless mongrels, who will swarm, like rats, over the ruins of civilization and the graves of our race.

36. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, October 1992, pp. 17-24.

**PLEASE REMEMBER:**

**Your subscription to *Liberty Bell*, your book orders, and your regular monetary contributions are our lifeblood. Help us keep *Liberty Bell* ringing and proclaiming the truth. Your continued support is needed and will be greatly appreciated!**

---

---

## MEMORIES

by  
*J.B. Campbell*

"Save Russia—Kill The Jews!" This, the slogan of the Russian nationalistic movement known as Pamyat, or "Memories," refers to memories of Russia before 1917, before hundreds of Jews imported from New York and elsewhere seized power and then destroyed everything. (An official of Pamyat was recently tried for "hate crimes" and sent to hard labor for several years. Shortly after beginning his sentence the unfortunate man was murdered. Nationalists must never surrender to the police.)

The theme of this correspondence is of course the development of American nationalistic feelings and their logical consequences. It would be an affectation, however, to ignore the events in the Soviet Union especially if we can draw lessons from them. We will also find that events in the Soviet Union are made in America, so it is our responsibility to understand them and protect ourselves from their consequences.

Communism is collapsing because many Jews are getting out of it. It is necessary for them to escape the inevitable reaction to their bloodsucking depredations in the captive nations. The simple truth is that the Jews of Russia have sucked their hosts dry and must move on to a more plentiful supply of blood. They intend to move here and latch onto our veins directly. American taxpayers are expected to provide a life support system for the millions of victims of Judaism in Russia and her satellites. There is no question of our doing this; we are only allowed to wonder which gang of "former communists" will be handling the goods. For example, Boris N. Yeltsin is currently being hailed as a "populist hero" for his performance during the very strange attempted-coup in August. Old habits die hard, however, and Yeltsin embarrassed his supporters in September by threatening to "redefine the borders" of any republic which would declare independence from the Kremlin, which he swears he does not intend to occupy.

By far the most revealing and disturbing episode at this point is the interview conducted by the Jew, Ted Koppel, with an unreconstructed Communist named Col. Altnis (a member of the moribund Congress of People's Deputies) along with an American Jew named Allen Weinstein, who runs something called the "Center for Democracy" out of his office in Washington, D.C. (It is of interest that Weinstein has also run something he calls the "Center for Constitutional Studies" out of the same office. Unbelievably, this Jew, obviously an agent of the Money Power, is hailed as "the Jewish James Madison" and has advised other governments on the proper ways to emerge into democracy. The constitutions he has designed for them closely resemble the United Nations charter and contain the same loopholes for banker-predators.) Koppel's viewers were treated to the extraordinary sight of desperate "former Communists" in the Congress of People's Deputies calling Weinstein long-distance for

minute-by-minute advice on how to transform the Communist Party into the Democrat Party and still stay in the saddle. The very next day the Deputies disbanded their Congress and the USSR is now the CSR, or, Confederation of Sovereign Republics, at least for a while. Imagine, though, the American Jew calmly laying down the law not only to his cowardly sycophants in the Congress but also to the rather non-plussed Col. Altsnis. Even Koppel was taken aback at Weinstein's staggering aplomb and asked Col. Altsnis if he resented Weinstein's patronizing and meddling? The Communist mumbled that he welcomed all advice, etc, etc, which meant that there was absolutely nothing he could do about it. Weinstein was good enough to reassure Altsnis that there would be plenty for him to do in the new democracy and the Communist blinked hopefully.

Can you believe it? Capitalist Jews take over as Communist Jews take off! Jewish domination of Russia & Co. continues!

Here is the situation, then: Most of the Jewish party members have escaped their sinking ship, leaving their Gentile patsies holding the bag. These Jews have arrived or will soon be arriving here after layovers in Europe or Israel. Many of us harbored the cheery thought that Russia & Co. minus the Jews equals nationalism and better lives for White people in those regions. Of course, we didn't want their Jews wandering over here to set up shop and replicate the Jewish virus here, in our already weakened state of health and mind. No, what we really hoped was that the Pamyat men would "save Russia—kill the Jews." That was too much to expect from men who've been under the Jewish spell for seventy-four years, and now we've got them here, like African killer bees, with more on the way. Moreover, an American Jew is directing the reconstruction of the "sovereign republics." Another destruction and reconstruction. Sound familiar?

What has been the purpose, really, of the world Communist conspiracy which exploded in our faces during World War I? Certain anti-communist groups such as Liberty Lobby and the John Birch Society have shown that Communism is a weapon of the super-rich rather than a workers' union as it purports to be (the hammer and sickle supposedly representing "labor"), but what has been the idea behind this weapon? There is no question that American capitalists have always supported Communist parties in every country with huge cash payments, free technology and arranging tax subsidies, loan guarantees and credits. Still, that doesn't answer the question of why capitalists would have anything to do with, let alone promote, Communism. The answer is really very simple even if it is breathtaking in its monstrousness. What is Communism, after all? It is the policy of *deliberate failure*. It hasn't taken seventy-four years to figure that out; the Bolsheviks' experiment had failed by 1919 and Jacob Schiff had to send 20 million dollars to keep his gangsters in power. One of the Rothschild conspirators had even written a book in 1911 which admitted that "Socialism as dreamed of by Karl Marx" would destroy initiative and progress (*Philip Dru: Administrator*, by E. Mandel House). So it

was known in advance that putting Communists in charge of a country would arrest all future development and probably wreck all past development. Again, why did they want this?

The American capitalists wanted this so as to prevent the possibility of competition. Their first victim was the Confederacy of eleven Southern States which were provoked into seceding from the United States in 1860-61. Jefferson Davis was nominally the president of the CSA but he was controlled by the Rothschild agent, Judah Philip Benjamin, who was quite naturally a Jew. The vast wealth and potential competition of the South were stolen and stunted, respectively, forever by the Northern capitalists in their experiment in Destruction and Reconstruction of the Southern States. Germany and Austria-Hungary were their next victims. The Talmud commands that "The best of the Gentiles should be killed" and the natural superiority of the Germanic peoples placed them in this dangerous category. The true purpose of a war often cannot be detected until the terms of the treaty are announced. The Versailles Treaty revealed that some thirty-seven million men were killed or wounded so that Germany would never be competitive again, unless by some miracle the Germanic peoples might one day rise up and say "No!" to the stunningly hateful specifications of the *diktat*. Between 1914 and 1918, however, in the midst of the Great Tragedy, another tragedy occurred. The sick dream of the Communists became a reality when the Bolsheviks seized power in the fall of 1917 following the most bizarre joint effort in history. The German army arranged for Mr. V. I. Ulyanov (Lenin) to travel with a hundred or so of his Jewish thugs from Zurich to St. Petersburg on the condition that if he were successful in seizing power from Kerensky he would arrange a peace treaty between Russia and Germany and stop the bloodletting on that front. Of course, the German army (and people) would pay heavily for this miscalculation (and soon).

At the very same time, the Anglo-American Jewish capitalists arranged for Mr. L. D. Bronstein (Trotsky) and hundreds of his Jewish thugs to travel from New York to St. Petersburg to meet and combine forces with Lenin. This was just after Wilson's declaration of war against Germany! After a few setbacks, Trotsky/Lenin seized power and plunged Russia into a new Dark Age of terror, mass death, slavery and perpetual failure, as specified by their capitalist sponsors. Russia and her unfortunate satellites would never compete with America! Russia, as we know, possesses untold mineral wealth but so did America and the Wall Street manipulators wished to exploit America's resources first, controlling the price structure without pressure from Russian competitors to lower those prices. John D. Rockefeller, remember, the Rothschild oil agent in America, had a devil of a time trying to support oil prices by declaring "shortages" from year to year, only to lose control of pricing with the accursed blowout of the wildcat "Spindletop" well in East Texas. The last thing he and his bosses wanted was a rash of discoveries in Russia! So they sent in their death squads which would not only prevent all such development by murder and expropriation but as an added bonus would turn that potential powerhouse into a vast "welfare state," totally at the mercy of Wall Street for food, medicine and technology, paid for by U.S. taxpayers. That's the

way it's been now for seventy-four years and probably will be for as long as our money holds out, unless we get rid off the policymakers.

Trotsky/Lenin repaid Germany for her help by sending Jewish terrorists to seize power in the chaos of the war's aftermath. Over a hundred thousand Germans were killed in this terror war before the treacherous Jews were finally caught and executed by the Freikorps, a band of patriots from the German army who were passionately determined that their country would not become "the laughing stock of the world" by caving in to a few Jews. But what damage a few Jews managed to do: the combination of capitalists (reparations payments thereto) and Communists (destabilization through terror) kept the mighty German industries practically shut down for many years and her people destitute and demoralized. America's primary competitor was in liquidation.

Pamyat, the far-right party, is suing the *Jewish Gazette*, Russia's largest Jewish newspaper, for 20 million rubles, which by the time it is paid, if the judgment goes against the Jewish publication, may hardly amount to more than a couple of dollars, since Russia's galloping inflation continues to gallop. *The Jewish Gazette*, say Pamyat officials, called their organization "anti-Semitic"—fighting words almost anywhere and particularly so in contemporary Russia, where the Jews hope to become as untouchable as they are in the West. Pamyat's gravest sin, according to the Chosen, was serializing the *Protocols*.

The trial began with a bang as 48 black-shirted, black-trousered Pamyat troops, hogging most of the seats, chorused, "Save Russia, Kill the Jews."

From "Elsewhere," *Instauration* (June 1993), P.O. Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

war which would last for the entire 20th Century. This war would accomplish several purposes: As mentioned, Communist dictatorships would deprive all the victim peoples the use of their own resources, thus securing the hegemony of the Wall Street capitalists; the victim peoples would become consumers-only, dependent on the good will of ignorant American taxpayers; and hundreds of Gentiles would be exterminated in Communist famines, Communist gulags, Communist purges and vast, internecine fighting in the name of democracy.

Once the American bankers, all of them agents of the Rothschilds of

While the potential competition of Russia (with her limitless raw materials) and the actual competition of Germany were being dismantled, plans for an even more catastrophic destruction were being formulated by the new "Council on Foreign Relations" in New York. More competitors were to be wiped out: Japan, China, France and the British Empire. This last may sound wrong in light of the Anglo-American alliance, but wait...

Our strange and contrived war with Spain in 1898 had given us a strategic foothold in the Philippines, as had England's strange, contrived and very cruel war against the Boers a year later given them a secure and strategic foothold over the Cape of Good Hope, for the Boers were natural allies of the Europeans and had to be neutralized. (The British originated the inhuman practice of concentration camps for Boer women and children in an unsuccessful effort to force their husbands and fathers to surrender—over 20,000 perished.) Now, with secure refueling stations the Anglo-Americans were ready for a world

France, Germany and England, had extracted every possible thing of value from the German people, nationalistic reaction was slowly allowed to develop. Adolf Hitler enunciated the outrage of the Germanic peoples. The emergence of such a man was fortuitous for the conspirators; they needed a dynamic opponent to make the second half of their world war convincing after the insane and discredited bloodletting of 1914-18. Hitler received support from the Rothschild banks and investment houses in America and Europe, right through the war, and he was without question an unwitting pawn of the Jewish Money Power. This was not to Hitler's discredit for his tiny, impoverished party had to accept support under the crushing, communist-dominated circumstances in Germany, and it was never his intention to fight America or England, or even France. His intention was to destroy Communism and if he had to take the capitalists' money to get going, well—too bad. What choice did he have? Once Hitler came to power the Jews turned on him and organized an international boycott on German trade. This was led by the New York criminal lawyer, Samuel J. Untermayer, who ran something called the World Jewish Economic Federation. This boycott was an act of war against Germany by International Jewry, in case anyone should ever wonder why certain Jews were eventually treated as enemy aliens for deportation, but in April 1933 Germany responded by merely declaring April Fools' Day to be a day of protest during which no one must buy from Jews. Few Germans paid any attention to this counter-boycott.

Those provocateurs who gave support to Hitler and his party became extremely alarmed by 1936 when it became apparent that the German people were swiftly rising from the ashes of the Versailles Dictate into a state of productivity and dignity as if they were totally unaware of the crushing depression into which the rest of the world had been pushed. Germany issued its own debt-free money (the same sort that Lincoln and Kennedy issued months before they were shot) and within three years the German people emerged from the double hell of the general world depression and the Versailles Rip-off. The developing prosperity of his people based on debt-free currency marked Hitler as an enemy of the "civilized" world, according to the usury-parasites who ran that world. The man the parasites chose to represent them against Hitler was Winston Churchill.

Those who doubt that the World Wars of this century were Jewish operations must read David Irving's *Churchill's War*. In it we find a Winston Churchill quite different from the popular, i.e., Jewish, version. By 1936 he was being groomed by a combination of three Jewish groups, the Jewish Defence Fund, the Board of Deputies of British Jews and the American Jewish Committee. Although he had been out of office for ten years and was heavily in debt, a Czech Jew named Henry Strakosch bought his debts in September, 1938. "Sir Henry" was the chairman of a South African gold mining syndicate. The Jews owned Churchill and he was obliged to do what they said, which was to make a war against Germany. In fact, General Leonard Wood testified be-

fore Congress in 1941 that Churchill had told him in 1936, "Germany is getting too strong again. We shall have to smash her in another war!" The Jews ordered him to loot the British treasury of every last ounce of gold before they would allow the impoverished American taxpayers to begin to send billions of dollars worth of weapons and other supplies. When Britain's gold ran out, Franklin D. Roosevelt sent a battleship to Capetown, South Africa to remove another fifty million pounds (sterling) in gold. Satisfied that Britain was well and truly bankrupt, the Jews began the bankrupting of America with "Lend-Lease." By "the Jews," of course, I refer to the Sanhedrin, or the Money Power, which is ultimately the Rothschild Group, as far as we know. Their agents, the ones who provided the strong-arm tactics, were Bernard Baruch (who'd made a personal fortune of 200 million dollars with his government job during WWI), Felix Frankfurter (Supreme Court justice and head of the American Jewish Committee), and Sir Robert Waley-Cohen (chairman of British Shell Oil Company). All money figures should be multiplied by ten to get today's equivalent. Interestingly, each of the above-named Jews was a Freemason of high rank, as were Churchill and Roosevelt (and Truman). Contrary to his oft-quoted lie, Winston Churchill did become His Majesty's first minister to preside over the liquidation of the British Empire!

Regarding Japan, the *Los Angeles Times* reported in November, 1941 that America's chief trading partner was Japan. Wall Street could very well see Japan as a deadly competitor but even more dangerous than that was Japan's certain hostility to a Communist dictatorship being established in China. For those two reasons, Japan had to undergo Destruction and Reconstruction. MacArthur and Nimitz were ordered to destroy Japan. MacArthur, a Freemason of high rank, stayed on for five years as overlord of the Reconstruction. Meanwhile, over in China, George Marshall ordered Chiang Kai-shek to accept Mao Tse-tung's Communists in a coalition government. Chiang refused and Marshall ordered all supplies and ammunition to our Chinese allies discontinued. The Communists were given the tons of captured Japanese weapons and the anti-communists were driven from the mainland to a little island known as Formosa, or Taiwan. Thus America again imposed deliberate failure and mass death on a potential competitor.

But what about Japan? Didn't America allow her to become a deadly competitor? Well, not exactly, as it turned out. The reader will recall, several years ago, the announcement that Rockefeller Center was sold to Mitsubishi Holdings. Within a week the *Spotlight* reported that Mitsubishi Holdings was bought up by Rockefeller Brothers in the early aftermath of World War II, along with many other Japanese and German industrials. SONY, we are now told, stands for Standard Oil of New York.

China, however, was a different story. Perhaps the reader cannot picture primitive Red China as a serious competitor. But consider tiny Hong Kong, the center of the truly-free market. Consider tiny Taiwan, that barren, rocky refuge of Chiang Kai-shek in 1949. By the 1970s Taiwan had risen to Number Four

GNP in the world! Can we now picture the potential GNP of a billion—or two billion—of the same people under the same philosophy? Our Wall Street capitalists could and that's why they installed Mao Tse-tung and Chou En-lai in a Communist dictatorship which went on to slaughter the entire Chinese middle and upper classes and de-brain the rest which ensured that China would never threaten America's control of prices. These two agents of the Sanhedrin produced the greatest pool of consumer/slaves imaginable. Many of our most respected corporations are exploiting those slaves under the aegis of the Pacific Basin Institute. American capitalists have always supported Communism because it was good for business. Most of us just couldn't see how good.

America destroyed the French Empire, too. It has been said for many years that Vietnam controls vast oil reserves and that those reserves were the reason for the Vietnam War. I'll buy that but let's recall that Vietnam used to be called French Indochina. Step One was to take Indochina away from the French and give the northern half to Ho Chi Minh, an agent of OSS, in 1945. Step Two was to fight like hell for the southern half and then give it to Ho Chi Minh in 1975. Step Three was for Ho Chi Minh, who used to turn in his OSS reports to the Texaco office in Hanoi, to prevent any North Sea-type discoveries in or offshore of his new kingdom—discoveries which would threaten the always-precarious price structure.

France had tremendous influence in the Middle East, too, until America destabilized that region by foisting a vicious bunch of Polish and Russian Jews on the hapless Palestinians in 1948. The oil companies created the Council on Foreign Relations, their own ministry of subversion, in 1921. The CFR agents engineered World War II and its "New World Order," known as the United Nations. The first act of this peacekeeping body was to wage war on the Palestinians and re-name their country "Israel" and deny them their self-determination. We now had perpetual war for perpetual profit. We also had a bunch of outraged but impotent Arabs who could only, in their terrible frustration, nationalize their oil wells. Bingo! France was quickly aced out of the Middle East and North Africa and the radicalized Arabs were driven toward the Soviet Union, since we were responsible for Israel. The Soviets didn't know how to drill oil wells or do anything else to help the Arabs and the latter were inexorably forced to toe the American line to get their reserves exploited. The American line was: control production, keep prices up and run all transactions through American banks. This was the purpose of foisting the bloodthirsty Khazar Jews on Palestine—Jews who had no roots there. Our driving the desperate Arabs toward the Soviets justified our support of "Israel," which we billed as a "democracy."

Another service to their oil masters performed by the Israelis has been the severing of all major oil pipelines in the region. This has given full control of pricing to the oil companies rather than to the Arabs who actually own the oil. Some pipelines took oil directly to Europe but now it must be shipped and the oil companies own the ships, or lease them under contract. We can remember

Saddam Hussein offering oil at very low prices, earlier this year, to Third World countries who could sail their tankers up the Persian Gulf and load it. Finally he offered it free to anyone who could come and get it. American warships, acting for the oil companies, blockaded the Iraqi outlet and kept the oil price at the desired level.

America foisted the Israeli dictatorship on the Palestinians deliberately to destabilize the oil-rich Middle East and prevent Islamic cohesion. American oil companies—the same companies which had achieved the Israeli takeover through their agents in the CFR and United Nations—were gratefully permitted to exploit Arab oil, royalties from which went to purchase vast amounts of hideously expensive American armaments to protect Arabs from Zionist fanatics who gloated about a Greater Israel. Arab kings, princes and sheiks were slowly corrupted until they are now shown to be financial supporters of the Zionist fanatics. Arab opposition to U.S. domination of the region has evaporated in their realization that our country is still willing to drop megatons of high explosives on any country which might momentarily believe in the myth of its own sovereignty. If in fact we have a president who is a co-owner of Pennzoil (which means Texaco, too) and a partner with Saddam Hussein in a ten billion dollar kickback scheme, as discovered in Chicago court records by Sherman Skolnick and reported in the *Spotlight*, then we have another strong reason why Israel will receive ten billion dollars more of our tax money. Israel has been doing the dirty work of the oil companies since 1948, to the great profit of the latter, and the tiny nation of parasites is ever-ready to carry out its blackmail against the most visible member of the oil establishment, George Bush.

Finally, the East European countries were also potential industrial powerhouses which were deliberately crippled by American (CFR) policy at Yalta and Potsdam in 1945, policy which condemned those peoples to the horrors of Communism for forty-five years. I fear that if we subject two generations of a country to Communism the effects may be permanent, regardless of an inevitable “freedom movement” forty-five years later. So, let’s not be fooled by the long-overdue collapse of the Soviet Communists into thinking that things are going to get better here. We still have a president who intended to merge his repressive government with the Soviet dictatorship in a New World Order, the same president who condemned the freedom struggles in Ukraine and the Balkans just a few weeks ago. We still have a Mulatto chairman of the joint chiefs (who’s fluent in Yiddish, by the way) who recently met with his Soviet counterpart in Moscow and claimed a “partnership” with the Communists. Our president still supports the Communist regime in China; he hastily and secretly dispatched another member of the CFR to reassure the Chinese butchers of his support for them right after their atrocities in Tienamen Square. In other words, our country is still run by a small gang of pro-Communists on behalf of their masters in the Sanhedrin.

## JUDAISM MUST BE DESTROYED!

The militia must gird itself for a lifetime of violence against the enemy within, which can be described as the Jewish Axis. This axis runs from New York to Hollywood. The New York end is the Money Power and control of information (News); the Hollywood end is the mind control system (Television, Music and Motion Pictures). This Jewish Axis limits our actions by designing our thinking to their specifications. As simple proof of this charge we know that the average American, no matter how much he may secretly resent Jews, probably would not deny the following: “Only a sick neo-Nazi would blame Jews for America’s problems.” Our self-defense against Jewish looting is wrecked by the lifelong conditioning of our brains with the feeling that to be anti-Jewish is to be mentally ill, even when the looters’ names are Boesky, Milken and Steinberg. How has this conditioning been accomplished? It was accomplished before we were born by the use of something called Christianity, which is a modified form of Judaism. Christianity is Judaism for Gentiles, courtesy of a Jewish agent of the Sanhedrin known variously as Saul of Tarsus, Paul and St. Paul. Our Christian is placed on the horns of a dilemma in Sunday School when he is informed that the Jews are the Chosen People of God and that they caused his Saviour to be foully murdered! Of course, these two pieces of information are not presented at the same time for that would wreck the effect. This writer will probably have much more to say along this line because Jews are definitely under the protection of the Bible just as Cain was under the protection of God despite the fact that he murdered his own brother out of jealousy, which began the endless pattern of Jewish madness and murder, generally forgiven by the Jews’ God, which we observe in the Bible. All along the Jewish Axis, from New York to Hollywood, we see the Judaization of our culture being carried out in the Christian churches. The churches, with the Bible as their weapon, have so softened up our people that they cannot resist the most blatant attacks by the Jewish Axis. Just as Communism has been used to wipe out potential competition around the world, Christianity has been used to wipe out potential resistance to the Jewish takeover here and in Europe and everywhere White men have been in charge.

Before we can liberate our country from Jewish looting we must liberate our minds from Jewish influence. All militiamen are encouraged to read the Bible in its entirety so as to understand the terrible Jewish drive for the enslavement of the Gentiles and to get it firmly in our minds that these people are the cruelest, most superstitious and hysterical bunch of liars on Earth—and totally dependent on our good will toward them.

Those of us who might still doubt that the Conspiracy against Humanity is Jewish must only observe Mr. Allen Weinstein, the Jewish James Madison, advising “former Communists” on the short cuts to *democracy*, which is a polite term for semi-violent rule by Jews. □

---

---

# The U.S. Holocaust Museum

Will Become for America What the  
Soviet Katyn Monument Has Become for the Russians:  
A Monument to an Allied War Crime  
And the Most Cynical, Bold-Faced Lie of World War II.

by  
*Ernst Zündel*

Remember the shrill accusations against the Germans during and after World War II about the horrific mass executions of the Polish officer corps at Katyn?

The Allied newspapers, newsreels and history books never tired of showing the gruesome photos of the grotesquely mutilated and semi-decomposed bodies of thousands of Polish soldiers, covered with mud.

Most of these bodies had sawdust in their mouths, and bullet holes in the back of their necks. Many had their cheekbones and half of their faces blown away.

A Soviet War Crimes Commission was set up which held meetings and made long investigations by noted east European forensic experts and criminologists. Experts in forensic medicine finally certified that the vicious, brutal Nazis were the killers of these helpless prisoners, all of whom had died with their hands tied behind their backs while they were totally defenseless.

The Soviet authorities had obtained thousands, not hundreds, of signed, dated, detailed affidavits by local peasants, workers and other Soviet citizens. These affidavits and the "scientific" evidence presented by the Soviet experts were the basis for war crime proceedings against numerous German officers and soldiers by Soviet courts.

Seven (7) of these Germans were tried, while being tried, filmed, photographed, vilified and paraded in front of the Soviet public as devils and heartless monsters. They were shown as having been the willing servants and tools of an evil Nazi system which ruthlessly killed in order to destroy the Polish elite; by murdering these 15,000 Polish officers in an "industrially organized" orgy of genocide and mass murder of the future leaders of Poland.

This was willingly repeated for almost 50 years in history books and encyclopedias around the world in east and west.

In those kangaroo proceedings of hate and vengeance in Nuremberg against the vanquished Germans, the Allies acquiesced to making this "Murder of the Polish Officers at Katyn" part of the official War Crimes Charges.

Today we know that the British had already known, through the Polish underground army, what the true facts were in this bizarre and monstrous crime.

The British government has played along with and has not officially denied this despicable Soviet W.W.II propaganda lie to this day.

The German defense lawyers tried in Nuremberg to introduce the truth about

Katyn, although severely handicapped by the rules and regulations laid down in the Statutes of London. These rules of ex post facto laws, specifically promulgated to obtain the "legal" convictions of the German leadership, were designed to camouflage the judicially sanctioned murder of some of the most brilliant military and political minds of an entire generation of Germans.

The Allied prosecutors and judges knew all along that Nuremberg was a victor's vengeful instrument to beat and batter into submission those accused in the "Courtroom" whom they could not win against unless they rigged the rules against them beforehand.

The Allies, especially the Western Allies, saw to it that the "Katyn controversy" fell through the "cracks" of the Nuremberg proceedings.

Thus the charge or accusation appeared at the beginning of the proceedings but has mysteriously disappeared in the verdict. This is not surprising to those who have studied the Nuremberg Trials.

Former Chief Justice Fisk-Stone of the United State Supreme Court had referred to U.S. Justice Jackson as running a high-grade "lynching party" in the Hall of Justice in Nuremberg.

Evidence of Allied torture was simply "expunged from the Nuremberg trial transcripts," by order of the tribunal judges, as in Julius Streicher's testimony of beatings, having to drink the saliva of guards and being forced by his U.S. guards to drink from the toilet bowl in his cell.

All this came to light in my two Holocaust trials in Toronto, as evidence introduced through documents by my expert witnesses.

The media reported these testimonies at the time and quickly went on to repeat the same accusations and baseless lies against the German leaders and thus the German nation, as they had before.

Why do I tell you all this?

Because the Holocaust, or much of the so-called evidence for its existence and for its alleged horrific murders, was obtained in the same way, by the same type of people possessed of the same hatred and loathing for their war-time opposite numbers and erstwhile enemies, as was done in the case of Katyn.

This is why the Nuremberg trials were such a farce and in effect a crime in themselves. The parties to the case before the bar were not only the investigators and interrogators, but had even written their own rules and ex post facto laws for these specific proceedings in Nuremberg.

Not only did the war time Allied nations supply the investigators and interrogators, they also supplied the jail personnel. They controlled the issue of funds, food coupons, travel documents and living accommodations for the defense lawyers. They could also refuse attorneys to the defendants as their lawyers if they did not like their political past. The Allies also supplied the prosecutors and the judges in these proceedings. These proceedings would have been unthinkable in any civilized country and will forever be a blemish on the behavior of those countries who instituted them and participated in them with the hypocritical claim that they were judicial proceedings were *Justice*,

not *Vengeance*, was served.

The Holocaust Museum in Washington and all the other monuments now springing up all over the U.S., Canada and, to a lesser extent, in the rest of the world, are there to dispel doubt in the minds of all those people who are equipped with critical minds and who instinctively have a sense of fairness.

These museums, by their theme parks and images of horrors, are there to create "doubting Thomases." They are also there to silence the Holocaust doubters and critics, the so-called "Holocaust Revisionists."

These monuments are a visible admission and a declaration by the Holocaust promoters that they have lost the battle for the public's mind, in spite of their massive "control of the media airwaves."

These monuments are also there because, as revealed in a recent U.S. poll, the Holocaust education and brainwashing of Americans has proved to be a spectacular failure.

I reproduce below some clippings for you from the standard media. They are self-explanatory.

I have contacted Jewish and government organizations as well as police agencies and asked them to conduct just such an opinion poll in Ontario and Canada, to find out how many people in Canada or Ontario doubt the Holocaust. If the U.S. survey is representative, then between 2-3 million people in Ontario do not believe in the Holocaust either.

I have contacted some opinion pollsters like Gallup and Fact Canada for a quote of an opinion survey of our own. They tell me it would cost about \$10,000 to \$15,000 if we want to get an analysis done by them as to what the poll reveals and if we want to use their names in publishing the results. I have released this offer in a statement to the most important media sources in Canada. So far, there has been nothing but deafening silence.

If there is a supporter out there who would want to finance such a poll—I have the lawyers and the experts to formulate the questions for us. All that separates us from knowing the true feelings of the public about the Holocaust issue is the money to conduct the poll.

I think that Jewish groups will be reluctant to conduct such a poll in Canada, after the disastrous results they obtained in the U.S.A.

I submit these ideas to you. I don't have the funds but at least I can supply you with the idea and the expertise to get the job done.

No ordinary people were let into the Holocaust Museum during the time the Samisdat crew was there, so you will have to wait for a more detailed analysis of the "inside." So far, I have only seen the media tours like the rest of the world on T.V.

In the meantime, the Museum can fulfill a very useful role as a focal point where revisionists can meet thousands of people and hand them revisionist literature on a daily basis, while they are already sensitized by their horror mu-



John Nugent, from "Enough Is Enough" Committee, organized and co-ordinated the Counter Demonstrations. He was frequently interviewed by the Press and T.V.



Old and young, male and female, from many ethnic groups joined in to protest the "Museum of Hate and lies,

crature on a daily basis, while they are already sensitized by their horror museum visit.

Revisionist Truth Guerillas, intellectual Freedom Fighters and "Truth Squads" can leaflet cars, buses, and airports in Washington now that we have a "Focus Point"—their Museum of Horrors. We could never have achieved this "Agitation-Bonus" by ourselves.

Since U.S. Federal money, land, etc. is involved, politicians and courts can be enlisted in getting the Museum to show both sides or at least some semblance of balance—or one can take them to court. Someone told me that even on the diplomatic front there are already massive repercussions.

Apparently, even the bootlicking representatives of the Vassal government in Bonn protested the museum by staying away from the opening ceremonies. Kohl and that hypocrite Weizsäcker stayed away! I could not believe my eyes! So, maybe the devil sometimes does create some good by wanting to do evil.

So my friends, there is no need to panic or to get depressed! Our enemies are flailing around like some drowning man making lots of noises and splashes to draw attention to himself because *he is drowning*.

We have turned the corner! The Holocaust Legend will one day, in the not so distant future, go down in history as a vicious, self-serving lie, just like the Allied war time propaganda concoction which was "Katyn."

What will remain in Washington is one of the ugliest structures, typical of "in poor taste" synagogue architecture throughout the world.

The building will temporarily be a monument to show the Zionist influence and power over a latter-day Rome or Babylon by the Potomac called the United States of America.

The muggings, rapes, thefts and robberies of Holocaust Shrine visitors will go on and increase inside and outside the museum! Inside the museum, the Holocaust lobby will "mug their minds and emotions" while outside they will be mugged by America's teeming hordes....which Emma Lazarus celebrated with a similar monument at a different place and a by-gone age.


The effects will be the same—decline, disbelief, cynicism and disgust—not tolerance. The real non-fake-Holocausts will go on in Palestine, Iraq, Bosnia and Somalia! No museum like that monstrosity in Washington is going to prevent it. Not now nor in the future!

Thank you for your support. I could not carry on without your help, your love and your kindness.

Ernst Zündel  
206 Carleton Street, Toronto ON M5A 2L1 CANADA

◆◆◆◆

## YOUR TAX DOLLARS AT WORK



From the Congressional Record (House of Representatives) June 16, 1992:

The Holocaust Memorial Council was created in 1980 by an act of Congress and was charged with building the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington, DC. The Museum is being built on Federal land, but the act specifically stipulates that the costs of construction be covered by private contributions, as the Vietnam Memorial and many others have been.

The Federal role was limited to the donation of land and \$2 1/2 million in up-front development funds. To date, Congress has gone well beyond the original figure by appropriating \$33 million above the authorized ceiling.

Paid for by German-American Nat'l PAC, P.O. Box 1137, Santa Monica, CA. 90406

H.R. 2660 authorizes such sums as may be necessary in fiscal years 1992 to the year 2000 for the operation of the Holocaust Memorial Council and Holocaust Museum. The CBO estimates that such sums means about \$18.3 million in fiscal year 1993, and \$15.4 million for each additional year, adjusted for inflation, and that could amount to \$110 million until the year 2000. These are not small sums. This is \$3 million more than operating the Air and Space Museum, the most visited Museum in the world. It is seven times the funding authorized for the Lincoln, Jefferson, and Washington Memorials combined.

*Do you know how many American soldiers died in World War II?\**

*No?*

*But you know of the foreign "Six Million", right?*

*How come?*

Skeptical about the "gas chambers"?

Would you like to hear  
the other side of the  
World War II story?

Just write your name  
and address below, and  
mail this card to us.

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

\* According to the 1992 World  
Almanac, 407,316 American  
soldiers sacrificed their lives  
for their country in WWII.

Please  
Place  
Stamp  
Here

GERMAN WORLD WAR II  
VETERANS  
c/o Hans Schmidt  
P.O. Box 10600  
BURKE, VA 22015

QUESTION: HOW LONG CAN THE JEWS  
PERPETRATE THE HOLOCAUST MYTH?



ANSWER: NOT MUCH LONGER!

## The Good Guys Wore Black

by  
J.B. Campbell

Where will we militiamen fit in the scheme of things? How will we justify ourselves as American men in the 1990s? How will we be compared with the real freedom fighters of the 1940s? I refer of course to the million men from all European nations who volunteered in the great struggle against the Jewish allies known as Capitalists and Communists, the million men of the Waffen-SS. This is not to take away from the freedom fighters who served in the German army, air force or navy and who fought with often equal valor and distinction against the forces of the Kremlin, the Bank of England and the Federal Reserve. But the Waffen-SS was special because it was so thoroughly and indelibly anti-communist, and because it made a reality of an unauthorized Europe, that fantastic nightmare of the international bankers, finance capitalists and communists. The Waffen-SS proved that Germany is the heart of Europe—the indispensable organ which pumps a life force into the waiting arteries throughout the multiplex, protean continent. The volunteer battalions of the Waffen-SS showed the world how emergencies should be handled and how life should be lived.

This writer's life was turned around in 1984 when Willis Carto handed me a booklet by Leon Degrelle and Ted O'Keefe entitled, *Epic: The Story of the Waffen-SS*. Degrelle, now in his 80s, was a famous Belgian nationalist who volunteered to defend Europe from the Red Army at the age of 35 as a corporal in the Wallonian Legion. This unit was merged with the Waffen-SS and Degrelle rose to the rank of general and its commanding officer. He remains a phenomenal example of manhood. In this booklet he and O'Keefe put me on notice that my education was limited and my view of life flawed. The next blow to



my complacency was Degrelle's book, *Campaign in Russia: The Waffen-SS on the Eastern Front*. Further proof that in the anti-communist league, I was a small fry. For an American, a reading of this great book is the most humbling experience imaginable, for in this one we discover how the real anti-communists operated. (I was to be humbled further four years later in San Salvador when I was asked eagerly by the cousin of Major Roberto D'Aubuisson how many communists I had killed in Africa? Very few, I confessed; my men and I had accounted for hardly more than a dozen. "Phooey! The major has personally killed hundreds of them here!" We have a lot to learn from Roberto D'Aubuisson.)

We have a lot to learn, too, from the heroes of the Waffen-SS—the Germans, Norwegians, Belgians, Spaniards, Italians, Frenchmen, Swedes, Danes, Croats, Dutch, Rumanians, Finns—60,000 Muslims and even a couple of Englishmen! Of the million volunteers, only 400,000 were from Germany; the majority were from elsewhere. It was the first and genuine version of NATO and it literally kept the Red Army from marching to the English Channel. The difference was that it was not a mercenary army of the Federal Reserve as are the NATO forces of today, as were the Allied armies of the 1940s.

We Americans have a lot to learn about these things. When we finally do learn them and the truth hits us with its blinding light, it is a profound experience. My friend, for example, who has a German-sounding name, is of Scandinavian extraction. He was a young enlisted man stationed in Germany in the late 1950s and had under him a couple of veterans of the Eastern Front. These men were always correct and polite but my friend now realizes how it must have galled them to have to answer to such a callow youth who not only had never fought the real enemy but who now represented that enemy in their own land. My friend has taken the time to study the war from the revisionist viewpoint. He bought a tape of German wartime songs and

played it on the way to work the other day. He got through a couple of numbers alright and then it hit him—how monstrous our side had been, how decent and healthy and simply self-defensive their side had been. He thought about those two Germans who'd had to work for him back in the 50s, about the millions of women and children our side had roasted and crushed and blew apart in our cowardly, fiendish bombing of cities and towns, about the million German POWs our side starved to death after the war and the millions more who disappeared into Russia and he pulled off the road and stopped and cried like a baby. My friend is not given to spontaneous crying jags. I'm not either, but the same thing happened to me once. If we have any humanity left in us, we cry in unbounded shame for the All-American sadism of our leaders past and present. We cry because we can't even express how much there is to be ashamed of. We cry in horror at what our country did to the best people in the world.


What a stinking, rotten country is America, which her ignorant countrymen want to believe is so benevolent and moral. Imagine sending millions of our trash—black, brown and white—overseas to exterminate the German people. Naturally some very decent men were among the trash but, if anything, they probably did more killing since they were "more patriotic."

Take the case of Audie Murphy, our most decorated soldier ever. Here was a young Texan who was so poor he'd never owned a pair of boots or played a game of any sort. He was the perfect tool of Franklin Roosevelt, born and bred, we might say, to execute his foul orders. After all, he'd been dirt-poor because of the Great Depression which the Roosevelt crowd had designed and kept going for twelve years. Murphy found a home in the army—good clothes, good food and a place to sleep. He willingly became a perfect killing machine, which he quickly proved on arrival in Sicily. Two Italian officers had, in the distance, mounted their white horses and ridden away from the Americans. Murphy

dropped to one knee and, with his carbine, nailed them both from behind. His comrades were unsettled by his cold-bloodedness but he shrugged it off with, "That's war." As time went by, Murphy became addicted to getting the job done, or something. He managed to kill 240 Germans before he got a bellyful. It slowly dawned on him that he was doing a terrible thing, and that the Germans he was killing were mainly trying to get away, back to the fatherland. He got so sick of doing the Jews' killing that often he'd sneak up on a bunch of relaxing Germans and just watch them as they cooked and ate and sang songs and tried to rest. Then he'd sneak away, disconcerted. He knew they were better men than the Americans.

Later, in America, he refused to discuss the war, except, when pressed, to recount how many Germans he'd let live. Sometimes, while watching newsreels in the theater which showed the hungry children in postwar Germany, he'd cry and mutter, "Maybe I killed their fathers." His biography, *No Name on the Bullet*, tells the story. But Audie Murphy, like so many others—bomber and fighter pilots, seamen, tankers, infantrymen—was a man-made monster, created by Dr. Frankenstein Roosevelt. Contrary to popular belief, the more human of them suffered terribly from "post traumatic stress disorder," although they weren't allowed to say so. After all, who should feel bad about killing Germans?

Among the countless atrocities "our boys" committed, one of the most disgusting was the liberation of Dachau by an element of the 45th "Thunderbird" Division. This outfit was made up largely of Oklahoma Indians and had, ironically, a swastika for an insignia, the "symbol of prosperity and good fortune." This was changed to a thunderbird for obvious reasons. (The Institute for Historical Review once reproduced a 1920s ad for a Jewish product which included a swastika—"the symbol of purity!")



The story of Dachau is told by an eyewitness in his book, *Dachau—Hour of the Avenger*. Col. Howard Buechner, then a lieutenant and 3rd Battalion surgeon, happened to enter the killing area just after 346 soldiers of the Waffen-SS were machine gunned by American soldiers, while the wounded were being dispatched with pistols. His book is a collection of truths, half-truths and fantastic lies but it is also a collection of shocking and grisly photographs of the killing. What happened is this...

On the morning of 29 April, 1945, I Company, 3rd Battalion, 157th Infantry, 45th Division approached the Dachau factory and camp with orders to capture it, post an airtight guard and let no one enter or leave. A surrender had been negotiated with the Waffen-SS commanding officer, Obersturmführer Heinrich Skodzinski of the Viking Division. Despite this, the Americans, at 11:20 am, immediately shot 122 men who had surrendered. 358 others were taken prisoner. At 12:05 pm another twelve men were shot in a panicky and botched job. Nothing more happened while the commanding officer, Col. Felix Sparks, reported to regimental HQ and received his orders. Upon his return to Dachau camp at 2:45 pm, the remaining 346 men of the Waffen-SS, who were at Dachau recuperating from exhaustion from fighting the Red Army, were lined up against a wall in the hospital area and machine gunned. .45 automatics were given to Jewish inmates who went along the wall, shooting the wounded in the head. The Americans allowed the Jews to murder anyone they wanted—by gunfire, knives, shovels, bricks. The Americans watched while Jews tore some victims limb from limb.

The division commander was Major General Robert T. Frederick. It was he to whom Col. Sparks had reported and who had given the order to massacre the men. He visited the killing area at 3:15 pm and suggested that the bodies be distributed around the camp and then photographed to make it look as if the soldiers had been shot while trying to

attack or escape. This was dropped when he was told that a technician fourth class, Arland B. Musser, Signal Corps, had photographed the whole thing, as had an inmate by the name of Nerin Gun, whose photos were published in his book, *Day of the Americans*. The fact that a concentration camp inmate was allowed to keep his camera is very interesting.

The atrocity was reported to General George S. Patton, Jr. by Brigadier General Henning Linden of the 42nd Infantry Division. Patton was duty bound to investigate. Court martial proceedings were actually begun on the charge of violating the rules of the Geneva Convention, which protect prisoners of war, and on other charges. Patton handled it by ordering all photos, documents, reports, etc., brought to him by the killers and witnesses. Some but not all were produced whereupon Patton put them in a wastebasket and set them afire. Thus the war crime was officially covered up.

Why had it been committed? Simple. The Jewish Allies, i.e., the Americans, Soviets and British, had been committing countless war crimes against the Gentile Allies from the beginning and knew the genocide would be continuing in accord with the terms of the Morgenthau Plan into the postwar future. To divert attention from this the Jewish Allies invented the War Crimes Tribunal. It was the innocent being accused, tried and executed by the guilty. Compared with firestorm bombing of civilians, machine gunning of prisoners, mass starvation of prisoners and civilians, slave labor and forced repatriation to horrible deaths in the gulags, the Germans were relatively guiltless. The Americans knew this but a legend was being constructed and any honest witnesses to the conditions in the concentration camps, in this case, a handful of actual SS camp guards along with the hundreds of Waffen-SS soldiers resting there, had to be silenced. That's why all those men were lined up and shot.

It was for this basic reason that Adolf Eichmann was

kidnapped in Buenos Aires and taken to Israel for "trial" and liquidation. The man actually in charge of the Final Solution was the biggest threat to the lucrative lie of The Holocaust. The veteran reporter, Jim Taylor, has, in a 1989 *Liberty Bell* magazine article, described his visit with Eichmann in 1960 shortly before the abduction. The French intelligence unit, SDECE, asked him to go to Argentina and warn a certain man that he was in danger. Taylor went to the address and recognized the man as Eichmann. He also saw that the modest home was under surveillance. He explained the situation but the former SS officer scoffed politely, "Why would they want to harm me? I did nothing but help them." He explained that he had helped the Jews get out of Europe and into Palestine. We now know, officially, since it surfaced in the Israeli press last year, that his Jewish counterpart at the Palestinian end of the pipeline was none other than Shitsack—excuse me—Yitzhak Shamir, who was also slightly embarrassed by the revelation that he had offered an alliance with the Nazis against the British, in a letter to Adolf Hitler. Hitler, to his credit, ignored the little bastard.

Eichmann was in a daze as he stood in his tiny glass booth, listening to the whoppers being told about him and Himmler and the rest. He even confessed to some whoppers himself, after experiencing the most excruciating Jewish torture. Back in Buenos Aires, when Jim Taylor had pointed to the men in the car up the street, Eichmann peered at them and assured Taylor, "Even if for some reason it did happen, the Americans wouldn't let them hurt me." Adolf Eichmann didn't get it: he was the only living threat to one of the greatest rackets in history; he could document the Final Solution to the Jewish Problem as a mighty heave-ho deportation of Jews rather than the Old Testament-style extermination known reverently as The Holocaust.

The *Spotlight* has recently reported that the diaries of Heinrich Himmler, Reichsführer SS, have been located in

Israel. These crucial documents have not been released for publication by the Israelis because there is no mention in them of any policy of extermination of Jews or anyone else. It is known that they do record Himmler's concern over the increasing death rate in the various camps toward the end of the war as well as his explicit orders that the deaths be stopped at all costs. The death rate could not be decreased because America and England were then bombing all roads and railroads and strafing anything that moved on the ground. Food could not be transported anywhere, not to the cities and not to the camps.

This writer gained a bizarre insight into the trial of SS Panzer commander Joachim Peiper, whose men waged the desperate counteroffensive against the Americans known as the Battle of the Bulge. After the war Peiper and his men were accused of lining up a number of American soldiers and shooting them in what was called the "Malmedy Massacre." It is now known that Peiper's men did no such thing but rather got in a gunfight with those Americans and won. Since there was some time available, Peiper ordered the dead Americans be gathered up for the approaching graves registration personnel who would eventually find them. The fact that the bodies were left in an orderly fashion along the roadside gave rise to the charge that they had been lined up that way and then shot. Eyewitnesses were produced who swore that this was the case. These eyewitnesses all proved to be liars.

I read an account of the trial of Peiper and his men in the *Journal of Historical Review* and recognized the name of the American prosecutor, Burton F. Ellis. I had done a favor for a man by that name a year or so before by taking a gun of his to the army museum at Ft. Ord. I called him in Fresno, California and asked if he were in fact the same man? He said that he was. I told him that I had an article before me which claimed that he and his Jewish lawyers had tortured Peiper and his men for their confessions. He denied this and insisted that others had investi-

gated the charges and found they were false. Who investigated the charges, other Americans? Yes, and why was I interested? Well, I said, World War II was the most important thing that ever happened and it's important to know who actually did what.

I recalled that he and his team got death sentences for the defendants. "Yes", said Ellis, "but they were commuted later."

"I guess that proves the whole thing was phony... There were Belgian witnesses who would have testified that it was a regular shootout."

"No, no—Peiper was guilty!" insisted Ellis, "but you know, war is hell." I thought, this from a lawyer who never heard a gun go off in anger. "Peiper was doing what he thought was necessary in a bad situation."

"Did you say that at his trial?"

"Well, no."

"The witnesses say that his men never murdered our guys, that it was a legitimate gunfight."

"You know, Peiper wrote me a letter after the trial, congratulating me on my victory."

"Well, isn't that just like a German."

"Yes, he was really quite a man."

"The Jews finally killed him, didn't they."

"So I understand."

"Yeah, he was living in France. He had a shotgun and from the looks of it, he probably killed one or more of them."

We militiamen may never measure up to the valiant volunteers of the Waffen-SS, but we've got to try. The first step is to recognize them for what they were: the finest, bravest and most dedicated freedom fighters of all time. They started out as the *Schutzstaffel*, or "protective echelon," which guarded Adolf Hitler from the Communists in the 1930s. By the 1940s they transcended the personalized nature of their inception and became a phenomenal, unprecedented fighting force for Europe.

It is to their high standard which we militiamen must strive. We must become a phenomenal, unprecedented fighting force for America.

\*\*\*\*\*

From *GANPAC-BRIEF* No. 128/June 1993, (Box 1137, Santa Monica CA 90406).

Many *GANPAC-BRIEF* readers are very much interested in stories pertaining to Germany and Germans that will *never* be printed by the American establishment press (and neither by so-called Germans newspapers). Well, here's an unusual report:

Last fall, a van-load of veterans of the former famed "Wiking" division of the Waffen-SS decided to travel deep into the old Soviet Union to visit places where they had fought against the Red Army exactly 50 years earlier. Everywhere they went, the native population received the ex-SS soldiers with open arms, and many a tear was shed when a former German soldier was able to again enter the home of a Russian or Ukrainian family where he had been quartered so long ago. *In spite of 50 years of incessant "anti-fascist" propaganda by the Soviets, the population had not forgotten how well German soldiers really behaved.* One of those who went on this trip with the Waffen-SS soldiers was a young German who had until recently been a captain in the now dissolved NVA (Nationale Volksarmee), the Army of disintegrated Communist Germany. He wrote the following letter:

"Last September I had the opportunity to travel with the ex-Waffen-SS soldiers to the Caucasus mountains where they had fought in 1942. It was overwhelming. I must be honest, before I undertook this trip, I had my prejudices about the entire undertaking. I asked myself three questions: 1. If all that which I had been taught in school about the Waffen-SS is true, how dare 'they' even visit Russia again? 2. Even if they dare to go there, will 'they' admit to what unit they had belonged? 3. When 'they' admit who they are, how will the population react? Well, I can only say that 'they' went there and

told everyone who they had been. The result? 'They' were almost 'killed'.....with melons, and greetings and friendliness. Everywhere they went, town officials were astounded. Finally, they even supplied us with an honorary police escort. At first the interpreters didn't even want to translate (correctly) the expressions of happiness of the people. They had to make an adjustment to the truth. It was amazing to see the high regard the ] still had for the German soldiers even after such a long time. I myself asked especially the younger people what their parents or grandparents told them of their experiences with the Wehrmacht or the Waffen-SS; in unison they told me that not a bad word was said. I must admit that I was ashamed of myself for having had doubts about the honorable behavior of the German soldiers of World War II, I guess neither one of us is immune to propaganda."

A lasting result of the trip will be that the Russian population of the area visited will assist the former Waffen-SS soldiers in reestablishing a cemetery of their fallen comrades that was plowed over when the Red Army retook the territory in 1943. □

### **WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE**

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS**  
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.


Certain People have turned  
the American Dream  
into a Nightmare.

What are we  
going to do  
about them?

Read

\$10

250 pages



## THE NEW AMERICAN MAN A CALL TO ARMS

Order No.: 14015. Send  
\$10.00 plus \$1.50 for postage  
to: Liberty Bell Publications,  
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270

### PLEASE REMEMBER:

Your subscription to Liberty Bell, your book orders, and your regular contributions are our lifeblood. Help us keep Liberty Bell ringing and proclaiming the truth. Your continued support is needed and will be greatly appreciated!

## Folish

O  
b  
s  
e  
r  
v  
a  
t  
i  
o  
n  
s

Solution-  
oriented  
commentary  
for  
those who  
realize that  
to change the  
world,  
you must first  
change  
yourself!

FALLON JDF-104  
June 1993

For family, Folk,  
and the future!  
NS Kindred  
PO Box 256  
NSJ, CA 95960

## "The Victim of His Generals"

By Jost

It is very important for all National Socialists to understand the real reason that Germany lost the war. Most of us are quite aware that Germany was out-numbered, out-gunned, and out-produced. Ordinarily, this alone would suffice to bring about a quick defeat of virtually any nation. But National Socialist Germany was not defeated quickly nor easily. In fact, it was not the lack of manpower or resources which destroyed Germany. Just as in the first World War, Germany was defeated from within! On April 30, 1945, Adolf Hitler told his friend and personal pilot, SS General Hans Baur: "*Baur, my gravestone must read, 'He was the victim of his Generals.'*" Indeed, it was

nothing more than a despicable band of traitors, largely among the Officer Corps, which were primarily responsible for the defeat and destruction of National Socialist Germany!

Much has been told about the conspiracy of Military officers who attempted to murder Adolf Hitler on July 20, 1944. But little has been said about how much this deplorable group of traitors sabotaged Germany's war efforts, and knowingly and willingly gave significant aid to the enemy. These disgusting traitors were directly responsible for the lives of tens of thousands of German soldiers, and the lives of hundreds of thousands of German civilians, men, women, and children!

## The Normandy Invasion

The devastating results of Germany's military traitors are graphically presented in David Irving's biography of Field Marshal Rommel, *The Trail of the Fox*. Irving points out that the invasion of Normandy *could not* have possibly succeeded without the unbelievable amount of complicity by the traitors within the German officer corps. It was virtually a foregone conclusion that without the success of the Normandy invasion, Stalin would have happily agreed to make peace on the eastern front (such negotiations were in progress), thereby forcing the war-weary and politically shaky (Churchill was in a very precarious political position) western powers to do the same. The war would have been over and Germany would have remained a National Socialist Folk-community.

But the traitors did not want to see this happen. They hated Adolf Hitler, and that hatred shrouded and distorted their loyalty and any real concern for the welfare of their Folk. They succeeded in manipulating Field Marshal Rommel into ignoring Normandy and putting his major defenses at Calais. Even after the Normandy invasion had begun, they worked together to convince Rommel that General Patton would soon invade Calais, thereby stalling critically needed reinforcements at the Normandy beachhead. This was all knowingly done so that the enemy could get a good foothold in France. While deliberately doing everything in their power (which was considerable) to keep desperately-needed reinforcements out of Normandy, these disgusting traitors watched thousands of young German soldiers shot to pieces as they tried to hold back Eisenhower's crude, but ultimately effective, Russian-style attacks. (I am a combat veteran myself, and when thinking of that desperate battle, my emotions do not allow me to express in any words the feelings which I have for the plight of the common soldiers—on either side.)

## The Shameful Cause

Why did these traitors hate Adolf Hitler? Bit by bit, the facts have filtered out through the shroud of misinformation, justifications, and bald-faced lies which always cloud the truth about these times. The sad, shameful truth is that they hated him only because he had not risen from the Officer Corps or the Aristocracy, but had come from the ranks of the common soldiery. Indeed, he was a heroic winner of the Iron Cross, but nevertheless, this "corporal" had bruised many an officer-ego in his efforts to lead Germany during those desperate times. It was not lack of manpower or materials, but simple-minded, petty-classism which was the primary cause of Germany's total defeat.

## A Lesson for Today

As in National Socialist Germany, traitors in high places are a great cause of the desperate racial situation of today. But this, like the situation in general, is tolerated because selfishness, materialism, ego-pandering, cowardliness, greed, and indulgence rule our race today, and barring a miracle, there is little hope for survival. It is not the Jew, Negro, or Asian who is to blame; it is none other than ourselves! Realizing this, we are developing a prototype Folk-community, the primary purpose of which is to produce Aryan men and women who will not be affected by selfishness, egoism, and irresponsibility, and the heirs of whom will have the power to lead the remnant of our race not only to survival, but to ennoblement and ultimately to a golden age of prosperity and enlightenment.

But while we work toward this important goal, we should not forget those traitors of National Socialist Germany. Many of the traitors in the German Officer Corps were men who had proved themselves in actual combat, and who were awarded honors and medals for their service. Still, they had enough selfishness hidden within to bring about the disaster of 1945. In contrast, few of those in today's National Socialist movement (or for that matter any of the

other White racial movements) have such credentials. In fact, we've encountered a dismally large number of them who either avoided military service, were rejected from military service for mental or physical reasons, or were thrown out of the service (for political reasons, of course!). The very unfortunate point here is that one has to use some real discrimination in dealing with people in the White-racial movement, including those with long-term service in political organizations. We learned this the hard way.

Our initial strategy for creating a Folk-community was to bring together some selected individuals and families who had demonstrated their commitment by spending considerable time on staff of a National Socialist political organization or by long-term support of such an organization. The result of this was disastrous. The aforementioned petty-classism of the German Officer Corps was absolutely nothing compared to the insecurities and hyper-sensitive egoism which hid secretly behind a phoney façade of National Socialist idealism! We are now working with families who have demonstrated their worth in more viable ways than service on the staff of defunct political organizations—families and individuals who understand that the first step to changing the future is to change themselves!

As long as we recognize the enemy, and we have the will and idealism necessary, we can prevail. Over and over again we have pointed out that our enemies are not Jews, Negroes, Masons, nor International Finance. Our sole enemy is the collective selfishness of our own race! This is a

problem which only we ourselves can solve—and we *can* solve it! *Folkish Observations* is produced especially for this task. By introspection and analysis we can begin to know ourselves and overcome ourselves, ultimately bringing forth that healthy Aryan Folk envisioned by Adolf Hitler!

Do Right  
and  
Spare No One!

## KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ . . . . . for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property . . . . . for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**