



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 01007 — \$12.00
plus \$2.40 for postage & handling

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

THE RACEY RACIST

By

Robert Frenz

page 1

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Guillermo Coletti:

**Pro-Zionist Bias Permeates the Judiciary
in Argentina, page 9**

A White House Jew Confesses, page 12

More on Polygamy for Aryans, page 15

Colin Jordan:

UK General Election 1997, page 19

Dr. William L. Pierce:

Exposing the Warmongers, page 25

The Lesson of Haiti, page 33

Maj. D.V. Clerkin:

The Camp of the Saints Revisited, page 41

Jack London — Aryan, page 43

Letters to the Editor, page 45

VOL. 25 — NO. 8

APRIL 1998

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P. O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple II-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts will not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted or publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1997

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES effective 1 December 1993

00012 FIRST CLASS / AIRMAIL: All other countries	\$100.00
00020 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Europe, South America	\$ 80.00
00021 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Middle East, Far East, South Africa	\$ 85.00
00022 AIR MAIL: (Printed Matter) Sample Copy	\$ 6.50
00030 THIRD CLASS: (Bulk Rate) USA only	\$ 50.00
00031 THIRD CLASS: (Printed Matter) Abroad	\$ 70.00
00033 THIRD CLASS: Sample Copy	\$ 5.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION

10 copies	\$ 30.00
50 copies	\$ 120.00
100 copies	\$ 200.00
500 copies	\$ 800.00
1000 copies	\$1200.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

THE RACEY RACIST

by Robert Frenz

9 March 1998

One of the uses of the word race is to indicate a group to which certain people may, or may not, belong. All peoples, on this planet, are free to take pride in the fact that they belong to this, or that, race, that is, except for people we call White. Any so-called White person who is proud of belonging to the only race capable of sending men into outer space, becomes an instant undesirable and is branded with the label "racist." In more sane times, when people had the ability to, and the desire to, discriminate, it would have been a complimentary designation. The political and social pressures, which now require us to be as stupid as possible, are enormous. Thinking citizens are the last things our Zionist government desires.

We are taught not to think in our schools and often, in our homes. We soon learn the advantages of not questioning anything. A student is often little more than a protoplasmic tape-recorder capable of memorizing wads of nonsense and then vomiting it back at the appropriate time. This earns the student good grades which must accumulate in order to receive — like the straw man in the Wizard of Oz — a diploma. A diploma then becomes some proof that the holder has the capacity to think. When one accumulates enough diplomas, he then takes his place among the "experts" and then extra, and often cryptic, extra letters to his name. That one might be perfectly capable of thinking without a certificate, apparently occurs to no one, which remains a demonstration that our society has collectively lost its capacity for thought.

Many people occupy desks in high school biology classes. During their seat time, they are exposed to a theory of evolution and a classification regimen consisting of

the ditty "kingdom, phylum, class, order, family, genus, species and variety." When we recite this sequence, together with "ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny," the world is put on notice of our brilliance.

People have always classified things and the first great generalizer of life-form grouping was Aristotle. His writings described all sorts of natural groups. Like all classification schemes, things belonged to various groups depending upon likenesses and dissimilarities. His method was used until the 19th century at which time Charles Darwin presented his *Origin of Species*. Aristotle believed in an essence of natural forms while the Darwinian school did not. This was a departure from the idea that eagles, for example, "appeared" as a distinct entity unrelated to anything. Darwin held that birds "must have evolved" from reptiles although he never explained why, on the road to evolving change, some lizard wasn't disturbed when a few feathers started sprouting from his tail or that it was plagued by impulses to leap into the Grand Canyon, legs flapping. Biological classification soon became tied to evolution and since the Church shuddered at the thought of non-creation, or that man might have devolved from apes, it could not be expected to favor the changing rules for classification. Those rules not only have changed but they are continually changing as one group of experts tries to out-expert another group of experts. As an example: when I was in high school, we were taught that there were only 2 kingdoms of life — plants and animals. Now, this has been expanded with the additions: fungi, prokaryotes and protoctists (aka protista). One day an animal is classified as this, and on the next day, it is stuffed somewhere else. Science, as with all human endeavor, is in a continual state of flux with enough manure added to qualify it as first class fertilizer.

Europe, and Asia were well acquainted with horses long before Hank Stanley went to Africa to find out why

Davy Livingstone failed to return the wheel-barrow he borrowed. Somewhere down the pike, a pair of white-eyes spotted their first zebra. "Damn a mighty. Thar's one silly lookin' hoss. Ah wonder who a'painted it." It looked like a horse, acted very similar to a horse, but those gosh-danged stripes just got in the way. So, to keep things merry on the classification front, this critter was given the Latin name Equus zebra to distinguish it from Equus caballus — the common horse. Zebby lived in the upland plains of southern Africa while horsey did not. I can hear them say "They must have evolved differently." Thus, the zebra and the horse were grouped as separate species. Equus is the genus name and the additional term gives rise to the binomial species designation.

As White men wandered here and there, they soon discovered another striped "horse" living in the arid, and slightly wooded, area of east-central Africa. Although it looked like a zebra, which looked like a horse, old Mr. Grevy wasn't happy with that state of affairs. All kosherized scientists, when a problem surfaces, simply redefine everything. Thus Equus grevyi was hatched. Unlike the zebra of southern Africa, it had narrower stripes and a white belly.

As might be expected, those snoopy White men soon came upon another "zebra" which wasn't exactly a zebra like the other zebras. Its stripes were very widely spaced; it lived and "evolved" in the rich grasslands of eastern Africa and it wasn't long before Burchell's zebra was given the highfalutin name Equus quagga. The African striped horses, plus the better-known horse, now fell into 4 distinct species, so there! Generally speaking, members of the same species are inter-fertile but unlike man, who will stick his prong into anything (literally!), never inter-breed except for bizarre and rare cases. (Some lower forms of life like the Euglena and the faggot, are not inter-fertile across the species. Both possess contractile vacuoles and are classi-

fied according to what kind of party zipper-Bill is having. The Euglena belongs to both the protozoan order Euglenida and the algae division Euglenophyta, thus enjoying a double use for its vacuole.)

Dogs are not unfamiliar to us. They belong to the genus *Canis* as do the coyote *Canis latrans*, the jackal *Canis aureus*, the dingo *Canis dingo* plus 3 others which I shall conveniently omit. The Australian dingo is quite dog-like and it apparently has been domesticated to an extent — by the adventuresome, I presume.

Canis familiaris, the common dog is further divided into smaller groups called breeds, or races, if you prefer. These include the Dalmatian, Collie, Beagle, etc. Even in dogs, the races are not equal. How does one equate a Doberman with a Chihuahua? Or a Dachshund with a Great Dane?

The African hunting (wild) dog, *Lycaon pictus* (named after Lyacon — a legionary King of Arcadia), is in a genus all by itself because it is the only dog-like critter possessing only 4 toes. If it weren't for this item, the wild dog might have perhaps been given his very own species name. (Once upon a time, Sidney, the king of the wild dogs said "We all have 5 toes on each of 4 feet. It would be nice if we were more harmonious. Therefore, let's endeavor to evolve into critters with 4 toes on each of 4 feet." And the heavens thundered and it came to pass. Bls. 3:12.)

It might do well to pause a bit and consider that it doesn't take very much to have some animal partitioned into its own cubbyhole: a geographically different habitat, thus implying a different evolution; stripes, as in the case of the zebra; or some other relatively minor, but specific, difference.

Crows are one of my favorite birds — wily, sharp-eyed,

and great survivors of men's continued shotgun blasts. There are 30 species of *Corvus* and 20 of them are known as crows. All are about 20 inches long with glossy-black feathers. All are omnivorous, which means they'll eat almost anything — insects, seeds, carrion, eggs, and have been known to kill small rodents and snakes. The major difference in these 20 species is that their natural habitats are geographically different. A crow living in North America (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*) is, for most purposes, identical to the Russian crow (*Corvus corone*) and yet they belong to 2 different species. The man in the street wouldn't recognize any difference whether the birds were flying, pecking at corn, or leaving the roasting oven.

As with Galileo Galilei, the Darwinists and the classifiers, were always looking over their shoulders at those christian zealots who loved to char-broil people alive for not being in tune with the latest notions about men and their souls. It's time for a break.

The ancient Greeks used to contemplate even contemplation and one of the contemplations they contemplated related to something along this line: if one takes away all of the physical attributes of a chair, then something must be left. The chair wasn't removed, only its attributes. What remained, when applied to human beans, was the soul. Ain't Greeks great? No wonder they developed so many paradoxes. They must have been excellent sauce consumers also.

Keeping in mind that a different habitat implies a different evolutionary highway and this alone is a compelling reason to classify critters as belonging to a different species, at the least. Present-day taxonomy must be phylogenetically correct; that is, it must satisfy the tenets of the evolution theory — a very weak batch of gobbledegook.

With a hop aboard our UFO, let's snatch Sambo the

Congoid from his dung-plastered hut in western Africa. On our return trip, we'll beam-up Mr. Kurisaki from his rebuilt Hiroshima home which he calls Phoenix. Once properly dipped in formaldehyde, we'll stare and ponder how to classify these two primates. (Order: Primate. Characteristics: thumb, have nails not claws, omnivorous, binocular vision, etc.) Down the scale is the next classification, the family Hominidae, followed by the genus Homo. Now — wow! — as the wabbit says to Elmer, "What's up doc?"

Not only do our 2 specimens come (evolved) from non-connected regions of the planet, anyone with reasonable eyesight could tell them apart at 666 yards. Up closer, one is colored black and the other a brownish-yellow. One has straight hair; the other kinky tufts which microscopically resemble wool. One has well-developed calf muscles while the other does not — in fact, sports a "lark's-spur" heel. The ape-like eyes of one resemble, not in the slightest, the slit-like eyes of the other. (Sidney Poitier vs. David Suzuki.) The closer we look, the greater the differences we find. One has almost no body odor while the other strikes our nose as resembling a musk ox during estrus. Mind you, that a different geographical location, plus obvious, and non-trivial, physical differences, is enough to separate any other animal into different species, it does not hold true with our specimens since both are said to belong to the species Homo sapiens! Remarkable, isn't it? And certainly very non-scientific.

Similarities are what determine general classifications while differences determine the minor classifications. However, when we get to "talking-apes," the rules change. A minor classification such as species becomes the reservoir for generalities instead of specifics: species = specifics, get it? I'll repeat: species means specific differences outside the generalities. I am sure that this present-day classification folderol is the result of "church science," or "Jewish science," which is little more than starting with a desired conclusion

and then working backwards — selectively choosing the facts.

If a dingo could be enticed to mate with a coyote, the offspring would be neither dingo nor coyote. It would be a bastard — a mongrel — a mixed-breed — a mischling. It would belong to nothing which can be classified. If all of the dingoes integrated with all of the coyotes, and engaged in Clinton-style tail-undulations, there would be no more coyotes or dingoes and the valued "diversity" would be lost. Breed-mixing is "caniscide" for it destroys both species.

We still call mongrel dogs, dogs, as we call mongrel humans, humans, but the essential issue remains — they are all unclassifiable because they do not breed true. An Eskimo on Eskimo mating always produces another Eskimo. A Hottentot on Hottentot mating always produces another Hottentot and it makes no difference where the delivery room is, whether in Alaska or Miami Beach. A Ford manufactured in Detroit, is still a Ford. A Negro manufactured in America is still a Negro. To call the inhabitants of our biological sewer "Americans" makes about as much sense as to call all automobiles "Detroits." Did you ever observe a litter of mongrel pups, or alley cats? The odd collection of colors and sizes is what Clinton calls "representative of America." All of the involved separate breeds which went into producing these mongrels have vanished.

Evidence indicates that the Negroes, Japanese, northern Europeans, etc. belong to separate species. And when it comes to the separate classifications of Hominids, a very special place should be reserved for that species of man which has the unique features of blue-eyes, blond hair, and cream-colored skin.

In Europe, the Caucasian species is divided into races such as Alpine and Nordic. The Korean and Japanese are races of an Asian species. Never forget, that the direction

of all science is determined by political power and today that power is hell-bent upon making everyone blind to the over-whelming differences which exist between the various Hominid groups. The jews, and their christian yes-men, want the planet to be infested with race-mixed people, that is, ultimate jews — the United Nations' brown man. The jews, with their Hollywood instrument, have been stating this for nearly 75 years. They call this mixing of bloods "the spirit of America." (Recently, a Canadian TV station surprisingly aired a program revealing this. It was quite astounding to hear how the Hollywood moguls really hated peoples who still retained their distinctive species, and racial, characteristics, whether Negroid, Mongoloid, or whatever.)

If you still believe that men should be biologically classified with a set of rules different from those applied to the other animals, then I'd like to invite you for a ride in my UFO where we shall talk to God direct instead of paying middleman huckster Pat Robertson to pass His words on as hearsay.

If the black rat (*Rattus rattus*) refuses to breed with the brown Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), even though they are inter-fertile and occupy the same territory, then why do you support destructive games such as "guess who's coming to dinner"?

A biological classification is nothing more than a label and Americans, as the jews they mostly are, are transfixed by labels to the point of obsession. Content is reality. Labels are not.

(Note: To those who are serious evolutionists, please be advised that very recent discoveries in cell chemistry are starting to punch large holes in the theory of evolution. This, of course, doesn't mean that religious hokum is being vindicated.) □

Pro-Zionist Bias Permeates the Judiciary in Argentina

By
Guillermo Coletti

I am old enough to remember when the political term "banana republic" was widely utilized as a way to describe, in a fashion less than flattering, a country with very little selfdetermination, one subservient to the will of more powerful nations. This term was frequently found in articles written by selfproclaimed centrists, as well as leftists, to depict the influence exercised; for example, by the CIA in underdeveloped Central and South American countries. It was for many years a very effective punchline. Considering the fastmoving pace of today's rising Israeli influence in the region, particularly in Argentina, the term should be updated to a more appropriate one: Kosher Republic. Argentina, currently under an administration with an unequivocal determination to obedience to the World Jewish Congress and the state of Israel, has proven itself once more as a lackey. This loss of Argentina's sovereignty must not be seen as casualtyfree.

The latest arbitrariness has been exercised upon the Constitutional rights of Alfredo Astiz, a retired Navy Captain, Argentinian hero of the mid1970's war against Communist terrorism and a distinguished veteran of the MalvinasFalklands conflict.

Capt. Astiz recently granted an interview to *Trespuntos* magazine. During the course of the interview, Capt. Astiz defended the war against Communist terrorism. He went on to add that he had been trained to kill. Soon after these comments were made public, actually immediately after, representatives of the Jewish lobby made numerous public manifestations of disapproval. They actually do things like.

that very openly. Unfortunately, these outbursts of arrogance are never comprehended in their real magnitude and significance by the uncritical average Argentinian. Jewish activists have chosen to call Astiz "the blonde angel of death", unlike the other "angel of death", the Mediterranean looking German scientist Dr. Josef Mengele, who wasn't blonde. In 1990's Argentina, Zionist forces always find echo among the structures of Democratic power, a tightly closed new elite of politicians, journalists, entertainers and the flamboyant nouveau rich.

What follows is a brief chronology of these events.

Day one: *Trespuntos* releases the January 1998 issue containing Astiz's interview.

Day two: Jewish leaders condemn Astiz's statements.

Day three: the Establishment media follow Jewish concerns and support them with editorials and commentaries, the usual diatribes.

Day four: even Argentinian President Carlos Menem commented publicly on the matter, saying that he was going to look into what possibility there was "within the law" to punish Capt. Astiz.

Day five: the Argentinian circus keeps growing as new voices add to the campaign against Astiz: militant lesbians and homosexuals, a local Rock star recovering from heroine addiction, mothers of fallen Marxist terrorists, comedians, the Greens, and others.

The matter has now become strictly political and the handlers of Democratic power in Argentina are mobilized in full to sacrifice a national hero, in order to please the powerful few. It is important to make clear that whatever punishment was applied against this Argentinian citizen was a violation of Constitutionally protected rights to publicly express one's own ideas. Let's look briefly at these two parts of his comments:

a) Alfredo Astiz defended the role of the Argentinian Armed Forces during the 1970's war against armed Communist terrorists. The period of civil unrest in Argentina was indeed a very painful period. And so, most citizens, and in this particular case politicians as well, have decided to go on with the business of building a country and leaving behind old resentments. A law of forgiveness, an Amnesty law was passed granting pardon to all parties involved in that war, Communists as well as Patriots. It is important that this be understood: everyone was forgiven, regardless of political persuasion. After the threat of prosecution was lifted, the leftists were the first to begin talking quite openly about their past paramilitary operations. They have written books loaded with gruesome details on how they executed political enemies in captivity, or how they set bombs that killed men, women and children. Some of these accounts even describe in detail how kidnapped people were shot in the head, and even the size of the holes made in the skulls by the bullets. The leftists had shown no repentance for any single violent act of terrorism they have ever committed. Of course, no serious political observer would expect them to react otherwise. Nevertheless, when a man from the other side, in this case Capt. Astiz, defends the participation of the Armed Forces in that internal conflict, he's been accused of "advocating disobedience to the law" and is, consequently, expelled from the Navy, although he is already retired. His pension benefits are taken away. How a retired member of the Navy can be demoted is something that puzzles me. The other part of the punishment, denying to a dissident his pension benefits, is a form of punishment that is widely applied in Germany to nationalists as a response to pressure from Jewish groups and the state of Israel. It is important to bear in mind that these actions come from the corners of the promoters of absolute Democracy. American politician Adlai Stevenson used to say that Democracy was about unpopular ideas, the unbending, guaranteed right of individuals to express all beliefs, not only those held by the majority or

by the government, but also those despised by the masses. Of course, the substance of this sort of peachy rhetoric does not go beyond the frenzy of an electoral campaign.

b) The second part of the comment, which was used to create the emotional climate for the persecution of Astiz, is that he was trained to kill. Isn't that an essential part of the training of any soldier, in any country? Aren't Israeli, or American, soldiers trained to kill? Isn't fighting wars the primary function of an army?

After reviewing the above referenced events words of Aristotle came to mind: "It is the nature of the many to be amenable to fear but not to the sense of honor".

☆ ☆ ☆

A White House Jew Confesses

By

Guillermo Coletti

"The Jew everywhere feels himself a cosmopolitan",
Friedrich von Hellwald,
Zur Charakteristik des jüdischen Volkes, 1872.

After winning the Presidential election of 1992, President Clinton promised to form a team of men and women who were going to reflect the human fiber of America. He proceeded to fill more than 50% of his appointments with Jewish individuals. The remaining were staffed with a mix of Lesbians, Homosexuals, Negroes, Mulattos, Quadroons, Octadroons, and, yes, there was even room for a handful of heterosexual White men. Clinton's Presidency has already received a lot of criticism from wellmeaning and decent Americans, I have nothing new to add to the subject. But among his many colorful appointees there was a very interesting Hebrew character, a Mr. Robert Reich: In spite of bearing a last name reminiscent of glorious bygone days of

the German nation, this Reich is Jewish, and very happy about it. As a matter of fact, his recently released book, *Locked in the Cabinet*, speaks to that.

I will quote, for the reader's enjoyment and intellectual torment, a very small part of the book. The quote that will follow reflects a dramatic double standard, but that is nothing new and it is not the most serious element to emanate from this citation. Reich recalls his first meeting with Alan Greenspan, the *Oberjude* head of the Federal Reserve. Of Greenspan he starts saying that he is a "shy little Jewish guy". He then continues by adding:

"We have never met before, but I instantly know him ...

He is New York. He is Jewish. He looks my uncle Louis, his voice is my uncle Sam. I feel we've been together at countless weddings, bar mitzvahs, and funerals. I know his genetic structure. I'm certain that within the last five hundred years ... we shared the same ancestor."

After reading this passage I spent quite a while looking for the right words to accompany my input. I considered arrogance, effrontery, illhumor, petulance, cockiness. Let me expand.

I do no longer wish to hear about conspiracy theories. A vital component is absent from the conspiracy picture, a component necessary to make a conspiracy a conspiracy. That component is secrecy. There is no more secrecy about Jewish power. The manifestation and the evidence of this subversive phenomenon is out in the open. Its arrival was celebrated with neon signs and colored balloons, and was sealed with hundreds of deals President Reagan has made with the state of Israel. If you have eyes, you can see Jewish power. They are celebrating it right in front of us, and we are being billed for it. They are telling to our faces that they are in charge. Jews now adorn their sentences with Yiddish or Hebrew terminology. They call their shikshas

shiksas and gois gois. There is no need to spell it. They don't boast about their racial power because there is racial equality, or because there is a double standard; those are myths. They boast about their power for two reasons: reason one is obvious, they have power and there is no racial equality; reason two is less obvious, they have an uncontrollable emotional need to dance and feast over the suffering and the defeat of others that always, I repeat, always, preceded their expulsion. Jews are a different breed, and in their loudness, they are as tasteless as Puerto Ricans or Haitians. If we let ourselves fall too deep, they will treat us with as much respect and consideration as they treat the Palestinians. I hope I'm not confusing you, because I really want you to understand.

This Robert Reich's hymn to the genetic decomposition his underrace has represented for centuries tells us that they no longer see a need to keep up the appearances for us. They're bold, they need not to be cautious, or so they think. How can we remain passive observers of this defiance? We Aryans seem to have a rather difficult time dealing with the realities of the Jewish question. Nevertheless, there have been moments of historical determination. After all, "anti Semitism is the final consequence of Judaism" (F.W. Nietzsche, *The Antichrist*) an unavoidable result of their own actions. The Roman Emperors designated the Hebrews as "secta nefaria (Nefarious Sect)", in a number of edicts dating after 326 B.C. The French understood the problem in 1322, Queen Isabella found answers in 1492, the city of Vienna dealt with this matter efficiently in 1671, and the same happened in Prague in 1745, and that much can be said of the Norwegians and the Swedes in 1814. The dilemma for modern Aryan man is to decide "where to draw the line".

Robert Reich's passing through the White House is already part of the history of America. He is an icon. "He is New York ... He is Jewish!", to borrow some of his own

wording. He has been praised by the media as a genius. Is he a genius? He is definitely respected at least just as much as Harvey Milk, Abbie Hoffman, Allen Ginsberg, or Julius and Ethel Rosenberg.

In a recent issue of *Tikkun Magazine* review (Vol. 12, No. 4) David Biale, reviewing Robert Reich's book, writes:

"The charm of his book lies, consciously or not, in a classic Jewish stance toward the pomp and pomposity of goyish power."

I wish to end this brief piece by noting that sometimes individuals like myself, who look at the 1945 destruction of Europe with great sadness, observe this kind of events with a smile on our faces. You will forgive me, but only if you know what I have meant.

☆ ☆ ☆

More on Polygamy for Aryans

by Guillermo Coletti

When I decided to write on polygamy I knew I was about to touch on a very controversial topic. Polygamy is one of those subjects, like Race, that are hardly ever discussed with candor in our democratic society. The rigidity around it has been made possible by the efforts of Christian and Judaic Churches, Conservatives, as well as Feminists and advocates of any and all policies aimed at removing Aryan man from influence, the ultimate feminization of man. American official stand on polygamy is quite clear; it is illegal. The country has no problem with sodomites parading their so-called "Gay pride", but it has banned polygamy. There is no legal problem with multiple divorces either. My article "Polygamy for Aryans" was published in the October 1997 issue of *Liberty Bell* and the December 1997 issue of *WAR*. As far as I can remember, no

previous article of mine generated so much mail from within the ranks of people involved in the struggle. The reactions have been extreme: some people sent me almost fanatical letters of support, calling me to continue writing on the matter, while others reacted against my article with great contempt. Of course, I have a great deal of appreciation for all of the people who understood what I was trying to convey. Nevertheless, I do also appreciate the challenge presented by some of those on the other end. To my disappointment, none of the critical letters made a case for monogamy. I believe it is vital for those who oppose polygamy to be capable to articulate a defense for monogamy. The benefits of monogamy had yet to be expressed in a cohesive and comprehensive way, free of superstitious dogma, if that is at all possible. Let's review some of the most notable arguments.

A Christian gentleman says my proposal to discuss polygamy is dangerous because it "infects Aryan thinking" with nonAryan traditions. This Christian gentleman fails to qualify "Aryan tradition", as well as he evidently fails to acknowledge that Christianity is a nonAryan "infection". Christianity was for the ancient Aryan what a Hollywood movie is for the modern Aryan: a fable conceived by Jews, aimed at manipulating Aryan behavior, casted with Jewish actors. Christianity distorted all previously known beliefs embraced and conceived by Aryans; it brought us monotheism and monogamy. Discussing polygamy is part of discussing our past. Ancient Aryan man was not monogamy inclined. All Ancient Aryan societies that manifested themselves in a written manner, have made this point quite clear. From the Odyssey to most Viking Sagas, Aryan man always sought after more than one female companion. Denying that is simply falsifying history.

Another gentleman stated that monogamy brought a sense of order to Aryan societies. That viewpoint subscribes, at least in an unspoken manner, to the much

propagated falsehood that Ancient Aryan was some kind of inferior creature, incapable of order, progress or civilization. Aryan man always looked for a sense of order in every society he established. The taming of modern man, through monotheism and monogamy, has brought us closer to catastrophe than glory. I reject the ideal of a society in which Aryan man is viewed as a domesticated animal. I embrace Nietzschean principle that man is something that must be overcome, replaced by a superman who will be intellectually superior while maintaining a harmony with Nature, his beastly needs and instincts. It is no coincidence that the taming of the Aryan occurs simultaneously with the advancement of Universalism and the embracement of sentimental feminine beliefs, such as "turn the other cheek" and the ever increasing love for the weak and the inferior.

Liberty Bell's January 1998 issue published a letter from an anonymous reader who seems to have been offended by the discussion of polygamy. This individual too fails to explain why he thinks polygamy is such a terrible thing. He writes: "...I was thinking more in terms of Polyandry [female polygamy] with so few worthy Aryan females who will have anything to do with today's impotent Aryan male". Unlike polyandry, polygamy does have a biological purpose. A man with many spouses can impregnate them all, while a woman with many spouses will only be impregnated by one at a time, and then will have to wait some nine months for the next pregnancy. The Aryan man in Chile about whom I was writing, had eight wives and at the time I wrote the article every single one was pregnant, meaning that in a short period there will be eight more Aryan babies. What possible genetic benefit can derive from Polyandry? The same reader questioned my praise of Mormon polygamy and asked if I had polled the wives. The answer is, of course, I have not polled the wives. Mormon polygamy was not intended as an entertainment for their women. The Mormons were, at one point in their early his-

tory, Aryans who have not enjoyed religious tolerance from mainstream America. They went from persecution to prosperity. What follows are a few examples of institutionalized intolerance against Mormonism. From the Republican National Platform, 1888: "The political power of the Mormon Church in the territories as exercised in the past is a menace to free institutions". In response to Mormonism the Democratic Party included the following statement in its 1904 National Platform: "We demand the extermination of polygamy within the jurisdiction of the United States". The Mormons established a very prosperous community in which they enjoyed the benefits of their own lifestyle, they have made out of Utah an exceptional state which has yet to be affected by the filth (racemixing, crime, drugs, etc.) which affects much of the rest of the country. Polygamy also helped them enlarge their community while maintaining their genetic backbone. This reader suggests that I can take the idea of polygamy for Aryans and "shove it".

A young Aryan lady, who has been and remains a very good friend of mine, felt that the issue of polygamy implied a lack of equality between Aryan man and Aryan woman. My answer was brief: "there is no equality between man and woman ... and it is precisely because of that inequality that we complement ... one has what the other needs and thus life is created". Then I quoted one of my favorite German thinkers, Arthur Schopenhauer, from his *On Women*, 1851: "The institution of monogamy, and the laws of marriage which it entails, bestow upon the woman an unnatural position of privilege, by considering her throughout as the full equivalent of the man, which is by no means the case".

I believe this followup has answered the most important issues raised in response to the original article. □

18 — Liberty Bell / April 1998

UK General Election 1997

ONLY A CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT IN A REGIME OF RUIN

By Colin Jordan

The UK General Election earlier this year was overbearing presented by the mind-moulding media for weeks preceding it as a most momentous matter of choice: a decisive exercise of the freedom of the people to decide their government which is said to distinguish and evaluate democracy. Yet in truth it was but a highly successful exercise in the art of fooling the people, contriving very much ado about very little of significant difference, this being the feature of this dishonest system of deprivation by way of delusion. Both its aim and its outcome have been nothing much more than a reshuffle of office-holders resulting from a change in the predominant section of the same, old gang of seedy, sordid, swindling politicians responsible collectively for Britain's ghastly decline and alienation in the past half century.

In short and in general it was a phoney election whereby the same sort of people and the same sort of ideas were set to continue despite all the laborious pretence of alteration. With it we have had yet another of those periodic coups of confidence trickery characterising the system of debasement under which we suffer in the name of democracy.

Regarding the reshuffle of players in the parliamentary pantomime, in place of the multiracialist Major with his Jewish wife, we now have the multiracialist Blair, bringing us with his power-greedy, glinting eyes, cocksure expression and well rehearsed, plastic smile the revelation that we now have at the helm a smarter, political conjuror than his jaded predecessor, Blair's sweeping victory in the reshuffle as the purported ehampion of the Common Man was primarily and ironically financed by a bevy of hugely

Liberty Bell / April 1998 — 19

wealthy, Jewish businessmen, confident in the expectation that he who pays the piper calls the tune in British politics. Profit, not benevolence towards the Common Man, was their spur in making Blair their man.

Blair's immediate handler in his role of protégé of Jewry is the Jew, Peter Mandelson. This principal adviser and general factotum to the leader of "New Labour" has had his role regularised, being now designated "Minister Without Portfolio".

Regarding the views of the players in the parliamentary pantomime, an underlying consensus existed before the phoney election, exists after it, and is in fact at all times a feature of the democratic system. Hence it was that all the prime parties of so-called democracy, Conservative, Labour and Liberal-Democrat alike, banned from their election campaigns any mention of the most basic issue of all, namely the question of the preservation of a Britain for the British, being united as one in having allowed and promoted alien immigration into and alien control over our country, and in the intention to let this continue.

All three of these old parties of misrule have been and remain, without any basic difference, committed to what has amounted to the greatest crime in our history, the Coloured Invasion of Britain, thereby negating all the centuries of struggle by the British folk to repel invaders. This makes these treacherous politicians of the old system undoubtedly the greatest, as yet unpunished, criminals in our history, richly deserving not some seat at Westminster, but instead standing room only over the trapdoor on a scaffold with a noose round the neck, awaiting the death drop. These nauseous dissemblers of democracy, endlessly profess their concern for the people of Britain. However, the people they are concerned with are not specifically and exclusively our own, native, Anglo-Saxon and Celtic breed of this island, as it should be. Instead they include anyone and everyone, whether African or Asian or some motley mixture, whom they have wrongfully admitted to residence

here, and look to manipulate for votes in the head-counting game on which they depend for power, privilege and profit.

One and the same party they are in their willful and woeful disregard of the fact that our greatest national treasure, our richest natural resource, lies not in gold in the vaults of banks or in minerals in the soil, but in our race, meaning our Anglo-Saxon and Celtic stock which gave Britain its character, made her great in the past, and which alone can make her great again in the future. At one and the same time these parties of ruin, whichever one is in turn in power, commit both the crime of commission and that of omission regarding our race. That is to say they encourage our folk to mix with and mate with African and Asian immigrants who are not, never have been and never will be truly, namely racially British. Simultaneously they deliberately abstain from providing any encouragement to the dwindling White birthrate in Britain, either by direct incentive or indirectly by other reform: a White birthrate which is overshadowed by the soaring birthrate of all the Coloureds they have allowed to come here.

The inevitable outcome of this racial betrayal by the old parties will be the eventual reduction of the British folk to a minority in what was once their own country, alongside the proliferation of the Coloureds plus all the mongrels resulting from miscegenation. Those pillars of democracy, the old parties, have never responded and never will respond to the will of the White people of Britain to keep Britain White.

Not only are they one and the same party in ignoring the will of the people, despite all their declarations as to democracy's obedience to that will, they are one and the same in forcing Coloured settlement and a Jewish presence and power on the British people by repressive laws obliging them to mix with and accept the racial aliens, and punishing outspoken criticism of and opposition to that Coloured settlement and that Jewish presence and power. The only difference due to the accession of the Jewish-funded Labour Party to a turn in office is the likelihood of

even more, new laws of repression demanded by the Jews and Coloureds. Forever babbling of their devotion to freedom, the dishonest parties of democracy are in reality the enemies of true, British freedom.

They have argued before, during and after the election as to the merits and demerits of this and that scheme of international management of British affairs, unitedly accepting the need for and the desirability of alien influence and control, and unitedly opposing any advocacy of exclusive British control of exclusively British affairs. Theirs is indeed a veritable union in favour of the alienation of our affairs along with the alienation of the occupancy of our homeland. They stand as one, whether led by Major or by Blair, in a conspiracy of silence regarding the power of the Jews in Britain today: a power in violation of the still existing law of King Edward the First expelling this alien people from England in 1290, by virtue of which law they are still today to be ranked as illegal immigrants.

They are also as one party of but varying sections in their avoidance or derisive treatment of real patriotism, grotesquely acclaiming nationalism for other countries, most of all if they are African or Asian, while deploring it for ours. Also one party they are in their failure to provide any dynamic inspiration for our youth; their failure to provide them with any tutelage in the social responsibilities of constructive patriotism; their failure to provide them with any effective stimulation to serve the nation above self.

Instead, they have with monstrous guilt left our citizens of the future to dissipate themselves in mind-enfeebling, negroid, jungle-music; to waste their time in vacuous loitering at street corners and utterly shallow pursuits; to resort to hooliganism and vandalism because untutored in better outlets for their energy; and to descend to mind-and-body-destroying drugs because of the void in their lives, and the consequent sense of frustration and futility resulting from the failure of governments to present them with a patriotic purpose in life. The sight of our neglected, disheveled, degenerate inheritors of tomorrow's Britain is illus-

tration enough and condemnation enough in itself of the culpability of the old parties of democracy. All the faults of our youth are simply the true reflections of the appalling failings of these parties of ruin representing democracy.

One and the same they are in their failure to cope with the mounting crime in the disintegrating society they have brought about, and in which they rank as the biggest criminals of all, the robbers and destroyers of race and nation and homeland. The breakdown of law and order under these arch-criminals is indicative — as is the sight of the degraded youth of today — of that sickness of society caused by the neglect and the maladministration of democracy's old parties

General Elections, whether the recent one or previous ones, have been utterly fraudulent in that their purpose, as rigged by the old parties, has been to provide the public with an illusion of choice and change to keep them content, while ensuring by the manipulation of restriction and selection and the despotic influence of their media that one or other of the sections of the old order with fundamentally the same or very similar views continue to control things, so that the democratic consensus is everlasting. This is the nature of the workings of that essentially dishonest and inherently injurious system known as democracy, which is unalterably dedicated to policies causing national decline and racial ruin. This will always remain so because the disposition to damage is an inevitable and essential part of it.

Face up to it and accept it: if Britain and the British folk are to survive and revive, we have to overthrow and replace this ruinous system. Nothing other and less will do. We must not tolerate it. We must not participate in it and thereby bolster and sustain it. To take part in what are in reality rigged elections under that system, either in support of the old parties or of new ones which believe they are set to oust the old ones, but which are futile because either they will certainly be blocked in one way or another by the old ones, or will necessarily take on in time the character

of the old ones in order to survive, thus joining them, not beating them: to do any of this is deadly folly which will definitely ensure that our very last chance to rescue our race and nation and homeland is doomed to utter failure.

What has to be done is completely to reject the present system in its entirety, rejecting its rigged elections, boycotting them all and thereby disrupting them by abstention. The fraudulent nature of present democracy has to be exposed and denounced all the time in every way possible. At the same time and beyond this it is vital for the sake of race and nation to sabotage in every imaginative way possible the structure and the workings of this democratic system of ruin, so as to bring about its breakdown. Only its breakdown can open the door to that vital, revolutionary change of system without which Britain as we know and value it will certainly be finally finished.

REPRINTED from "National Socialist World White Web" (No. 2 1997), Dept. W.W., BCM 4161, London, WC1N 3XX.

Reprint from original typescript
Printed & Published by Gothic Ripples
Thorgharth, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate, HG3 5JQ, England.

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb.) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian experiment on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's 1984" — R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" — Dr. A.J. App). **THE ANTI-HUMANS**, Order #01013. Sale priced, single copy \$2.00 + \$1.50 postage, 10 for \$15.00 + \$5.00 postage. Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS,
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Exposing the Warmongers

by Dr. William L. Pierce

Every evening when I have turned on the television news during the past few weeks, the message has had the same theme: Saddam Hussein must be stopped! Saddam Hussein is a danger to America! We must destroy Saddam Hussein! About the only difference I've noticed in these daily news messages is that they're steadily becoming more strident, more demanding, more imperative: We must destroy Saddam Hussein! And in support of this message the television people are using all of their tricks to stir up public opinion in favor of another war against Iraq. Day after day we hear things like: "Saddam continues to defy the United Nations. Saddam thumbs his nose at America. Saddam challenges us to do something about his illegal actions."

These inflammatory comments are made against a background of old film footage of Saddam in his military uniform, grinning at us. NBC's news anchor Tom Brokaw seems really impatient: "Why don't we take out Saddam now?" he asks. "Why don't we just go in there and kill him? What are we waiting for?" That's pretty much the refrain heard from all of the news commentators.

Now, how exactly is Saddam Hussein defying us? Saddam is saying, "Iraq is our country. It is a sovereign country. It doesn't belong to the United Nations or to the United States. We're tired of you people sticking your noses into our business and telling us how to run our country. Get out! Leave us alone! Go away!" And, of course, he's threatened to shoot down our spy planes if we continue to fly over Iraq without Iraq's permission.

Pretty intolerable behavior, right? A pretty good reason for us to start another war and bomb Iraq back into the Stone Age, right? Complete justification for slaughtering a few hundred thousand more Iraqis, right? What does this guy Saddam think in demanding that we respect the sover-

eignty of his country? We're bigger than he is, so we don't have to respect him, right? If these television people who are so hot for a new war against Iraq would just come right out and say that, I would find their warmongering a little easier to take. If they would just say, "Hey, we're bigger and stronger than Iraq, and so we can make the Iraqis do whatever we tell them. We don't have to respect them or treat them the way we expect to be treated. We can push them around as much as we want, and if they don't like it we'll just kill them."

But of course, the last thing we expect from our controlled news media is honesty. They want their war, and they also want to make us believe that the war is Saddam's fault, not ours. And so day after day the television news people tell us about how Saddam is threatening the security of the world and defying America and just begging for us to go in there and "take him out," to use Tom Brokaw's euphemism for political assassination.

If we want to begin justifying high-level political assassination, I can think of a head of state much closer to home than Saddam who ought to be "taken out," someone whose elimination would really do America a lot of good.

It was just 11 months ago, on December 21, 1996, when I predicted on this program that the Jewish media bosses would make a major effort to take us into another war during Mr. Clinton's second term in office. I based my prediction on two sets of facts: first, that Mr. Clinton had just appointed an all-Jewish foreign-policy team for his second term; and second, that Clinton's second term was an opportunity the Jews couldn't afford to miss to use American military force to wipe out Israel's rivals in the Middle East once and for all.

And now, I fear, my prediction is coming true. If this current drive to start another war with Iraq is what I'm afraid it is, then it'll involve much more than merely another attempt to assassinate Saddam Hussein. They'll manage to escalate it into something which will wipe out all of their rivals in the Middle East and leave Israel a free hand to continue her policies of expansion. And it will end up costing America a lot

more than a few billion dollars worth of military hardware and a few thousand more unexplained cases of veterans suffering from "Gulf War syndrome." A lot more.

You know, there are many people in addition to the Jews pushing for another war to destroy Iraq. There are the trendy New World Order types who are hostile to the idea of national sovereignty and believe that all of the countries of the world ought to be subordinated to the United Nations and forced to obey UN directives, by military means if necessary. That is, they say they believe that, so long as the country in defiance of the United Nations isn't Israel. Israel has been thumbing its nose at the UN for years and defying one UN resolution after another, building new Jewish settlements on land seized by military force from Israel's neighbors and refusing to permit UN inspection teams to look for evidence of illegal weapons in Israel.

And there are even more people who believe that a war against Iraq can be justified if it will destroy Iraq's capability to build so-called "weapons of mass destruction." The controlled media have been full of horror stories recently about the possibility that Iraq may develop and use biological and chemical weapons capable of killing millions of people. And let's face it: that is a possibility. The genie is out of the bottle. Modern technology gives even very small countries the ability to build very destructive weapons. It is a real danger.

But if we want to combat that danger, Iraq is not the place to start. Israel has developed chemical, biological, and nuclear weapons of mass destruction, and that's something that really should worry the world. After all, Saddam may be a dictator, but he's never given any evidence of having the frighteningly dangerous combination of hair-trigger paranoia and delusions of grandeur that afflicts Israeli leaders. He hasn't been caught sending Iraqi agents into other countries to assassinate religious or political leaders with exotic biological or chemical weapons. But Israel's current prime minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, has been caught sending Israeli agents to do exactly that.

I don't know whether Mr. Netanyahu is a religious man

or not, but all too many of his fellow Israelis are. Orthodox Jews wield the balance of power in Israel, and their religion teaches them explicitly that they are a "chosen people," that their tribal god chose them to rule over all the other peoples of the earth, that all the wealth of the Gentiles really belongs to the Jews, and that anyone who refuses to submit to Jewish rule should be killed. If you never learned that in Sunday School, find yourself a Bible and read the Book of Isaiah. I mean, really read it. Read it carefully. Think about its meaning. And then remind yourself that whacked-out, nutcase religious Jews who believe Isaiah's bloodthirsty, chauvinistic ravings — believe them literally — are in control of one of the world's largest arsenals of chemical and biological weapons.

If there's any situation which calls for a massive, preemptive military strike to safeguard the world from a madman using weapons of mass destruction, it's the situation in Israel today.

When was the last time you heard Mr. Clinton insisting that Israel should permit a UN inspection team to check for biological and chemical weapons in that country?

And certainly one cannot fall back on the excuse that it's only little countries which must not be permitted to have such weapons. Iraq has four times the population of Israel.

Let's review this conflict with Iraq objectively for a moment. America has no good reason to pick a fight with Iraq. Iraq poses no threat to us. Iraq wants nothing from us but to be left alone. Unlike Israel, Iraq has never even asked us for a handout.

In 1991 we bombed Baghdad and slaughtered more than 100,000 Iraqis because they had invaded Kuwait — which in fact used to belong to them before it had been taken away during the colonial period. And then we imposed a crippling economic embargo on the defeated Iraqis — an embargo which has caused the deaths of an estimated half-million Iraqi infants and children during the past six years and which is maintained because of Israeli insistence. So the Iraqis have plenty of reason to hate us now, but no reason to

try to hurt us if we would just leave them alone. Iraqi interests lie in the Middle East and only in the Middle East.

The reason we are headed toward another war with Iraq is solely because of the influence of Jews on the government of the United States. It certainly isn't because we are concerned about Iraq's development of weapons of mass destruction. If we were serious about that sort of thing we would have stopped Israel from developing its chemical, biological, and nuclear arsenal. The reason it's all right with our government for the Jews to have weapons of mass destruction but not all right for the Iraqis to have them is that the Jews control the news and entertainment media in the United States — and thus wield effective control over the political process here — and the Iraqis don't. And that's the *only* reason.

So let's cut out the baloney about the "rule of law" in international affairs or about the need to keep weapons of mass destruction out of the hands of dangerous and unpredictable people. Weapons of mass destruction already are in the hands of dangerous and unpredictable people, and a lot more dangerous and unpredictable people will have them in the future.

And why is that? Why is the world faced with the very real threat that truly horrendous chemical or biological weapons will be used in the future? Well, in the case of the Middle East, the reason is the policy that the United States government has had imposed on it by the Jewish minority here. Israel is the continuing sore point in that part of the world. It is Israel which first introduced weapons of mass destruction into the Middle East. And it is Israel's aggression, supported by the United States, which has put pressure on countries like Iraq to develop their own weapons of mass destruction. Any country which can develop them, any country which has the ability to develop them, will develop them if it feels threatened, because whether or not it's obvious to Americans, it's obvious to the rest of the world that there is no such thing as justice or a rule of law in international affairs. They've seen the way things have worked in the Middle

East for the past 50 years. So let's not fool ourselves with the pretense that Saddam Hussein is some sort of crazy, power-mad gangster and we're the good guys working to maintain international security and prevent a catastrophe. We are the ones who brought about the introduction of weapons of mass destruction into the Middle East through our support of Israel.

And we are the ones who caused the present crisis in the Middle East, again through our support of Israel. And the solution to the present crisis is not to bomb Baghdad again or to try again to assassinate Iraq's president or to starve more Iraqi children by maintaining the embargo against Iraq. That's the policy that Mr. Clinton's secretary of state, Madeleine Albright, is trying to persuade Iraq's neighbors to go along with, but fortunately they're not buying it. They're saying, "Listen, we want peace and stability in this region. We don't want weapons of mass destruction used here by anybody. And we'll support an effort to police Iraq — if the United Nations at the same time will police Israel and force that country to surrender its weapons of mass destruction and to abide by UN resolutions."

That, of course, is completely unacceptable to the Jews, to the "chosen people," and so Madeleine Albright has been waddling from one Middle Eastern meeting to another during the past two weeks twisting the arms of everyone she can get her hooks into. She and her fellow Jews are determined to get rid of Saddam Hussein and cripple Iraq by any means necessary. As their hope of lining up the United Nations to do the job for them fades, they'll certainly be developing a scheme to get the United States to do it alone.

We can expect some sort of manufactured excuse for a U.S. assault on Iraq. Don't be surprised to hear on the news any day now about some sort of "provocation" by Iraq to justify the United States starting a new war. Perhaps the CIA will stir up some sort of insurrection in a part of Iraq where the U.S. government has told Saddam Hussein he's not permitted to send his troops, and when he moves to put down the insurrection the Clinton government will use that as its

pretext for attacking.

As I mentioned, I predicted this 11 months ago. Of course, I didn't know what the details would be, but it was clear that the Jewish power structure was planning a new war. And of course, I don't know just how the present situation will develop. It is even possible that the lack of cooperation they are getting from other countries will persuade the Jews to back off temporarily, until they can apply more diplomatic and economic pressure to other UN members. But I doubt it. I'm expecting the war sooner rather than later, despite Mr. Clinton's big pretense of reluctance, his big pretense of wanting to bully Iraq into line by diplomacy rather than by military force. I don't know when it will come. I don't know how it will come. But I know that it's coming.

I'll make another prediction. We'll see the use of weapons of mass destruction sooner than anyone would like. All of the horror stories about the terrorist use of chemical and biological weapons the Jews have been publishing in the controlled mass media recently in an effort to drum up public support for a preemptive strike against Iraq will start coming true — especially if the Clinton government does what the Jews are demanding and attacks Iraq.

Put yourself in the position of a patriotic Iraqi, or a religious Muslim, or any non-Jewish patriot in the Middle East. You hesitate to use chemical or biological weapons as long as there is any hope that justice will prevail without their use. But when you have given up all hope for justice, then you will do whatever you can. The suicide bombers who have been blowing themselves up in Israel to protest the lack of justice for Palestinians will be showing up in New York, Washington, Los Angeles, and Chicago. But they won't be using old-fashioned explosives. And the Muslim suicide teams who have been shooting up buses of tourists in Egypt to protest the Egyptian government's collaboration with the Great Satan will move their operations into the Great Satan itself, and they'll be using more than rifles and submachine guns.

It is coming, and many, many innocent people will die. It is coming because our government has let itself be controlled

by Jews, because our government's policies have been based on what's good for the Jews and what's good for Israel rather than on what's good for Americans. And the Jews always, throughout history, have overreached themselves. They never have been content to mind their own business and take their own share and leave other people alone. Too many Jews really believe the ravings of Isaiah. They really believe that they can have it all, that they deserve it all, and that whatever they do in their greed to get it all is justified. That's why Mr. Clinton's horrid, little Jewess, Madeleine Albright, is continuing to try to pressure Iraq's neighbors into acquiescing in another war against that country.

In a way, perhaps it's a good thing that all of this is coming to a head now, like a boil that has been festering. We Americans have become far too complacent, far too comfortable, far too credulous, far too tolerant of evil and injustice and of encroachments against us by everyone else. When someone from the Middle East who has given up all hope of justice for his people pops a biological grenade in a New York subway tunnel or on the grounds of the Washington Monument or somewhere else in the United States, and thousands of our people start dying, some of those who have been too complacent and too tolerant will begin to change their attitudes.

Our task — mine and yours — is to do everything we can to ensure that our people also become less credulous, that they stop believing the lies of the mass media, that they begin understanding who is responsible for the catastrophe which is looming before them. Because certainly the Jews and their puppets in the Clinton government will try to place the blame elsewhere. Just as they blamed the Oklahoma City bombing on the militias and on patriots generally rather than on the government's atrocious behavior in the Waco massacre two years earlier, so they will blame the coming use of weapons of mass destruction by terrorists on the enemies of the Jews instead of on the Jews themselves, whose policies caused the desperation which led to the terrorism.

This article first appeared in the December 1997 issue of *Free Speech*. *Free Speech* is available for \$40 per year from: National Vanguard Books, P.O. Box 330, Hillsboro, WV, 24946

☆ ☆ ☆

The Lesson of Haiti

by Dr. William L. Pierce

This month the last of the United Nations "peacekeeping" troops in Haiti will leave, and the Haitians will be given yet another chance to try to govern themselves. The "peacekeepers" occupied Haiti, along with 23,000 U.S. troops, three years ago, in order to force the government of General Raoul Cedras to resign so that a Clinton favorite, Jean-Bertrand Aristide, could be installed as president. The reasons presented to the American public for this interference in Haiti's affairs were that General Cedras was a "dictator" and that he didn't respect the "human rights" of the Haitians. Mr. Clinton's friend Aristide, on the other hand, was said to be a "democrat" and a respecter of human rights.

Actually, Aristide is a former priest turned Marxist whose idea of respecting human rights is to incite mobs of his supporters to murder his political opponents by breaking their arms, wiring a gasoline-soaked tire around their necks, and burning them to death — a procedure known as "neck-lacing." Well, that's about par for making a country safe for democracy the United Nations way!

However, the Haitians didn't care much more for Mr. Clinton's Marxist buddy Aristide than they did for General Cedras, and Aristide is out of office again and the Haitians are about to be allowed to run things themselves once more. Well, almost. Five hundred U.S. troops will remain in the country to keep an eye on things. They will call for more help if the need to "make Haiti safe for democracy" arises again.

The Clintonistas aren't bragging very loudly about the

success of their latest effort in that direction, because the situation in Haiti is just about as grim today as it was before the United Nations stuck its nose into things three years ago. About the only significant change is that the flood of Haitian "boat people" washing up on Florida's beaches has slowed somewhat, but that flood was caused in the first place by an embargo imposed on Haiti by the U.S. government in an unsuccessful attempt to force General Cedras out, and the consequent damage to Haiti's already pitifully weak economy. When the embargo was removed, many Haitians decided to stay at home and share in the new goodies brought to them by the Clinton administration.

The U.S. troops built roads, schools, and clinics and pumped a few billion U.S. dollars into the Haitian economy, but a survey of the results of all this effort is not encouraging. The streets of Port-au-Prince still reek of garbage and human waste, political corruption is as bad as it ever was, and violent crime is on the rise. The new roads and clinics built by the United States merely add a superficial appearance of improvement, so that the tourist industry is able to begin making a little money again, but the basic situation of Haiti and the lives of most Haitians remain unchanged.

This sort of thing has happened over and over again in Haiti. It seems that we would have learned something from it. In the 18th century Haiti, then called Saint-Domingue and ruled by the French, was the most prosperous colony in the New World. Its enormously fertile soil produced a great abundance of crops and drew thousands of White French settlers. Unfortunately, Black slaves from Africa were imported to help with the work.

In the late 1700's the madness of the French Revolution, with its truly nutty doctrine of racial equality, infected many Frenchmen, and the Black plantation workers were encouraged to revolt. When they did they brutally murdered every White man, woman, and child in the colony and declared Haiti a republic. What had been the richest and most productive part of the New World promptly sank back to an African level of squalor, misery, and poverty. The roads and cities

built by the French fell into ruin. A peculiarly African mixture of anarchy and despotism took the place of French law and order.

A little over a century later, in 1915, following an especially chaotic and bloody period, U.S. Marines were sent into Haiti to force a semblance of order on the country. The reason for sending them was to safeguard American business interests in Haiti, although President Wilson told Americans that the Marines were being sent to "bring democracy to Haiti." The Marines remained in Haiti for 19 years. They not only enforced governmental stability there, but they also built schools and hospitals, a modern telephone system, and more than 1,000 miles of paved roads with 210 bridges. The U.S. government trained Haitian teachers and doctors. We really gave the Haitians the basis for a fresh start. As soon as the U.S. Marines pulled out in 1934, however, the Haitians returned to their own way of doing things, which is to say, to indolence, corruption, and Voodoo. Everything the Americans had built for them gradually returned to the jungle.

In 1958 the United States sent the Marines to Haiti again, this time with the aim of rebuilding the country's economy and infrastructure so that it would not succumb to Communist influences. We propped up the regime of "Papa Doc" Duvalier, who had been trained in medicine during our first incursion into Haiti, but who was a practitioner of Voodoo as well. He was a brutal and bloody dictator. Again we spent hundreds of millions of dollars rebuilding what the Haitians had wrecked and training thousands of them in the skills needed to keep the country running. But when we pulled out again, the country immediately returned to its old ways: its African ways.

And in 1994 we tried the same foolishness all over again, claiming that we were "restoring democracy" to Haiti.

Why can't we accept the plain and simple truth that it is as impossible to make democrats out of the Haitians as it is to teach them how to maintain their own roads? Why can't we understand that the Haitians are fundamentally different from us, that they are Africans, not Europeans like us: that

they are Negroes, and that left to themselves they must do things in the way Negroes always have done them, with indolence, corruption, and Voodoo?

I have in front of me a book on Haiti written by a British scholar, a fellow of the Royal Geographic Society, following his extended travels in Haiti at the beginning of this century. The book was published by Thomas Nelson and Sons, with offices in London, Edinburgh, Dublin, and New York. The author is Hesketh Prichard, and the title of his book is *Where Black Rules White: A Journey Across and About Hayti*. Prichard chose his title because he was especially interested in the fact that Haiti was a country ruled entirely by its Black population, without the White colonial domination that was present nearly everywhere else in the non-White world at that time. The only Whites in the country were a few hundred businessmen and their agents in the coastal cities. These Whites were not treated well by the government or people of Haiti.

Prichard was basically sympathetic to the Blacks and wanted to see how they lived when they had been introduced to civilization by Whites but were then left completely free to do as they wished, without White control. He writes of Haiti in the first chapter of his book: "There the law of the world is reversed, and the Black man rules. It is one of the few spots on earth where his color sets the Negro upon a pedestal and gives him privileges. The full-blooded African is paramount; even the mulattos and half-breeds are disliked and have been barbarously weeded out as time has passed."

One of the first things Prichard notes about Haiti is the pervasive filth. He was not expecting sanitation to be up to European standards, of course, but he was stunned by the degree of filth he actually encountered, not just in the villages but also in the capital city, Port-au-Prince. And he was struck by the caricatures of finery and elegance which thrived in the midst of this filth. For example, he noticed that every Haitian of any importance at all bore the title of "general" and was equipped with a gaudy general's uniform, replete with gold braid and all the other trimmings. When he

inquired into the military establishment in Haiti, where the total population at that time was under two million, he discovered that the Haitian Army boasted 6,500 generals, 7,000 regimental officers, and 6,500 privates.

Prichard recounts a conversation he had one evening with three Haitian generals. It is a conversation with a surrealistic quality, as are many other things in Haiti. At one level the Black generals are able to converse with a semblance of knowledge of military matters, but at another level it is clear that they are completely out of touch with reality. One is reminded of the classical stereotype of the African cannibal wearing an opera hat and a loincloth.

Prichard's book is filled with fascinating anecdotes and with detailed descriptions of his personal experiences with various facets of Haitian life. He remarks on the good-natured, open-hearted character of the people, who could nevertheless commit the most blood-curdling atrocities at the least provocation. The extreme degree of corruption of the Haitian bureaucracy elicits special attention from Prichard, as does the utterly capricious way in which it operates. The dispensing of justice, in particular, is a caricature of European systems, in which many of the same outward forms are observed.

Prichard also comments on the religious beliefs and practices of the Haitians. The official religion, which they inherited from their former French masters, is Roman Catholicism, but the true religion of the people is Voodoo, a peculiarly African religion with Catholic touches. In religion as in other aspects of Haitian life there is a bizarre blending of White forms with Black substance.

Later in his book Prichard generalizes from many of his observations to reach a fundamental conclusion about life in Haiti: namely, that in all matters regarding their connections with the White world, with White civilization, the Haitians are more concerned with show than with substance, and their ability to mimic the characteristics of White people, both individually and collectively, persuades many people who observe them only superficially and who want to believe

them equal that they really *are* equal.

Prichard writes: "What most astonishes the traveler in Hayti is that they have everything there. Ask for what you please, the answer invariably is, 'Yes, yes, we have it.' They possess everything that a civilized and progressive nation can desire. Electric light? They proudly point to a [power] plant on a hilltop outside the town. Constitutional government? A Chamber of Deputies elected by public vote, a Senate, and all the elaborate paraphernalia of the law: they are to be found here, seemingly all of them. Institutions, churches, schools, roads, railways On paper their system is flawless. . . . If one puts one's trust in the mirage of hearsay, the Haitians can boast of possessing all desirable things, but on nearer approach these pleasant prospects are apt to take on another complexion."

For instance, you are standing in what was once a building, but is now a spindle-shanked ghost of its former self. A single man, nursing a broken leg, sprawls on the black, earthen floor; a pile of wooden beds is heaped in the north corner; rain has formed a pool in the middle of the room, crawling and spreading into an ever wider circle as the last shower drips from the roof. Some filthy sheets lie wound into a sticky ball on two beds, one of which is overturned. A large, iron washing tub stands in the open doorway."

Now where are you? It would be impossible to guess. As a matter of fact, you are in the Military Hospital of the second most important town of Hayti, a state-supported concern in which the soldiers of the Republic are supposed to be cured of all the ills of the flesh. . . .

"It was the same with the electric light. The [power] plant was here, but it did not work. It was the same with the [Army's] cannons. There are cannons, but they won't go off. It was the same with their railways. They were being 'hurried forward,' but they never progressed. It was the same with everything."

There are many more examples. What had dawned on Prichard is that the Haitians really don't care. To them the imitation of civilization is as good as the real thing. They be-

lieve that if they are able to dress like White men and speak the White man's language and mimic the White man's institutions, then they are as good as White men. And I believe what Prichard observed of the Haitians applies equally well to Blacks in the United States today.

Prichard ends his book with a chapter titled "Can the Negro Rule Himself?" And he answers his question:

"The present condition of Hayti gives the best possible answer to the question, and, considering the experiment has lasted for a century, perhaps also a conclusive one. For a century the answer has been working itself out there in flesh and blood. The Negro has had his chance, a fair field, and no favor. He has had the most beautiful and fertile of the Caribbees for his own; he has had the advantage of excellent French laws; he inherited a made country, with Cap Haitien for its Paris Here was a wide land sown with prosperity, a land of wood, water, towns and plantations, and in the midst of it the Black man was turned loose to work out his own salvation. What has he made of the chances that were given to him?"

Prichard then summarizes the century of Haiti's independent existence, running through a list of Black rulers and strongmen, of revolutions and massacres and disorders. He winds up his survey with these words:

"Suffice it to say that . . . [Hayti's] best president was Geffrard, a mulatto, and that the dictatorship of her Black heads of state always has been marked by a redder smear than usual upon the page of history. The better, the wiser, the more enlightened and less brutalized class has always been composed of the mulattos, and the Blacks have recognized the fact and hated the mulatto element accordingly. But to pass from the earlier days of independence to more recent times: we had not long ago the savage rule of President Salomon, a notorious sectary of snake worship, beneath whose iron hand the country groaned for years, and public executions, assassinations, and robbery were the order of the day. And at the present time? Today in Hayti we come to the real crux of the question. At the end of a hundred years of trial

how does the Black man govern himself? What progress has he made? Absolutely none."

That's the way it was a century ago, when Prichard wrote, and that's essentially the way it is today, despite three large-scale efforts by the United States during this century to improve the lot of the Haitians. Why is all of this important to us? A century ago Prichard was by no means an unusual man of his class. He went to Haiti, he carefully observed life there in great detail over an extended period, and he drew logical and reasonable conclusions from his observations. Other scholars of his day could have done the same thing. But it is unimaginable that a scholar today, whether from Britain or America, could make observations like Prichard did, draw similar conclusions, and then publish his conclusions in a book by a mainstream publisher. It is simply not possible.

In the first place, one would be hard pressed to find a scholar from any university in America or Britain today who would have the courage to write honestly about Haiti, because he knows that if he did he would be condemned as a "racist" by a numerous and noisy faction of his colleagues and would be drummed out of the academy. And even if someone did write a book with observations and conclusions similar to Prichard's, no mainstream publisher would touch it. That's how far downhill our civilization has slid in a century.

The Haitians have their Voodoo, with all of its disgusting and bizarre beliefs and practices. And we have our cult of Political Correctness, our cult of egalitarianism. It is a cult based as much on superstition and as devoid of reason and logic as the Voodoo of the Haitians. And it exercises as strong a hold on its adherents. A Haitian would as soon offend a Voodoo witch doctor and risk having a curse put on himself as one of our modern scholars would risk being labeled a "racist!"

These articles first appeared in the December 1997 issue of *Free Speech*. *Free Speech* is available for \$40 per year from: National Vanguard Books, P.O. Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946

The Camp Of The Saints

Revisited

By

Maj. D.V. Clerkin

Written in 1973, *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*, a novel by French author Jean Raspail, was an instant controversial hit. It described an invasion by an armada of over one hundred leaky ships carrying a million East Indians, an invasion of France and thereby the whole of Europe by filthy, disease-ridden, squalid Hindus who have had enough of the poverty of India produced by their own sexual profligacy and ennuï. The "Monster," as Raspail identifies the collective mass of people, manages to get onto the seas and progresses toward Europe with a relentlessness that defies nature and logic. Raspail tells how the "liberal" forces in charge of Europe's psychological soul — the Church, the Press, the University — lobbied for the "Monster," that it should be allowed to land on French soil as a "penance," that white guilt demanded the sacrifice of the European culture and race to the "Monster."

As the armada passed out of the Indian Ocean to the mouth of the Red Sea, only the Egyptians had a presence of mind and the determination to threaten the "Monster" with armed violence, thus turning the armada away from the Red Sea and the Suez Canal. The "Monster" proceeded to steam toward the Cape of Good Hope, where the white South African government of the time sent out barges to the armada loaded with food, clothing and medicines. The Hindus threw the supplies into the ocean! The "Monster" would not be bought off with anything less than European land and white flesh.

As the armada progresses up the Atlantic coast of West Africa, the drumming of Third World propaganda became deafening in France and throughout Europe. Frenchmen in the south of France flee from the impending disaster soon to be visited on them and their country. The president of France orders the army to proceed to the south and repel the invasion. The French Assembly calls for the resignation of the president and the army suffers a revolt — the propaganda from the "liberal" forces for many years infecting the ranks of officers and men alike. They refuse to fire on the "refugees." France begins to fall apart, the prisons breaking down and convicts streaming into the French countryside, raping, stealing and murdering. Hippies from all over Europe collect on the southern shores of France to welcome the "Monster."

Only one French officer stands by his oath of office — Colonel Dragages — and he is unable to do anything to stem the invasion because his own troops desert, one of his most trusted captains even blowing

out his own brains rather than obey the Colonel's order to fire his weapons into the hordes of Hindus pouring ashore from the rusted hulks run aground. Much of the French establishment goes over to the side of the invaders, seeing that making deals may be safer than opposition to the inevitable. French women willingly become whores for the insatiable desires of the Hindus for white flesh. Those who do not cooperate are simply forced into prostitution. More ships come to Europe, and slowly the Aryan motherland dies in an ocean of color.

Raspail decries the impotence of the European response to such an armed invasion, yet in his Afterword he begs the indulgence of the "liberal" forces by claiming that his motive in writing such a novel was not driven by "racism," and that were he a soldier in such a circumstance he could not have fired on the invaders either. Such a cop-out after reading what Raspail describes in *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* concerning the overt anti-white hatred of the Hindu invaders — they were determined to spread their genes widely among the Europeans, thus wiping out the Aryan race (GENOCIDE!) — is sickening, making the reader wonder why Raspail entertained such a scenario in the first place?

If Raspail had not the fortitude of Aryan soul to repel the invasion of his country, the determination to defend his own race from extinction in this manner, then his book becomes meaningless. Raspail and all who share his cowardice in the face of the enemy are spiritually dead, racially moribund, and not qualified to speak as whites, let alone Aryans.

One need not wonder what Adolf Hitler would have done in his time had the threat of a Hindu invasion been placed against the heart of Europe. Hitler would have ordered U-Boat attacks against the armada in the Atlantic Ocean. The Luftwaffe would have attacked when the "Monster" steamed in range. The SS would have been deployed to repel the invaders who survived to land on the shores of France. Those who would call this response inhumane — an atrocity — should be reminded of the motive of the invaders from India. They intended to seize an entire continent for their own purposes, their own race and religion, their lust for white flesh, their concept of destroying the hated white man. As the U-Boats strike, the Luftwaffe bombs and strafes, and the SS mows them down on the beaches, who would claim that these actions are uncalled for? Those who shrink from the obligation to defend the soil wherein their ancestors are buried, where their culture was born, where their children hope to be saved by the courage and manliness of their fathers, where white Aryan women expect their men to do something to prevent such a calamity, such as these are not fit to speak for the white race in any capacity.

Raspail goes beyond fiction to claim that he would not have fired on the invaders, thus displaying his own sense of white racial guilt,

probably fostered by his loyalty to Judeo-Christianity, wherein the Hindu concept of Dharma is strongest, sapping the will of its adherents. (Dharma is the Hindu religious concept of absolute tolerance, of perversions, of race mixing, of religious oddities, etc.)

Which is why Hitler and the SS concept is more relevant today than ever. I would not feel morally guilty in shooting such invaders. They have come to finish me and mine. If we had such a situation, then maybe we would be engulfed, killed, but not for want of showing the invaders what we are made of. To surrender abjectly in such a way as Raspail recounts in *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*, to give it all up without a fight, is beyond my ability to consider possible.

As I drove about yesterday in my car, I listened to a tape of the finale of "Die Götterdämmerung," by Richard Wagner, "Brunhilde's Immolation." Thinking then of the Raspail novel, this is the music which should be in the ears of every Aryan when they meet their own personal test of will. Raspail is a Frenchman who cannot conceive that Hitler and National Socialism were right. He writes that the French music establishment played recordings of Mozart as the nation went down, while the Germans played Wagner, which Raspail scoffed at as being typical of the Germans. Mozart is essentially music of the salon, while Wagner is music that energizes the soul and the fighting spirit of the Aryan people. As the machine guns chattered and the mortars and napalm exploded, yes, Wagner would indeed justify the action.

☆☆☆

Jack London — Aryan

Jack London, author of *THE CALL OF THE WILD* and *THE SEA WOLF*, among other books, was as he said, a Socialist, but before everything, London said nobly that he was a White man. Here he proves it: "Consult the entire history of the human world in past ages and you will find that the world has ever belonged to the pure breed and has never belonged to the mongrel. I give you this as a challenge: Read up your history of the human race...."

"The Greeks died two thousands years ago when they mongrelized. Just because a lot of people talk the Greek language, does not make these people pure Greeks. [Ed. There are Aryan Greeks today, though many Greeks have Persian and Turkish blood, giving them a dark look while the Greeks of the time of Plato and Aristotle, of Demosthenes and Pericles, were in many cases blond and very Dorian Nordic]. Just because a lot of people talk Italian, does not make them Romans. The Greeks were strong as long as they remained pure. They were possessed with power, achievement, culture, creativeness, individuality. When they mongrelized themselves by breeding with the slush of conquered races, they faded away, and have played nothing but a despic-

ble part ever since in the world's history.

"This is true of the Romans; this is true of the Lombards; this is true of the Phoenicians; this is true of the Chaldeans; this is true of the Egyptians. This is not true of the Chinese; this is not true of the Japanese; this is not true of the Germans [Ed. In London's time at least....] this is not true of the Anglo-Saxons [Ed. So too with the English, who have been lately on a rampage of race mixing with every piece of trash from their late Empire!]. This is not true of the Yaquis of Mexico [Ed. Old Indians of Mexico, who did not mix with the Spanish settlers, thereby not becoming Mestizos]. It is true of the fifteen million mongrels in Mexico; it is true of the mongrels that inhabit the greater portion of the West Indies, and who inhabit South America and Central America from Cape Horn to the Rio Grande. This is true of the mongrelized Hindoos....

"Read up your history. It is all there on the shelves.... [Ed. Not any more!] And find me one race that retained its power of civilization, of culture, and creativeness, after it mongrelized itself." (From Charmian London's *THE BOOK OF JACK LONDON*, 1921, pp. 297-298).

Jack London of course was right, though no one today is willing to discuss his racial views, unrepentantly pro-white. His books are not even read, much less his racial essays and challenges, to the race mongrelizers of his time. Race mongrelization is not a new idea amongst the elite rulers of society. They preach integration, but do not practice it. The Jews preach it incessantly, but the rabbis tell the Jews not to mix with anyone but another Jew. And that goes for the so-called Falasha, or black Jews as well, Israel will take them in — and segregate them the way the old Jim Crow laws in the South used to segregate black from white. World Government depends on creating a "world race" of mongrels, devoid of spirit or individuality, with no aspirations but to fill the stomach and get off sexually. The conservatives and patriots who deny race as a factor fail to see that the Brahmins of the Oligopoly are either truly white, or Jews putting on the dog. The patriots rail against the concept of World Government, the New World Order, claiming it is a "Nazi" idea. As Jack London would say, show me a National Socialist who would force the white race to mix with muds and Jews.

Reprinted from: EURO-AMERICAN QUARTERLY, published by the Euro-American Alliance.

The Camp of the Saints is available from Liberty Bell Publications. \$12.00 + \$2.40 postage.

Thank you Mr. Dietz. May the *Liberty Bell* ring in *saecula saeculorum*.

(Re: *DGR and Evolution* by Jarah B. Crawford in *Liberty Bell* issue January 1998.)

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Dear Sir,

My article on evolution and race in the October 1997 *Liberty Bell* noted also, as stated in the beginning, "media attempts...to manipulate...minds..." This usually occurs deliberately through disinformation supplied by an author, however, it also may result from lack of comprehension or simply misunderstanding on the part of the reader. This occurred in the article I referenced and was the reason I wrote my article. Mr. Crawford appears to have made the same mistake and any "disinformation" drawn from my article by him would subsequently be due to his lack of understanding of the topic.

Should anyone take at least five years of dedicated study to the discoveries since *Australopithecus* was found in 1971, they will find a line of evolving pre-human skeletons, beginning about six million years ago. The same applies for pigs, elephants, horses, and many other creatures. These fragments are tangible evidence of history unlike dubious church doctrines written centuries ago in swamp cities such as Eridu. I concede that misinformation sometimes occurs here however, the field is only twenty-five years old. Gaps do exist in the data and several different pre-human species existed simultaneously over the interval cited which makes sorting and identification difficult. Speculations are made attempting to match theory with the data. The possession of tangible evidence as the basis of this "ridiculous concept" however, distinguishes Evolution from the folklore of Creationism and Interventionism. It proves, to some of us at least, that we evolved into un"identical socialist creatures", whose socialist nature resulted simply from inhibition of religious doctrines.

In paragraph three, I identified Culture with race, giving European examples, while simultaneously shifting, as phrased therein, to the "big picture", i.e. the cultural level. My statement concerning the "Caucasian in Europe" referred to the cultural level and not the evolution of the biped creature from *Australopi-*

thecus. The latest modern human migration out of Africa, which occurred about one-hundred thousand years ago, was clearly noted. After about fifty-thousand years of warfare, conflicts and miscegenation with the remnants of the previous migrants before the Neanderthal types were exterminated, the "evolution of the Caucasian [Cultures] in Europe occurred in [the remaining] forty-thousand years".

I reject Interventionism on the whole and consider it simply asinine. Not only is the paradigm itself incomplete, it distracts from and refocuses, diseducating people with respect to the crucial political/economic holy war of today. It also ignores the relevant, documented, accepted and tangible archaeological history discussed above. With regard to the "only alternative [to evolution] that [I] know", it more accurately should be the only "worth considering". Should one absolutely need mythical make-believe gods to make life perfect however, I would like to complete Mr. Crawford's recommendation list with the works of Stan Deyo, a sharp and profoundly intellectual modern mystagogue of "Hebrew" origins. His books, *The Cosmic Conspiracy* and *The Vindicator Scrolls*, are chefs-d'oeuvre in the latest revision of ruminator religion. The diagrams of space ships and the basic formulas of physics therein should provide ample pasture for many months of entertainment. Bon appetite!

DGR, California

* * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Correction on my letter of 1/3/98. In offering "Intelligence Newsletter" as documentation for the fact that British royalty and nobility intermarried with rich Jews as far back as 1000, I inadvertently gave the wrong issue number. The correct issue is the November-December '97 one for the best clarification of this little known fact. Thank you for your kind attention to this letter.

Heil Hitler!

Molly Gill, Ed/Publ, *The Rational Feminist*

☆ ☆ ☆

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Just reading Dr. Pierce's article, "The Jewish Problem", *Liberty Bell*, June 1997:35. I always get a chuckle from these rantings from the Jewish positions, extortions, numerous colonies of ants, they seem invading the pantries of the Pan-Aryan

46 — *Liberty Bell* / April 1998

world. No one is quite as witty as Dr. Pierce in describing the never-ending Jewish schemes against we industrious, competent, honorable whites which the Jewish unending nests of ants seem to be marshaling in their almost mechanical, zombie-like alien attacks on Western Civilization.

During these piercing articles of enlightenment and analysis of the world scene and politics and above all, MONEY SCHEMES, it always pops into my mind that if Hitler had this nefarious "pogrom" to wipe out the Jews, the usually super-competent Führer in everything else, sure as hell didn't do a very good job of it, for if he had, how could they all be over here busy picking the pockets of the *goyim* in all Aryan lands where there is any money?

The Jews have never explained yet how they are all over the globe, destroying the white male by using the blacks and their many shades of darkening hues, Asians, mestizos, screaming day and night about the holocaust, nodding their curls at the Wailing Wall, building Israel with white money, running the wheelbarrows to every pile of gold on the globe—all with so few left from the terrible holocaust which my eyes rebel at reading anymore.

How could the Führer, who brought a defeated Germany back from the rack of death dealt it by international Jewry in WW I, begin regaining German lands and people, infusing pride in a beaten and starved people, built a war machine in defiance of the Jewish puppet oppressors, despite their boycott, and fought a war for six years against 52 nations of the earth—how could he do all that, have this "pogrom" to wipe out the Jews, as they claim in their holocaustomania, and yet he could not, apparently, wipe out the few million Jews in Germany and German-protected lands?

Surely this should be the main mystery of administrative and political accomplishment or lack of it for an otherwise superior leader, general, beloved leader, statesman, and inheritor of Odin's Kingdom, and still if he had this "pogrom" to wipe out the Jews and did not accomplish such a simple feat.

Statesmen, politicians, journalists, military leaders, and all governments should be studying this problem day and night. Did Hitler have a knowledge of magic, as in the Thule Society? If so, that didn't work either. So all the talents he had at his disposal in men and materials, and his own ingenious brain, and still he somehow could not carry out this simple feat, because here, as proof that he failed, are millions and seemingly billions of Jews

Liberty Bell / April 1998 — 47

picking every pocket in the known white world. I rest my case.

Heil Hitler!

Molly Gill, Ed/Publ., *The Rational Feminist*

* * *

Dear Sirs:

The November issue was excellent with the article on monetary reform by Ben Kriegh and the article on Clinton by Coletti. I have read the publications of the Monetary Science Institute for years and have given away a couple of dozen of their books on money to educate people. It is too bad that they do not publish a newsletter any more. However, I feel that the subject is too abstract for most people and that most want to understand how to get their hands on money without understanding the system. Why else would people use and abuse the use of credit cards and play the debt based Money game.

Money is power, and people understand that much. This is brought out very clearly in *The Rotten Heart of Europe*. In the battle of who is to control the system, Germany or France, no mention is made of how money is to be created in the new paradise of the Common Currency, but neither country wants to give up hegemony to the other.

If you have any information on what is currently happening in the rush to the ECU or can put me in touch with someone who is current on the subject, I would appreciate the information. The book I refer to was published in 1995 and was the viewpoint of an English writer.

Sincerely, T.A.K., Alaska

* * *

Dear Landsmann:

Many years ago, people studying the Constitution of the United States with an unbiased eye, pointed out that it set up a government ruled by money. Oswald Spengler stated it plainly: "Democracy is the system of rule by money." Whose money and how obtained is irrelevant. Ancient Athens, which defeated the Persian invasions, ended up as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Persian Empire. Here in America, the creation of the Federal Reserve money monopoly gave the money power and, hence, rule of America, to the Jews and their co-conspirators. But this is only part of the story of how America was corrupted.

Prior to American entry into WW II, Roosevelt and the Jews were concocting scenarios to force Japan into war with America

in case Roosevelt's provocations and acts of war failed to get Hitler to declare war. One of these was to bomb Japanese cities from China using American planes and aircrews. Japan and China had been at war since 1937 and China had been helpless before Japanese air power. Roosevelt offered to supply China with an airforce on the sly. The money, planes, air and ground crews would all be American. Only the airfields and the planes' insignia would be Chinese. When some of these planes were shot down over Japan while murdering civilians in fire raids, Roosevelt figured that the reaction in Japan would force a declaration of war against America thus getting America into war by the back door."

To carry out this plot, an American company was formed and given a \$400,000,000 line of credit. This money was loaned to the Chinese government and guaranteed by the U.S. government. With this money the corporation was allowed to by U.S. military planes and recruit active military personnel. In violation of the Neutrality Laws and Air Force regulations operational planes were declared "surplus" and sold to a Private corporation along with their weapons and service equipment. Active duty personnel were discharged before their enlistments expired and allowed to join a private company working for a foreign government. Some of the best men in the Air Force joined this new company causing lasting bitterness in the Air Force which was being stripped of personnel and equipment while trying to prepare for a future war.

The first planes Purchased were 100 P-400 fighters. After they were in position to protect the Chinese airfields, another 200 B-24 bombers were to arrive and start fire bombing Japanese cities. But the Japanese did not wait for this to happen. They struck before the full 100 fighters even reached China. The fighters helped defend Burma till the British collapsed and then moved to China where they fought a guerilla air war against the Japanese. Cut off by land and sea, the American Air Force (it eventually became a USAAF outfit) was dependent on air transport planes flying the "Hump" over the mountains from India. The planes arrived with war material and personnel and flew back empty. Or did they? Here is where I asked myself the question: "What kind of cargo could be carried on a little C-47 that would pay the interest on \$400,000,000 (\$20,000,000,000 in current money)?" The answer was suggested by the area from which the planes were flying: Yunnan province, the opium growing

area of China.

Here is what I think happened: The planes flying to India from China carried a load of opium which was secretly sold to pay interest on the Chinese loans. The American CIA, observing the huge profits of this trades, soon moved in on it. By the end of the war they were clearing billions from this new source of revenue. Many authors have spoken of how the CIA was set up by British agent William Stephenson working out of Rockefeller Center in NYC and about their European operations. But here is where I think the real foundation of the CIA was laid. With the dope trade the CIA became independent U.S. government funding for its operations. At the end of WW II it looked as if this wonderful revenue stream would come to an end. Obviously, this could not be permitted.

Vietnam had been taken over by the French in the mid 1800s and the port of Haiphong built especially to move Vietnamese and Chinese opium to Europe to compete with the British opium trade out of India. Vietnam was France's India. What if it could be put under CIA control? To this end the CIA enlisted a short-order cook from Harlem named Ho Chi Minh to be their puppet ruler. They gave him a dozen CIA advisors and hired about 500 Vietnamese to be his Army. At the end of WW II a Chinese Army occupied No. Vietnam and brought Ho and his gang with them. Because of U.S. pressure, the Chinese were forced to turn over the government and part of the Japanese weapons to Ho and his gang. That was how "Democracy" came to the Vietnamese people.

When the French attempted to return to their colony, the Reds made a treacherous attack on them but were unable to stand up to a real army. They were soon chased into the jungles and the wastelands. At this point the CIA made them an offer they couldn't refuse: "You need arms to fight the French? We will supply them." "But how can we pay?" asked the Reds. "Grow dope," was the answer. And that was the start of the Vietnam wars and the post-war dope trade. CIA planes openly flew weapons to the Reds out of Thailand and Burma and dope out until the French shot down some of their planes forcing them to be more careful. For six years the first Indochina war went on producing profits beyond the wildest dreams of the CIA criminals. With the money from this the CIA bought fleets of airplanes and whole airlines. (Ever wonder why the CIA needs so many airlines?) They also bought the U.S. political process. Forming alliances with Communists elsewhere, the CIA soon managed to

have American arms cut off to Nationalist China thus assuring its fall to the Reds. This transformed the war in Vietnam and turned the Reds into real contenders. For six years this profitable war raged and then it was brought to an end when the U.S. made a separate peace in Korea betraying the French. The Red Chinese then moved their artillery from Korea to Vietnam to defeat the French at Dien Bien Fu. The U.S. helped by refusing to aid the French despite pretending to be their NATO allies. Instead of aiding the French, the U.S. insisted the French surrender the north to the Reds. This set the stage for even greater profits as the war could now be transferred to the south.

From 1956 to 1975 the CIA milked the Vietnam war for all it was worth. They decided to expand the mass market for dope by addicting the American population. This was accomplished through mass marketing through music and propaganda (the "Hippy 60s") and especially by addicting U.S. soldiers cycling through Vietnam on their tours of duty. Even the dead bodies of American soldiers being flown home from Vietnam were stuffed with drugs. In those 19 years the dope trade became the staple of world trade outmatching the oil trade manyfold. Yet this trade remained invisible to the banking system that handled the hundreds of billions in money every year! In that time the CIA and its bankers became the financial and political masters of the world. But all good things must come to an end. In 1975 it was time to end the farce. North Vietnam was sold 3,000 tanks and 2,000 AA missiles on credit while South Vietnam was cut off from fuel and ammunition. This delivered South Vietnam to the Communists in the now routine way.

A new war had already been set up to replace the old. This time Russia was to be used as the patsy for a change. It was sent to occupy Afghanistan. England had already occupied Afghanistan three times in the last century. The trouble was, it cost too much to keep a large force there and a smaller one got wiped out by the natives. Now it was the Russians who invaded the country and the U.S. who supported the guerillas. The guerilla war was financed in the now standard way: The Afghanis and Pakistanis were made to grow dope. This lovely war lasted into the 80s before the USSR withdrew. But that time the CIA had a replacement war ready in Central America, but this time they got caught.

The key event was the downing of the Hasenfuss plane, a CIA plane carrying weapons in to the Contras, and dope out. The

plane's base was Clinton's dope airfield at Mena, Arkansas. It flew from there to Nicaragua carrying guns. The guns were obtained by Oliver North's men from steel mills where they were supposedly being melted down. In reality only the junk was melted down. The good weapons went to the Contras. The plane was then to fly to Holmstead AFB in Florida where Bush's son was to meet it and Janet Reno was to protect it. Instead it crashed with the weapons. The whole dirty affair then came to light. A frantic cover-up was mounted to try and justify the dope trading in terms of "fighting communism" (as if the U.S. had ever really done such a thing!), but the secret was out.

But this came too late to make any difference. The CIA's American dope trade was now the #1 article of international trade. The wealth of the world was theirs. The rule of the U.S. was theirs. When the CIA shut down its Mena operation in 1986, Clinton's screams were silenced by his being told he was on the short list for puppet president in 1992. The U.S. is now a corrupt empire run for the benefit of the Jews and their co-conspirators. And that is what happened to the "great experiment" of an American Republic. Democracy devolved from the Republic and ended in the usual way. If Spengler is right, what is next are annihilation wars as various criminal factions fight to rule and loot. The old U.S.A. was crippled in the Civil War and ended forever after WW II.

Such is my reconstruction of events. I offer it as a guide to the perplexed.

Sincerely, S.R., New York State

* * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Thanks for the LB which I received a few days ago and I immediately dropped everything and read it from cover to cover.

Don't you think Coletti is a little off the mark when he says Clinton was elected twice by the American people? He was elected by illegal aliens, niggers and jews, none of which I consider Americans. As I recall, in his first election he received only 24% of the vote and I believe it was even less in the second. This little old paper in Pensacola, the only paper here, ran big headlines: CLINTON WINS BIG! I sent them a letter saying he had not won big at all — barely skidded through, but of course my letter was not published, just as none of the letters I wrote defending Hans Schmidt were ever published.

52 — Liberty Bell / April 1998

I finally got moved on the 14th of January, but it will take a while to get unpacked. If I get something I want to read, I just shelve the whole business of unpacking...

PS: Hope the enclosed check will help a little. You mentioned once you might publish all of Dr. Oliver's works in one or more volumes. Did you ever do that? If so, I certainly want to get a copy. [I am sorry, but due to financial and equipment problems I won't be able to publish any more of Dr. Oliver's writings. Volume 1 of *Against the Grain* is still available.—Editor]

Sincerely, Mrs. L.W.S., Florida

* * *

Dear Landsmann:

It is now clear that the world financial bubble began to collapse when Red China took over Hong Kong and diverted all its bank lending into China. Hong Kong was the financial heart circulating money around East Asia. After the Red takeover new loans ceased to be issued after the old ones were repaid. The floating supply of money disappeared causing a credit crunch. It became impossible to get loans and people began to try and raise money by liquidating stocks and bonds and land. The moment they tried this, the price collapsed just as it will in America in the near future. The implosion is spreading. So far hyperinflation by the Federal Reserve has only delayed it a little. The American money supply is now being hyperinflated at over 100% per year and will soon collapse like the Asian currencies. I assume the Jews will try to prevent the crash in America (it has already happened in Asia!) until they can start up a mideast war so as to blame it all on the Moslems—again.

Spotlight recently published a map of what the Jews claim is theirs according to *Genesis*. I note this is a virtual duplicate of the old Assyrian Empire. The Jews are worthy successors to the old Assyrians when it comes to cruelty and murder. One Assyrian king boasted of how he drove the garrison of a surrendered city into the desert to die of thirst and exposure. Moshe Dyan did the same thing to 60,000 Egyptian soldiers after the 1967 war. However, the Assyrians fought their own wars while the Israelis demand America fight theirs.

Speaking of Assyria, the name comes from their God Asshur. In *Genesis*, when Moses asks the God of the burning bush who he is, he answers with the nonsense phrase: "I am that I am." Researching this I find that what the Bible actually said was "I am

Liberty Bell / April 1998 — 53

Asshur." The religious translators blanked out the Asshur and added "I AM" derived from reading the Aramaic text backward to get: "I AM THAT I AM." After Asshur was defeated by other Gods the Jews dropped his name and substituted the nonsense jumble YHWH so they could claim he was whatever God was victorious at the time. In Aramaic the word "lord" is translated as BAAL, or actually BL since vowels had not been invented yet. Thus, BL, SSHR became BL YHWH which is always translated as "Lord God" in the King James Bible. Both Asshur, Jehova and Odin are storm gods of very similar attributes. Can it be that what Christians have been unknowingly worshipping all these years is the desert devil Asshur?

Just suppose that Iraq had led the UN coalition that seized Puerto Rico, then blockaded the U.S. and declared a "no fly" zone over the U.S. east of the Mississippi. That is what we have done to Iraq at the command of Israel. Now we are supposed to launch a new war to destroy Iraq, Syria, Libya and Iran for Israel. Meanwhile, the Moslems, knowing that this is coming, have formed an 11-nation alliance to break the power of the U.S. for good. The 11 nations are: N. Korea, China, Khazackstan, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iran, Iraq, Syria, Bosnia and Libya. I suspect the financial crash triggered by China was the first shot in this new war.

Back in the 60s, Egypt was trying to conquer Yemen without much luck. The Yemenis were fierce fighters who were sheltered in their impenetrable mountains. To terrorize them the Egyptians dropped poison gas on their mountain villages. They figured the natives had no planes or modern technology and could not retaliate in kind. The Yemenis thought about this for a year, then, on a fixed day, they poisoned the water supply of every Egyptian garrison in Yemen. In Saana alone over 600 Egyptians died.

On December 6, Clintondirt issued a presidential directive for the U.S. Air Force to prepare for the use of atomic weapons against smaller nations. Around Christmas, two B-18 atomic bombers were sent to Bahrein for the mission. The U.S. has been openly developing ground penetrating atomic bombs to destroy Libya's water tunnels (called "poison gas factories" in the Jew-media) and the Iranian tunnels with their anti-ship missiles overlooking the Strait of Hormuz. A preemptive attack on Iran's

54 — Liberty Bell / April 1998

nuclear reactors is also being considered. The planned victims have a few atomic bombs but nothing to match what the U.S. has or what Israel has stolen from America. Thus, they have come up with a "Yemeni" solution as a deterrent. They have shipped biotoxins to 100 U.S. cities. The moment the U.S. drops the first atomic bombs on a Moslem country, they will retaliate upon America itself. The Jews know of this and are doing nothing about it. They hate America anyway. Besides, if 50 or 100 millions are killed, it will end the government's financial problems and the public will be too shattered to worry about who created the financial collapse.

This war was originally scheduled for Thanksgiving, but someone suddenly shot down a number of U.S. planes all over the world, probably from orbit using energy weapons. If this is so, then the airplane is now obsolete as a first class war weapon and, without airpower, the U.S. is nothing. As I write, Clintondirt is huffing and puffing to start another war with Iraq. We will see who strikes whom this time. Just as the Moslems got even in 1973 for the Israeli victory of 1967, so this 1998 war will be the Moslem revenge for the 1991 aggression by the U.S. and Israel.

Incidentally, note that No. Korea shoots down any U.S. plane that comes near it. In 1993 it shot down a U.S. spy plane 123 miles off the coast and the U.S. government didn't dare open its mouth. Korea and the Gulf are the "two wars" that the U.S. has been preparing for since 1991.

PS: The FBI gave up on the Flight 800 shootdown calling it an "accident." On the contrary, the plane shows all the signs of being shot down with a scalar energy weapon, including the Scalar Globe photographed by witnesses on the ground, and the way all the transistors were burned up. Missiles and atomic bombs are long obsolete WW II weapons. All major powers are now equipped with various energy weapons.

Sincerely, S.R., New York State

* * *

Dear George,

The last *Liberty Bell* was excellent and I almost wished I was still receiving other copies to pass on. There are just too many closed minds about me. It is almost as though one was appealing to a brain enclosed in cement. Yours is a bright beam — I wish

Liberty Bell / April 1998 — 55

you and yours well in this New Year.

Sincerely yours, Mrs. S.W.K., Oregon

* * *

7 February 1998

Dear George-

A.V. Schaerffenberg's masterful piece on Aryan-German music traditions and the pathology brought about in great music by the Jewish influence over our Culture should be read by every serious Aryan music student, and especially by those who one day hope to be conductors and soloists. In a past edition of one of our publications, I touched on the takeover of American popular culture by the Jews, who have negrified it and thus have brought Aryan youth to the pit of anti-artistic filth. But Schaerffenberg has put a fine point on the subject which I, a music lover, have agonized over every time I saw that troll Leonard Bernstein praised and lionized for his compositions, the only one of which, the movie music for "On The Waterfront," bears any resemblance to music. If it were not for Dick Cavett, no one would ever have heard of Bernstein's overture to "Candide."

As I served in Japan as a U.S. Marine, and also went to university there for an undergraduate degree, I noticed that the Japanese have a great respect for the German composers. Thus, I find Seiji Ozawa more than adequate with a good orchestra in his hands. His reading of Bruckner's Fifth Symphony with the Boston Symphony pleased me, and he is versatile enough to do Debussy as well as most of the standard repertory. I heard more serious music of our race on Japanese radio than I do anywhere in America. There were coffee shops in Tokyo and Yokohama that featured only classical music.

The worst travesty is the Jewish promotion of a Congoid conductor, Bobby Ferrins, who looks like the boss of a Jamaican drug posse. He prances on the podium, forcing Aryan children to sing African rhymes, while he leers at blond female players in the orchestras he is permitted to abuse. And the Jews boil over with praise for this cretin.

Is there hope for Aryan music? Only if we succeed in creating an Aryan Republic on the ruins of this sad country. In that Staat there must be erected a grand concert hall / opera house, a place of cultural worship and serenity, wherein the Aryan soul can rise above the mundane.

Maj. Donald V. Clerkin

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations .

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!